Rift Valley fever: Influence of herd immunity patterns on transmission dynamics

John Gachohi and Bernard Bett

Regional Conference on Zoonotic Diseases in Eastern Africa Naivasha, Kenya 9-12 March 2015









International Livestock Research Institute

Outline



Background

Research objective

Model description

Model application

Key findings & interpretation

Conclusion

Background



- Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV) transmission elevated following excessive persistent rainfall and flooding.
- Average inter-epizootic interval in Kenya = 3.6 yrs. (1–7 yrs.)
- Hypothesis: Herd immunity plays an important role in modifying the length of these intervals

Research objective

To evaluate the relationship between herd immunity and RVFV transmission dynamics

Evaluation – based on a transmission dynamics simulation model

RVF transmission model description

Host module

 \triangleright

- ✓ A 2-host model cattle and sheep based upon:
- Age-structured population dynamics individual-based characteristics and behaviour tracked for each host

Vector module

- ✓ A 2-vector model *Aedes* and *Culex* spp.
- Population-based Life stages modelled using difference equations
- ✓ Population dynamics driven by satellite-based rain using probability functions $Lv_{(t+1)} = Lv_{(t)} + (Eg_{brd(t)} * H_A) (Lv_{(t)} * \mu_{AI}) (Lv_{(t)} * E_A);$

$$Pp_{(t+1)} = Pp_{(t)} + (Lv_{(t)} * E_A) - (Pp_{(t)} * \mu Ap) - (Pp_{(t)} * F_A);$$

 $Ad_{(t+1)} = Ad_{(t)} + (Pp_{(t)} * F_A) - (Ad_{(t)} * \mu Aa);$

 $Eg_{brd(t+1)} = Eg_{brd(t)} + (Ad_{(t)} * S_A) * J_{A;}$

RVF transmission model description

Spatial grid cell framework

representation of spatial heterogeneities in the model through the variation of:

- $\checkmark\,$ the locations of vector breeding sites
- ✓ host movement patterns

Transmission module

Individual host: Susceptible, Exposed, Infected, Recovered



Vector (population-based): Susceptible, Exposed, Infected



Model application

Variations in vectors' population growth and data periods

>

>

Simulations implemented for 1200 days that cover the data period to predict 2006-07 outbreak

Transmissions are subsequently shut. Simulations then run for five years to assess the post-outbreak evolution of herd immunity dynamics.

C++: 1000 simulations for each scenario

Key predictions (1)

- The model reproduces the temporal course of 2006-07 RVF outbreak
- Plus seasonal transmissions that are dependent on amount of rain



Key predictions (2)

The model predicts a high herd immunity level at the end of that outbreak:

Sheep: 94% [range 65%, 99%] Cattle: 89% [range 81%, 96%]

Over time, after the outbreak, immunity wanes. Five years later, declines to:

Sheep: 0.3% [range 0.07%, 0.5%] Cattle: 6% [range 4%, 8%]

This period falls within the reported range of inter-epizootic period in Kenya and appears to depend on species

Key predictions (2)

A box-and-whisker plot : evolution of herd immunity dynamics during the post-outbreak period



Key predictions(3)

Can herd immunity dynamics modify the length of inter-epizootic intervals?



- Separate model analyses assessing impact of control options
- Predicted full-blown outbreak prevention window
 - Average 317 days in sheep
 - Average 723 days in cattle

Interpretation

- Rate of decline in herd immunity higher in sheep than in cattle
- Likely due to the greater population turnover

Inter-annual transmissions might be responsible for sustaining herd immunity over time

Predictions suggest that host diversity can influence the temporal pattern of a multi-host epizootic

Conclusion

Findings provide a better understanding of immunity patterns critical in refining existing control strategies

Strategies aimed at boosting herd immunity during the inter-epizootic period

Findings provide huge potential for use in evaluation of cost-effectiveness of vaccination campaigns

Acknowledgements





and Health

Led by IFPRI



Conference sponsors and organizers