Brucellosis in humans and livestock in Uganda: Challenges and opportunities

Joseph Erume Presentation at the Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut (FLI) colloquium Jena, Germany 23 July 2014



FRIEDRICH-LOEFFLER-INSTITUT





CGIAR science for a food secure future

ILRI is a member of the CGIAR Consortium



Uganda



- Small landlocked country; 241,000 sq km
- 1100 m above sea level
- Population 36 million
- Equatorial climate 24-30°C temperatures
- GDP > 50% on agriculture
- Capital City Kampala

Brucellosis in livestock in Uganda

- Brucellosis is a zoonotic disease affecting people, livestock and wildlife
- Disease & economic losses
- High prevalence in cattle
 - Herd level up to 79%
 - Individual cow prevalence5 to 46%
- Buffaloes in national parks sero-prevalence 1.82–26.67%
- High prevalence in goats
- Abortion storms in cattle, goats and pigs





Brucellosis prevalence in cattle

Trop Anim Health Prod (2009) 41:1765-1771 DOI 10.1007/s11250-009-9375-y

Seroprevalence and potential risk of bovine brucellosis in zerograzing and pastoral dairy systems in Uganda

J. W. Magona • J. Walubengo • T. Galiwango • A. Etoori

Accepted: 8 May 2009 / Published online: 27 May 2009 © Springer Science + Business Media B.V. 2009

Abstract A cross-sectional study was conducted in Uganda between November 2006 and February 2007 to assess the seroprevalence and risk of brucellosis in zerograzing and pastoral dairy systems; two major sources of milk in Uganda, 80% of which is sold unpasteurized to consumers through informal channels. A total of 723 cattle comprised of 497 animals from the pastoral system and 226 animals from the zerograzing system were tested for antibodies against natural B. abortus infection using the competitive enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (C-ELISA). Herd-level seroprevalence was 100% in the pastoral system and 5.5% (95% CI: 1.8, 9.2) in the zerograzing system. The animal-level seroprevalence and within-herd range of brucellosis in cattle in the pastoral system were 34.0% (95% CI: 29.9, 38.1) and 8.1-75.9%, while for those in the zerograzing system were 3.3% (95% CI: 0.9, 5.7) and 0-9.0%. Abortion rates of 23% and 0% among seropositive cows vis-à-vis 5.4% and 1.9% among seronegative cows were recorded in the pastoral and zerograzing systems, respectively. The risk of natural B. abortus infection was higher among older cattle

(>24 m) (Odds ratio [OR]=1.83, 95% CI: 1.25-2.67) and dry cows (OR=2.01, 95% CI: 1.23-3.31) in the pastoral system, and in calves aged 0-6 m (OR=5.72, 95% CI: 1.04-31.41) in the zerograzing system. Implementing a culling program in the zerograzing system to eliminate the existing low risk of bucellosis and targeting calves in the pastoral systems for vaccination could avert the cost-related limitation of bucellosis control in Uganda.

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Keywords} \ \mbox{Dairy cattle} \cdot \mbox{Brucellosis risk} \cdot \mbox{Zerograzing} \\ system \cdot \mbox{Pastoral system} \cdot \mbox{Uganda} \end{array}$

Introduction

Bovine brucellosis is a zoonotic disease caused by *Brucella abortus*, characterized by abortion, metritis, orchitis and epididymitis (Henry 1989) leading to impaired fertility in cattle. Brucellosis is of paramount public health importance in Uganda (Kabagambe et al. 2001; Faye et al. 2005; Kyebambe 2005; Epiphanies



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

PREVENTIVE VETERINARY MEDICINE

Preventive Veterinary Medicine 67 (2005) 267-281

www.elsevier.com/locate/prevetmed

Tuberculosis and brucellosis prevalence survey on dairy cattle in Mbarara milk basin (Uganda)

Faye Bernard^{a,*}, Castel Vincent^a, Lesnoff Matthieu^a, Rutabinda David^b, Dhalwa James^b

^aCIRAD-EMVT, Programme Productions Animales, Campus International de Baillarguet, TA 30(A, 34398, Montpelier cedex, France ^bDistrict Veterinary Office, Mbaran, Uganda

Received 12 November 2003; received in revised form 15 November 2004; accepted 15 November 2004

Abstract

We determined the prevalence of tuberculosis and brucellosis reactors in the dairy herds in the Mbarara district of Uganda in 2002. This is one of the most important dairy-production areas of the country and includes both pastoral and agro-pastoral zones. A total of 340 (of 11,995) randomly selected herds were tested for tuberculosis, using the intradermal tuberculosis-skin test and 315 (of 10,562) herds tested for brucellosis using the serum Rose Bengal test.

The herd prevalence for tuberculosis reactors was 74.1% (95% confidence intervals 69, 78), the individual-animal prevalence was of 6.0% (5.6, 6.5) and within-herd range was 1–50% (up to 100% if suspicious reactors were included).

The herd prevalence for brucellosis was 55.6% (50, 61.2) individual-animal prevalence 15.8% (14.8, 16.7) and within-herd range 1–90%.

The reactor prevalence increased with the age of the animals for both tuberculosis and brucellosis. Tuberculosis reactor prevalences were higher in animals from the agro-pastoral zone. However, the individual-animal and herd prevalences of brucellosis scroprevalences were higher in the pastoral zone.

© 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Dairy cattle; Tuberculosis; Brucellosis; Prevalence survey; Uganda

Urban and peri-urban cattle



Most knowledge about brucellosis

- Serological evidence
- Also through daily media



- Uganda: Cattle Disease Hits Kibaale
- BY ISMAEL KASOOHA, 15 OCTOBER 2008
 - Three people have contracted a highly contagious disease in Kagadi town, Kibaale district, after getting into contact with infected cattle
 - The district veterinary officer, Dr. Moses Amanya, confirmed that the people had been infected with brucellosis



Other livestock affected

• E.g. goats



 Bacterial disease attacks Kyenjojo goats
Publish Date: Nov 02, 2008 (Brucellosis has attacked goats that the Government gave to farmers in Nyatungo sub-county in Kyenjojo district)

Brucellosis challenge in humans in Uganda

- Several reports of human brucellosis with complications (Galukande et al.,2005; Kyebambe 2005, 2012).
- A brucellosis seroprevalence of 12% and 7% in abattoir workers in Kampala and Mabarara district (Nabukenya et al. 2013)
- Mulago Hospital: 652 cases of brucellosis diagnosed between June 2004 and May 2006 (Makita et al. 2008)
- Frequent human cases could be a clear indication of disease burden in livestock

Sources of human infection

- Demand for animal products high
- Infection probably from animals and their products
- Occupational



Our research in Gulu



Poorly processed milk



Our research with SLU

Chronic brucellosis in a teenage Ugandan

- 18-year-old patient with 12-year history recurrent multiple joint and muscle pains, fevers and sweats
- Wasted pale teenager
- Marked weakness of upper limbs and inability to use her hands
- Difficulty in adducting and abducting fingers
- Reduced reflexes and muscle power



Series of images depicting clinical and radiological findings before and after treatment.

Kyebambe et al. 2012



Brucellosis misdiagnosis

- Disease cases often masked by malaria
- Medical doctors don't have it as a forefront of health problems in Uganda

Medication in humans...

- Main source of treatment is herbal from "traditional healers"
- The latter aggressively advertise treatment through local FM radio stations thus most people seek herbal remedies
- Herbal remedies may not be very effective and could also be responsible for late seeking of medical attention

Swine brucellosis study in Uganda

- In 2012, ILRI initiated a major engagement in Uganda to generate solutions and evidence for the development at scale of pro-poor smallholder pig value chains
- To identify challenges and options to allow pig farmers to improve their productivity and livelihoods, while increasing the supply of critical nutrients to their communities and urban centres.
- One area for immediate attention is infectious pig diseases
- Through ILRI, I got a small <u>DAAD/ILRI</u> postdoctoral Ffellowship on swine brucellosis (Dec 2012 to May 2013)

Brucellosis effect on swine production

- Abortions
- Birth of weak piglets
- Infertility
- Arthritis
- Lameness
- Partial or total paralysis of hind quarters
- Orchitis





Design

- Cross-sectional abattoir & farm study
- Done alongside ILRI, SPVCD & SFFF projects
- In ILRI focus districts
 Masaka, Mukono & Kamuli



Kristina Roesel, Safe Food, Fair Food (SFFF) project coordinator





Approach



- Take residence in district
- Meeting district office discuss approach and prepare for activities



The team



Sometimes meeting in village with farmers

Smallholder pig farms



Smallholder pig farms



Sampling

- Questionnaires administered at each household
- Blood taken



Biosecurity measures on leaving farm



Lab team



Results

PCR on isolates from mesenteric lymph nodes from pigs in abattoir

District	Number of mesenteric lymph nodes*	No. of <i>Brucella</i> suspect isolates	PCR
Masaka	68	7	0
Mukono	2	0	0
Kamuli	30	8	0
Total	100	15	0

*Few pigs from Mukono were slaughtered during study period

Abattoir sero-prevalence of swine brucellosis

District	Number of sera	No. indirect ELISA positive
Masaka	332	0
Mukono	2	0
Kamuli	138	0
Total	472	0

Farm sero-prevalence of swine brucellosis

District	Village	Number samples*	No. brucellosis	
			positive by iELISA	
			Makerere	FLI
Masaka	Kisoso	45(4)	I	0
	Ssenya	39(5)	0	0
	Lukindu	38(1)	0	0
	Kanoni-Bukunda	54(5)	0	0
	Senyange A	53(3)	0	0
	Kyamuyimbwa Kikalala	43(8)	0	0
	Butego	28(1)	0	0
	Kijjabwemi	45(2)	0	0
	Kyabakuza B	36(1)	0	0
Mukono	Kazo/Kalagala	60(9)	Nd	0
	Nsanja/Gonve	48(4)	Nd	0
	Bugoye/Kabira	48(0)	Nd	0
	Kyoga	54(2)	Nd	0
	Dundu	63(2)	Nd	0
	Kitete	58(3)	Nd	0
	Joggo	68(4)	Nd	0
Kamuli	Balubweneiwa	48(2)	Nd	0
	Bukyonza B	31(1)	Nd	0
	Butabala	41(1)	Nd	I
	Isingo A	70(0)	Nd	0
	Ntansi	114(9)	Nd	I
	Kantu zone	110(0)	Nd	0
Total	22	1193(67)	1	2

Brackets = no. of village boars

Ugandan swine sera brucellosis free

- Putative positive sera found negative for *Brucella* antibodies by CFT
- Y. enterocolitica antibodies detected in these sera by SAT
- 2 positive ELISA and 10 selected sera with high ODs in ELISA were negative for *Brucella* DNA by Real Time PCR



Conclusions

- Ugandan pigs appear free of Brucella infection
- Since samples were collected from individual households in the major pig producing districts, we can conclude that Ugandan pigs pose a low risk of brucellosis transmission to humans



Opportunities

- Brucellosis burden is high in humans and domestic ruminants
- But limited research and capacity
- Research urgently needed to understand:
 - the disease in domestic ruminant value chains
 - factors of its transmission and persistence in humans and animals
 - bacterial strains involved
 - disease burden in humans
 - reliable diagnostics
 - potency of herbals
- These are all opportunities for partnership



Acknowledgements

- TWAS-DFG
- FLI
- DAAD
- ILRI
- Makerere University



LR INTERNATIONAL INESTOCK RESEARCH CGIAR better lives science for a food through livestock secure future

ILRI is a member of the CGIAR Consortium







Federal Research Institute for Animal Health



