Vaccination as a way forward?

A case study on how a poultry vaccination intervention influences poultry keeping in Kenya

Johanna F Lindahl, Amanda Wyatt, Jarrah Young, Mary Young, Robyn Alders, Brigitte Bagnol, David Msyoki, <u>Silvia Alonso,</u> Delia Grace

All-Africa Conference on Animal Agriculture

Nairobi, Kenya, 28 October 2014













Australian Aid—managed by KYEEMA Foundation on behalf of AusAID.

The importance of poultry in Kenya

- Poultry crucial for the **livelihoods** of rural and urban people all over the developing world
- Poultry is often reared by the women in the household
- >20 million poultry in Kenya; Majority small holders

Why?

Low costs (affordable by the poorest), rapid reproduction and easily marketed

A reliable source of income and protein





Newcastle disease virus

- Viral disease (Paramyxovirus)
- Highly virulent disease either silent, or in deadly outbreaks (but often underreported)

Why does disease matter?

- In commercial production hamper productivity and financial losses
- In small-holder farming severely affect the livelihood of families.



Newcastle disease vaccines

VACCINATION ONLY MEANS OF PROTECTION IN LOW INCOME COUNTRIES

- Produced for large scale production-many hundred doses per vial
- Requires system for distributing to many farmers
- LaSota strain vaccines- requires cold chain
- I-2 strain vaccine- thermotolerant



Study background



Previously most common in Kenya: LaSota strain

From 2013, I-2 strain available for distribution through Farm Input Promotions Africa (FIPS-Africa)





Study objectives

First study 2011

- See if vaccination uptake differed between villages that had support or not
- Study differences in vaccination uptake and farmers KAP towards vaccination

Second study 2013

• Follow up on how village vaccinators (and other actors) perceived their work and its effects



Material and methods- Study 1 2011





Chicken shelter in Kibwezi

Results- farms using vaccine





Results

- Male-headed households (72.8%) had on average **two more chickens** than female-headed households (13.1 and 10.9 chickens respectively).
- MORE CHICKENS! The average number of chickens per household was 13.9 in supported villages versus 11.1 in non-supported villages (p=0.003).
- Households which had **used the vaccine** had on average 14.6 chickens, versus 11.4 in non vaccinated hhs (p=0.001). 72.9% of these hh continued to use it after the first month.
- MORE KNOWLEDGE! In the villages that had not received support, 23.1% correctly answered the question about what a vaccine does ("it protects against a specific disease"), whereas in the supported villages, 48.8% knew this (p<0.001).
- 73% of the households reported having lost chickens during the last year to what they believed was ND.



Results- multivariable analyses

| Multivariable analyses | Significant |
|---|-------------|
| Ever having used the ND vaccine | |
| Supportive delivery system | ✓ |
| Knowing vaccines protect against specific diseases | |
| Supportive delivery system | ✓ |
| Knowledge of clinical signs associated with ND | ✓ |
| Positive attitudes towards the ND vaccine, among ever users | |
| General knowledge about vaccines | ✓ |



Material and methods 2013

Follow up on previous study

District coordinator

Village-based advisors (7)

Community vaccinators (3)

KEY INFORMANT INTERVIEWS

 Questions about vaccination routines, campaigns and how they perceived the impact of vaccination.



Results 2013

- In 2011, all vaccinators used La Sota ND vaccines
- When in 2013 the I-2 vaccine became available, all village-based advisors reported using the I-2
- Community vaccinators still acquired La Sota vaccines from the local stores.





Results- Vaccinators opinions

- (Because of vaccination) fewer chickens were dying of ND
- Farmers are more knowledgeable about vaccinations
- Farmers have more chickens per household.





How well are chickens vaccinated?

- All village-based advisors knew that chickens should be vaccinated at least three vaccinations per year with I-2.
- When asked to estimate how many households vaccinated at least 90% of the birds at least three times per year, the vaccinators stated between 20 and 94%, average 57%.





Conclusions

Vaccination support very important for uptake

- Better knowledge about vaccines
- More knowledge, and decreased mortality, gives more positive attitudes
- Vaccinated households have more chickens
- Very important for livelihoods and food security

Availability of a thermotolerant vaccine helps facilitating **distribution and access**

Acknowledgements

This work was financed by:

- Australian Aid
- CGIAR RESEARCH PROGRAM ON AGRICULTURE FOR
 NUTRITION AND HEALTH
- It is implemented in a partnership with
 - South African Newcastle disease project
 - Kyeema foundation
 - FIPs Africa

It contributes to the CGIAR Research Program on Agriculture for Nutrition and Health (A4NH)







THANKS!!

- THANK YOU all for your attention
- And thanks to all study participants in Kibweli





better lives through livestock ilri.org

ilri.org better lives through livestock ILRI is a member of the CGIAR Consortium

Box 30709, Nairobi 00100 Kenya Phone +254 20 422 3000 Fax +254 20 4223001 Email ilri-kenya@cgiar.org ILRI has offices in: Central America • East Africa • South Asia • Southeast and East Asia • Southern Africa • West Africa



The presentation has a Creative Commons licence. You are free to re-use or distribute this work, provided credit is given to ILRI.