Evaluation of participatory disease surveillance for highly pathogenic avian influenza in Africa and rinderpest in Pakistan



Research Program on Nutrition and Health



Hannah H, Pali P, Rware H, Bett B, Randolph T, Grace D, Njuki J, Pissang C and Hisrich E International Livestock Research Institute, Kenya Vétérinaires Sans Frontièrs, Belgium

International Livestock Research Institute

13th conference of the International Society for Veterinary Epidemiology and Economics, 20-24 August 2012

Objective



Participatory disease surveillance (PDS)

• Application of participatory approaches for disease surveillance

Objective

- Evaluate PDS as a surveillance tool within existing systems
- Build evidence base of appropriate applications





Methods

Framework : 5 Pillars, 34 Indicators

- Relevance to national surveillance
- Effectiveness for disease surveillance and outbreak detection
- Efficiency as a surveillance approach
- Sustainability of PDS
- Impact

Country selection

Nigeria	Tanzania	Benin, Togo
Pakistan	Uganda	

Approach

- PE practitioners (n=74) & key informants (n=48)
- Questionnaires & semi-structured interviews
- Workshops: most significant changes, actions, decisions

Results: Relevance

Relevance to meet national priorities

- Corroborated absence of disease
- Informed control programs

Tool appropriateness: Non-commercial farmers

Usefulness & purpose

- Identification of priority diseases and challenges (surveys)
- Improving farmer-vet relationships
- Outbreak investigation



Results: Effectiveness

Sensitivity & PPV: Not quantified

"Lots of data, little of it used"

• Field exposure led to actions

Data analysis & compatibility: Unit conflict

- Integration, Reconciliation, Validation (Togo, Pakistan, PPR)
- Qualitative challenges

Acceptability: In complement

Results: Efficiency

Timeliness: not quantified

- No effect on disease prevention & control
- PDS driven changes, actions & decision not time sensitive



Communication flows: Upwards

Results: Sustainability good news

Ongoing uses

- Economic surveys (FMD Pakistan)
- AFENET annual surveys & outbreaks
- 32% of PE practitioners informally integrate into surveillance

Training & veterinary curriculum

- Graduate: formal (Nigeria, Tanzania)
- Undergraduate: informal (Nigeria, Tanzania, Uganda, Pakistan)
- In-service: sporadic (Uganda)
- Post EDRSAIA: Nigeria, Uganda, Benin

Results: Sustainability bad news

Not considered 'institutionalized'

- Evidence of use limited (new surveillance protocols & strategies)
- Sustainability road map not clear

Missing pieces

- Standard operating procedures for PDS visits
- Standardized guidelines for data analysis
- Mechanism to integrate data into existing systems
- Legal framework
- Scientific evidence and validation (Pakistan)

Results: Impact

Changes & contributions outside surveillance

- Universally positive
- 85% reported career or personal benefit
- Veterinary service 'mentality shift' & 'coordination'
- Field epidemiologists 'investigate outbreaks better'
- Professional skills improved 'change agents'

Participatory or extractive?

- Participatory process poorly understood
- Participation, empowerment, social capital lacking
- Emphasized surveillance objectives



What did we learn?

Participatory approaches: appropriate as complements

Consistent with other data: not evidence of performance

Captures community perspectives & improves relationships

Positive attitude to PE

Surveillance data limited

Large amounts of unused qualitative data

Informal use : significant elements lacking

Extraction of data: inconsistent with participatory values

Recommendations

Invest in the evidence: Harness positive effects

- Survey tool as raison d'être
- Judicious & selected applications

Recommendations

- Validate data for common applications
- Evaluate sensitivity, PPV & timeliness
- Evaluate cost effectiveness
- Build evidence base: evaluate & document applications
- Develop Standard Procedures for data collection & analysis
- Compare to passive surveillance, farmer field days, media
- Align objectives : local priorities vs. surveillance outcomes

International Livestock Research Institute Better lives through livestock

Animal agriculture to reduce poverty, hunger and environmental degradation in developing countries

Acknowledgement to all those who contributed advice, discussion and critique during framework development and at various points through the evaluation and are too numerous to list here. Thank you.

> ILRI www.ilri.org



International Livestock Research Institute

Thank you