# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# EFFECTIVE LOWER BOUNDS FOR $L(1, \chi)$ VIA EISENSTEIN SERIES

PETER HUMPHRIES

Volume 288 No. 2

June 2017

## EFFECTIVE LOWER BOUNDS FOR $L(1, \chi)$ VIA EISENSTEIN SERIES

PETER HUMPHRIES

We give effective lower bounds for  $L(1, \chi)$  via Eisenstein series on  $\Gamma_0(q) \setminus \mathbb{H}$ . The proof uses the Maass–Selberg relation for truncated Eisenstein series and sieve theory in the form of the Brun–Titchmarsh inequality. The method follows closely the work of Sarnak in using Eisenstein series to find effective lower bounds for  $\zeta(1+it)$ .

#### 1. Introduction

Let q be a positive integer, let  $\chi$  be a Dirichlet character modulo q, and let

$$L(s,\chi) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}$$

be the associated Dirichlet *L*-function, which converges absolutely for  $\Re(s) > 1$  and extends holomorphically to the entire complex plane except when  $\chi$  is principal, in which case there is a simple pole at s = 1. It is well known that Dirichlet's theorem on the infinitude of primes in arithmetic progressions is equivalent to showing that  $L(1, \chi) \neq 0$  for every Dirichlet character  $\chi$  modulo q. Of further interest is obtaining lower bounds for  $L(1, \chi)$  in terms of q. By complex analytic means [Montgomery and Vaughan 2007, Theorems 11.4 and 11.11], one can show that if  $\chi$  is complex, then

$$|L(1,\chi)| \gg \frac{1}{\log q},$$

while

$$L(1,\chi) \gg \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}$$

if  $\chi$  is quadratic. In both cases, the implicit constants are effective. For quadratic characters, the Landau–Siegel theorem states that

$$L(1,\chi) \gg_{\varepsilon} q^{-\varepsilon}$$

MSC2010: primary 11M20; secondary 11M36.

Keywords: Dirichlet L-function, lower bounds, Eisenstein series.

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  [Montgomery and Vaughan 2007, Theorem 11.14], though this estimate is ineffective due to the possible existence of a Landau–Siegel zero of  $L(s, \chi)$ .

In this article, we give a novel proof of effective lower bounds for  $L(1, \chi)$ , albeit in slightly weaker forms.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $q \ge 2$  be a positive integer, and let  $\chi$  be a primitive character modulo q. If  $\chi$  is complex, then

$$|L(1,\chi)| \gg \frac{1}{(\log q)^3},$$

while

$$L(1,\chi) \gg \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}(\log q)^2}$$

if  $\chi$  is quadratic. In both cases, the implicit constants are effective.

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 makes use of the fact that  $L(s, \chi)$  appears in the Fourier expansion of an Eisenstein series associated to  $\chi$  on  $\Gamma_0(q) \setminus \mathbb{H}$ , together with sieve theory — specifically the Brun–Titchmarsh inequality — to find these lower bounds. As is well-known, improving the constant in the Brun–Titchmarsh inequality is essentially equivalent the nonexistence of Landau–Siegel zeroes; it is for this same reason that the lower bounds in Theorem 1.1 are weak for quadratic characters, as we discuss in Remark 4.7.

That one can use Eisenstein series to prove nonvanishing of *L*-functions is well known, first appearing in unpublished work of Selberg, but such methods were not shown to give good effective lower bounds for *L*-functions on the line  $\Re(s) = 1$  until the work of Sarnak [2004]. He showed that

$$|\zeta(1+it)| \gg \frac{1}{(\log|t|)^3}$$

for |t| > 1 by exploiting the inhomogeneous form of the Maass–Selberg relation for the Eisenstein series E(z, s) for the group  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

More precisely, for t > 1, Sarnak studied the integral

$$\mathcal{I} := \int_{1/t}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} |\zeta(1+2it)|^{2} \left| \Lambda^{t} \left( z, \frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{2} \frac{dx \, dy}{y^{2}},$$

involving a truncated Eisenstein series  $\Lambda^T E(z, s)$  and found an upper bound up to a scalar multiple for this integral of the form

$$t(\log t)^2 |\zeta(1+2it)|$$

via the Maass–Selberg relation, and a lower bound up to a scalar multiple of the form

$$\frac{1}{t} \sum_{\frac{t^2}{8} \le m \le \frac{t^2}{4}} |\sigma_{-2it}(m)|^2$$

via Parseval's identity, where

$$\sigma_{-2it}(m) := \sum_{d|m} d^{-2it}$$

By restricting the summation over m to primes, Sarnak was able to use sieve theory to show that

$$\sum_{\frac{t^2}{8} \le p \le \frac{t^2}{4}} |\sigma_{-2it}(p)|^2 \gg \frac{t^2}{\log t},$$

from which the result follows. Indeed, the use of sieve theory to prove lower bounds for  $\zeta(1+it)$  (and also  $L(1+it, \chi)$ ) has its roots in work of Balasubramanian and Ramachandra [1976].

The chief novelty of Sarnak's work is to use the Maass–Selberg relation to obtain effective lower bounds for  $\zeta(1+it)$ ; more precisely, it is the inhomogeneous nature of the Fourier expansion of the Eisenstein series E(z, s), whose constant term involves  $\zeta(2s-1)/\zeta(2s)$  and whose nonconstant terms involve  $1/\zeta(2s)$ . This method has been generalized by Gelbart and Lapid [2006] to determine effective lower bounds on the line  $\Re(s) = 1$  for *L*-functions associated to automorphic representations on arbitrary reductive groups over number fields, albeit with the lower bound being in the weaker form  $C|t|^{-n}$  for some constants *C*, *n* depending on the *L*-function, for Gelbart and Lapid make no use of sieve theory in this generalized setting. More recently, Goldfeld and Li [2016] have succeeded in generalizing Sarnak's method to show that

$$|L(1+it,\pi\times\widetilde{\pi})| \gg_{\pi} \frac{1}{(\log|t|)^3}$$

for any cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  that is unramified and tempered at every place, with the implicit constant in the lower bound dependent on  $\pi$ .

All three of these results give lower bounds for *L*-functions on the line  $\Re(s) = 1$  in the height aspect, namely in terms of *t*. In this article, we give the first example of Sarnak's method being used to give lower bounds for *L*-functions on the line  $\Re(s) = 1$  in the level aspect, namely in terms of *q*.

#### 2. Eisenstein series

We introduce Eisenstein series for the group  $\Gamma_0(q)$  associated to a primitive Dirichlet character  $\chi$  modulo q. Standard references for this material are [Deshouillers and Iwaniec 1982], [Duke et al. 2002], and [Iwaniec 2002].

*Cusps.* Let  $\mathbb{H}$  be the upper half plane, upon which  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  acts via Möbius transformations  $\gamma z = (az+b)/(cz+d)$  for  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ . Let q be a positive integer, and let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a cusp of  $\Gamma_0(q) \setminus \mathbb{H}$ , where

$$\Gamma_0(q) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{q} \right\},\$$

and we denote the stabilizer of  $\mathfrak{a}$  by  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} := \{ \gamma \in \Gamma_0(q) : \gamma \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a} \}$ . This subgroup of  $\Gamma_0(q)$  is generated by two parabolic elements  $\pm \gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ , where

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} := \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1},$$

and the scaling matrix  $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is such that

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}\infty = \mathfrak{a}, \qquad \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}\Gamma_{\infty}\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} = \Gamma_{\infty},$$

where

$$\Gamma_{\infty} := \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(q) : n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

is the stabilizer of the cusp at infinity. The scaling matrix is unique up to translation on the right.

Let  $\chi$  be a primitive character modulo q. A cusp  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\Gamma_0(q) \setminus \mathbb{H}$  is said to be singular with respect to  $\chi$  if  $\chi(\gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}) = 1$ , where  $\chi(\gamma) := \chi(d)$  for  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(q)$ . As  $\chi$  is primitive, any singular cusp is equivalent to 1/v for a single unique divisor v of q satisfying vw = q and (v, w) = 1, where w is the width of the cusp; when v = q, this cusp is equivalent to the cusp at infinity, while when v = 1, the cusp is equivalent to the cusp at zero. Note that if q = 1, so that  $\chi$  is the trivial character, there is merely a single equivalence class of cusps, namely the cusp at infinity.

The scaling matrix  $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  for a singular cusp  $\mathfrak{a} \sim 1/v$ ,  $v \neq q$ , can be chosen to be

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} := \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{w} & 0 \\ v\sqrt{w} & 1/\sqrt{w} \end{pmatrix},$$

while for the cusp at infinity, we simply take  $\sigma_{\infty}$  to be the identity.

The Bruhat decomposition for  $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}\Gamma_0(q)\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}$  [Iwaniec 2002, Theorem 2.7] states that

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}\Gamma_{0}(q)\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} = \delta_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}\Omega_{\infty} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{c>0} \bigsqcup_{d \pmod{c}} \Omega_{d/c},$$

where  $\delta_{\mathfrak{ab}} = 1$  if  $\mathfrak{a} \sim \mathfrak{b}$  and 0 otherwise, and

$$\Omega_{\infty} := \Gamma_{\infty} \omega_{\infty}, \qquad \qquad \omega_{\infty} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1} \Gamma_{0}(q) \sigma_{\mathfrak{b}},$$
$$\Omega_{d/c} := \Gamma_{\infty} \omega_{d/c} \Gamma_{\infty}, \qquad \omega_{d/c} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1} \Gamma_{0}(q) \sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} \quad \text{with } c > 0,$$

and c, d run over all real numbers such that  $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}\Gamma_0(q)\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}$  contains  $\binom{*}{c} \binom{*}{d}$ . In particular, for the cusp at infinity we have the Bruhat decomposition

$$\sigma_{\infty}^{-1}\Gamma_{0}(q)\sigma_{\infty} = \Gamma_{\infty} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{c=1\\c\equiv 0 \pmod{q}}}^{\infty} \bigsqcup_{\substack{d \pmod{c}\\(c,d)=1}}^{d \pmod{c}} \Gamma_{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} * & *\\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \Gamma_{\infty}.$$

For  $\mathfrak{a} \sim \infty$  and  $\mathfrak{b} \sim 1/v$  a nonequivalent singular cusp with  $1 \leq v < q$ , v dividing q, vw = q, and (v, w) = 1, and for any  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(q)$ , we have that

$$\sigma_{\infty}^{-1} \gamma \sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} = \begin{pmatrix} (a+bv)\sqrt{w} & b/\sqrt{w} \\ (c+dv)\sqrt{w} & d/\sqrt{w} \end{pmatrix}$$

and so

(2.1) 
$$\sigma_{\infty}^{-1}\Gamma_{0}(q)\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a\sqrt{w} & b/\sqrt{w} \\ c\sqrt{w} & d/\sqrt{w} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}) : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}), \\ c \equiv 0 \pmod{v}, \ d \equiv c/v \pmod{w}, \ (c, d) = 1, \ (c, w) = 1 \right\}.$$

So the Bruhat decomposition in this case can be explicitly written in the form

(2.2) 
$$\sigma_{\infty}^{-1}\Gamma_{0}(q)\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} = \bigsqcup_{\substack{c=1\\(c,w)=1\\c\equiv 0\pmod{v}}}^{\infty} \bigsqcup_{\substack{d\pmod{cw}\\(cw,d)=1\\d\equiv c/v\pmod{w}}}^{\prod}\Gamma_{\infty}\left(\binom{*}{c\sqrt{w}}\frac{*}{d/\sqrt{w}}\right)\Gamma_{\infty}.$$

*Eisenstein series.* Given a primitive Dirichlet character  $\chi$  modulo q and a singular cusp  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\Gamma_0(q) \setminus \mathbb{H}$ , we define the Eisenstein series  $E_\mathfrak{a}(z, s, \chi)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $\Re(s) > 1$  by

$$E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z,s,\chi) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} \setminus \Gamma_{0}(q)} \overline{\chi}(\gamma) j_{\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}\gamma}(z)^{-\kappa} \mathfrak{I}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}\gamma z)^{s},$$

where  $\kappa \in \{0, 1\}$  is such that  $\chi(-1) = (-1)^{\kappa}$ , and for  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$j_{\gamma}(z) := \frac{cz+d}{|cz+d|} = e^{i \arg(cz+d)}$$

The Eisenstein series associated to a singular cusp  $\mathfrak{a}$  is independent of the choice of representative of  $\mathfrak{a}$  and of the scaling matrix  $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ . For fixed  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , the Eisenstein series  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s, \chi)$  converges absolutely for  $\Re(s) > 1$  and extends meromorphically to the entire complex plane with no poles on the closed right half-plane  $\Re(s) \ge \frac{1}{2}$  except at s = 1 when q = 1, so that  $\chi$  is the trivial character.

For any  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , the *j*-factor satisfies the cocycle relation

(2.3) 
$$j_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}(z) = j_{\gamma_2}(z)j_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2 z),$$

while the Eisenstein series satisfies the automorphy condition

(2.4) 
$$E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\gamma z, s, \chi) = \chi(\gamma) j_{\gamma}(z)^{\kappa} E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s, \chi)$$

for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(q)$ .

For any singular cusps  $\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $\Gamma_0(q)$ , one can show using the Bruhat decomposition that there exists a function  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{ab}}(s, \chi)$  such that the constant term in the Fourier expansion for the function  $j_{\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}}(z)^{-\kappa} E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}z, s, \chi)$  is

$$c_{\mathfrak{ab}}(z,s,\chi) := \int_0^1 j_{\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}}(z)^{-\kappa} E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} z,s,\chi) \, dx = \delta_{\mathfrak{ab}} y^s + \varphi_{\mathfrak{ab}}(s,\chi) y^{1-s}.$$

The functions  $\varphi_{ab}(s, \chi)$  are the entries of the scattering matrix associated to  $\chi$ . We will calculate  $\varphi_{ab}(s, \chi)$  when  $a \sim \infty$  for each nonsingular cusp b of  $\Gamma_0(q)$  with respect to  $\chi$ , and also find the rest of the Fourier coefficients of  $E_{\infty}(z, s, \chi)$ .

### Fourier expansion of $E_{\infty}(z, s, \chi)$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $\chi$  be a primitive character modulo q. For  $m \neq 0$  and  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ ,  $(\mod q)$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{d \pmod{c} \\ (c,d)=1}} \chi(d) e\left(\frac{md}{c}\right) = \chi(\operatorname{sgn}(m))\tau(\chi) \sum_{d \mid \left(|m|, \frac{c}{q}\right)} d\overline{\chi}\left(\frac{|m|}{d}\right)\chi\left(\frac{c}{dq}\right)\mu\left(\frac{c}{dq}\right).$$

Here, as usual, we define  $e(x) := e^{2\pi i x}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* For *m* positive, this is [Miyake 1989, Lemma 3.1.3]. The result for *m* negative follows by replacing *m* with |m| and  $\chi$  with  $\overline{\chi}$ , then taking complex conjugates of both sides and using the fact that  $\overline{\tau(\overline{\chi})} = \chi(-1)\tau(\chi)$ .

**Proposition 2.6** (cf. [Iwaniec 2002, Theorem 3.4]). *The Eisenstein series associated to the cusp at infinity has the Fourier expansion* 

$$E_{\infty}(z,s,\chi) = y^{s} + \varphi_{\infty\infty}(s,\chi) y^{1-s} + \sum_{\substack{m=-\infty\\m\neq 0}}^{\infty} \rho_{\infty}(m,s,\chi) W_{\text{sgn}(m)\frac{\kappa}{2},s-\frac{1}{2}}(4\pi |m|y)e(mx),$$

where  $W_{\alpha,\nu}(y)$  is the Whittaker function,

$$\varphi_{\infty\infty}(s,\chi) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma\left(s-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(s)} \frac{\zeta(2s-1)}{\zeta(2s)} & \text{if } q = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } q \ge 2, \end{cases}$$

and for  $m \neq 0$ ,

$$\rho_{\infty}(m, s, \chi) = \frac{\chi(\operatorname{sgn}(m))i^{-\kappa}\tau(\overline{\chi})\pi^{s}|m|^{s-1}}{q^{2s}\Gamma(s + \operatorname{sgn}(m)\frac{\kappa}{2})L(2s, \overline{\chi})}\sigma_{1-2s}(|m|, \chi),$$

where  $\tau(\chi)$  is the Gauss sum of  $\chi$  and

$$\sigma_s(m,\chi) := \sum_{d|m} d^s \chi\left(\frac{m}{d}\right).$$

Note in particular that if  $\kappa = 0$ , so that  $\chi$  is even, the Whittaker function is simply

$$W_{0,s-\frac{1}{2}}(4\pi |m|y) = \sqrt{4|m|y}K_{s-\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi |m|y),$$

where  $K_{\nu}(y)$  is the *K*-Bessel function. On the other hand, if  $\kappa = 1$ , so that  $\chi$  is odd, and we set  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ , then

$$W_{\text{sgn}(m)\frac{\kappa}{2},0}(4\pi |m|y) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{4\pi |m|y}e^{-2\pi |m|y} & \text{if } m > 0, \\ \sqrt{4\pi |m|y}e^{2\pi |m|y} \int_{4\pi |m|y}^{\infty} e^{-u}/u \, du & \text{if } m < 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Via the Bruhat decomposition (2.2),  $E_{\infty}(z, s, \chi)$  is equal to

$$y^{s} + \sum_{\substack{c=1 \\ c\equiv 0 \pmod{q}}}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \pmod{c} \\ (c,d)=1}} \overline{\chi}(d) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c(z+n)+d}{|c(z+n)+d|}\right)^{-\kappa} \frac{y^{s}}{|c(z+n)+d|^{2s}}.$$

So if m = 0, the zeroth Fourier coefficient of  $E_{\infty}(z, s, \chi)$  is

$$y^{s} + \sum_{\substack{c \equiv 0 \pmod{q}}}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \pmod{c} \\ (c,d) = 1}} \overline{\chi}(d) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{cz+d}{|cz+d|}\right)^{-\kappa} \frac{y^{s}}{|cz+d|^{2s}} dx$$
$$= y^{s} + y^{1-s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t+i}{|t+i|}\right)^{-\kappa} \frac{1}{|t+i|^{2s}} dt \sum_{\substack{c \equiv 0 \pmod{q} \\ (c,d) = 1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c^{2s}} \sum_{\substack{d \pmod{c} \\ (c,d) = 1}} \overline{\chi}(d)$$

by the change of variables  $x \mapsto yt - d/c$ . From [Gradshteyn and Ryzhik 2007, (8.381.1)], we have that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t+i}{|t+i|}\right)^{-\kappa} \frac{1}{|t+i|^{2s}} dt = i^{-\kappa} \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(2s-1+\kappa)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(2s+\kappa)\right)},$$

while for  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ , the fact that  $\chi$  is primitive implies that

$$\sum_{\substack{c=1\\c\equiv 0 \pmod{q}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c^{2s}} \sum_{\substack{d \pmod{c} \\ (c,d)=1}} \overline{\chi}(d) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{c=1\\c=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(c)}{c^{2s}} = \frac{\zeta(2s-1)}{\zeta(2s)} & \text{if } q = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } q \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

If  $m \neq 0$ , on the other hand, then the *m*-th Fourier coefficient is

$$\sum_{\substack{c=0 \ (\text{mod } q)}}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{d \ (\text{mod } c) \\ (c,d)=1}} \overline{\chi}(d) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{cz+d}{|cz+d|}\right)^{-\kappa} \frac{y^s}{|cz+d|^{2s}} e(-mx) \, dx$$
$$= y^{1-s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t+i}{|t+i|}\right)^{-\kappa} \frac{e(-myt)}{|t+i|^{2s}} \, dt \sum_{\substack{c=0 \ (\text{mod } q)}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c^{2s}} \sum_{\substack{d \ (\text{mod } c) \\ (c,d)=1}} \overline{\chi}(d) e\left(\frac{md}{c}\right)$$

again by the change of variables  $x \mapsto yt - d/c$ . Moreover, [Gradshteyn and Ryzhik 2007, (3.384.9)] implies that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t+i}{|t+i|}\right)^{-\kappa} \frac{e(-myt)}{|t+i|^{2s}} dt = \frac{i^{-\kappa} \pi^{s} |m|^{s-1} y^{s-1}}{\Gamma\left(s + \operatorname{sgn}(m)\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)} W_{\operatorname{sgn}(m)\frac{\kappa}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(4\pi |m|y),$$

and via Lemma 2.5,

$$\sum_{\substack{c=0 \pmod{q}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c^{2s}} \sum_{\substack{d \pmod{c} \\ (c,d)=1}} \overline{\chi}(d) e\left(\frac{md}{c}\right)$$

$$= \chi(\operatorname{sgn}(m))\tau(\overline{\chi}) \sum_{\substack{d \mid |m|}} d\chi\left(\frac{|m|}{d}\right) \sum_{\substack{c=0 \pmod{dq}}}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\chi}\left(\frac{c}{dq}\right)\mu\left(\frac{c}{dq}\right)}{c^{2s}}$$

$$= \chi(\operatorname{sgn}(m))\frac{\tau(\overline{\chi})}{q^{2s}} \sum_{\substack{d \mid |m|}} d^{1-2s}\chi\left(\frac{|m|}{d}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\chi}(n)\mu(n)}{n^{2s}}$$

$$= \chi(\operatorname{sgn}(m))\frac{\tau(\overline{\chi})}{q^{2s}L(2s,\overline{\chi})}\sigma_{1-2s}(|m|,\chi)$$

where we have let c = dqn. We thereby obtain the desired identity.

**Proposition 2.7.** Suppose that  $q \ge 2$ . Then  $\varphi_{\infty b}(s, \chi)$  vanishes unless  $b \sim 1$ , in which case

(2.8) 
$$\varphi_{\infty 1}(s,\chi) = \frac{\tau(\chi)}{q^s} \frac{\Lambda(2-2s,\chi)}{\Lambda(2s,\bar{\chi})},$$

where

(2.9) 
$$\Lambda(s,\chi) := \left(\frac{\pi}{q}\right)^{-\frac{s+\kappa}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\kappa}{2}\right) L(s,\chi),$$

is the completed Dirichlet L-function. In particular,

(2.10) 
$$\left|\varphi_{\infty 1}\left(\frac{1}{2}+it,\chi\right)\right|=1.$$

*Proof.* The fact that  $\varphi_{\infty b}(s, \chi) = 0$  when b is the cusp at infinity follows from Proposition 2.6. For the entries of the scattering matrix at other cusps, we use (2.3) to write

$$E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}z, s, \chi) = j_{\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}}(z)^{\kappa} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty} \setminus \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1} \Gamma_{0}(q) \sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}} \overline{\chi} (\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} \gamma \sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-1}) j_{\gamma}(z)^{-\kappa} \Im(\gamma z)^{s}$$

The singular cusp b is equivalent to 1/v for some divisor v of q with v < q, vw = q, and (v, w) = 1. Given a matrix

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a\sqrt{w} & b/\sqrt{w} \\ c\sqrt{w} & d/\sqrt{w} \end{pmatrix}$$

in  $\sigma_{\infty}^{-1}\Gamma_0(q)\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}$  as in (2.1), we have that

$$\sigma_{\infty}\gamma\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a - bv & b \\ c - dv & d \end{pmatrix},$$

and so as  $d \equiv c/v \pmod{w}$ ,

$$\overline{\chi}(\sigma_{\infty}\gamma\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-1}) = \overline{\chi_{v}}(d)\overline{\chi_{w}}\left(\frac{c}{v}\right),$$

where we have decomposed the primitive character  $\chi$  modulo q into the product of primitive characters  $\chi_v$  modulo v and  $\chi_w$  modulo w. From this and (2.2), we see that  $j_{\sigma_b}(z)^{-\kappa} E_{\infty}(\sigma_b z, s, \chi)$  is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{\substack{c=1\\(c,w)=1\\c\equiv0\pmod{v}}}^{\infty}\overline{\chi_w}\left(\frac{c}{v}\right)\sum_{\substack{d\pmod{cw}\\(cw,d)=1\\d\equiv c/v\pmod{w}}}\overline{\chi_v}(d)$$
$$\times \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{c(z+n)\sqrt{w}+d/\sqrt{w}}{|c(z+n)\sqrt{w}+d/\sqrt{w}|}\right)^{-\kappa}\frac{y^s}{|c(z+n)\sqrt{w}+d/\sqrt{w}|^{2s}},$$

and so integrating from 0 to 1 with respect to x, making the change of variables  $x \mapsto yt - d/(cw)$ , and dividing by  $y^{1-s}$  yields

$$\varphi_{\infty\mathfrak{b}}(s,\chi) = \frac{1}{w^s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t+i}{|t+i|}\right)^{-\kappa} \frac{1}{|t+i|^{2s}} dt \sum_{\substack{c=1\\c\equiv 0 \pmod{v}}}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\chi_w}(c/v)}{c^{2s}} \sum_{\substack{d \pmod{cw}\\(cw,d)=1\\d\equiv c/v \pmod{w}}} \overline{\chi_v}(d).$$

From [Gradshteyn and Ryzhik 2007, (8.381.1)], the integral is equal to

$$i^{-\kappa}\sqrt{\pi}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(2s-1+\kappa)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(2s+\kappa)\right)}$$

To evaluate the sum over d, we write  $d = \overline{v}c + wd'$ , where  $\overline{v}v \equiv 1 \pmod{w}$  and (d', c) = 1. This allows us to replace the sum over d with a sum over d' modulo c with (c, d') = 1, so that

$$\sum_{\substack{d \pmod{cw}\\(cw,d)=1\\d\equiv c/v \pmod{w}}} \overline{\chi_v}(d) = \overline{\chi_v}(w) \sum_{\substack{d' \pmod{c}\\(c,d')=1}} \overline{\chi_v}(d')$$

by the fact that  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{v}$ .

If  $\overline{\chi_v}$  is nonprincipal, this sum vanishes, and as  $\chi$  is a primitive character,  $\overline{\chi_v}$  can only be the principal character if v = 1; consequently,  $\varphi_{\infty b}(s, \chi)$  vanishes if b is inequivalent to the cusp at 1.

If  $\mathfrak{b} \sim 1$ , so that v = 1 and w = q, then this sum over d' is merely  $\varphi(c)$ , and so

$$\sum_{\substack{c=1\\(c,w)=1\\c\equiv0\pmod{v}}}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\chi_w}(c/v)}{c^{2s}} \sum_{\substack{d\pmod{cw}\\(cw,d)=1\\d\equiv c/v\pmod{w}}} \overline{\chi_v}(d) = \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(c)\overline{\chi}(c)}{c^{2s}} = \frac{L(2s-1,\overline{\chi})}{L(2s,\overline{\chi})}.$$

Using the definition of the completed Dirichlet *L*-function together with the fact that it satisfies the functional equation

$$\Lambda(s,\chi) = \frac{\tau(\chi)}{i^{\kappa}\sqrt{q}}\Lambda(1-s,\overline{\chi}),$$

we see that we may write

$$\varphi_{\infty 1}(s,\chi) = \frac{i^{-\kappa}}{q^{s-\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Lambda(2s-1,\bar{\chi})}{\Lambda(2s,\bar{\chi})} = \frac{\overline{\tau(\chi)}}{q^s} \frac{\Lambda(2-2s,\chi)}{\Lambda(2s,\bar{\chi})}.$$

As  $\overline{\Lambda(s,\chi)} = \Lambda(\overline{s},\overline{\chi})$  and  $|\tau(\chi)| = \sqrt{q}$ , the result follows.

#### 3. Maass–Selberg relation

For  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $T \ge 1$ , we define the truncated Eisenstein series

(3.1) 
$$\Lambda^{T} E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z,s,\chi) := E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z,s,\chi) - \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{c} \\ \gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{c}} \setminus \Gamma_{0}(q) \\ \Im(\sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}^{-1}\gamma z) > T}} \overline{\chi}(\gamma) j_{\sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}^{-1}\gamma}(z)^{-\kappa} c_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}^{-1}\gamma z,s,\chi),$$

where the summation over c is over all singular cusps of  $\Gamma_0(q) \setminus \mathbb{H}$ . It is not difficult to see that  $\Lambda^T E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s, \chi)$  satisfies the automorphy condition

(3.2) 
$$\Lambda^T E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\gamma z, s, \chi) = \chi(\gamma) j_{\gamma}(z)^{\kappa} \Lambda^T E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s, \chi)$$

for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(q)$ . We will show that, unlike  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s, \chi)$ , the function  $\Lambda^T E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s, \chi)$  is square-integrable on  $\Gamma_0(q) \setminus \mathbb{H}$ , and give an explicit expression for the resulting integral.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{c}$  be singular cusps of  $\Gamma_0(q) \setminus \mathbb{H}$ , and let  $\gamma \in \sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}^{-1}\Gamma_0(q)\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}$ . Then for any  $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{H}$ , we have that  $\Im(z)\Im(\gamma z) \leq 1$  if  $\mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{c}$  are inequivalent or if  $\mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{c}$  are equivalent but  $\gamma \notin \Gamma_{\infty}\omega_{\infty}$ . If  $\mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{c}$  are equivalent and  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty}\omega_{\infty}$ , then  $\Im(\gamma z) = \Im(z)$ .

*Proof.* We deal with the cases where neither b nor c are equivalent to the cusp at infinity; when  $b \sim \infty$  or  $c \sim \infty$ , the proof is similar but simpler. Let  $b \sim 1/v$  and  $c \sim 1/v'$ ,  $1 \leq v, v' < q$ , with w, w' such that vw = v'w' = q. For  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(q)$ , we have that

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} = \begin{pmatrix} (a+bv)\sqrt{w/w'} & b/\sqrt{w'w} \\ (c-av'+dv-bv'v)\sqrt{w'w} & (d-bv')\sqrt{w'/w} \end{pmatrix}.$$

So for

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ C\sqrt{w'w} & D\sqrt{w'/w} \end{pmatrix} \in \sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}^{-1}\Gamma_{0}(q)\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}},$$

where C = c - av' + dv - bv'v and D = d - bv' are integers, we have that

$$\Im(\gamma z) = \frac{1}{w'w} \frac{y}{(Cx + Dw^{-1})^2 + C^2 y^2}.$$

By the Bruhat decomposition, if b and c are inequivalent, then  $C\sqrt{w'w}$  must be nonzero, and so  $C^2 \ge 1$ . In particular, if b and c are inequivalent, then

$$\Im(z)\Im(\gamma z) \le \frac{1}{w'w} \le 1.$$

If b and c are equivalent and  $\gamma \notin \Gamma_{\infty}\omega_{\infty}$ , then again  $C\sqrt{w'w} \neq 0$ , and the same result holds. Finally, if b and c are equivalent and  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty}\omega_{\infty}$ , then it is clear that  $\Im(\gamma z) = \Im(z)$ .

**Corollary 3.4.** If  $\Im(z) > T \ge 1$ , then for any singular cusp b, we have that

$$\Lambda^{I} E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} z, s, \chi) = E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} z, s, \chi) - j_{\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}}(z)^{\kappa} c_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}(z, s, \chi).$$

*Proof.* From the definition of  $\Lambda^T E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s, \chi)$  and (2.3), we must show that for any singular cusp  $\mathfrak{c}$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{c}} \setminus \Gamma_0(q)$  that the inequalities  $\mathfrak{I}(z) > T$  and  $\mathfrak{I}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}^{-1}\gamma\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}z) > T$  are simultaneously satisfied only when  $\mathfrak{c} \sim \mathfrak{b}$  and  $\gamma = \omega_{\infty}$ . This is equivalent to showing that if  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty} \setminus \sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}^{-1}\Gamma_0(q)\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}$  is such that  $\mathfrak{I}(z) > T$  and  $\mathfrak{I}(\gamma z) > T$ , then  $\mathfrak{c} \sim \mathfrak{b}$  and  $\gamma = \omega_{\infty}$ , which follows immediately from Lemma 3.3.

With these results in hand, we can prove the following Maass-Selberg relation.

**Proposition 3.5.** For any two singular cusps  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, T \ge 1$ , and  $s \neq \overline{r}, s + \overline{r} \neq 1$ ,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Gamma_0(q)\backslash\mathbb{H}} \Lambda^T E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z,s,\chi) \overline{\Lambda^T E_{\mathfrak{b}}(z,r,\chi)} \, d\mu(z) \\ &= \overline{\varphi_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{a}}(r,\chi)} \frac{T^{s-\bar{r}}}{s-\bar{r}} + \varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}(s,\chi) \frac{T^{\bar{r}-s}}{\bar{r}-s} + \delta_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} \frac{T^{s+\bar{r}-1}}{s+\bar{r}-1} \\ &+ \sum_{\mathfrak{c}} \varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}}(s,\chi) \overline{\varphi_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}}(r,\chi)} \frac{T^{1-s-\bar{r}}}{1-s-\bar{r}}, \end{split}$$

where the sum is over singular cusps c. Here  $d\mu(z) = dx dy/y^2$  is the  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant measure on  $\mathbb{H}$ .

*Proof.* We initially assume that  $\Re(s)$ ,  $\Re(r) > 1$  with  $\Re(s) - \Re(r) > 1$ ; the identity then extends to all  $s, r \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $s \neq \bar{r}$  and  $s + \bar{r} \neq 1$  by analytic continuation.

We first show that

$$\int_{\Gamma_0(q)\backslash \mathbb{H}} \Lambda^T E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s, \chi) \left( \overline{\Lambda^T E_{\mathfrak{b}}(z, r, \chi)} - \overline{E_{\mathfrak{b}}(z, r, \chi)} \right) d\mu(z) = 0.$$

Indeed, the left-hand side is equal to

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{c}} \int_{\Gamma_{0}(q) \setminus \mathbb{H}} \Lambda^{T} E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s, \chi) \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{c}} \setminus \Gamma_{0}(q) \\ \Im(\sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}^{-1} \gamma z) > T}} \chi(\gamma) \overline{j_{\sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}^{-1} \gamma}(z)^{-\kappa} c_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}^{-1} \gamma z, r, \chi)} \, d\mu(z),$$

which, by (2.3) and (3.2), is equal to

$$-\sum_{\mathfrak{c}}\int_{\Gamma_{0}(q)\backslash\mathbb{H}}\sum_{\substack{\gamma\in\Gamma_{\mathfrak{c}}\backslash\Gamma_{0}(q)\\\Im(\sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}^{-1}\gamma z)>T}}\overline{c_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}^{-1}\gamma z,r,\chi)}j_{\sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}^{-1}\gamma z)^{-\kappa}\Lambda^{T}E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\gamma z,s,\chi)\,d\mu(z),$$

and this integral can be unfolded to yield

$$-\sum_{\mathfrak{c}}\int_{T}^{\infty}\int_{0}^{1}\overline{c_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}}(z,r,\chi)}j_{\sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}}(z)^{-\kappa}\Lambda^{T}E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}z,s,\chi)\frac{dx\,dy}{y^{2}}.$$

But  $\overline{c_{\mathfrak{bc}}(z, r, \chi)}$  is independent of x, while for  $\mathfrak{I}(z) > T \ge 1$ , the zeroth Fourier coefficient of the function  $j_{\sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}}(z)^{-\kappa} \Lambda^T E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{c}} z, s, \chi)$  vanishes via Corollary 3.4, and so this vanishes. Consequently,

$$\int_{\Gamma_0(q)\backslash\mathbb{H}} \Lambda^T E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z,s,\chi) \overline{\Lambda^T E_{\mathfrak{b}}(z,r,\chi)} d\mu(z) = \int_{\Gamma_0(q)\backslash\mathbb{H}} \Lambda^T E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z,s,\chi) \overline{E_{\mathfrak{b}}(z,r,\chi)} d\mu(z).$$

The right-hand side can be written as

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Gamma_{0}(q)\backslash\mathbb{H}} \left( \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_{a}\backslash\Gamma_{0}(q)} \overline{\chi}(\gamma) j_{\sigma_{a}^{-1}\gamma}(z)^{-\kappa} \Im(\sigma_{a}^{-1}\gamma z)^{s} \overline{E_{b}(z,r,\chi)} \right) \\ &- \sum_{c} \sum_{\substack{\gamma\in\Gamma_{c}\backslash\Gamma_{0}(q)\\\Im(\sigma_{c}^{-1}\gamma z)>T}} \overline{\chi}(\gamma) j_{\sigma_{c}^{-1}\gamma}(z)^{-\kappa} c_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}}(\sigma_{c}^{-1}\gamma z,s,\chi) \overline{E_{b}(z,r,\chi)} \right) d\mu(z) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_{0}(q)\backslash\mathbb{H}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma\in\Gamma_{a}\backslash\Gamma_{0}(q)\\\Im(\sigma_{a}^{-1}\gamma z)\leq T}} \overline{\chi}(\gamma) j_{\sigma_{a}^{-1}\gamma}(z)^{-\kappa} \Im(\sigma_{a}^{-1}\gamma z)^{s} \overline{E_{b}(z,r,\chi)} d\mu(z) \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{0}(q)\backslash\mathbb{H}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma\in\Gamma_{a}\backslash\Gamma_{0}(q)\\\Im(\sigma_{a}^{-1}\gamma z)>T}} \overline{\chi}(\gamma) j_{\sigma_{a}^{-1}\gamma}(z)^{-\kappa} \varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}}(s,\chi) \Im(\sigma_{a}^{-1}\gamma z)^{1-s} \overline{E_{b}(z,r,\chi)} d\mu(z) \\ &- \sum_{c\neq\mathfrak{a}} \int_{\Gamma_{0}(q)\backslash\mathbb{H}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma\in\Gamma_{c}\backslash\Gamma_{0}(q)\\\Im(\sigma_{c}^{-1}\gamma z)>T}} \overline{\chi}(\gamma) j_{\sigma_{c}^{-1}\gamma}(z)^{-\kappa} c_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}}(\sigma_{c}^{-1}\gamma z,s,\chi) \overline{E_{b}(z,r,\chi)} d\mu(z). \end{split}$$

By (2.3) and (2.4), the first term is

$$\int_{\Gamma_{0}(q)\backslash \mathbb{H}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} \backslash \Gamma_{0}(q) \\ \Im(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1} \gamma z) \leq T}} \Im(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1} \gamma z)^{s} \overline{j_{\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1} \gamma z)^{-\kappa} E_{\mathfrak{b}}(\gamma z, r, \chi)} \, d\mu(z),$$

and upon unfolding the integral, this becomes

$$\int_0^T \int_0^1 y^s \overline{j_{\sigma_a}(z)^{-\kappa} E_{\mathfrak{b}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} z, r, \chi)} \frac{dx \, dy}{y^2} = \int_0^T y^s \overline{c_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{a}}(z, r, \chi)} \frac{dy}{y^2}$$
$$= \delta_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} \frac{T^{s+\bar{r}-1}}{s+\bar{r}-1} + \overline{\varphi_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{a}}(r, \chi)} \frac{T^{s-\bar{r}}}{s-\bar{r}}.$$

Similarly, the second term is

$$\int_{T}^{\infty} \varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}}(s,\chi) y^{1-s} \overline{c_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{a}}(z,s,\chi)} \frac{dy}{y^2} = \delta_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} \varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}(s,\chi) \frac{T^{\bar{r}-s}}{\bar{r}-s} + \varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}}(s,\chi) \overline{\varphi_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{a}}(r,\chi)} \frac{T^{1-s-\bar{r}}}{1-s-\bar{r}}$$

and the third term is

$$-\sum_{\mathbf{c}\neq\mathfrak{a}}\int_{T}^{\infty}c_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}}(z,s,\chi)\overline{c_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}}(z,r,\chi)}\frac{dy}{y^{2}}$$
$$=(1-\delta_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}})\varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}(s,\chi)\frac{T^{\bar{r}-s}}{\bar{r}-s}+\sum_{\mathfrak{c}\neq\mathfrak{a}}\varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}}(s,\chi)\overline{\varphi_{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}}(r,\chi)}\frac{T^{1-s-\bar{r}}}{1-s-\bar{r}}.$$

 $\square$ 

Combining these identities yields the result.

**Corollary 3.6.** *For*  $T \ge 1$  *and*  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ *, we have that* 

$$\int_{\Gamma_0(q)\backslash \mathbb{H}} \left| \Lambda^T E_{\infty} \left( z, \frac{1}{2} + it, \chi \right) \right|^2 d\mu(z) = 2 \log T - \Re \left( \frac{\varphi_{\infty 1}'}{\varphi_{\infty 1}} \left( \frac{1}{2} + it, \chi \right) \right).$$

*Proof.* We take  $\mathfrak{a} \sim \mathfrak{b} \sim \infty$  and  $s = r = \frac{1}{2} + it + \varepsilon$  with  $\varepsilon > 0$  in the Maass–Selberg relation to obtain

$$\int_{\Gamma_0(q)\backslash\mathbb{H}} \left| \Lambda^T E_{\infty} \left( z, \frac{1}{2} + it + \varepsilon, \chi \right) \right|^2 d\mu(z) = \frac{T^{2\varepsilon}}{2\varepsilon} - \left| \varphi_{\infty 1} \left( \frac{1}{2} + it + \varepsilon, \chi \right) \right|^2 \frac{T^{-2\varepsilon}}{2\varepsilon}.$$

The result then follows by taking the limit as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero and using the Taylor expansions

$$T^{2\varepsilon} = 1 + 2\varepsilon \log T + O(\varepsilon^2),$$
  
$$\varphi_{\infty 1}(\frac{1}{2} + it + \varepsilon, \chi) = \varphi_{\infty 1}(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi) + \varepsilon \varphi'_{\infty 1}(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi) + O(\varepsilon^2).$$

together with (2.10).

**Remark 3.7.** This proof of the Maass–Selberg relation is via unfolding as in Section 4 of [Arthur 1980], and makes use of the Arthur truncation  $\Lambda^T E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s, \chi)$  of the Eisenstein series  $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s, \chi)$  given by (3.1); compare Section 1 of the same work. One can instead prove the Maass–Selberg relation without recourse to the automorphy of the truncated Eisenstein series by only defining  $\Lambda^T E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z, s, \chi)$  within a fundamental domain of  $\Gamma_0(q) \setminus \mathbb{H}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F} \supset \{ z \in \mathbb{H} : 0 < \Re(z) < 1, \ \Im(z) \ge 1 \}$$

be the usual fundamental domain of  $\Gamma_0(q) \setminus \mathbb{H}$ , and for each singular cusp  $\mathfrak{a}$ , we define the cuspidal zone

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{a}}(T) := \{ z \in \mathcal{F} : 0 < \Re(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}z) < 1, \ \Im(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}z) \ge T \}$$

for  $T \ge 1$ ; note that any two cuspidal zones will be disjoint provided that T is

sufficiently large. Then from Lemma 3.3, we have that for  $T \ge 1$ ,

$$\begin{split} \Lambda^{T} E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z,s,\chi) & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{c}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{c}}(T), \\ = \begin{cases} E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z,s,\chi) & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{c}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{c}}(T), \\ E_{\mathfrak{a}}(z,s,\chi) & -\sum_{\mathfrak{c} \in A} j_{\sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}^{-1}}(z)^{-\kappa} (\delta_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}} \Im(\sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}^{-1}z)^{s} + \varphi_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}}(s,\chi) \Im(\sigma_{\mathfrak{c}}^{-1}z)^{1-s}) & \text{if } z \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{c} \in A} \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{c}}(T), \end{cases} \end{split}$$

where *A* is any subset of the set of singular cusps. The Maass–Selberg relation may then be proved using Green's theorem along the same lines as the proof of [Iwaniec 2002, Proposition 6.8].

#### 4. Upper bounds and lower bounds for the integral $\mathcal{I}$

For  $\eta \leq 1$ , we consider the integral

$$\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}(\chi, \eta, T) := \int_{\eta}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \left| \Lambda^{T} E_{\infty} \left( z, \frac{1}{2}, \chi \right) \right|^{2} \frac{dx \, dy}{y^{2}}.$$

Our goal is to find upper and lower bounds for this integral: upper bounds via the Maass–Selberg relation and lower bounds via Parseval's identity and the Brun–Titchmarsh inequality. Combining these bounds will yield lower bounds for  $L(1, \chi)$ .

#### Upper bounds for $\mathcal{I}$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** For  $\eta \ll 1/q$  and  $T \ge 1$ , we have that

$$\mathcal{I} \ll \frac{\log q \log qT}{q\eta |L(1,\chi)|}.$$

*Proof.* By folding the integral, one can write

$$\mathcal{I} = \int_{\Gamma_0(q) \setminus \mathbb{H}} N_q(z, \eta) \left| \Lambda^T E_{\infty} \left( z, \frac{1}{2}, \chi \right) \right|^2 d\mu(z),$$

where for  $\eta \leq 1$ ,

$$N_q(z,\eta) := \#\{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma_0(q) : \Im(\gamma z) > \eta\}.$$

The Maass-Selberg relation then implies the upper bound

$$\mathcal{I} \leq \sup_{z \in \Gamma_0(q) \setminus \mathbb{H}} N_q(z, \eta) \left( 2 \log T - \Re\left(\frac{\varphi_{\infty 1}'}{\varphi_{\infty 1}} \left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi\right)\right) \right).$$

From [Iwaniec 2002, Lemma 2.10], we have the bound

$$N_q(z,\eta) < 1 + \frac{10}{q\eta}.$$

By taking logarithmic derivatives of (2.8),

$$\frac{\varphi'_{\infty 1}}{\varphi_{\infty 1}}(s,\chi) = -\log q - 2\frac{\Lambda'}{\Lambda}(2-2s,\chi) - 2\frac{\Lambda'}{\Lambda}(2s,\bar{\chi})$$

Taking logarithmic derivatives of (2.9) and letting  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  then shows that

$$\frac{\varphi_{\infty 1}'}{\varphi_{\infty 1}} \left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi\right) = -4\Re\left(\frac{L'}{L}(1, \chi)\right) - 2\log q + \log 8\pi + \gamma_0 + (-1)^{\kappa} \frac{\pi}{2},$$

where  $\gamma_0$  denotes the Euler-Mascheroni constant, and we have used the fact that

$$\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1+\kappa}{2}\right) = -\log 8 - \gamma_0 - (-1)^{\kappa} \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

So if  $\eta \ll 1/q$ ,

$$\mathcal{I} \ll \frac{\left(|L(1,\chi)|\log qT + |L'(1,\chi)|\right)}{q\eta|L(1,\chi)|}$$

The desired upper bound then follows from the bounds

$$|L(1,\chi)| \ll \log q, \qquad |L'(1,\chi)| \ll (\log q)^2,$$

which are both easily shown via partial summation. See, for example, [Montgomery and Vaughan 2007, Lemma 10.15] for the former estimate; the latter follows by a similar argument.  $\Box$ 

#### Lower bounds for *I*.

**Proposition 4.2.** *If*  $T \ge 1$  *and*  $\eta = 1/T$ *, we have the lower bound* 

$$\mathcal{I} \gg \frac{1}{q|L(1,\chi)|^2} \sum_{T \le m \le 2T} |\sigma_0(m,\chi)|^2.$$

*Proof.* If  $\eta = 1/T$ , then Lemma 3.3 implies that

$$\Lambda^T E_{\infty}(z, s, \chi) = \begin{cases} E_{\infty}(z, s, \chi) & \text{if } 1/T < \Im(z) \le T, \\ E_{\infty}(z, s, \chi) - c_{\infty\infty}(z, s, \chi) & \text{if } \Im(z) > T. \end{cases}$$

It follows that the nonzero Fourier coefficients of  $\Lambda^T E_{\infty}(z, s, \chi)$  coincide with those of  $E_{\infty}(z, s, \chi)$  for  $\Im(z) > 1/T$ . So by Parseval's identity, using the fact that  $|\tau(\chi)| = \sqrt{q}$ , and making the change of variables  $y \mapsto y/|m|$  in the integral, we have that

$$\mathcal{I} \gg \begin{cases} \frac{1}{q|L(1,\chi)|^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_0(m,\chi)|^2 \int_{m/T}^{\infty} |K_0(2\pi y)|^2 \frac{dy}{y} & \text{if } \kappa = 0, \\ \frac{1}{q|L(1,\chi)|^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_0(m,\chi)|^2 \int_{m/T}^{\infty} e^{-4\pi y} \frac{dy}{y} & \text{if } \kappa = 1. \end{cases}$$

If we simply consider the contribution of the positive integers *m* for which  $m/T \approx 1$ — say  $T \leq m \leq 2T$  — then we find that

$$\mathcal{I} \gg \frac{1}{q|L(1,\chi)|^2} \sum_{T \le m \le 2T} |\sigma_0(m,\chi)|^2,$$

as desired.

Combining the upper and lower bounds for  $\mathcal{I}$ , we derive the following inequality for  $L(1, \chi)$ :

**Corollary 4.3.** For all  $T \ge q$ , we have that

$$|L(1,\chi)| \gg \frac{1}{T(\log T)^2} \sum_{T \le m \le 2T} |\sigma_0(m,\chi)|^2.$$

So to obtain lower bounds for  $|L(1, \chi)|$ , we must find lower bounds for

(4.4) 
$$\sum_{T \le m \le 2T} |\sigma_0(m, \chi)|^2.$$

*Sieve methods.* For quadratic characters, lower bounds for (4.4) follow by restricting the sum to perfect squares.

**Lemma 4.5.** If  $\chi$  is a quadratic character, then

$$\sum_{T \le m \le 2T} |\sigma_0(m, \chi)|^2 \ge (\sqrt{2} - 1)\sqrt{T}.$$

*Proof.* We restrict the sum over *m* to perfect squares and use the fact that  $\sigma_0(m, \chi) \ge 1$  whenever *m* is a perfect square in order to find that

$$\sum_{T \le m \le 2T} |\sigma_0(m, \chi)|^2 \ge \sum_{T \le m^2 \le 2T} |\sigma_0(m^2, \chi)|^2 \ge (\sqrt{2} - 1)\sqrt{T}.$$

For complex characters, we instead restrict the sum in (4.4) to primes and use the Brun–Titchmarsh inequality to show that there are sufficiently many primes for which  $\bar{\chi}(p)$  is not close to -1, so that  $|\sigma_0(p, \chi)|^2$  is not small. This is a result of Balasubramanian and Ramachandra [1976, Lemma 4], who combine it with an identity of Ramanujan together with a complex analytic argument to obtain lower bounds for  $L(1+it, \chi)$ , and consequently derive zero-free regions for  $L(s, \chi)$ . We reproduce a proof of this result here for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 4.6** [Balasubramanian and Ramachandra 1976, Lemma 4]. There exists a large constant  $K \ge 2$  such that for all complex characters  $\chi$  modulo q with  $q \ge 2$  and for  $T = q^K$ ,

$$\sum_{T \le m \le 2T} |\sigma_0(m, \chi)|^2 \gg_K \frac{T}{\log T}.$$

*Proof.* We restrict the sum over m to primes p in order to find that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{T \leq m \leq 2T} |\sigma_0(m,\chi)|^2 &\geq \sum_{T \leq p \leq 2T} |1+\chi(p)|^2 \\ &= 2 \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times}} (1+\Re(\chi(a)))(\pi(2T;q,a)-\pi(T;q,a)), \end{split}$$

where  $\pi(x; q, a) := \#\{p \le x : p \equiv a \pmod{q}\}.$ 

Let Q be the order of the Dirichlet character  $\chi$ ; this divides  $\varphi(q)$ , and as  $\chi$  is complex,  $Q \ge 3$ . For any integer M between 0 and  $\lfloor Q/2 \rfloor$ , we have that

$$\sum_{\substack{T \le m \le 2T}} |\sigma_0(m,\chi)|^2 \ge 2\left(1 + \cos\frac{2\pi M}{Q}\right)(\pi(2T) - \pi(T))$$
$$-2\left(1 + \cos\frac{2\pi M}{Q}\right) \sum_{\substack{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times\\\Re(\chi(a)) < \cos\frac{2\pi M}{Q}}} (\pi(2T;q,a) - \pi(T;q,a)).$$

For the former sum, we have that for fixed  $\delta > 0$  to be chosen,

$$\pi(2T) - \pi(T) \ge (1 - \delta) \frac{T}{\log T}$$

for all sufficiently large T dependent on  $\delta$ . See, for example, [Diamond and Erdős 1980]; in particular, this does not require the full strength of the prime number theorem.

For the latter sum, we first observe that there are  $\varphi(q)/Q$  reduced residue classes *a* modulo *Q* for which  $\chi(a) = e^{2\pi i m/Q}$  for each integer *m* between 0 and Q - 1, and so the number of reduced residue classes modulo *q* for which  $\Re(\chi(a)) < \cos(2\pi M/Q)$  is

$$\frac{\varphi(q)}{Q} \#\{M < m < Q - M\} = \varphi(q) \frac{Q - 2M - 1}{Q}.$$

To find an upper bound for  $\pi(2T; q, a) - \pi(T; q, a)$ , we use the Brun–Titchmarsh inequality, which states that for (q, a) = 1,  $x \ge 2$ , and  $y \ge 2q$ ,

$$\pi(x+y;q,a) - \pi(x;q,a) \le \frac{2y}{\varphi(q)\log y/q} \left(1 + \frac{8}{\log y/q}\right).$$

We take x = y = T, assuming that  $T \ge 2q$ , in order to obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \\ \mathfrak{N}(\chi(a)) < \cos\frac{2\pi M}{Q}}} (\pi(2T;q,a) - \pi(T;q,a)) \leq \frac{2(Q - 2M - 1)}{Q} \frac{T}{\log T/q} \left(1 + \frac{8}{\log T/q}\right).$$

We take  $T = q^K$  with  $K \ge 2$  sufficiently large and dependent on  $\delta$  but not on q, such that

$$\frac{1}{\log T/q} \left( 1 + \frac{8}{\log T/q} \right) \le (1+\delta) \frac{1}{\log T}.$$

Combined, these estimates imply that for  $T = q^K$  with  $K \ge 2$  a sufficiently large constant,

$$\sum_{T \le m \le 2T} |\sigma_0(m, \chi)|^2 \ge 2(1 - \cos \pi X) \Big( 1 - \delta - 2(1 + \delta)X + \frac{2(1 + \delta)}{Q} \Big) \frac{T}{\log T}$$

for X = (Q - 2M)/Q.

For  $Q \ge 3$ , we may choose

$$\delta = \frac{1}{10}, \quad M = \left\lfloor \frac{1+4\delta}{2(1+\delta)} \frac{Q}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

so that

$$X = \frac{1-2\delta}{2(1+\delta)} - \frac{1}{Q} + \frac{2}{Q} \Big\{ \frac{1+4\delta}{2(1+\delta)} \frac{Q}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \Big\},$$

and hence

$$1 - \delta - 2(1 + \delta)X + \frac{2(1 + \delta)}{Q} = \delta + \frac{4(1 + \delta)}{Q} \left( 1 - \left\{ \frac{1 + 4\delta}{2(1 + \delta)} \frac{Q}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right\} \right) \ge \delta.$$

Moreover, the fact that  $\delta = \frac{1}{10}$  and  $Q \ge 3$  implies that  $1 \le M \le \lfloor Q/2 \rfloor$  and  $\frac{1}{33} \le X \le \frac{23}{33}$ . So

$$\sum_{T \le m \le 2T} |\sigma_0(m, \chi)|^2 \gg_K \frac{T}{\log T}.$$

**Remark 4.7.** If  $\chi$  is quadratic, so that the order of  $\chi$  is Q = 2, then

$$\sum_{\substack{T \le m \le 2T}} |\sigma_0(m, \chi)|^2 \ge 2(\pi(2T) - \pi(T)) - 2 \sum_{\substack{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times \\ \chi(a) = -1}} (\pi(2T; q, a) - \pi(T; q, a)).$$

The Brun-Titchmarsh inequality is insufficient to show that the first term on the righthand side dominates the second term; in its place, we would require a strengthening of the Brun-Titchmarsh inequality of the form

(4.8) 
$$\pi(x+y;q,a) - \pi(x;q,a) \le \frac{(2-\delta)y}{\varphi(q)\log y/q}(1+o(1))$$

for some  $\delta > 0$ . With this in hand, we would then be able to show that

$$\sum_{T \le m \le 2T} |\sigma_0(m, \chi)|^2 \gg \frac{T}{\log T},$$
$$L(1, \chi) \gg \frac{1}{(1-\chi)^3},$$

so that

$$L(1,\chi) \gg \frac{1}{(\log q)^3},$$

PETER HUMPHRIES

which would imply the nonexistence of a Landau–Siegel zero for  $L(1, \chi)$ . Of course, the fact that the strengthened Brun–Titchmarsh inequality (4.8) implies (and is in fact equivalent to) the nonexistence of Landau–Siegel zeroes is well known.

#### 5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

With these upper and lower bounds established, we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* If  $\chi$  is quadratic, we have via Corollary 4.3 and Lemma 4.5 that for  $T \ge q$ ,

$$L(1,\chi) \gg \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}(\log T)^2},$$

and so taking T = q yields the desired lower bound.

If  $\chi$  is complex, we have via Corollary 4.3 and Lemma 4.6 that for  $T = q^{K}$ ,

$$|L(1,\chi)| \gg_K \frac{1}{(\log T)^3} \gg_K \frac{1}{(\log q)^3}.$$

#### References

- [Arthur 1980] J. Arthur, "A trace formula for reductive groups, II: Applications of a truncation operator", *Compositio Math.* **40**:1 (1980), 87–121. MR Zbl
- [Balasubramanian and Ramachandra 1976] R. Balasubramanian and K. Ramachandra, "The place of an identity of Ramanujan in prime number theory", *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Sect. A* **83**:4 (1976), 156–165. MR Zbl
- [Deshouillers and Iwaniec 1982] J.-M. Deshouillers and H. Iwaniec, "Kloosterman sums and Fourier coefficients of cusp forms", *Invent. Math.* **70**:2 (1982), 219–288. MR Zbl
- [Diamond and Erdős 1980] H. G. Diamond and P. Erdős, "On sharp elementary prime number estimates", *Enseign. Math.* (2) **26**:3-4 (1980), 313–321. MR Zbl
- [Duke et al. 2002] W. Duke, J. B. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec, "The subconvexity problem for Artin *L*-functions", *Invent. Math.* **149**:3 (2002), 489–577. MR Zbl
- [Gelbart and Lapid 2006] S. S. Gelbart and E. M. Lapid, "Lower bounds for *L*-functions at the edge of the critical strip", *Amer. J. Math.* **128**:3 (2006), 619–638. MR Zbl
- [Goldfeld and Li 2016] D. Goldfeld and X. Li, "A standard zero free region for Rankin–Selberg *L*-functions", preprint, 2016. arXiv
- [Gradshteyn and Ryzhik 2007] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of integrals, series, and products*, 7th ed., edited by A. Jeffrey and D. Zwillinger, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2007. MR Zbl
- [Iwaniec 2002] H. Iwaniec, *Spectral methods of automorphic forms*, 2nd ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics **53**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. MR Zbl
- [Miyake 1989] T. Miyake, Modular forms, Springer, Berlin, 1989. MR Zbl
- [Montgomery and Vaughan 2007] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, *Multiplicative number theory, I: Classical theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 97, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2007. MR Zbl

[Sarnak 2004] P. Sarnak, "Nonvanishing of *L*-functions on  $\Re(s) = 1$ ", pp. 719–732 in *Contributions to automorphic forms, geometry, and number theory*, edited by H. Hida et al., Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, 2004. MR Zbl

Received June 14, 2016.

PETER HUMPHRIES DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS PRINCETON UNIVERSITY PRINCETON, NJ 08544 UNITED STATES peterch@math.princeton.edu

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906-1982) and F. Wolf (1904-1989)

#### msp.org/pjm

#### EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor) Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 blasius@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari Department of Mathematics University of California Riverside, CA 92521-0135 chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 liu@math.ucla.edu

Igor Pak Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 pak.pjm@gmail.com

Paul Yang Department of Mathematics Princeton University Princeton NJ 08544-1000 yang@math.princeton.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA KEIO UNIVERSITY MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV. OREGON STATE UNIV.

Paul Balmer

Department of Mathematics

University of California

Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555

balmer@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn

Department of Mathematics

Stanford University

Stanford, CA 94305-2125

finn@math.stanford.edu

Sorin Popa

Department of Mathematics

University of California

Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555

popa@math.ucla.edu

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA Daryl Cooper Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080 cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu Department of Mathematics The University of Hong Kong Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Jie Qing Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Cruz, CA 95064 qing@cats.ucsc.edu

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ UNIV. OF MONTANA UNIV. OF OREGON UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA UNIV. OF UTAH UNIV. OF WASHINGTON WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2017 is US \$450/year for the electronic version, and \$625/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.



http://msp.org/

© 2017 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

# **PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS**

Volume 288 No. 2 June 2017

Order on the homology groups of Smale spaces	257
MASSOUD AMINI, IAN F. PUTNAM and SARAH SAEIDI GHOLIKANDI	
Characterizations of immersed gradient almost Ricci solitons Cícero P. Aquino, Henrique F. de Lima and José N. V. Gomes	289
Weighted Sobolev regularity of the Bergman projection on the Hartogs triangle LIWEI CHEN	307
Knots of tunnel number one and meridional tori MARIO EUDAVE-MUÑOZ and GRISSEL SANTIAGO-GONZÁLEZ	319
On bisectional nonpositively curved compact Kähler–Einstein surfaces DANIEL GUAN	343
Effective lower bounds for $L(1, \chi)$ via Eisenstein series PETER HUMPHRIES	355
Asymptotic order-of-vanishing functions on the pseudoeffective cone SHIN-YAO JOW	377
Augmentations and rulings of Legendrian links in $\#^k(S^1 \times S^2)$ CAITLIN LEVERSON	381
The Faber–Krahn inequality for the first eigenvalue of the fractional Dirichlet <i>p</i> -Laplacian for triangles and quadrilaterals FRANCO OLIVARES CONTADOR	425
Topological invariance of quantum quaternion spheres BIPUL SAURABH	435
Gap theorems for complete λ-hypersurfaces HUIJUAN WANG, HONGWEI XU and ENTAO ZHAO	453
Bach-flat <i>h</i> -almost gradient Ricci solitons GABJIN YUN, JINSEOK CO and SEUNGSU HWANG	475
A sharp height estimate for the spacelike constant mean curvature graph in the Lorentz–Minkowski space JINGYONG ZHU	489