PROJECT NUMTEST Assessing basic number competence without language



MAX GREISEN | CAROLINE HORNUNG | ROMAIN MARTIN | CHRISTINE SCHILTZ

COGNITIVE SCIENCE AND ASSESSMENT INSTITUTE

NTRODUCTION

Some estimated 5-7% of children (Butterworth et al., 2011) suffer from developmental dyscalculia (DD). Universally valid diagnostic instruments are still lacking, as all current DD test batteries are based on language instructions. Consequently, their measurements are tightly linked to the specic language context of test administration.

This poses two major issues:



Test results are partially dependent on language skills





The results of the following pilot study are part of a research project that aims to develop a screener for basic number competence that minimizes language use by using hands-on video instructions.

RESULTS



How many children had to repeat the practice session?







We observed group differences in the number of children that needed to repeat the practice session. Less participants repeating the practice items could be translated into faster understanding of the task. Indeed, signicantly less participants repeated the practice session when faced with text instructions in the comparison task (Chi2=6.91, p<.01). on the other hand, signicantly less participants repeated the practice session of the seriation task (Chi2=43.26, p<.00) when faced with video instructions.

TASK PERFORMANCE

Here we show averaged performance over the three tasks in relation the experimental group and linguistic background. No signicant differences in performance were observed between experimental nor linguistic groups. These results suggest that it is possible to replace explicit text instructions with implicit video instructions without affecting task performance. **CONTROL MEASURES**

We administered pen & paper control tasks among which the addition scale of the TTR (De Vos, 1992) and a self-developed counting task with oral and written answer possibilities. Globally, they correlate well with NUMTEST:

S AFRICE	TTR: Addition	counting: oral	Counting: Written
Counting & Correspondance	.42*	.16	.41*
comparison	.29*	01	.30*
seriation	,49*	.25*	.54*



HEFERENCES

Butterworth, B., Varma, S., & Laurillard, D. (2011). Dyscalculia: From brain to education. Science, 332(6033), 1049–1053. Hornung, C., Schiltz, C., Brunner, M., & Martin, R. (2014). Predicting rst-grade mathematics achievement: The contributions of domain-general cognitive abilities, nonverbal number sense, and early number competence. Frontiers in Psychology, 5(APR). Frontiers Media SA.

Kaufman, L., & Nuerk, H.-C. (2005). Numerical development: current issues and future perspectives. Psychology Science, 47(1), 142170.

Kaufmann, L., & von Aster, M. (2012). Diagnostik und intervention bei rechenstörung. Deutsches Arzteblatt International, 109(45), 767778. https://doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.2012.0767

Saalbach, H., Eckstein, D., Andri, N., Hobi, R., & Grabner, R. H. (2013). When language of instruction and language of application differ: cognitive costs of bilingual mathematics learning. Learning and Instruction, 26, 3644. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. learninstruc.2013.01.002

These results indicate that video instruction can help task comprehension, but that it depends on the task and the method used in the video instruction.

aides à la formation recherche

Visit our te

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Fonds National de la Recherche Luxembourg

UNIVERSITÉ DU LUXEMBOURG

