



Noguera, J. C., Metcalfe, N. B. and Monaghan, P. (2017) Postnatal nutrition influences male attractiveness and promotes plasticity in male mating preferences. *Naturwissenschaften*, 104(11-12), 102.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/152478/>

Deposited on: 17 January 2018

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow
<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk>

1 **Title: Postnatal nutrition influences male attractiveness and promotes plasticity in male**
2 **mating preferences**

3

4 **Short title:** Nutrition and plasticity in mate choice

5 José C. Noguera ^{1,*}, Neil B. Metcalfe², Pat Monaghan²

6

7 ¹ Departamento de Ecología y Biología Animal. Torre CACTI, Universidad de Vigo. As Lagoas
8 Marcosende. 36310. Vigo, Spain

9 ² Institute of Biodiversity, Animal Health & Comparative Medicine, College of Medical, Veterinary &
10 Life Sciences, Graham Kerr Building, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK

11

12 ^{1*} Author for correspondence; e-mail: josec.noguera.amoros@gmail.com; Phone number: (+34)
13 986812593

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

22 We thank the animal care staff for their support and P. Surai for his advice with the micronutrient
23 treatment. We also thank S. Cowan and L. Glen for their help during the experiment, R. Bassar and S.
24 Auer for constructive comments on the statistical analyses, and K. Buchanan and D. Gil for their advice
25 with the song recordings. We also thank three anonymous referees for their comments which greatly
26 improved the manuscript.

27

28 **ABSTRACT**

29 Poor early life nutrition could reduce adult reproductive success by negatively affecting traits linked to
30 sexual attractiveness such as song complexity. If so, this might favour strategic mate choice, allowing
31 males with less complex songs to tailor their mating tactics to maximize the reproductive benefits.
32 However, this possibility has been ignored in theoretical and empirical studies. By manipulating the
33 micronutrient content of the diet (e.g. low or high) during the postnatal period of male zebra finches,
34 we show for the first time: (1) that males reared on a poor (low) micronutrient diet had less complex
35 songs as adults, (2) that these males, in contrast to the high micronutrient diet group, were more selective
36 in their mating strategies, discriminating against those females most likely to reduce their clutch size
37 when paired with males having less complex songs and (3) that by following different mating strategies,
38 males reared on the contrasting diets obtained similar reproductive benefits. These results suggest that
39 early life dietary conditions can induce multiple and long-lasting effects on male and female
40 reproductive traits. Moreover, the results seem to reflect a previously unreported case of adaptive
41 plasticity in mate choice in response to a nutritionally-mediated reduction in sexual attractiveness.

42

43 **KEYWORDS:** differential allocation, fertility, mate choice, song, *Taeniopygia guttata*

44

45

46

47 **INTRODUCTION**

48 Mate choice generally has profound fitness consequences (Andersson 1994), which has led to the
49 evolution of mating preferences expressed by one or both sexes in organisms where some form of direct
50 gametic transfer occurs (Andersson 1994; Jennions and Petrie 1997). Studies on sexual selection have
51 focused mainly on female mate preferences since their lower potential reproductive rate means that they
52 are expected to be the more choosy sex (Trivers 1972; Andersson 1994; Jennions and Petrie 1997).
53 However, theoreticians have long realized that whenever males exhibit some postcopulatory parental
54 investment, male mate choice and preferences can also occur (Parker 1983; Andersson 1994; Amundsen
55 2000). Nonetheless, the causes underlying variation in male mating preferences are still poorly
56 understood (Edward and Chapman 2011).

57 Ornaments and armaments (e.g. weapons) occurring in females have been traditionally
58 considered as a correlated response to selection for the trait in males (Lande 1980). However, empirical
59 evidence indicates that showy female traits can also evolve as a result of male mate choice. Indeed,
60 previous studies of the factors affecting male mate choice and preferences have focused on female
61 ornaments, with males often preferring more ornamented females as mates (see e.g. Hill 1993;
62 Amundsen, Forsgren et al. 1997; Amundsen and Forsgren 2003 but see Cuervo et al. 2016). In addition,
63 other factors such as females' potential fecundity (Monaghan, Metcalfe et al. 1996), the level of
64 exposure to predators (Magurran and Seghers 1990) or the number of ready-to-mate females (e.g.
65 Operational sex ratio (OSR); Emlen and Oring 1977) can also influence the direction of male mate
66 preferences (Jennions and Petrie 1997). Surprisingly, the influence of the early life conditions that males
67 have experienced on their future mate preferences and reproductive strategies has been largely ignored
68 so far (but see Holveck, Geberzahn et al. 2011), even though it is well established that early life
69 conditions can have long-term effects on the phenotype, including secondary sexual traits, and on life
70 history trajectories including longevity. A low availability of food or a poor diet (i.e. low vitamin and
71 mineral content) during early life can have detrimental effects on adult traits involved in male sexual
72 attractiveness such as song complexity in birds (Nowicki, Searcy et al. 2002) or body size in mammals
73 (Metcalfe and Monaghan 2001), and consequently might influence a male's mating preferences. Males
74 exposed to early life nutritional stress would be expected to adjust their courtship and mating

75 preferences in line with their probability of success or their potential reproductive outcome, so
76 preferring less discriminating females that are more likely to accept them as mates (Crino, Prather et al.
77 2014).

78 Male attractiveness and quality can influence offspring viability (Sheldon 2000; Andersen
79 2003), and therefore it has been hypothesized that females should invest more in reproduction when
80 they are paired with a mate of high rather than of low phenotypic quality (e.g. differential allocation
81 hypothesis, DA; Burley 1986; Sheldon 2000). Indeed, empirical evidence indicates such differential
82 reproductive investment in relation to male attractiveness and quality is a widespread female strategy
83 (reviewed by Sheldon 2000), often involving a strategic change in female reproductive investment
84 (Sheldon 2000 and references therein). However, the opposite scenario has also been hypothesized, that
85 is an increase in female reproductive investment toward less preferred males (e.g. reproductive
86 compensation hypothesis; RC; Gowaty, Anderson et al. 2007). Although the RC hypothesis has also
87 received empirical support (i.e. Gowaty, Anderson et al. 2007; Bolund, Schielzeth et al. 2009), a recent
88 theoretical model indicates that differential allocation (DA) is likely to be a more common and optimal
89 strategy for females (Harris and Uller 2009). Since differential reproductive investment has the potential
90 to affect male reproductive success (Sheldon 2000; Gowaty, Anderson et al. 2007), it might be expected
91 that less preferred males will discriminate among females with respect to their likely level of
92 reproductive investment in order to maximize their own fitness.

93 The degree of female reproductive investment, however, is likely to vary with the expected
94 fitness gains that her investment confers. Females with reduced potential longevity might, therefore, be
95 selected to increase their investment in reproduction regardless of male quality, since their number of
96 future breeding opportunities is reduced (Pianka and Parker 1975; Clutton-Brock 1984). Early life
97 dietary conditions could play an important role here. For example, a diet poor in micronutrients (i.e.
98 vitamins and minerals) early in life can result in increased cell senescence (Badás, Martínez et al. 2015)
99 and reduced future survival (Saino, Caprioli et al. 2011; Noguera, Kim et al. 2012). However, to what
100 extent early life dietary conditions can affect the degree of female reproductive investment, and whether
101 such variation might drive male mate preferences, is still unknown.

102 In this study, we examined the effects of early life nutrition on adult male songbird song
103 complexity (as a proxy of sexual attractiveness; Riebel 2009), mating preferences and the response of
104 female partners in terms of their reproductive investment. We experimentally manipulated the intake of
105 dietary micronutrients (i.e. dietary antioxidants and minerals) in the early life of zebra finches
106 *Taeniopygia guttata*. In birds, including zebra finches, an inadequate early supply of dietary
107 micronutrient induces different types of physiological stress (i.e. oxidative stress or higher
108 glucocorticoids level; Surai 2002; Mahmoud, Edens et al. 2004; Surai 2006; Metcalfe and Alonso-
109 Alvarez 2010) and premature cellular senescence (Noguera, Metcalfe et al. 2015a) which can lead to
110 reduced longevity (Alonso-Alvarez, Bertrand et al. 2006; Saino, Caprioli et al. 2011; Noguera, Kim et
111 al. 2012). We therefore assessed whether variation in early life dietary micronutrients influences
112 subsequent male song characteristics by quantifying adult song structure, an important sexual trait
113 involved in mate choice in this species; male song motif duration and complexity (number of different
114 elements or syllables in a motif) are considered honest signals of male quality (Spencer, Buchanan et
115 al. 2004; Boogert, Giraldeau et al. 2008), with females preferring males showing more complex songs
116 (Riebel 2009). Moreover, some previous studies suggest that song complexity and duration may act as
117 a reliable signal of developmental stress in songbirds, including zebra finches (Nowicki, Searcy et al.
118 2002; Riebel 2009 and references therein). We predicted that the exposure to a diet low in
119 micronutrients would result in males producing less complex songs (Nowicki, Searcy et al. 2002).
120 Secondly, we evaluated male mating preferences by quantifying their courting behaviour in
121 standardized conditions when presented with adult females that differed in their early life diet. Finally,
122 we paired both high and low-micronutrient diet males (that is males with potentially attractive and
123 unattractive songs) with a partner of the same and the opposite dietary treatment to themselves. We
124 predicted that females reared on a high micronutrient diet would change their investment pattern
125 according to male's early diet. That is, investing more in reproduction (i.e. shorter latency to start laying
126 after pairing, larger clutch size or egg mass) when mated with a male reared on the high rather than the
127 low micronutrient diet (i.e. DA hypothesis). We expected that the degree of differential allocation would
128 be less marked (or absent) in females reared on the low-micronutrient diet. We predicted that male mate
129 preferences should, therefore, reflect the patterns of female reproductive investment, with both types of

130 males preferring those females that provided them with the highest reproductive investment when mated
131 with them.

132

133 **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

134 *Animals and housing and nutritional treatments*

135 The experimental subjects were domesticated zebra finches that had the availability of dietary
136 micronutrients (e.g. antioxidant vitamins and essential minerals) modified during their full postnatal
137 growth period (i.e. between hatching and 90 days of age; a detailed description of the dietary treatments
138 and the housing conditions of the birds is provided in Noguera, Metcalfe et al. 2015c). The experimental
139 birds were the offspring of randomly mated domesticated adult zebra finches from our outbred zebra
140 finch population (\approx 1500 birds) at the University of Glasgow. Briefly, one day after hatching, 56 zebra
141 finch chicks (from 16 different families) were randomly assigned to either a ‘low micronutrient’
142 (hereafter ‘low’ or ‘L’) or ‘high micronutrient’ diet (hereafter ‘high’ or ‘H’). Chicks in the low (L)
143 micronutrient diet were fed with a special seed mix composed by Proso and Finger millet in a ratio 1:1.
144 We previously showed that this special diet had a lower micronutrient content as the diet normally used
145 in captive conditions for this species. The chicks assigned to the high (H) micronutrient diet received
146 the same seed mix than birds in the low micronutrient diet but supplemented with a commercial
147 micronutrient supplement (Magic Antistress Mix/Performax, Feed-Food Ltd, UK; a full description of
148 the micronutrient content of the experimental diets and the commercial micronutrient supplement is
149 provided in Noguera, Monaghan & Metcalfe, 2015b). The micronutrient intake of the experimental
150 birds was always within the natural range of variation for this species in aviary conditions (Noguera,
151 Metcalfe et al. 2015c). At 90 days, when birds became sexually mature, the dietary treatments ceased
152 and all birds were fed with a standard aviary diet (Johnson & Jeff, UK) and maintained in single-sex
153 groups.

154

155 *Song recording and analyses*

156 Song development in zebra finches occurs during the first few months of life and by 90 days of age
157 male song is crystallized and shows no further major changes (Riebel 2009). To assess whether the

158 early dietary treatment affected adult male song traits, song was assessed once between 5 and 8 months
159 of age in a song attenuated chamber (80 x 80 x 80 cm), using Audacity v2.0.6 software
160 (<http://audacity.sourceforge.net/>) via an Eagle G160 Omnidirectional microphone (600Ω) and laptop
161 computer (Sound Blaster Audigy Fx 5.1 soundcard). The age at which the song of each male was
162 recorded did not differ between experimental groups (see ESM). The directed song of each bird was
163 recorded after the introduction of an adult non-experimental female into the chamber. The recordings
164 were then analyzed using Sound Analysis Pro 2011 (Tchernichovski, Nottebohm et al. 2000; freely
165 available at <http://soundanalysispro.com/>), with the analysis being conducted blind to the male's dietary
166 treatment. Five randomly-selected motifs for each male were analyzed and the following information
167 calculated based on spectrograms and waveforms: motif duration (ms), total number of syllables per
168 motif and number of different syllables per motif (see Fig. S1). These song parameters were
169 significantly repeatable among individuals (see ESM) and have been shown to be highly consistent over
170 time in the zebra finch (see e.g. Nordeen and Nordeen 1992). Moreover, previous studies have shown
171 that these male song parameters are related to male phenotypic quality and female preferences in this
172 and other bird species (Spencer, Buchanan et al. 2003; Riebel 2009). The mean values for each of these
173 parameters across all 5 of a male's motifs were used in the statistical analyses (see ESM for further
174 details).

175

176 *Male preference tests*

177 The mating preferences of the experimental male zebra finches (n=24; 11 H and 13 L) were assessed at
178 8 months of age (mean 260 ± 2.19 days, range 239-270 days) in a dichotomous choice arena similar to
179 that used by Collins et al. (1994), using trios of one L or H male and two adult females (one female
180 from each dietary group; L or H). All males were weighed (± 0.01 g) just before the preference tests and
181 all tests were carried out between 9:00-13:00 hr. To control for any initial difference in bill coloration
182 between experimental groups of males which might potentially alter females' behavior during the
183 preference tests (Zann and Bamford 1996), bill color was also measured on a scale of 1 (light orange)
184 to 9 (dark red) using the standard color chips previously described in Blount et al. (2003). The
185 dichotomous chamber choice arena consisted of a large cage (140 x 50 x 50 cm) with three different

186 compartments separated by wire mesh dividers. The male's (central) compartment had 3 equally
187 separated plastic perches: one placed in the middle of the male compartment and the other two placed
188 5 cm from the mesh dividers that created the two female compartments (on the right and left the side of
189 the male compartment). To ensure that the male could have a good view of the females, the female
190 compartments had only one plastic perch placed 15 cm from the nearest perch in the male's area.
191 Because the majority of the experimental females had to be used for the breeding trials (see below), for
192 the mate preference tests we were limited to use four different (extra) pairs of females (i.e. stimulus
193 pairs); there was no consistent difference between the H and L female in each pair in age, body mass,
194 bill color or plumage phenotype (all wild morph), and the females in each pair had separate genetic and
195 rearing parents (a summary of the females' phenotypic traits is provided in Table S1 in the ESM).
196 Similarly, in each mate choice test, the male was genetically unrelated to (and had previously never
197 been housed with) the two females to which he was exposed. Each pair of females was used the same
198 number of times for each of the two treatment groups of males (i.e. L and H), but the spatial position of
199 the two females (left or right arena) was alternated between consecutive tests within each experimental
200 group of males to control for any possible effect of female position on male preference (Rutstein,
201 Brazill-Boast et al. 2007). The average time between consecutive tests using the same set of females in
202 the same day was 57.50 min (range 15-90 min). To reduce the stress caused by being in a novel
203 environment and to minimize the auditory isolation from conspecifics, which might affect the males'
204 sexual behavior (i.e. singing behaviour; Adar, Lotem et al. 2008), all preference tests were carried out
205 in auditory (but not visual) contact with conspecifics (10 males and 10 females). These non-
206 experimental birds were kept in two separated cages placed approx. 2 m away from the dichotomous
207 choice arenas. All preference tests were carried out under full spectrum artificial light (Bird Lamp,
208 Arcadia, Croydon, UK) and food and water were provided *ad libitum* to all birds during each trial. Body
209 mass, bill colour and age did not differ between the experimental groups of males (statistics reported in
210 the ESM).

211 Trials started with the male being placed in the central area and given a 15 min period of
212 acclimation. After this period, females were introduced into their compartments and the position and
213 behaviour of the males was monitored for the following 90 mins. All observations were made by the

214 same observer from behind a screen placed approx. 1.5 m from the arena, and was scored blind with
215 respect to male and female dietary treatment group. At each assessment (60 times in total), the male's
216 position was recorded as (N) if he was on the central (neutral) perch, (L) if he was on the left perch and
217 (R) if he was on the right perch of the test chamber. His behaviour at that moment was recorded as
218 'active' or 'passive', where 'active' was defined as facing the female or courting her (i.e. producing
219 head bows, bill wipes, singing or doing hop-pivots (Zann and Bamford 1996), and all other options
220 defined as 'passive'. The position recordings were used to estimate the relative time a male spent with
221 each female (affiliation behaviour) and the recordings of active behaviour to assess the strength of the
222 male mating preferences. Time spent associating with an individual has been validated as a measure of
223 sexual attraction in zebra finches, as it is correlated with female mating preferences (Cate and Mug
224 1984) and predicts pair formation (Clayton 1990).

225 In order to confirm that the observed pattern of male preferences (see results) was consistent,
226 and to check that it was not influenced or biased by differences in female behavior or feed-back
227 processes as a consequence of all birds being in visual contact with each other (Zann and Bamford
228 1996), the males' mating preferences were assessed for a second time (6 months after the first
229 assessment) using the same experimental conditions with the exception that this time opaque dividers
230 separated the male and females (see Fig. S2 for a schematic representation of the experimental setup
231 and Griggio and Hoi 2010 for similar experimental setup). This precluded any visual interaction
232 between the females and prevented the male from simultaneously observing both females, and gave
233 qualitatively the same results as the first test (see ESM and Fig. S3 for further details).

234

235 *Breeding trials*

236 After the male mate preference trials had been completed, the males were used in a breeding trial. Half
237 of the males in each dietary treatment group were paired with a female of the same dietary treatment as
238 themselves (matched early life conditions) and the other half with a female of the opposite treatment
239 (mismatched early life conditions). Both male and female body mass was measured (± 0.01 g) on the
240 day they were paired. All pairs were formed on the same day and were between unfamiliar and
241 genetically unrelated birds. Note that none of these females had previously been in contact with their

242 mate. One male (High group) died before the breeding trial, so a total of 23 males (10 H, 13 L) were
243 used in this part of the experiment. The experimental manipulation of this first reproductive event
244 resulted in 10 mismatched and 13 matched pairs.

245 All breeding cages were equipped with an external nest-box and coconut fibre as nesting
246 material. Commercial seed mix and water were provided *ad libitum*. Nest-boxes were inspected once
247 daily between 7:00-10:00 h and any new egg was marked and weighed using an electronic balance
248 (± 0.01 g). Differential allocation studies in birds, including zebra finches, have shown that females may
249 alter their primary reproductive effort (i.e. egg and clutch size) in response to male quality (Sheldon
250 2000 and reference therein). Because we wished to examine female differences in reproductive
251 investment when mated with a male from the two dietary treatments, new laid eggs were switched for
252 a dummy clay egg and all pairs were separated once the first clutch was completed. This allowed us to
253 quickly breed the females for a second time (four weeks after the first reproductive event), thus avoiding
254 any potential influence of female variation in age or condition on her reproductive investment (Williams
255 2012). During the second reproductive event, females were re-paired and allowed to produce a clutch
256 for a second time, but this time with a male of the opposite dietary treatment to the one they had
257 previously. As before, mates were both unrelated and unfamiliar. During the breeding trials one
258 matched pair (H male/H female from the 1st reproductive event) and one mismatched pair (L male/H
259 female from the 2nd reproductive event) did not reproduce and so were excluded from statistical
260 analyses. In both breeding events we collected data on the latency (from date of pairing) to laying the
261 first egg, clutch size and mean egg mass per clutch as measures of reproductive investment (Christians
262 2002; Holveck and Riebel 2010). Neither the age nor body mass differed between experimental groups
263 or sexes on the day of pairing during the two reproductive events (statistics reported in the ESM).

264

265 ***Statistical analysis***

266 *Song parameters*

267 The effects of the male dietary treatment on adult song traits (number of syllables in a motif, number
268 of different syllables and motif duration) were analyzed using linear mixed models (LMM). The male's
269 dietary treatment was included as a fixed factor and body mass as a covariate. The identity of the female

270 used to record the male's song (two levels: female 1 or 2) was also included as a fixed factor in all the
271 above models to test whether the stimulus female had any influence on song traits. In these and all other
272 analyses described below, we initially accounted for the non-independence of males sharing the same
273 genetic or rearing background by initially including the identities of the genetic and rearing family as
274 random factors. However, since only 2 males shared the same genetic or rearing origin these random
275 effects were not significant ($p < 0.05$) and so were excluded from the final models.

276

277 *Male mate preferences*

278 For each experimental male, we calculated the relative time showing affiliative behaviour and showing
279 active choice (i.e. facing or courting) towards each female (i.e. number of observations/total number of
280 observations). Then these variables were analyzed separately using LMM. The models included male
281 and female dietary treatment and their interaction as fixed factors, and male identity and the identity of
282 the stimulus set of females as random terms. Male body mass was also included as a covariate.

283

284 *Female reproductive investment*

285 The effect of male and female dietary treatments on latency to lay the first egg (breeding
286 latency), clutch size and mean egg mass per clutch were analyzed using LMM or GLMM as appropriate.
287 Male and female dietary treatments and the reproductive event (first or second) were included as fixed
288 effects in the models, and female body mass as a covariate. The birds' identity was included in all
289 models as a random factor to account for nonindependence of two successive reproductive events from
290 the same bird. Two and three-way interactions between fixed factors were also tested.

291 All analyses were carried out using IBM SPSS 22. Models were fitted with Satterthwaite's
292 approximation for degrees of freedom. To avoid inflating the type I error we did not apply model
293 selection in any analyses, and so report results for full models after removing non-significant
294 interactions as recommended by Whittingham et al. (2006). When needed, *post hoc* comparisons were
295 performed using LSD *post hoc* tests. Data are presented as means \pm standard error, and the significance
296 level was set at $P = 0.05$.

297

298 RESULTS

299 *Male song traits*

300 The availability of micronutrients in the diet of male zebra finches during their first 90 days of age had
301 no significant effect on the duration of adult song motifs (L males: 508.84 ± 84 ms; H males: 555 ± 85
302 ms; $F_{1,9}=0.151$, $p=0.707$) or the total number of syllables in a motif (L males: 5.26 ± 0.47 syllables; H
303 males: 6.35 ± 0.40 syllables; $F_{1,9}=2.594$, $p=0.142$). Early life conditions did, however, have a significant
304 effect on adult song complexity (L males: 4.33 ± 0.33 syllables; H males: 5.77 ± 0.28 syllables;
305 $F_{1,9}=9.884$, $p=0.012$); the songs of males that were reared on a diet low in essential micronutrients had,
306 on average, 14% fewer different syllables in a motif compared to those reared on a high micronutrient
307 diet (Fig. 1). Neither the identity of the stimulus female used during the song recordings nor the male
308 body mass had a significant influence on the duration of song motifs (stimulus female: $F_{1,9}=0.936$,
309 $p=0.359$; body mass: $F_{1,9}=3.018$, $p=0.116$), the total number of syllables (stimulus female: $F_{1,9}=1.080$,
310 $p=0.0326$; body mass: $F_{1,9}=0.311$, $p=0.591$) or song complexity (stimulus female: $F_{1,9}=0.130$, $p=0.726$;
311 body mass: $F_{1,9}=0.297$, $p=0.599$).

312

313 *Male mate preferences*

314 The relative time spent in affiliative behaviour varied according to the male and female dietary treatment
315 (male x female treatment: $F_{1,43}=9.617$, $p=0.003$; Fig. 2a). Thus, whereas males reared on a high
316 micronutrient diet had no clear preference for females reared on either the low or high micronutrient
317 diet (LSD *post hoc* test; H vs L: $F_{1,20}=0.083$, $p=0.777$; Fig. 2a), males reared on a low (L) diet spent
318 significantly more time with the female that had been reared on that same (Low) diet (LSD *post hoc*
319 test; H vs L: $F_{1,24}=33.434$, $p<0.001$ Fig. 2a). A similar pattern was observed in the relative time the
320 males spent in active choice (male x female dietary treatment: $F_{1,22}=5.519$, $p=0.028$; Fig. 2b); the males
321 from the low micronutrient diet spent more time being active next to the female that was reared on the
322 low micronutrient diet (LSD *post hoc* test; H vs L: $F_{1,24}=4.619$, $p=0.042$). In contrast, males from the
323 high micronutrient treatment behaved equally to the two females (LSD *post hoc* test; H vs L;
324 $F_{1,20}=0.564$, $p=0.461$). Neither the time spent in affiliative behaviour ($F_{1,43}=0.415$, $p=0.523$) nor in
325 active choice ($F_{1,20,43}=3.754=0.067$) was related to male body mass.

326

327 *Female reproductive investment*

328 On average, females started their clutch sooner after pairing when reproducing for the second time
329 (reduction in latency between 1st and 2nd reproductive event, mean=2.2 days \pm 0.3 SE; Table 1a), but
330 the latency to start a clutch was unaffected by either of the male or female dietary treatments (Table 1).
331 It was also unaffected by female body mass (Table 1a). Neither the rest of three- and two-way
332 interactions were significant into the model (male treatment x female treatment x reproductive event:
333 $F_{1,28,18}=0.275$, $p=0.604$; male treatment x female treatment: $F_{1,19,78}=0.041$, $p=0.841$; male treatment x
334 reproductive event: $F_{1,28,51}=0.722$, $p=0.403$; female treatment x reproductive event: $F_{1,20,34}=3.049$,
335 $p=0.096$).

336 The breeding trials showed, however, that the effect of a within-pair mismatch in dietary
337 treatment on female fecundity (clutch size) depended on the dietary treatment of both the male and the
338 female (see Table 1). Thus females paired with a high micronutrient diet male (H) produced similar
339 clutch sizes whether their own early life dietary conditions were matched (i.e. also H) or mismatched
340 (L) to those of the H male (LSD *post hoc* test: H vs L; $F_{1,20}=0.856$, $p=0.368$; Fig. 3). However, when
341 paired with a low micronutrient diet male (L), the high (H) diet females had smaller clutch sizes (LSD
342 *post hoc* test: $F_{1,20}=3.885$, $p=0.063$) whereas the low diet females showed no evidence of this (LSD *post*
343 *hoc* test: $F_{1,20}=1.315$, $p=0.265$). As a result, low micronutrient diet females laid on average a larger
344 clutch size than high micronutrient diet females when both types of females were mated to an L male
345 (LSD *post hoc* test: H vs L; $F_{1,23}=6.375$, $p=0.019$; Fig. 3). Such effects were independent of the order
346 in which females were paired with the different types of males (reproductive event x male treatment x
347 female treatment; $F_{1,24,97}=0.675$, $p=0.419$). Neither female body mass (Table 1) nor the rest of two-way
348 interactions were significant into the model (male treatment x reproductive event: $F_{1,24,18}=0.018$,
349 $p=0.895$; female treatment x reproductive event: $F_{1,15,79}=0.790$, $p=0.387$).

350 Mean egg mass was similar among experimental groups, reproductive events and was not
351 influenced by female body mass (Table 1). The rest of three- and two-way interactions were no
352 significant into the model (male treatment x female treatment x reproductive event: $F_{1,26,88}=0.314$,

353 $p=0.580$; male treatment x female treatment: $F_{1,18,41}=3.460$, $p=0.079$; male treatment x reproductive
354 event: $F_{1,27,44}=0.602$, $p=0.445$; female treatment x reproductive event: $F_{1,17,91}=3.055$, $p=0.098$).

355

356 **DISCUSSION**

357 The results of this study show that a diet low in essential micronutrients in early life influenced adult
358 male song complexity and male mate preferences in what seems to be a strategic fashion. Males reared
359 on a low level of micronutrients produced less complex courtship songs as adults, which suggests that
360 they may have suffered a reduction in sexual attractiveness. These males were themselves more
361 selective in their mating tactics, showing a particular preference for females that had experienced the
362 same (poor) early dietary conditions as themselves. In contrast, males reared on a diet high in
363 micronutrients, which produced more complex songs, did not show a particular preference for females
364 on the basis of their rearing diet. We also provide evidence that early life diet affected female
365 willingness to invest in reproduction, an effect that differed according to the early diet received by the
366 partner: whereas zebra finch females reared on a high micronutrient diet tended to produce larger clutch
367 sizes when they reproduced with a male singing a more complex song (i.e. an H male), females reared
368 on a low micronutrient diet invested similarly in egg production regardless of their mate's song
369 complexity (i.e. similar clutch size with L and H males). As a result of this variation in female
370 reproductive investment, low micronutrient diet males (L) obtained smaller clutches if their mate had
371 the opposite diet than when the mate had also experienced a low (L) diet. These results suggest that by
372 following a different mating strategy, males with a less complex song are able to achieve comparable
373 reproductive benefits in terms of clutch size as males with more complex songs. Thus, the adaptive
374 significance of the observed male mate choice seems to be determined by the effect of a male's early
375 nutritional conditions on the degree of reproductive investment by his mate.

376 Our results provide support for the 'nutritional stress hypothesis' which states that stress during
377 early development, in our case in the form of a diet low in micronutrients, can significantly reduce the
378 complexity of the song produced by adult male birds (Nowicki, Searcy et al. 2002). In our experiment,
379 the birds fed with a low micronutrient diet showed a significant reduction in the number of different
380 syllables in a motif, which is in agreement with previous studies showing a negative effect of early food

381 deprivation (Buchanan, Spencer et al. 2003) and high stress level (Spencer, Buchanan et al. 2003) on
382 adult male song complexity. Our results, however, contrast with other previous studies which did not
383 find an effect of poor early conditions (via brood size manipulation) on song complexity (Gil, Naguib
384 et al. 2006; Holveck, de Castro et al. 2008). This lack of consistency among studies might be explained
385 by variation in the nature and duration of the experimental treatments, since different kinds of stressor
386 are likely to influence different features of the song system (i.e. brain nuclei size, cell size, synaptic
387 density or neurological properties) and lead to different effects on song traits (Nowicki, Searcy et al.
388 2002). Interestingly, our study is the first to show that a reduction in the micro- rather than a macro-
389 nutrient intake can affect song complexity. In this regard, two different, but not exclusive, mechanisms
390 might explain the effects on song complexity that we observed. On the one hand, it is thought that early
391 nutritional stress can affect song complexity by impairing song learning during critical sensitive periods
392 (Nowicki, Searcy et al. 2002). Stress-induced impairment of song learning has been related to a
393 reduction in the adult size of the high vocal center (HVC), a telencephalic nucleus associated with song
394 complexity in birds (Buchanan, Leitner et al. 2004). Micronutrient insufficiency or imbalance during
395 development can compromise brain development and function (Ashworth and Antipatis 2001), and so
396 our experimental treatments might have affected the development of the HVC which in turn, might have
397 influenced the capability of our experimental birds to develop their song. On the other hand, it is known
398 that the accumulation of oxidative damage in the brain can impair learning and reduce memory (Liu,
399 Liu et al. 2003). Since dietary micronutrients are an important contributor to brain antioxidant defences
400 (Ashworth and Antipatis 2001; Liu, Liu et al. 2003), our low micronutrient group of birds may have
401 also experienced a higher level of oxidative damage in the brain and therefore, a reduced capacity for
402 song learning. Irrespective of the mechanism, our results indicate that song complexity in adulthood
403 can act as a reliable indicator of a male zebra finch's nutritional history.

404 It has been shown that female zebra finches invest more resources in terms of egg volume and
405 carotenoid content when paired with lower quality males (Bolund, Schielzeth et al. 2009), which
406 suggests that female reproductive compensation may take place in this species. In our study, the fact
407 that L females laid more eggs than H females when paired with a male with less complex song (L)
408 might, at first glance, also suggest reproductive compensation. However, for this hypothesis to be

409 correct, L females should have produced larger clutch sizes when paired to an L than an H male
410 (Gowaty, Anderson et al. 2007; Gowaty 2008), which was not the case. Indeed, our results indicate that
411 the differences in clutch sizes observed in the L group of males were more likely to be caused by the
412 tendency of H females to reduce their clutch size when mated to a less preferred (attractive) male (L).
413 Such reduction in clutch size better fits the differential allocation scenario (Sheldon 2000) and supports
414 previous studies showing differential allocation in clutch size in this species (Balzer and Williams
415 1998).

416 Since song complexity is related to male attractiveness, and attractive males attain higher social
417 status (Spencer, Buchanan et al. 2004) and have improved cognitive abilities (Boogert, Giraldeau et al.
418 2008), the H diet females could gain substantial direct and indirect fitness benefits from increasing their
419 investment in reproduction when mated to a male with a more complex song (e.g. an H male) (Sheldon
420 2000). Moreover, even if more attractive males contribute less to parental care (Burley 1988), H female
421 could benefit from increasing the investment in reproduction (e.g. clutch size, egg quality, etc.) as such
422 strategy might help females to compensate for the reduction in paternal care. This begs the question as
423 to why the low (L) diet group of females laid a similar size of clutch regardless of the dietary treatment
424 of their mate. It is possible that they did not alter their reproductive investment simply because they
425 were inherently of poorer quality (and hence condition) and so may not have been able to increase their
426 clutch size any further when mated to a high diet male. However, this seems unlikely since female body
427 mass on the day of pairing was similar between groups, suggesting that the low micronutrient diet group
428 of females were not in poorer condition. Alternatively, an early diet low in essential micronutrients may
429 have had some (unmeasured) negative effects on female cognitive abilities (Lucas, Morley et al. 2001;
430 Bonaparte, Riffle-Yokoi et al. 2011) which in turn, may have potentially impaired her capacity to
431 discriminate between males with more or less complex songs. Although plausible, a previous study
432 where early life dietary conditions were experimentally altered via brood size manipulation does not
433 support this possibility, as females reared in enlarged broods, and therefore facing poor early life dietary
434 conditions were perfectly able to discriminate between high and low-quality males (Holveck and Riebel
435 2010). By contrast, recent studies in wild and captive bird populations indicate that a low availability
436 of dietary micronutrients may accelerate cell senescence through different mechanisms such as

437 increasing the susceptibility to oxidative stress (Noguera, Kim et al. 2012) or reducing the length of
438 telomeres in the chromosomes (Badás, Martínez et al. 2015; Noguera, Metcalfe et al. 2015a). Nestlings
439 with increased levels of oxidative damage or relatively short telomeres have reduced adult survival
440 (Noguera, Kim et al. 2012) and a shorter lifespan (Heidinger, Blount et al. 2012). Thus, supporting
441 previous theoretical model predictions, an early diet low in micronutrients may have stimulated the
442 females to invest heavily in reproduction irrespective of their partner's quality, in order to maximize
443 their fitness given the possibility of a shortened lifespan (Pianka and Parker 1975; Clutton-Brock 1984;
444 Stearns 1992).

445 The variation in female reproductive investment (fecundity) provides an adaptive explanation
446 for the observed plasticity in male mate preferences, at least as long as chicks from larger broods do not
447 have reduced fitness (i.e. survival). Although chicks from larger broods may have reduced survival
448 when food availability is scarce (Zann and Bamford 1996), in captive conditions where food is not a
449 limiting factor the effect of brood size on the rearing capacity of the zebra finch parents as well as on
450 chick survival seems to be negligible (e.g. Reichert, Stier et al. 2014; Noguera 2017). Hence, our data
451 suggest that by altering their mate preferences, males reared on poor (micronutrient) diets and with less
452 complex songs could avoid being penalized by those females that are less willing to invest in
453 reproduction when mated with them, thus maximizing their reproductive fitness. Conversely, selective
454 mating would not have resulted in any detectable fitness benefit for males with more complex songs
455 (e.g. H males) since the females laid the same size of clutch for those males regardless of female diet.
456 At first sight, this result seems to conflict with traditional models of sexual selection which predict that
457 attractive males should be more discriminating (Andersson 1994). However, it has been shown that
458 when the reproductive benefits of being selective are negligible, as seems to be the case for the high
459 micronutrient diet males in our experiment, discriminative mating is not always the best strategy (see
460 i.e. Kokko and Härdling 2005).

461 The non-random preference pattern showed by our experimental males raises the question as
462 to the underlying mechanism. Previous studies have shown that male zebra finches prefer females with
463 more yellow-orange bill colouration (Burley and Coopersmith 1987) or more potential fecundity
464 (Monaghan, Metcalfe et al. 1996). However, in the male preference tests, there were no consistent

465 differences between the H and L female in age, body mass, bill colour or plumage phenotype.
466 Micronutritional differences during post-natal development, however, may have affected many aspects
467 of a female's adult behavior, such as her posture, the level of activity or behavioral type (Noguera,
468 Metcalfe et al. 2015b) and which may have influenced the probability of being preferred by a male
469 (Laubu, Schweitzer et al. 2017). In addition, differences in the availability of diet micronutrients may
470 have also influenced the expression of female UV signals that are not visible to humans (Mougeot,
471 Martinez-Padilla et al. 2007) but that may have played an important role in the males' sexual preferences
472 (Bennett, Cuthill et al. 1996).

473 The results of our male mate preference experiments contrast with those reported by Holveck
474 et al. (2011), which showed an absence of male preference for females of different phenotypic quality
475 (induced via brood size manipulation), even though the same authors previously reported better
476 breeding performance when both members of a pair had similar phenotypic quality (Holveck and Riebel
477 2010). However, a lack of male mate preferences might have been caused by the environmental
478 conditions in which male mate preferences were evaluated. In contrast to our study, Holveck et al.
479 (2011) assessed male mate preferences in the presence of several competitor males which could have
480 affected the perceived level of male-male competition and so suppressed the focal male's mating
481 preferences (Candolin and Salesto 2009; Mautz and Jennions 2011).

482 In summary, we have shown that a poor start in life can reduce adult male courtship song
483 complexity which in turn, may promote plasticity in male mate choice. The observed variation in male
484 mate preferences is the result of a complex interplay between early nutrition-dependent male and female
485 reproductive traits: by following different mating strategies, males with complex (H) and less complex
486 (L) songs obtained similar reproductive benefits in terms of clutch size. The consequences of such
487 nutrition-induced plasticity in male and female reproductive behaviour for sexual selection and the
488 evolution of reproductive strategies deserve further empirical and theoretical investigation.

489

490

491 **Funding information:** JCN was supported by AXA Research fellowship (PDOC-2013-W1) and later
492 on by a Juan de la Cierva Fellowship (IJCI-2014-20246), NBM by ERC Advanced Grant (322784) and
493 PM by ERC Advanced Grant (268926).

494

495 **Author contributions:** JCN, NBM and PM conceived and designed the experiments. JCN performed
496 the experiments and analyzed the data. JCN, NBM and PM wrote the manuscript.

497

498 **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

499

500 ***Ethical approval:*** The study was carried out with the permission of UK Home Office and all tests were
501 subjected to local ethical review (Project License No. 60/4109).

502

503 ***Competing interests:*** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

504

505 **References**

- 506 Adar E, Lotem A, et al. (2008). The effect of social environment on singing behavior in the zebra finch
507 (*Taeniopygia guttata*) and its implication for neuronal recruitment. Behav Brain Res 187: 178-
508 184.
- 509 Alonso-Alvarez C, Bertrand S, et al. (2006). An experimental manipulation of life-history trajectories
510 and resistance to oxidative stress. Evolution 60: 1913-1924.
- 511 Amundsen T (2000). Why are female birds ornamented? Trends Ecol Evol 15: 149-155.
- 512 Amundsen T, Forsgren E (2003). Male preference for colourful females affected by male size in a
513 marine fish. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 54: 55-64.
- 514 Amundsen T, Forsgren E, et al. (1997). On the function of female ornaments: male bluethroats prefer
515 colourful females. Proc R Soc B 264: 1579-1586.
- 516 Andersen SL (2003). Trajectories of brain development: point of vulnerability or window of
517 opportunity? Neurosci Biobehav Rev 27: 3-18.
- 518 Andersson MB (1994) Sexual selection. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- 519 Ashworth CJ, Antipatis C (2001). Micronutrient programming of development throughout gestation.
520 Reproduction 122: 527-535.
- 521 Badás E, Martínez J, et al. (2015). Ageing and reproduction: antioxidant supplementation alleviates
522 telomere loss in wild birds. J Evol Biol 28: 896-905.
- 523 Balzer AL, Williams TD (1998). Do female zebra finches vary primary reproductive effort in relation
524 to mate attractiveness? Behaviour 135: 297-309.
- 525 Bennett AT, Cuthill IC, et al. (1996). Ultraviolet vision and mate choice in zebra finches. Nature 380:
526 433-435.
- 527 Blount JD, Metcalfe NB, et al. (2003). Neonatal nutrition, adult antioxidant defences and sexual
528 attractiveness in the zebra finch. Proc R Soc B 270: 1691-1696.
- 529 Bolund E, Schielzeth H, et al. (2009). Compensatory investment in zebra finches: females lay larger
530 eggs when paired to sexually unattractive males. Proc R Soc B 276: 707-715.
- 531 Bonaparte KM, Riffle-Yokoi C, et al. (2011). Getting a head start: diet, sub-adult growth, and
532 associative learning in a seed-eating passerine. PLoS ONE 6: e23775.

533 Boogert NJ, Giraldeau L-A, et al. (2008). Song complexity correlates with learning ability in zebra
534 finch males. *Anim Behav* 76: 1735-1741.

535 Buchanan KL, Leitner S, et al. (2004). Developmental stress selectively affects the song control nucleus
536 HVC in the zebra finch. *Proc R Soc B* 271: 2381-2386.

537 Buchanan KL, Spencer K, et al. (2003). Song as an honest signal of past developmental stress in the
538 European starling (*Sturnus vulgaris*). *Proc R Soc B* 270: 1149-1156.

539 Burley N (1986). Sexual selection for aesthetic traits in species with biparental care. *Am Nat* 127: 415-
540 445

541 Burley N (1988). The differential-allocation hypothesis: an experimental test. *Am Nat* 132: 611-628.

542 Burley N, Coopersmith CB (1987). Bill color preferences of zebra finches. *Ethology* 76: 133-151.

543 Candolin U, Salesto T (2009). Does competition allow male mate choosiness in threespine sticklebacks?
544 *Am Nat* 173: 273-277.

545 Cate CT, Mug G (1984). The development of mate choice in zebra finch females. *Behaviour* 90: 125-
546 150.

547 Christians JK (2002). Avian egg size: variation within species and inflexibility within individuals. *Biol*
548 *Rev* 77: 1-26.

549 Clayton NS (1990). Assortative mating in zebra finch subspecies, *Taeniopygia guttata guttata* and *T.*
550 *g. castanotis*. *Philos Trans R Soc B* 330: 351-370.

551 Clutton-Brock TH (1984). Reproductive effort and terminal investment in iteroparous animals. *Am Nat*
552 123: 212-229.

553 Crino OL, Prather CT, et al. (2014). Developmental stress increases reproductive success in male zebra
554 finches. *Proc R Soc B* 281: 20141266.

555 Edward DA, Chapman T (2011). The evolution and significance of male mate choice. *Trends Ecol Evol*
556 26: 647-654.

557 Emlen ST, Oring LW (1977). Ecology, sexual selection, and the evolution of mating systems. *Science*
558 197: 215-223.

559 Gil D, Naguib M, et al. (2006). Early condition, song learning, and the volume of song brain nuclei in
560 the zebra finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*). *J Neurobiol* 66: 1602-1612.

561 Gowaty PA (2008). Reproductive compensation. *J Evol Biol* 21: 1189-1200.

562 Gowaty PA, Anderson WW, et al. (2007). The hypothesis of reproductive compensation and its
563 assumptions about mate preferences and offspring viability. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104:
564 15023-15027.

565 Griggio M, Hoi H (2010). Only females in poor condition display a clear preference and prefer males
566 with an average badge. *BMC Evol Biol* 10: 261

567 Harris WE, Uller T (2009). Reproductive investment when mate quality varies: differential allocation
568 versus reproductive compensation. *Phil Trans R Soc B* 364: 1039-1048.

569 Heidinger BJ, Blount JD, et al. (2012). Telomere length in early life predicts lifespan. *Proc Natl Acad*
570 *Sci USA* 109: 1743-1748.

571 Hill GE (1993). Male mate choice and the evolution of female plumage coloration in the house finch.
572 *Evolution* 47: 1515-1525.

573 Holveck M-J, de Castro ACV, et al. (2008). Accuracy of song syntax learning and singing consistency
574 signal early condition in zebra finches. *Behav Ecol* 19: 1267-1281.

575 Holveck M-J, Geberzahn N, et al. (2011). An experimental test of condition-dependent male and female
576 mate choice in zebra finches. *PLoS One* 6:e23974.

577 Holveck M-J, Riebel K (2010). Low-quality females prefer low-quality males when choosing a mate.
578 *Proc R Soc B* 277: 153-160.

579 Jennions MD, Petrie M (1997). Variation in mate choice and mating preferences: a review of causes
580 and consequences. *Biol Rev* 72: 283-327.

581 Kokko H, Härdling R (2005). The evolution of prudent choice. *Evol Ecol Res* 7: 697-715.

582 Lande R (1980). Sexual dimorphism, sexual selection, and adaptation in polygenic characters.
583 *Evolution* 34: 292-305.

584 Laubu C, Schweitzer C, et al. (2017). Mate choice based on behavioural type: do convict cichlids prefer
585 similar partners? *Anim Behav* 126: 281-291.

586 Liu R, Liu IY, et al. (2003). Reversal of age-related learning deficits and brain oxidative stress in mice
587 with superoxide dismutase/catalase mimetics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100: 8526-8531.

588 Lucas A, Morley R, et al. (2001). Nutrition and mental development. *Nutrition Rev* 59: S24-S33

589 Magurran AE, Seghers BH (1990). Risk sensitive courtship in the guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*).
590 Behaviour 112: 194-201.

591 Mahmoud KZ, Edens F, et al. (2004). Ascorbic acid decreases heat shock protein 70 and plasma
592 corticosterone response in broilers (*Gallus gallus domesticus*) subjected to cyclic heat stress.
593 Comp Biochem Phys B 137: 35-42.

594 Mautz BS, Jennions MD (2011). The effect of competitor presence and relative competitive ability on
595 male mate choice. Behav Ecol 22:769-775.

596 Metcalfe NB, Alonso-Alvarez C (2010). Oxidative stress as a life-history constraint: the role of reactive
597 oxygen species in shaping phenotypes from conception to death. Funct Ecol 24: 984-996.

598 Metcalfe NB, Monaghan P (2001). Compensation for a bad start: grow now, pay later? Trends Ecol
599 Evol 16: 254-260.

600 Monaghan P, Metcalfe NB, et al. (1996). Male finches selectively pair with fecund females. Proc R Soc
601 B 263: 1183-1186.

602 Mougeot F, Martinez-Padilla J, et al. (2007). Carotenoid-based colouration and ultraviolet reflectance
603 of the sexual ornaments of grouse. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 61: 741-751.

604 Noguera JC, Kim SY, et al. (2012). Pre-fledgling oxidative damage predicts recruitment in a long-lived
605 bird. Biol Lett 8: 61-63.

606 Noguera JC, Metcalfe NB, et al. (2015a). Sex-dependent effects of nutrition on telomere dynamics in
607 zebra finches (*Taeniopygia guttata*). Biol Lett 11:20140938.

608 Noguera JC (2017). Interacting effects of early dietary conditions and reproductive effort on the
609 oxidative costs of reproduction. PeerJ 5: e3094

610 Noguera JC, Metcalfe NB, et al. (2015b). Are you what you eat? Micronutritional deficiencies during
611 development influence adult personality-related traits. Anim Behav 101: 129-140.

612 Nordeen KW, Nordeen EJ (1992). Auditory feedback is necessary for the maintenance of stereotyped
613 song in adult zebra finches. Behav Neural Biol 57: 58-66.

614 Nowicki S, Searcy W, et al. (2002). Brain development, song learning and mate choice in birds: a review
615 and experimental test of the "nutritional stress hypothesis". J Comp Phys A 188: 1003-1014.

616 Parker G (1983). Mate quality and mating decisions. In: Bateson P (ed) Mate choice. Cambridge
617 University press, Cambridge, pp 141-166.

618 Pianka ER, Parker WS (1975). Age-specific reproductive tactics. Am Nat: 453-464

619 Reichert S, Stier A, et al. (2014). Increased brood size leads to persistent eroded telomeres. Front Ecol
620 Evol 22: 2-9.

621 Riebel K (2009). Song and female mate choice in zebra finches: a review. Adv Study Behav 40: 197-
622 238

623 Rutstein AN, Brazill-Boast J, et al. (2007). Evaluating mate choice in the zebra finch. Anim Behav 74:
624 1277-1284.

625 Saino N, Caprioli M, et al. (2011). Antioxidant defenses predict long-term survival in a passerine bird.
626 PLoS ONE 6: e19593.

627 Sheldon BC (2000). Differential allocation: tests, mechanisms and implications. Trends Ecol Evol 15:
628 397-402.

629 Spencer K, Buchanan K, et al. (2003). Song as an honest signal of developmental stress in the zebra
630 finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*). Horm Behav 44: 132-139.

631 Spencer K, Buchanan K, et al. (2004). Developmental stress, social rank and song complexity in the
632 European starling (*Sturnus vulgaris*). Proc R Soc B 271: S121-S123.

633 Stearns SC (1992) The evolution of life histories. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

634 Surai PF (2002) Natural antioxidants in avian nutrition and reproduction. Nottingham University Press,
635 Nottingham.

636 Surai PF (2006) Selenium in nutrition and health. Nottingham University Press, Nottingham.

637 Tchernichovski O, Nottebohm F, et al. (2000). A procedure for an automated measurement of song
638 similarity. Anim Behav 59: 1167-1176.

639 Trivers R (1972). Parental investment and sexual selection. In: Campbell BG (ed) Sexual Selection &
640 the Descent of Man, Aldine de Gruyter, New York, pp 136-179.

641 Whittingham MJ, Stephens PA, et al. (2006). Why do we still use stepwise modelling in ecology and
642 behaviour? J Anim Ecol 75: 1182-1189.

- 643 Williams TD (2012) *Physiological adaptations for breeding in birds*. Princeton University Press,
644 Princeton.
- 645 Zann RA, Bamford M (1996) *The zebra finch: a synthesis of field and laboratory studies*. Oxford
646 University Press, Oxford.
- 647

648 **Table 1.** Summary of the mixed models (LMM and GLMM) testing for the effects of early male and
649 female dietary treatment and covariates on breeding latency (delay from pairing until the laying of the
650 first egg), clutch size and mean egg mass. Nonsignificant interactions were removed from full models
651 and significant terms are highlighted in bold.

Dependent variable	Variables	Parameter estimate	F or Z	DF _{n,d}	p-value
Breeding latency	Intercept	7.656			
	Male treatment (L)	0.797	1.456	1,21.46	0.241
	Female treatment (L)	-1.076	1.269	1,18.98	0.274
	Reproductive event (1 st)	2.216	11.723	1,20.25	0.003
	Female body mass	-0.166	0.257	1,37.35	0.615
	<i>Random factors</i>				
	Female ID	2.791	1.561		0.119
Clutch size	Intercept	4.887			
	Male treatment (L)	-1.107	0.277	1,16.49	0.606
	Female treatment (L)	-0.727	0.159	1,15.27	0.696
	Reproductive event (1 st)	-0.075	0.049	1,15.30	0.827
	Female body mass	-0.009	0.003	1,35.84	0.958
	Male treatment x female treatment	1.851	7.163	1,16.50	0.016
	<i>Random factors</i>				
	Female ID	0.734	1.253		0.210
Mean egg mass	Intercept	1.037			
	Male treatment (L)	-0.001	0.007	1,19.38	0.935
	Female treatment (L)	0.063	0.648	1,19.90	0.430
	Reproductive event (1 st)	-0.014	0.631	1,19.64	0.436
	Female body mass	0.007	0.334	1,25.23	0.569
	<i>Random factors</i>				
	Female ID	0.329	2.994		0.003

652

653 **Fig. 1.** Box plots showing the effect of dietary micronutrient intake during the first 90 days of life on
654 the complexity (number of different syllables in a motif) of a male zebra finch's song in adulthood.

655

656 **Fig. 2.** Box plots showing the relative time spent by males in (a) affiliative behavior and (b) active
657 choice with the female reared under a low (white boxes) or a high (grey boxes) micronutrient diet in
658 relation to the male's own diet during his early life. There is a significant male x female diet interaction
659 (Table 1).

660

661 **Fig. 3.** Box plots showing the clutch size of females reared under a low (white boxes) or a high (grey
662 boxes) micronutrient diet in relation to the micronutrient diet in early life of their male partner. There
663 is a significant male x female diet interaction (Table 1).

664