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#### Abstract

Two $C^{1}$-mappings, whose domain is a connected compact $C^{1}$-Banach manifold modelled over a Banach space $X$ over $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ and whose range is a Banach space $Y$ over $\mathbb{K}$, are introduced. Sufficient conditions are given to assert they share only a value. The proof of the result, which is based upon continuation methods, is constructive.


## 1. Preliminaries

Scientific phenomena are locally described by parameters, whose choice is sometimes arbitrary. This implies the importance of the availability of a methodology for the comparison of results of measurements. Locally, a Banach manifold looks like a Banach space. For a local description, different Banach (or coordinate or parameter) spaces are allowed and transformation rules exist for these coordinates.

Let $X, Y$ be two Banach spaces. Let $u: U \subset X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous mapping. One way of solving the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=y \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any fixed $y \in Y$, is to embed (1) in a continuum of problems

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x, t)=y,(0 \leq t \leq 1) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is solved when $t=0$. When $t=1$, problem (2) becomes (1). If it is possible to continue the solution for all $t \in[0,1]$, then (1) is solved. This is the continuation method with respect to a parameter [1-25]. A continuation method was introduced to solve (1) when $u: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $M$ is a connected compact $C^{1}$-Banach manifold modelled on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $H(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a $C^{1}$-mapping [25].

Here $M$ is a Banach manifold modelled on an infinite-dimensional Banach space $X$ over $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ and $u$ ranges over an infinite-dimensional Banach space $Y$ over $\mathbb{K}$.
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Sufficient conditions are given to prove that two $C^{1}$-mappings, of which one is Fredholm of index zero, share only one value on a Banach manifold by using continuation methods on charts. Other conditions, sufficient to guarantee the existence of zero points, have been given by the author in several other papers [7-25]. This shared value can be estimated following a curve. The proof supplies the existence of a curve which leads to the point whose image is the shared value. The keys are the use of the chart spaces [27], the compactness and connectness of $M$, together with the use of the Continuous Dependence Theorem (Theorem 2) [26] and Theorem 1 [28].

We briefly recall some theorems and notation to be used.
Definitions and Notation. [26-28]. Let $F: D(F): X \rightarrow Y$, where $X, Y$ are Banach spaces over $\mathbb{K}$. If $D(F)$ is open, then mapping $F$ is said to be a Fredholm mapping if and only if both $F$ is a $C^{1}$-mapping and $F^{\prime}(x): X \rightarrow Y$ is a Fredholm linear mapping for all $x \in D(F)$. That $L: X \rightarrow Y$ is a linear Fredholm mapping means that $L$ is linear and continuous and both the numbers $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker}(L))$ and $\operatorname{codim}(R(L))$ are finite, where dim signifies dimension, codim codimension, ker kernel and $\mathrm{R}(L)$ stands for the range of mapping $L$. Therefore $\operatorname{ker}(L)=X_{1}$ is a Banach space and has topological complement $X_{2}$, since $\operatorname{dim}\left(X_{1}\right)$ is finite. The integer number $\operatorname{ind}(L)=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker}(L))-\operatorname{codim}(R(L))$ is called the index of $L$. Let $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ denote the set of all linear Fredholm mappings $L: X \rightarrow Y$.

Let $M$ be a topological space. A $\operatorname{chart}(U, \varphi)$ in $M$ is a pair where the set $U$ is open in $M$ and $\varphi: U \rightarrow U_{\varphi}$ is a homeomorphism onto an open subset $U_{\varphi}$ of a Banach space $X_{\varphi}$. We call $\varphi$ a chart map, $X_{\varphi}$ is called chart space, and $U_{\varphi}$ chart image. For $x \in U, x_{\varphi}=\varphi(x)$ is called the representative of $x$ in the chart $(U, \varphi)$ or the local coordinate of $x$ in the local coordinate system $\varphi$. The point $x \in M$ may have different local coordinates $x_{\varphi}=\varphi(x)$ and $x_{\psi}=\psi(x)$ for two different charts $(U, \varphi)$ and $(V, \psi)$, respectively. The transformation rules between them are $x_{\varphi}=\varphi\left(\psi^{-1}\left(x_{\psi}\right)\right)$ and $x_{\psi}=\psi\left(\varphi^{-1}\left(x_{\varphi}\right)\right)$.

Two charts, $(U, \varphi)$ and $(V, \psi)$ in $M$, are called $C^{k}$-compatible if and only if $U \cap V=\emptyset$, or both $\varphi \circ \psi^{-1}: \psi(U \cap V) \rightarrow \varphi(U \cap V)$ and $\psi \circ \varphi^{-1}: \varphi(U \cap V) \rightarrow$ $\psi(U \cap V)$ are $C^{k}$-mappings, $k \geq 0$.

A $C^{k}$-atlas for $M, 0 \leq k \leq \infty$ is a collection of charts $\left(U_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right)$, where $i \in I$, which satisfies the following conditions:
(i) the $U_{i}$ cover $M$,
(ii) any two charts are $C^{k}$-compatible,
(iii) all chart spaces $X_{i}$ are Banach spaces over $\mathbb{K}$.

If there is a $C^{k}$-atlas for $M$, then $M$ is said to be a $C^{k}$-Banach manifold. If all chart spaces are equal to a fixed Banach space $X, \mathrm{M}$ is called a $C^{k}$-Banach manifold modelled on $X$. Here manifolds without boundaries will be considered, such as the surface of a ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, an open set in a Banach space $X$, etc.

Let $M$ and $N$ be $C^{k}$-Banach manifolds with chart spaces over $\mathbb{K}, k \geq 1$. The mapping $f: M \rightarrow N$ is called a $C^{r}$-mapping, where $r \leq k$, if and only if $f$ is $C^{r}$
at each point $x \in M$ in fixed admissible charts. This means the following: If $(U, \varphi)$ and $(V, \psi)$ are charts in $M$ and $N$ respectively, with $x \in U$ and $f(x) \in V$, then the mapping $\bar{f}=\psi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}$, which is well defined in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $x_{\varphi}$, is $C^{r}$ in the usual sense. $\bar{f}$ is called a representative of $f$.

Two $C^{1}$-curves in $M$, which pass through the point $x \in M$, are called equivalent the point $x$ if and only if the representatives have the same tangent vector at $x$ in some fixed admissible chart. A tangent vector $v$ (otherwise known as $\left.x^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$ to $M$ at $x$ consists of all $C^{1}$-curves which are equivalent at $x$ to a fixed $C^{1}$-curve. The tangent "abstract" vector $v$ of the previous definition to the curve $x(\cdot): U\left(t_{0}\right) \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M, x=x(t)$ at the point $x\left(t_{0}\right)$, has its representative or local coordinate $v_{\varphi}=x_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$ in the chart $(U, \varphi)$, where $x_{\varphi}=x_{\varphi}(t)=\varphi(x(t))$.

The tangent space $T M_{x}$ to $M$ at the point $x$ is by definition the set of all tangent vectors. It is proven that this is a topological vector space which is linear homeomorphic to each chart space $X_{\varphi}$ at the point $x$.

The map $f^{\prime}(x): T M_{x} \rightarrow T N_{f(x)}$ is called the tangent map of $f: M \rightarrow N$ at point $x$, which is clearly the normal $F$-derivative in local coordinates.

A mapping $f: M \rightarrow N$ is called a Fredholm operator at $x$ if and only if the linearization $f^{\prime}(x): M_{x} \rightarrow N_{f(x)}$ is a Fredholm operator. Furthermore, $f$ is a Fredholm operators at $x$ if and only if the representatives of $f$ in local charts are Fredholm operator at the corresponding points.

Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a $C^{k}$-mapping, $k \geq 1$, where $M$ and $N$ are $C^{k}$-Banach manifolds with chart space over $\mathbb{K}$. The mapping $f$ is called a submersion at $x$ if and only if $f^{\prime}(x)$ is surjective and the null space $\operatorname{ker}\left(f^{\prime}(x)\right)$ splits the tangent space of $M$ at point $x$ (which is automatic when $\operatorname{ker}\left(f^{\prime}(x)\right)=\{0\}$ ). A point $x \in M$ is called a regular point of $f$ if and only if $f$ is a submersion at $x$. A point $y \in N$ is called a regular value of $f$ if and only if the set $f^{-1}(y)$ is empty or consists only of regular points.

If $X, Y$ are Banach spaces, let $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ denote the set of all linear continuous mappings $L: X \rightarrow Y$. Let $\operatorname{Isom}(X, Y)$ denote the set of all the linear homeomorphisms $L: X \rightarrow Y$. Let $B\left(x_{0}, \rho\right)$ be the open ball of centre $x_{0}$ and radius $\rho$. If $u: X \rightarrow Y$ is a linear continuous bijective operator, then the inverse linear continuous operator will be denoted by $u^{-1}$.

Mapping $\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{\varphi}, t\right)$ denotes the partial F-derivative of mapping $\bar{H}$ with respect to $X$ at the point $\left(x_{\varphi}, t\right)$, where $\bar{H}: U_{\varphi} \times[0,1] \subset X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y$.

A representative point always has its corresponding chart map as subindex.
Theorem 1 ([28], p. 300). If $S \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$, where $X, Y$ are Banach spaces over $\mathbb{K}$, then there is a number $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
T \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Ind} T=\operatorname{Ind} S
$$

for all linear Fredholm mappings $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ with $\|T-S\|<\varepsilon$.
Theorem 2 (Continuous Dependence Theorem [26], pp. 18-19). Let the following conditions be satisfied:
(i) $P$ is a metric space, called the parameter space.
(ii) For each parameter $p \in P$, mapping $T_{p}$ satisfies the following hypotheses:
(1) $T_{p}: M \rightarrow M$, i.e. $M$ is mapped into itself by $T_{p}$.
(2) $M$ is a closed non-empty set in a complete metric space $(X, d)$.
(3) $T_{p}$ is $k$-contractive for any fixed $k \in[0,1)$.
(iii) For each $p_{0} \in P$, and any $x \in M, \lim _{p \rightarrow p_{0}} T_{p}(x)=T_{p_{0}}(x)$.

Then for each $p \in P$, the equation $x_{p}=T_{p} x_{p}$ has exactly one solution $x_{p}$, where $x_{p} \in M$ and $\lim _{p \rightarrow p_{0}} x_{p}=x_{p_{0}}$.

## 2. Fredholm mappings on compact Banach manifolds

Theorem 3. Let $f, g: M \rightarrow Y$ be two $C^{1}$-mappings, where $M$ is a compact, connected, $C^{1}$-Banach manifold modelled on $X$, where $X, Y$ are two Banach spaces over $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\left(U_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right)_{i \in I}, I=1,2, \ldots, N$ be a $C^{1}$-atlas for $M$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:
(i) Mapping $f$ has only one zero $x^{\star}$ in $M$, with $x^{*} \in U_{j}$, and $f$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero at $x^{*}$.
(ii) For any fixed $t \in[0,1]$, zero is a regular value of any mapping

$$
H(\cdot, t): M \times\{t\} \rightarrow Y, \quad H(\cdot, t):=f(\cdot)-t g(\cdot)
$$

Hence the following statement holds true:
(a) The mappings $f$ and $g$ share only one value $x^{* *}$ on $M$.
(b) There is a $C^{1}$-mapping $\alpha(\cdot):[0,1] \rightarrow M$, with

$$
\begin{gathered}
H(\alpha(t), t)=0, \forall t \in[0,1], \alpha(0)=x^{*}, \alpha(1)=x^{* *}, \text { where } \\
H: M \times[0,1] \rightarrow Y, H(x, t)=f(x)-t g(x) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is provided by the topology given by its operator norm and $X \times \mathbb{R}$ is provided by a product topology.

The point $x^{*}$ belongs to $U_{j}, j \in I$, and $x_{\varphi_{j}}^{*}=\varphi_{j}\left(x^{*}\right)$ is its representative point in the chart $\left(U_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right)$. The representative mapping of the mapping $H$ in this chart $\left(U_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right)$ is the $C^{1}$-mapping

$$
\bar{H}: U_{j_{\varphi j}} \times[0,1] \subset X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y, \bar{H}\left(x_{\varphi_{j}}, t\right):=\left(H \circ\left(\varphi_{j}^{-1}, I d\right)\right)\left(x_{\varphi_{j}}, t\right)
$$

with $I d(t):=t$. This mapping verifies that $\bar{H}\left(x_{\varphi_{j}}^{*}, 0\right)=0$.
The representative mapping of mapping $H$ on any chart is also written as $\bar{H}$ for simplicity, and the same criterium will be used for any mapping. Any extended mapping will be denoted as the original mapping.
(a) By hypothesis (i), $f$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero at $x^{*}$, i.e., the representative of $f$ in local charts $\bar{f}$ are Fredholm mappings of index zero at the corresponding representative points. Since $f$ is a $C^{1}$ mapping and since index is an integer, therefore Theorem 1 implies that $\operatorname{Ind} f^{\prime}(x)$ is locally constant.

Hence, since $M$ is connected, $f$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero on $M$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(x) \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y), \text { and } \operatorname{Ind} f^{\prime}(x)=0, \forall x \in M \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $H$ is a $C^{1}$ mapping, therefore
$\forall \varepsilon>0,\left\|\bar{f}^{\prime}\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}\right)-\left(\bar{f}^{\prime}\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}\right)-t \bar{g}^{\prime}\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}\right)\right)\right\|=|t|\left\|\bar{g}^{\prime}\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon$, when $|t|<\delta(\varepsilon)$,
and since the index is an integer and $[0,1]$ is connected, Theorem 1 and Equation (3) imply for any fixed $x \in M$ in local charts, that

$$
\bar{f}^{\prime}\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}\right)-t \bar{g}^{\prime}\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}\right) \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Ind}\left(\bar{f}^{\prime}\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}\right)-t \bar{g}^{\prime}\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}\right)\right)=0, \forall i \in I, \forall t \in[0,1]
$$

Hence for any fixed $t \in[0,1], H(\cdot, t)$ is a Fredholm mapping and $\operatorname{Ind}\left(H_{x}(x, t)\right)=$ $\operatorname{Ind}\left(f^{\prime}(x)\right)=0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ind}\left(\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}, t\right)\right)=0, i \in I, x \in U_{i}, t \in[0,1] . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By hypothesis (ii), since zero is a regular value of the mapping $H(\cdot, t)$ for any fixed $t \in[0,1]$, therefore the mapping

$$
\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}, t\right)(\cdot) \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)
$$

maps $X$ onto $Y$ for any fixed $\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}, t\right) \in\left(U_{\varphi_{i}} \times[0,1]\right) \cap \bar{H}^{-1}(0)$, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{codim}\left(\operatorname{range}\left(\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}, t\right)\right)\right)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\operatorname{Ind}\left(\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}, t\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}, t\right)\right)\right)
$$

Equations (4) and (5) imply that

$$
\operatorname{Ind}\left(\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}, t\right)\right)=0=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}, t\right)\right)\right)
$$

i.e., $\left(\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}, t\right)\right)$ is injective. Therefore $\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}, t\right)(\cdot)$ is a linear continuous bijective mapping, and since $X, Y$ are Banach spaces, the linear mapping $\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}, t\right)^{-1}(\cdot)$ is continuous. Hence $\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}, t\right)(\cdot)$ is a linear homeomorphism, i.e.,

$$
\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{\varphi_{i}}, t\right)(\cdot) \in \operatorname{Isom}(X, Y)
$$

(b) Let us fix any chart of the atlas $\left(U_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right), j \in I$, which will be called $(U, \varphi)$, whose corresponding chart image is $U_{\varphi}$. Let us suppose that $\left(x_{a}, t_{a}\right) \in$ $H^{-1}(0)$ with $x_{a} \in U$. Such a point $\left(x_{a}, t_{a}\right)$ will be call a "starting point".

The representative mapping of $H$ in the chart $(U, \varphi)$

$$
\bar{H}: U_{\varphi} \times[0,1] \subset X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y
$$

clearly has as zero $\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, t_{a}\right)$ with $x_{a_{\varphi},}=\varphi\left(x_{a}\right)$.
The representative point of $\left(x_{a}, t_{a}\right)$ in the chart $(U \times[0,1],(\varphi, I d))$ verifies $\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, t_{a}\right) \in \bar{H}^{-1}(0) \cap\left(U_{\varphi} \times[0,1]\right)$. Such a point $\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, t_{a}\right)$ will be called a
"representative starting point" in $U_{\varphi} \times[0,1]$, and there is a positive number $R$ such that the ball $B\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, R\right) \subset U_{\varphi}$, and furthermore

$$
\left\|\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, t_{a}\right)^{-1}\right\|=C
$$

(b1) Two positive numbers $r_{a}, r_{0_{a}}$ must be found for later use.
Let us construct the set

$$
B=B\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, R\right) \times[0,1],
$$

and define the mapping

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{h}: B \subset U_{\varphi} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y, \\
\bar{h}\left(\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}+x_{\varphi}\right), t\right):=\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, t_{a}\right)\left(\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}+x_{\varphi}\right)-x_{a_{\varphi}}\right)-\bar{H}\left(\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}+x_{\varphi}\right), t\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\bar{h}$ is a continuous mapping as a composition of continuous mappings, therefore for any $r>0$ and for $C$ given above, there is a

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(\frac{r}{2 C}\right)>0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that, if $\left(\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}+x_{\varphi}\right), t\right), \in B$ with

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|x_{\varphi}, t-t_{a}\right\|<\delta\left(\frac{r}{2 C}\right) \text { then } \\
\left\|\bar{h}\left(\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}+x_{\varphi}\right), t\right)-\bar{h}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, t_{a}\right)\right\|<\frac{r}{2 C} . \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

On the other hand, another mapping can be defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{h}_{x} & : B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Y) \\
\bar{h}_{x}\left(\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}+x_{\varphi}\right), t\right) & :=\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, t_{a}\right)-\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}+x_{\varphi}, t_{a}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and is also continuous in the set $B$, and therefore there is an

$$
\begin{equation*}
r:=\delta\left(\frac{1}{2 C}\right)>0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that, if $\left(\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}+x_{\varphi}\right), t\right) \in B$ with

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\left(x_{\varphi}, t-t_{a}\right)\right\|<\delta\left(\frac{1}{2 C}\right), \text { then } \\
\left\|\bar{h}_{x}\left(\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}+x_{\varphi}\right), t\right)-\bar{h}_{x}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, t_{a}\right)\right\|<\frac{1}{2 C} . \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

By taking $r$ given by Equation (8) and fixing $r_{0}^{\prime}:=\delta\left(\frac{r}{2 C}\right)$, given by Equation (6), the number $r_{0}:=\min \left\{r, r_{0}^{\prime}\right\}$ can be defined. We select $r_{a}:=\min \{R, r\}$ and $r_{0_{a}}=\min \left\{R, r_{0}\right\}$.
(b2) The sets

$$
I_{a}:=\left\{t \in[0,1]:\left|t-t_{a}\right| \leq r_{0 a}\right\}, A_{a}:=\left\{x_{\varphi} \in X:\left\|x_{\varphi}\right\| \leq r_{a}\right\}
$$

will be associated to the "representative starting point" $\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, t_{a}\right)$. Since $\left\|x_{\varphi}\right\| \leq R, \forall x_{\varphi} \in A_{a}$, therefore

$$
\left(x_{\varphi}+x_{a_{\varphi}}\right) \in U_{\varphi} \subset X_{\varphi}
$$

Given a "starting point" $\left(x_{a}, t_{a}\right)$ and its "representative starting point" $\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}\right.$, $t_{a}$ ), the existence of two continuous mappings are proved:

$$
\bar{\alpha}(\cdot): I_{a} \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A_{a}+x_{a_{\varphi}} \subset U_{\varphi} \subset X, \text { such that } \bar{H}(\bar{\alpha}(t), t)=0, \forall t \in I_{a}
$$

and

$$
\alpha(\cdot): I_{a} \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow U \subset M
$$

such that

$$
H(\alpha(t), t)=0, \forall t \in I_{a} .
$$

Let us solve the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{H}\left(\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}+x_{\varphi}\right), t\right)=0, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for fixed $t \in I_{a}$ when $x_{\varphi}$ is in $A_{a}$. Obviously, $\bar{H}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, t_{a}\right)=0$. Equation (10) is equivalent to the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, t_{a}\right)^{-1}\left[\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, t_{a}\right)\left(x_{\varphi}\right)-\bar{H}\left(\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}+x_{\varphi}\right), t\right)\right]=x_{\varphi}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads us to define the mappings

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{h}_{t} & : A_{a} \times\{t\} \rightarrow Y \text { for fixed } t \in I_{a} \\
\bar{h}_{t}\left(x_{\varphi}\right) & :=\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, t_{a}\right)\left(x_{\varphi}\right)-\bar{H}\left(\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}+x_{\varphi}\right), t\right)=\bar{h}\left(\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}+x_{\varphi}\right), t\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\bar{T}_{t}: A_{a} \rightarrow X, \bar{T}_{t}\left(x_{\varphi}\right):=\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, t_{a}\right)^{-1} \bar{h}_{t}\left(x_{\varphi}\right) .
$$

Observe that $\bar{h}_{t}\left(x_{\varphi}\right)$ is $\bar{h}\left(\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}+x_{\varphi}\right), t\right)$ defined in (b1) when $t$ is fixed and belongs to $I_{a}$. Let us also observe that $t$ in the definitions of $\bar{h}_{t}$ and $\bar{T}_{t}$ is an index to highlight that $t$ is fixed.

Evidently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{h}_{t_{a}}(0)=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{h}_{t_{a}}^{\prime}(0)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (11) is equivalent to the following key Fixed Point Equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{T}_{t}\left(x_{\varphi}\right)=x_{\varphi}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is studied below.
Let $x_{\varphi}, x_{\varphi}^{\prime} \in A_{a}, t \in I_{a}$, and hence the Taylor Theorem together with Equations (9) and (13) imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\bar{h}_{t}\left(x_{\varphi}\right)-\bar{h}_{t}\left(x_{\varphi}^{\prime}\right)\right\| \\
\leq & \sup \left\{\left\|\bar{h}_{t}^{\prime}\left(x_{\varphi}^{\prime}+\theta\left(x_{\varphi}-x_{\varphi}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\|: \theta \in[0,1]\right\} \cdot\left\|x_{\varphi}-x_{\varphi}^{\prime}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2 C} r_{a} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (12) and (15) imply that

$$
\left\|\bar{h}_{t}\left(x_{\varphi}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|\bar{h}_{t}\left(x_{\varphi}\right)-\bar{h}_{t_{a}}(0)\right\|+\left\|\bar{h}_{t_{a}}(0)\right\| \leq \frac{r_{a}}{2 C}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\bar{T}_{t}\left(x_{\varphi}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, t_{a}\right)^{-1}\right\|\left\|\bar{h}\left(x_{\varphi}, t\right)\right\| \leq r_{a} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will apply Theorem 2 to the sets and mappings which have just been defined. The metric space $\left(I_{a},|\cdot|\right)$ is the parameter space of hypothesis (i) needed in Theorem 2. The set $A_{a}$ is considered as the closed and non-empty set and $X$ as the complete metric space of hypothesis (ii), which is verified below:

From Equation (16), for any fixed $t \in I_{a}$, and for all $x_{\varphi} \in A_{a}$, we have $\left\|\bar{T}_{t} x_{\varphi}\right\| \leq r_{a}$, therefore $\bar{T}_{t} x_{\varphi} \in A_{a}$, and hence $\bar{T}_{t}: A_{a} \rightarrow A_{a}$, i.e., $\bar{T}_{t}$ maps the closed and non-empty set $A_{a}$ of the Banach space $X$ into itself.

From Equations (9), (13), and the Taylor Theorem, for any $x_{\varphi}, x_{\varphi}^{\prime} \in A_{a}$, and for any $t \in I_{a}$, the following holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\bar{T}_{t}\left(x_{\varphi}\right)-\bar{T}_{t}\left(x_{\varphi}^{\prime}\right)\right\| & \leq\left\|\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, t_{a}\right)^{-1}\right\|\left\|\bar{h}_{t}^{\prime}\left(x_{\varphi}^{\prime}+\theta\left(x_{\varphi}-x_{\varphi}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\|\left\|x_{\varphi}-x_{\varphi}^{\prime}\right\| \\
& \leq C\left\|\bar{h}_{t}^{\prime}\left(x_{\varphi}^{\prime}+\theta\left(x_{\varphi}-x_{\varphi}^{\prime}\right)\right)-\bar{h}_{t_{a}}^{\prime}(0)\right\|\left\|x_{\varphi}-x_{\varphi}^{\prime}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{\varphi}-x_{\varphi}^{\prime}\right\|,(\theta \in[0,1])
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\bar{T}_{t}$ is half-contractive for any fixed $t \in I_{a}$. Hence hypothesis (ii) of Theorem 2 is verified.

For any fixed $t_{0} \in I_{a}$ and for all $x_{\varphi} \in A_{a}$, the following holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{T}_{t}\left(x_{\varphi}\right) & =\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, t_{a}\right)^{-1}\left(\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, t_{a}\right)\left(x_{\varphi}\right)-\bar{H}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}+x_{\varphi}, t\right)\right) \\
& \rightarrow \bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, t_{a}\right)^{-1}\left(\bar{H}_{x}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}, t_{a}\right)\left(x_{\varphi}\right)-\bar{H}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}+x_{\varphi}, t_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =\bar{T}_{t_{0}}\left(x_{\varphi}\right) \text { as } t \rightarrow t_{0}, t \in I_{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore hypothesis (iii) of Theorem 2 is also verified. Hence Theorem $2 \mathrm{im}-$ plies, for any $t \in I_{a}$, that $\bar{T}_{t}$ has a unique fixed point $x_{\varphi} \in A_{a}, \bar{T}_{t}\left(x_{\varphi}\right)=x_{\varphi}:=$ $x_{\varphi}(t)$, and $x_{\varphi}(t) \rightarrow x_{\varphi}\left(t_{0}\right)$ while $t \rightarrow t_{0} ; t, t_{0} \in I_{a}$, i.e., $x_{\varphi}(\cdot)$ is a continuous mapping. Thus for any $t \in I_{a}$ there is only one $x_{\varphi} \in A_{a}$, i.e.,

$$
\bar{T}_{t}\left(x_{\varphi}\right)=x_{\varphi}:=x_{\varphi}(t)
$$

and the mapping, we have just defined, $x_{\varphi}(\cdot)$ verifies

$$
x_{\varphi}(t) \rightarrow x_{\varphi}\left(t_{0}\right), \text { while } t \rightarrow t_{0}, \forall t, t_{0} \in I_{a}
$$

which implies that $x_{\varphi}(\cdot)$ is a continuous mapping. Thus for any $t \in I_{a}$ there is one $x_{\varphi}(t)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{H}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}+x_{\varphi}(t), t\right)=0, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and furthermore

$$
\bar{H}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}+x_{\varphi}(t), t\right) \rightarrow \bar{H}\left(x_{a_{\varphi}}+x_{\varphi}\left(t_{0}\right), t_{0}\right)=0 \text { while } t \rightarrow t_{0}, t, t_{0} \in I_{a} .
$$

Let us observe that $\bar{T}_{t_{a}}(0)=0, x_{\varphi}\left(t_{a}\right)=0$.
Equation (17) can be written as $\bar{H}(\bar{\alpha}(t), t)=0$, which is verified for all $t \in I_{a}$, where $\bar{\alpha}$ is the following curve on the chart space $(U, \varphi)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\alpha}: I_{a} \rightarrow U_{\varphi} \subset X, \bar{\alpha}(t):=x_{a_{\varphi}}+x_{\varphi}(t), \text { where } \bar{\alpha}\left(t_{a}\right)=x_{a_{\varphi}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is one of the goals of this section.
The continuity of both $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\varphi^{-1}$ lets us construct the following curve $\alpha$ on the topological space $M$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha: I_{a} \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow U \subset M, \alpha(t):=\left(\varphi^{-1} \circ \bar{\alpha}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (18) and (19) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(t_{a}\right)=x_{a} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be used in the next section.
Equation (17) implies that

$$
\bar{H}(\bar{\alpha}(t), t)=\left(H \circ\left(\varphi^{-1}, I d\right)\right)(\bar{\alpha}(t), t)=H(\alpha(t), t)=0, \forall t \in I a
$$

which is the another goal of this section.
(c) Conclusions (a) and (b) will be proved here.

Since $f^{-1}(0)=x^{*}$ from hypothesis (i), then there exists $U_{j}$ such that $H^{-1}(0) \cap\left(U_{j} \times[0,1]\right) \neq \emptyset$, therefore there is a point $\left(x^{*}, 0\right) \in H^{-1}(0), x^{*} \in U_{j}$, i.e., $\left(x^{*}, 0\right)$ is a "starting point".

Since $\left(x_{\varphi_{j}}^{*}, 0\right) \in \bar{H}^{-1}(0) \cap\left(U_{\varphi_{j}} \times[0,1]\right)$, i.e., $\left(x_{\varphi_{j}}^{*}, 0\right)$ is a "representative starting point", therefore from (b2) there exist a set $I_{0}$, and two continuous mappings $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\alpha$ such that

$$
\bar{\alpha}: I_{0} \rightarrow U_{\varphi_{j}} \subset X, \text { which verifies } \bar{H}(\bar{\alpha}(t), t)=0, \forall t \in I_{0}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha: I_{0} \rightarrow U_{j} \subset M, \text { which verifies } H(\alpha(t), t)=0, \forall t \in I_{0} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We want to extend the continuous mapping $\alpha: I_{0} \rightarrow M$ to be a continuous mapping $\alpha:[0,1] \rightarrow M$, and to extend Equation (21) to become

$$
H(\alpha(t), t)=0, \forall t \in[0,1]
$$

Let us suppose that $\alpha(t) \in M, \forall t \in[0, b], b \in U_{i}$. Mapping $\alpha$ is extended to the right of $b$ by taking $(\alpha(b), b)$, which belongs to $H^{-1}(0) \cap\left(U_{i} \times[0,1]\right), i \in I$, as the following "starting point". Equation (20) enables the continuous extension of the continuous mapping $\alpha$ to the right. The continuous extended mapping is also known as $\alpha$.

Mapping $\alpha$ is successively extended to the right in the same way by using its representative in the different charts of the atlas. Now we consider all intervals
$[0, b]=I_{\alpha}$ such that $H(\alpha(t), t)=0$, has a solution, $\forall t \in I_{\alpha}$. This solution is unique with maximal interval of existence equal to

$$
J=\bigcup_{\alpha} I_{\alpha} .
$$

Since $M$ is compact if $J=[0, b), b<1$, it follows from the compactness of $M$ that $\alpha(t) \rightarrow x \in M$, while $t \rightarrow b^{-}$, with $x \in U_{j}, j \in I$. From the continuity of $H$, we know that $H(x, b)=0$, therefore the point $(x, b) \in U_{j} \times[0,1]$, is a "starting point" and the point $\left(x_{\varphi_{j}}, b\right)$ is a "representative starting point" in $U_{j_{\varphi_{j}}} \times[0,1]$ and thus the solution of $H(\alpha(t), t)=0$ can be continued beyond $b$, which is a contradiction. Hence we can continue $\alpha$ until $t=1$, which leads to

$$
H(\alpha(1), 1)=f(\alpha(1))-g(\alpha(1))=0 .
$$

This provides an $x^{* *}$ such that $f\left(x^{* *}\right)=g\left(x^{* *}\right)$ is reached by the continuous mapping $\alpha:[0,1] \rightarrow M$, with $H(\alpha(t), t)=0, \forall t \in[0,1]$.

If $f$ and $g$ shared more than one value, then the previous construction from two different zeros of $f-g$, would lead us to conclude that there are two zeros for $f$, which contradicts one of the hypotheses, and therefore it can be concluded that there is only one single shared value.

## References

[1] J. C. Alexander and J. A. York, The homotopy continuation method: numerically implementable topological procedures, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 242 (1978), 271-284.
[2] E. L. Allgower, A survey of homotopy methods for smooth mappings, Numerical solution of nonlinear equations (Bremen, 1980), pp. 1-29, Lecture Notes in Math., 878, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981.
[3] E. L. Allgower and K. Georg, Numerical Continuation Methods, An introduction. Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, 13. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
[4] E. L. Allgower, K. Glashoff, and H. Peitgen, Proceedings of the Conference on Numerical Solution of Nonlinear Equations, Bremen, July 1980, Lecture Notes in Math. 878. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.
[5] C. B. García and T. I. Li, On the number of solutions to polynomial systems of equations, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 17 (1980), no. 4, 540-546.
6] C. B. Garcia and W. I. Zangwill, Determining all solutions to certain systems of nonlinear equations, Math. Oper. Res. 4 (1979), no. 1, 1-14.
[7] J. M. Soriano, Existence of zeros for bounded perturbations of proper mappings, Appl. Math. Comput. 99 (1999), no. 2-3, 255-259.
[8] , Global minimum point of a convex function, Appl. Math. Comput. 55 (1993), no. 2-3, 213-218.
[9] , Extremum points of a convex function, Appl. Math. Comput. 66 (1994), no. 2-3, 261-266.
[10] , On the existence of zero points, Appl. Math. Comput. 79 (1996), no. 1, 99-104.
[11] $-O n$
$\qquad$
[12] , On the Bézout theorem real case, Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal. 2 (1995), no. 4, 59-66.
[13] , On the Bezout theorem, Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal. 4 (1997), no. 2, 59-66.
[14] , Mappings sharing a value on finite-dimensional spaces, Appl. Math. Comput. 121 (2001), no. 2-3, 391-395.
[15] $\qquad$ , Compact mappings and proper mappings between Banach spaces that share a value, Math. Balkanica (N.S.) 14 (2000), no. 1-2, 161-166.
[16] , Zeros of compact perturbations of proper mappings, Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal. 7 (2000), no. 4, 31-37.
[17] $\qquad$ , A compactness condition, Appl. Math. Comput. 124 (2001), no. 3, 397-402.
[18] $\qquad$ , Open trajectories, Appl. Math. Comput. 124 (2001), no. 2, 235-240.
[19] $\qquad$ On the existence of zero points of a continuous function, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. 22 (2002), no. 2, 171-177.
[20] , Fredholm and compact mappings sharing a value, Appl. Math. Mech. 22 (2001), no. 6, 682-686.
[21] $\qquad$ , A stable solution, Appl. Math. Comput. 140 (2003), no. 2-3, 223-229.
$[22] \quad-$,
[23] , A regular value of compact deformation, Appl. Math. Mech. 27 (2006), no. 9, 1265-1274.
[24] , Existence and computation of zeros of perturbed mappings, Appl. Math. Comput. 173 (2006), no. 1, 457-467.
[25] , Continuation methods in Banach manifolds, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 38 (2007), no. 1, 67-80.
[26] E. Zeidler, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications. III, Variational methods and optimization. Translated from the German by Leo F. Boron. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
[27] $\qquad$ , Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications. IV, Applications to mathematical physics. Translated from the German and with a preface by Juergen Quandt. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
[28] , Applied Functional Analysis, Main principles and their applications. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 109. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Matemáticas
Universidad de Sevilla
Aptdo. 1160, Sevilla 41080, Spain
E-mail address: soriano@us.es

