

Strategic growth trough corporate venture capital activities: investment focus and strategies - empirical evidence

Luc Armel G. Da Gbadji*
Louvain School of Management
Luc.dagbadji@uclouvain.be

Benoit Gailly
Louvain School of Management
benoit.gailly@uclouvain.be

Abstract:

Well-established corporations relied more and more on open innovation approach such as the corporate venture capital in order to identify new business opportunities outside their boundaries. The pursuit of new business opportunities is an important source of value creation and competitive advantages in terms of technology and market. The main objectives of using such approach are strategic and aim at complementing in-house research and development, developing synergy with existing business units, enabling new value creation from collaborations with emerging venture-backed companies and facilitating corporate changes, future growth and expansion on emerging markets. We identified four main CVC investment focus and strategies: focus on (1) exploring new technologies vs. (2) exploiting existing technologies or on (3) exploring new markets vs. (4) developing existing markets. We additionally analyze the factors that may influence the choice of the above-mentioned CVC investment focus and strategies.

* Address of contact person: Luc Armel G. Da Gbadji, Louvain School of Management, Université catholique de Louvain, Place des Doyens 1, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium.

1. Introduction

Well-established corporations relied more and more on open innovation approach such as the corporate venture capital in order to identify new business opportunities outside their boundaries. The pursuit of new business opportunities is an important source of value creation and competitive advantages in terms of technology and market. The underlying objectives of using such approach are strategic and aim at complementing in-house research and development, developing synergy with existing business units, enabling new value creation from collaborations with emerging venture-backed companies and facilitating corporate changes and future growth.

In this paper, we used a quantitative method in order to analyze and shed more light on the question: What drive large corporations' CVC program to invest in new ventures that are operating in their own business sectors and in their own current markets?

The first part of this paper summarizes the main benefits from CVC activities that have been published in the recent academic literature. The second part of this paper uses a sample of well-established CVC investments and analyses the CVC investment orientations in terms of technology and market. In the third part we discuss the factors that influence the CVC investment focus and strategies. We find out that the performance (e.g. the ROA or the revenue change), the level of diversification, the tenure or the CEO may significantly influence the focus of the CVC investments. Those CVC investments may be focus on (1) exploring new technologies vs. (2) exploiting existing technologies or on (3) exploring new markets vs. (4) developing existing markets.

2. Literature Review

Corporate venture capital investments have been recognized as a powerful tool to explore and exploit new innovative and growth opportunities outside own corporate boundaries, as a means to boost future revenue (Gompers and Lerner, 2000; Birkinshaw, 1997; Block and MacMillan, 1993; Hill, et al., 2009). Many large corporations set up a corporate venture capital (CVC) program and invest in external entrepreneurial ventures in order to source innovative ideas, to have a window on emerging technologies and to save R&D costs and time as well (Alvarez and Barney, 2001; Dushnitsky, 2006; Hill and Birkinshaw, 2008; Hill et al., 2009; Zahra, 1996; Dushnitsky and Lavie, 2010). For well-established corporations, the pursuit of new business opportunities is an important source of value creation and competitive advantages in terms of technology and market. The main objectives of using CVC investments approach are strategic and aim at complementing in-house research and development, developing synergy with existing business units, enabling new value creation from collaborations with emerging venture-backed companies and facilitating corporate changes and future growth (Hellmann, 2001; Gompers, 2002; Bannock Consulting, 1999; Hill, et al., 2009).

2.1 The reasons why corporations invest in corporate venture capital

In the recent scientific literature, we identify two main categories of strategic benefits/objectives: the leveraging benefits and the option building benefits.

Well-established corporations engage in CVC activities in order to **leverage existing technologies, platforms and complementary resources** by stimulating and securing the demand for their current technologies and products (Dushnitsky and Lenox, 2005a, 2005b, 2006; Chesbrough, 2002; Riyanto and Schwenbacher, 2006; Kann, 2000; Maula, 2007). CVC investments give to parent companies an opportunity to support the internal and external use of their patents (Chesbrough, 2002; McKinsey & Co., 1998) and to facilitate the adoption of their technology (Chesbrough, 2002; Kann, 2000; Maula, 2007). Investing in high promising

new ventures, particularly those which develop complementary products and services, helps corporation to leverage its own complementary resources by adding new products to existing distribution channels (Skyes, 1990; Maula, 2007) and by enabling the use of excess plant space, time and people (Silver, 1993).

Additionally to the leveraging objectives, the CVC activities enable parent corporations to **build new options** since they allow the investing companies to explore and exploit new technologies and markets in order to anticipate and respond faster to market changes. CVC activities may facilitate the corporate diversification activities and expansion on markets different from those in which the corporation currently operates (Sykes, 1986; Kann, 2000; Keil, 2000; Chesbrough, 2002). Investing in corporate venture capital program may help corporation in identifying, screening and assessing potential acquisition targets and to develop new business relationships (Siegel et al., 1988; Sykes, 1990; Maula, 2007). CVC provides a window on new technologies, markets, business models and practices to corporations (Dushnitsky and Lenox, 2006; Keil, 2000; Maula 2007; Siegel et al., 1988; Sykes, 1990).

This study aims at exploring the factors that influence the CVC investment focus and strategies. We identify four main investment focus or strategies of CVC programs (see table 1).

	Market exploration vs. market reinforcement strategies <i>(Investments in startups located in own market geographic areas vs. in other new geographic areas)</i>	
Technology exploration vs. technology reinforcement strategies <i>(Investments in own vs. in other new business sectors)</i>	- Focus on own existing technologies (same business sectors) - Focus on own current markets	- Focus on own existing technologies (same business sectors) - Focus on new markets (e.g. emerging markets)
	- Focus on new or complementary technologies (other new or complementary business sectors) - Focus on own current markets	- Focus on new or complementary technologies (other new or complementary business sectors) - Focus on new markets (e.g. emerging markets)

Table 1: Mapping the corporate venture capital investments: CVC investment strategies in terms of technologies and markets

3. Research Hypotheses

In Section 2, we presented various studies examining the strategic motives of large corporations for setting up CVC programs and investing in new promising start-ups. In this section, we identify different factors that may influence the investment focus and strategies of CVC programs and we present several hypotheses that will be tested in the next sections.

The recent CVC literatures have shown that several factors at corporate, new venture or business environment levels may motivate parent corporations to set up a CVC program and to develop a technology or a market diversification or reinforcement strategies for their CVC investments. Firms often engage in corporate entrepreneurship to strengthen performance and secure further growth through both strategic renewal and the creation of new

business opportunities (Guth and Ginsberg, 1990; Lumpkin and Lichtenstein, 2005). The need to engage in CVC activities increase much more as the parent corporation product portfolio matures and the corporate performance turns down or stagnates. This may also motivates the choice of the CVC investment focus and strategies.

Our initial hypotheses are related to the need of corporations to strengthen their performance and boost further growth through their CVC investment activities.

Hypothesis 1a: *The revenue change of the corporation is positively related to the likelihood of CVC investments in the same business sector.*

Hypothesis 1b: *The return on assets of the corporation is positively related to the likelihood of CVC investments in the same business sector.*

Hypothesis 1c: *The revenue change of the corporation is positively related to the likelihood of CVC investments in new venture located in the same market geographic areas.*

Hypothesis 1d: *The return on assets of the corporation is positively related to the likelihood of CVC investments in new venture located in the same market geographic areas.*

Additionally to the financial performances of the parent corporation, the availability of financial resources may also motivate the choice of the CVC investment focus and strategies. Previous studies (Fazzari and Athey, 1987; Fazzari, Hubbard, and Petersen, 1988) have shown that corporate investments in general and internal and external R&D expenditures in particular (e.g. CVC can be viewed as an external R&D investments) are highly sensitive to corporate cash flows (i.e. to the availability of internal funds). Schroth and Szalay (2010) study how firm's financing constraints affect its decision to pursue innovations and particularly how it affects the patenting race. They identify that innovative success depends on how much more cash the firm has relative to its rivals. Furthermore, Souder and Shaver (2010) examine the conditions under which firms make long horizon investments (i.e., investments that take a long period of time to pay off). Capital availability is a function of performance and provides an organization with slack, and high performers are able to use slack search to foster future growth through the development of new businesses (Souder and Shaver, 2010). Souder and Shaver (2010) find a positive and significant effect of relative operating cash flow on long-horizon investments. For incumbent firms, CVC investments due to its strategic orientation may be viewed as long-horizon investments and may be sensitive to the firm's cash flow variation. Additionally, Dushnitsky and Lenox (2005a) have investigated the linkage between the corporate change in cash flow and the CVC investments. They indicated a positive relationship between firm CVC investments and firm internal cash flow.

Hypothesis 2a: *A corporation with significant financial internal resources is more likely to invest through its CVC program in the same business sector.*

Hypothesis 2b: *A corporation with significant financial internal resources is more likely to invest through its CVC program in new venture located in the same market geographic areas.*

In addition to the above mentioned factors at corporate level that influence corporate venture capital activities, other factors being worth mentioning are the level of diversification and the stability of the management which might influence the CVC choices setting. The top management commitment and its stability may have an impact on CVC programs

(Chesbrough, 2002). In particular, investment decisions may be influenced by CEO tenure (e.g., Hambrick and Fukutomi, 1991).

Hypothesis 3a: The level of diversification of the corporation is negatively related to the likelihood of CVC investments in the same business sector.

Hypothesis 3b: The level of diversification of the corporation is positively related to the likelihood of CVC investments in the same market geographic areas.

Hypothesis 4a: The CEO tenure is positively related to the likelihood of CVC investments in the same business sector.

Hypothesis 4b: The CEO tenure is positively related to the likelihood of CVC investments in the same market geographic areas.

4. Data and Variables

In this study, we focus particularly our analysis on the 2008 Fortune Global 500 list of companies. We use the membership directories of the EVCA, the NVCA and other local VC associations of the same year (2008) to identify whether the Global Fortune 500 Companies have set up a CVC unit or not. For those Global Fortune 500 companies not members of these VC associations, we further take into account the corporations that are listed as parent companies in the VentureXpert database and those CVC deals' dates are not earlier than 2006. We identify in the VentureXpert database the CVC investments and deals of the companies that have set up a CVC program. We classify parent corporations' and new ventures' economic activities according to the Industry Classification Benchmark (ICB) used by the Dow Jones and the FTSE Indexes. Multi-business companies have been classified in the industrial sector that represents the greatest volume of their revenues. Finally, we include in all our specifications dummies for the major industry classification to account for differences in opportunities across industries. In order to build our independent variables, we gather additional data at corporate- and industry- and country-levels from several sources (Fortune Magazine, Datastream, Compustat, Damodaran, Eurostat, Businessweek, Business World/INSEAD, Global Entrepreneurship Monitor, etc. databases). The Table 2 describes all dependant and independent variables we use.

(Insert table. 2)

Since our dependent variables are dummy variables "CVC investment in same business sector" (dummy = 1 if the CVC invests in the same business sector) and "CVC investment in the same market geographic areas" (dummy = 1 if the CVC invests in the market geographic areas), we use in all analyses Probit regressions as the method of estimation.

5. Preliminary results and analysis

In this section we use the above-mentioned dataset and probit regressions as method of estimation to investigate what drive large corporations' CVC program to invest in new ventures that are operating in their own business sectors and in their own current markets.

The table 3 presents the statistic summary of our sample.

(Insert table. 3)

The table 4 presents the statistic summary of our sample that shows a mapping of the CVC investment strategies in terms of technologies and markets.

(Insert table. 4)

The table 5 provides the pair-wise correlation values.

(Insert table. 5)

The table 6 summarizes the results of the different regressions we ran. It presents particularly the determinants of CVC investments in the same business sector.

(Insert table. 6)

The table 7 summarizes the results of additional regressions (7) and (8) and presents particularly the determinants of CVC investments in the same current markets.

(Insert table. 7)

In our first regression, we control the new venture's industry and geography. Since the industry and geography variables are dummies, we use the ICT (Computer related) industry and firms located in Europe as based groups. The effect of the energy (renewable/sustainable energy), telecommunication and VC funds sectors is positive and significant at 1%, which means as compared to the ICT sector; those new ventures in these industries will be more likely to invest in the same business line, given the other variables being constant. For instance in the sustainable energy sector and compared to the ICT industry, we will witness approximately 85% more that corporations and new ventures have the same line of business. On the other hand, the investments of corporations in new ventures in industrial goods & services and in consumer goods industries seem to target other business lines as a strategy to explore other business opportunities and to extend their diversification activities. The estimation results do not indicate the geographical impact of new ventures' regions on the CVC investment in same business sector. The coefficients of geography variables are statistically insignificant. According to the corporate financial variables we find a positive relation and significance at 1% for the revenue change, the return on assets and the number of employees. This provides strong support for the hypothesis 1a and 1b and means that the corporate revenue change and return on assets influence positively the likelihood of CVC investments in same business sector.

In our second regression, we take into account the total assets of the parent corporation. The previous results related to the revenue change, the return on assets, the industries and the countries remain the same. We use the economic size (log of total assets) of the parent corporation. We found that it has a positive and significant effect (at 1%) on the likelihood of CVC investments in same business sector, but the effect is marginally decreasing when we include the square of corporations' economic size (total assets). Larger firms will invest more in the same business sector, however, as they move up their expansion scale, the focus strategy does not produce profits as expected and they start to consider the diversification through investing in another business sector (diversification strategy). This regression provides strong support for the importance of the assets (hypothesis 1b) in the CVC investment focus and strategies.

In the third regression, we include as well as corporate, industry/country and new venture factors. We test particularly the effect of the corporate diversification level, the corporate financial resources, the managerial stability, the geography of the corporation, the industry technology potential and opportunities and finally the degree of innovation and entrepreneurial activities at country levels. The results concerning the economic size (total assets) remain robust. The corporate diversification level is significant at 1% and has a negative effect on the likelihood of CVC investments in same business sector. That means well-diversified corporations (hypothesis 3a) that have sufficient total assets, high number of skilled employees, stable management and operate in an innovative environment or an industry with high technology potential will probably invest much more in its own/current business sectors. Surprisingly, they will not invest in new venture located in environment where the entrepreneurial activities are well developed and where the business opportunities are high in the same sector; may be because they want to avoid helping potential future competitors. We could not find any support for hypothesis 2a related to the availability of financial internal resources. The results related to this variable are not significant.

In the regressions (4), we leave aside the ROA, and the industries of the new ventures. The previous results related to the total asset, the corporate diversification level, the management stability, the industry technology potential, the industry opportunity growth, the innovative environment remain significant and consistent.

In the regressions (5) and (6), we leave aside the ROA, and we include all factors related to the new ventures (industries, development stage, and geography). The revenue change becomes a determinant of CVC investments in the same business sector as in the previous regressions (1) and (2). Moreover the previous results remain consistent and additionally we found out that taking in account these variables and in comparison to the investments in the early stage, CVCs will avoid investing during the expansion/growth and the later stage or the other stages of the new ventures. But as we argue in the hypothesis 1c, CVCs are interested in the emerging market and invest in the same business in other countries such as China, South America and other Asia (market expansion strategy). We find a support for hypotheses 1c, 1d, 3b, 4a, and 4b (see regressions (7) and (8)). We do not find a support for the hypothesis 2b.

6. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we review motives and the benefits of CVC investments and we identify the factors that influence the CVC investment focus and strategies. We focus our attention on the 2008 Fortune Global 500 list of companies and we specifically target the CVC investments and deals of the companies that have set up a CVC program. We study the business characteristics of those large corporations, their business environment and the characteristics of the start-ups they have invested in. We use multiple probit regressions to identify the determinants of CVC investments in the same business sector and in the same markets.

We found out that the revenue change, the return on assets, industries with significant and high industrial R&D intensity (high technology potential), environments with a higher level of innovativeness and new ventures located in emerging countries are positively influencing the decision of CVC investments in the same business sector and in the same market. In contrast, a high level of diversification of the corporation, environments with a higher level of entrepreneurial activity, are negatively influencing the decision of CVC investments in the same business sector but are positively influencing the decision of CVC investments in the same markets. We could not find a support for whether internal financial

resources are determinant for CVC investments in the same business sector or in the same markets, the results shown by this variable are not significant but the coefficients are positive.

To conclude we could say that large corporations invest much more in innovative entrepreneurial ventures and particularly those which businesses are complementary to their core business. Thus, they invest mostly for strategic motives and explore proactively newness or complementary resources in order to build additional competitive capabilities or facilitate a strategic repositioning. They avoid investing in potential future competitors. Moreover, the more the parent corporation is diversified the less the likelihood that it will invest in its own sector. Most CVC programs invest in portfolio companies operating in industry with high technology potential or in portfolio companies located in innovative or emerging countries. This paper stresses on what drives companies to set up CVC programs and to target specific new ventures.

As managerial implications, this study highlights the importance of several factors at corporate, new venture, industrial and country levels. These factors may serve as indicators for the assessment of firms or industry performance, as control lever for the identifications of capabilities needs. The results may help managers to develop an efficient strategy for their CVC investments, to add more value and enable greater strategic benefits from their investment portfolios.

Bibliographic references

- Abernathy, W.J., Utterback, J.M. (1978). "Patterns of Industrial Innovation" *Technology Review* 80 (7), 40–47.
- Alvarez SA, Barney JB. (2001). "How can entrepreneurial firms really benefit from alliances with large firms?" *Academy of Management Executive* 15: 139–151.
- Anderson, P., Tushman, M.L. (1990). "Technological Discontinuities and Dominant Designs: A Cyclical Model of Technological Change". *Administrative Science Quarterly* 35, 604–633.
- Bannock Consulting Ltd (1999), "Corporate venturing in Europe", study for the European Commission DGXIII EIMS 98/176, Final Report.
- Basu, S., C. Phelps and S. Kotha (2009), "Towards understanding who makes corporate venture capital investments and why". *Journal of Business Venturing*, forthcoming.
- Birkinshaw J. (1997). "Entrepreneurship in multinational corporations: the characteristics of subsidiary initiatives". *Strategic Management Journal* 18(3): 207–209
- Birkinshaw, J., Batenburg, R.V.B. and Murray G (2002). "Venturing to succeed". *Business Management review*, 13(4): 10-17.
- Chao, R. O. and Kavadias, S. (2009). "R&D intensity and the NPD Portfolio", Working paper, January 2009.
- Chen, L., and X. Zhao (2006). "On the relation between the market-to-book ratio, growth opportunity, and leverage ratio." *Finance Research Letters* 3, 253–266.
- Chesbrough, H.W. (2002) "Making sense of corporate venture capital." *Harvard Business Review* Vol. 80 (3): 90-99.
- De Clercq D., D. Dimov and N. Thongpapanl (2010), "The moderating impact of internal social exchange processes on the entrepreneurial orientation–performance relationship." *Journal of Business Venturing* 25, 87–103.
- Dess, G.G., R.D. Ireland, S.A. Zahra, S.W. Floyd, J.J. Janney and P.J. Lane (2003). "Emerging Issues in Corporate Entrepreneurship". *Journal of Management* 29(3), 351-378.
- Dess, G.G., G.T. Lumpkin and J.G. Covin (1997), "Entrepreneurial strategy making and firm performance: Tests of contingency and configurational models". *Strategic Management Journal* 18, 677-695.
- Dushnitsky G. (2006). "Corporate venture capital: past evidence and future directions". In *Oxford Handbook of Entrepreneurship*, Casson M, Yeung B, Basu A, Wadson N (eds). Oxford University Press: Oxford, U.K.; 387–434. Row: New York.
- Dushnitsky G., and D. Lavie (2010). "How alliance formation shapes corporate venture capital investment in the software industry: a resource-based perspective." *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, Volume 4(1): 22-48
- Dushnitsky, G. and Lenox, M.J., (2006) "When Does Corporate Venture Capital Create Firm Value?" *Journal of Business Venturing*. 21(6): 753-772.
- Dushnitsky, G. and Lenox, M.J. (2005a) "When do firms undertake R&D by investing in new ventures?" *Strategic Management Journal* 26 (10), 947–965.
- Dushnitsky, G. and Lenox, M.J., (2005b) "When do incumbents learn from entrepreneurial ventures? Corporate venture capital and investing firm innovation rates." *Research Policy* 34 (5), 615– 639.
- Dushnitsky, G. and Shaver J. M. (2006). "Limitations to inter-organizational knowledge acquisitions: The paradox of corporate venture capital". Working paper, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia.

- Fazzari S., and M. Athey (1987), "Asymmetric information, financing constraints and investment." *Review of Economics and Statistics* 69, 481-487.
- Fazzari, S., R.G. Hubbard and B. Peterson (1988), "Investment and finance reconsidered." *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 141-195.
- Cassiman B, Veugelers R. (2002). "Complementarity in the innovation strategy: internal R&D, external technology acquisition and co-operation in R&D". KULeuven and CEPR Discussion Paper 3284.
- Clark, K. B. (1983). "How industries evolve: the interaction of design hierarchies and market concept", Division of Research, *Harvard Business School*, Working Paper HBS 84-20, 1983.
- Gompers, P. (2002). "Corporations and the financing of innovation: The corporate venturing experience". *Economic Review of the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta* Q4, 1-17.
- Gompers, P. and Lerner, J. (2001a) "The Money of Invention: How Venture Capital Creates New Wealth", *Harvard Business School Press*
- Gompers, P. and J. Lerner. (2001b) "The venture capital revolution." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 15(2): 145-168.
- Gompers, P. and Lerner, J. (2000). "Money chasing deals? The impact of fund inflows on private equity valuations". *Journal of Financial Economics* 55, 281-325.
- Gompers, P. and Lerner, J. (1998) "The determinants of corporate venture Capital success: Organizational structure, incentives, and Complementarities." National Bureau of Economic Research, Working Paper 6725.
- Guth, W.D., and A. Ginsberg (1990), *Corporate entrepreneurship*. *Strategic Management Journal* 11 (Special Issue), 5-15.
- Hambrick D.C and G.D.S. Fukutomi (1991), *The seasons of a CEO's tenure*. *Academy of Management Review* 16(4): 719-742.
- Hawawini G., V. Subramanian and P. Verdin, (2003). "Is Performance Driven by Industry- or Firm-Specific Factors? A New Look at the Evidence", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 24:1-16
- Hellmann T. (2001) "A theory of strategic venture investing." *Journal of Financial Economics* 64: 285-314.
- Hill S, Birkinshaw J. (2008). "Strategy-structure configurations in corporate venture units: impact on performance and survival." *Journal of Business Venturing* 23(4): 423-444.
- Hill S, Maula M, Birkinshaw J, Murray G. (2009). "Transferability of the venture capital model to the corporate context: implications for the performance of corporate venture units." *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal* 3(1): 3-27.
- Kann, A. (2000) "Strategic venture capital investing by corporations: A framework for structuring and valuing corporate venture capital programs." Doctoral dissertation. Stanford University.
- Keil, T. (2000) "External Corporate Venturing: Cognition, Speed and Capability Development." Helsinki University of Technology Institute of Strategy and International Business. Doctoral Dissertations 2000/2. Espoo, Finland.
- Keil, T., Maula, M., and Schildt, H. (2003) "Corporate Venturing Modes and their Impact on Learning from Venturing." In W. D. Bygrave (Ed.), *Frontiers of Entrepreneurship Research 2003*. Boston: Babson College. 471 - 485.
- Kortum S. and Lerner J. (2000), "Assessing the contribution of VC to innovation", *Rand Journal of Economics*, 31(4): 674-692

- Kuratko, D.F. and D. B., Audretsch (2009), "Strategic Entrepreneurship: Exploring Different Perspectives of an Emerging Concept." *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, Vol. 33, Issue 1, pp. 1-17, January 2009
- Liu, L.X. (2009), "Historical market-to-book in a partial adjustment model of leverage." *Journal of Corporate Finance*, forthcoming.
- Lumpkin, G.T., and G.G. Dess (2001), "Linking two dimensions of entrepreneurial orientation to firm performance: The moderating role of environment and industry life cycle." *Journal of Business Venturing* 16, 429-451.
- Lumpkin, G.T. and B.B. Lichtenstein (2005), *The role of organizational learning in the opportunity-recognition process*, *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 4: 451-472.
- Maula, M.V.J. (2007) "Corporate Venture Capital as a Strategic Tool for Corporations". In Landström, H. (Ed.) *Handbook of Research on Venture Capital (2007)*,. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd., 371-392.
- Maula, M. (2001) "Corporate venture capital and the value-added for technology-based new firms". Helsinki University of Technology Institute of Strategy and International Business. Doctoral Dissertations 2001/1. Espoo, Finland.
- Maula, M., E. Autio and G. Murray (2003), "Prerequisites for the creation of social capital and knowledge acquisition in corporate venture capital." *Venture Capital* 5 (2), 117–134.
- Maula, M. and Murray, G. (2002). "Corporate venture capital and the creation of U.S. public companies: The impact of sources of venture capital on the performance of portfolio companies", in M.A. Hitt, R. Amit, C. Lucier, and R.D. Nixon, (eds.), *Creating Value: Winners in the New Business Environment (Oxford: Blackwell Publishers)*.
- McGahan, A., Porter, M. (1997), "How much does industry matter, really?", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 18 (summer special issue),15-30.
- McGahan, A. M. and M. E. Porter (1997). 'The persistence of profitability: Comparing the market-structure and Chicago Views', manuscript, *Harvard Business School*.
- McKinsey and Co. (1998) "U.S. Venture Capital – Industry Overview and Economics", *McKinsey Summary Document*, September.
- Metrick Andrew (2007), "Venture Capital and the Finance of Innovation", *John Wiley & Sons*, 2007
- Narayanan, V.K., Y. Yang and S.A. Zahra (2009), "Corporate Venturing and Value Creation: A Review and Proposed Framework." *Research Policy* 38(1), 58-76.
- OECD (2008) "Open Innovation in Global Networks", OECD, Paris
- Porter, M. (1983) "The technological dimension of competitive strategy", in Rosenbloom, R. S. (ed.), *Research on Technological Innovation*, *Management and Policy*, JAI Press, Greenwich, CT, 1983.
- Reynolds, P., N. Bosma, E. Autio, S. Hunt, N. DeBono, I. Servais, P. Lopez-Garcia and N. Chin (2005), "Global entrepreneurship monitor: Data collection design and implementation 1998-2003." *Small Business Economics*, 24(3), 205–231.
- Rumelt RP, Schendel DE, Teece DJ. (1994). „Fundamental Issues in Strategy". Harvard Business School Press: Boston, MA.
- Riyanto, Y. E. and Schwienbacher, A. (2006) "The strategic use of corporate venture financing for securing demand." *Journal of Banking & Finance* 30 (10) 2809–2833

- Sahaym, A., Steensma Kevin H. and Barden Jeffrey Q., (2009) "The influence of R&D investment on the use of corporate venture capital: An industry-level analysis", *Journal of Business Venturing*, doi:10.1016/j.jbusvent.2008.12.001
- Schildt, H., Maula, M. and Keil, T. (2005) "Explorative and Exploitative Learning from External Corporate Ventures." *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, Vol.29(4), pp. 493-515.
- Schroth E. and D. Szalay, 2010. "Cash Breeds Success: The Role of Financing Constraints in Patent Races," *Review of Finance*, Oxford University Press for European Finance Association, 14(1), 73-118.
- Short J.C., D.J. Ketchen; T.B. Palmer and G.T.M. Hult, (2007). "Firm, strategic group, and industry influences on performance" *Strategic Management Journal* 28 (2): 147–167
- Siegel, R, Siegel, E and MacMillan, I. (1988) "Corporate Venture Capitalists: Autonomy, Obstacles, and Performance." *Journal of Business Venturing*, 3. Pp. 233 – 248.
- Silver, DA., (1993) "Strategic Partnering". *McGraw-Hill: New York, NY*.
- Souder, D. and J. M. Shaver (2010), *Constraints and incentives for making long horizon corporate investments*. *Strategic Management Journal*, 31(12), 1316–1336
- Souza et al. (2004), "New Product Strategy and Industry Clockspeed", *Management Science* 50(4) 537-549.
- Spanos, Y.E., Zaralis, G., Lioukas, S. (2004), "Strategy and industry effects on profitability: evidence from Greece", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 25:139-165
- Strebel P. (1987), "Organizing for Innovation over an Industry Cycle", *Strategic Management Journal*, 8(2), pp. 117-124.
- Sykes H. (1990) "Corporate venture capital: Strategies for success." *Journal of Business Venturing*, 5 (1):37-47.
- Sykes, H. (1986) "The anatomy of a corporate venturing program: Factors influencing success." *Journal of Business Venturing*, 1(3), 275-293.
- Weber, C., and B. Weber (2009), "Exploring the antecedents of social liabilities in CVC triads-A dynamic social network perspective." *Journal of Business Venturing*, forthcoming.
- Weber B. and Weber C. (2007) "Corporate venture capital as a means of radical innovation: Relational fit, social capital and knowledge transfer". *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, 24: 11–35
- Wong P.K., Y.P. Ho, and E. Autio (2005), "Entrepreneurship, Innovation and Economic Growth: Evidence from GEM data," *Small Business Economics* 24, 335-350.
- Zahra SA. (1996). "Governance, ownership, and corporate entrepreneurship: the moderating impact of industry technological opportunities." *Academy of Management Journal* 39(6): 1713–1735.
- Zahra, S.A., Garvis, D.M. (2000), "International corporate entrepreneurship: the moderating effect of international environmental hostility", *Journal of Business Venturing*, Vol. 15: 469-92.

TABLE 2: Definition of Variables

Variable	Definition
Same business sector (Dummy)	Dummy = 1 if the CVC invests in the same business sector
same market geographic areas (Dummy)	Dummy = 1 if the CVC invests in the same business sector
Corporate Revenue	Annual revenue of the corporation, in USD million for the fiscal year ended Dec. 31, 2007
Corporate Revenue change	Revenue change of the corporation, measured at the fiscal year ended Dec. 31, 2007
Corporate Assets	Total asset value (in accounting value) of the corporation, in USD 1000 billion for the fiscal year ended Dec. 31, 2007; this variable measures the size of the corporation
Corporate ROA	"Return On Assets" of the corporation, as measured by the ratio of net income over total assets for the fiscal year ended Dec. 31, 2007
Corporate Financial Resources	Ratio of Net Operating Cash Flows over total assets (Source: Datastream; Compustat)
Corporate Nbr. Employees	Number of employees, in thousands for the fiscal year ended Dec. 31, 2007
Corporate Diversification level	Number of business lines that participate to the revenue of the corporation
Corporate CEO Tenure	Number of years that the CEO was already in place at the time of the analysis (Source: Businessweek database)
Corp. North America (Dummy)	Dummy = 1 if the corporation's headquarters is located in North America (USA and Canada)
Corp. Europe (Dummy)	Dummy = 1 if the corporation's headquarters is located in Europe
Corp. Other Countries (Dummy)	Dummy = 1 if the corporation's headquarters is located Asia-Pacific and in any other country than those specified above
Corporate industry (Dummies)	Dummy = 1 if the corporation is active in a specific industry sector; we use a dummy variable for each of the following industries: Automobiles & parts; Basic materials; Chemicals; Computer related industries (hardware, software, office equipments); Consumer goods; Consumer services & retail; Electronics, electrical components & equipment; Financials (banks, insurance & real estate); Health care equipment & services; Heavy construction & building materials; Industrial engineering & farm machinery; Industrial transportation; Oil & gas; Pharmaceuticals & biotechnology; Telecommunications; Utilities
R&D Industry Index	R&D investment expressed as a percentage of net sales for each industry (Source: 2008 EU Industrial R&D Investment Scoreboard database)
Industry Market-to-Book Ratio	Value to book ratios by industry group for 2006 (Source: Damodaran's data site)
Global Innovation Index	Value of the "Global Innovation Index" (as constructed by Business World/INSEAD) of the country where the corporation's headquarters is located
TEA	Value of the 2008 "Early-Stage Entrepreneurial Activity" index (as constructed by the Global Entrepreneurship Monitor) of the country where the corporation's headquarters is located
Early-Stage Investments (% GDP)	Venture capital early-stage investments by country, as a percentage of GDP (Source: Eurostat, 2009)
Later-Stage Investments (% GDP)	Venture capital expansion-stage and replacement investments by country, as a percentage of GDP (Source: Eurostat, 2009)
G 20 membership (Dummy)	Dummy = 1 if the corporation's headquarters is located in a G 20 country
New venture North America (Dummy)	Dummy = 1 if the new venture is located in North America (USA and Canada)
New venture Europe (Dummy)	Dummy = 1 if the new venture is located in Europe
New venture Other Countries (Dummy)	Dummy = 1 if the new venture is located Asia-Pacific and in any other country than those specified above
New venture industry (Dummies)	Dummy = 1 if the new venture is active in a specific industry sector; we use a dummy variable for each of the following industries: Energy, Chemicals and materials; Industrial Eng. good & services; Technology (Computer related industries, hardware, software, office equipments, semiconductors); Consumer goods; Financials (funds); Health care (Pharmaceuticals, biotechnology, equipment & services); Telecommunications
New venture Development stage (Dummies)	Dummy = 1 if the new venture is in a specific development stage; we use a dummy variable for each of the following stages (Early Stage; Expansion and Growth; Later Stage and others)

TABLE 3: Summary Statistics

Variables are defined in Table 1.

Variable	Observations	Full Sample			
		Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Same business sector (Dummy)	2699	0.139	0.346	0	1
Corp. Revenue	2699	73910.43	58749.91	17037.4	210783
Corp. Revenue change	2699	20.148	22.949	-9.9	88.6
Corp. ROA	2699	4.986	6.558	-10	17
Corp. Assets	2699	228845.6	304729.7	12879.1	1124788
Corp. Financial Resource	2597	0.093	0.066	-0.071	0.228
Corp. Nbr. Employees	2699	140808.2	107319.4	9626	390000
Ln (Nbr. Employees)	2699	11.507	0.917	9.1722	12.873
Corp. Diversification level	2681	2.123	1.106	1	7
Corporate CEO Tenure	2528	6.276	4.485	1	32
Corp. Europe (Dummy)	2699	0.256	0.436	0	1
Corp. North America (Dummy)	2699	0.579	0.494	0	1
Corp. Other Countries (Dummy)	2699	0.165	0.371	0	1
R&D Industry Index	2699	3.391	4.195	0.38	15.15
Industry Market-to-Book Ratio	2551	3.686	2.534	1.49	8.36
Global Innovation Index	2699	5.277	0.647	3.38	5.8
TEA	2699	7.379	2.683	3.2	9.6
G 20 membership (Dummy)	2699	0.983	0.128	0	1
Early-Stage Investments (% GDP)	2253	0.029	0.007	0.011	0.055
Later-Stage Investments (% GDP)	2253	0.109	0.051	0.035	0.31
NV North America (Dummy)	2699	0.874	0.332	0	1
Nv Other Countries (Dummy)	2699	0.027	0.162	0	1
NV Europe (Dummy)	2699	0.098	0.298	0	1
NV.Energy ind	2699	0.036	0.183	0	1
NV.Ind Goods & services	2699	0.017	0.131	0	1
NV. Consumer goods	2699	0.025	0.156	0	1
NV. Telecom	2699	0.165	0.371	0	1
VC Funds	2699	0.001	0.101	0	1
NV. Telecom ICT & Computer related Ind.	2699	0.583	0.493	0	1
NV. Health care Ind.	2699	0.157	0.364	0	1
NV. Early stage (Dummy)	2699	0.218	0.413	0	1
NV. Expansion and Growth Stage (Dummy)	2699	0.449	0.497	0	1
NV. Later Stage (Dummy)	2699	0.246	0.431	0	1
NV. Other Stages (Dummy)	2699	0.087	0.282	0	1

TABLE 4: descriptive Statistics				
		Market exploration strategies		
		<i>New market</i>	<i>Same market</i>	<i>Total</i>
Technology exploration strategies	<i>Other industrial sectors</i>	959	1366	2325
	<i>Same industrial sector</i>	113	261	374
	<i>Total</i>	1072	1627	2699

TABLE 5: Correlation Matrix

TABLE 5: Correlation Matrix

Variables are defined in Table 1. Values shown are pairwise correlations

Variable	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
(1) Same business sector (Dummy)	1.0000											
(2) Corporate Revenue	0.1068*	1.0000										
(3) Corporate Revenue change	0.1413*	-0.0312	1.0000									
(4) Corporate Assets	-0.1264*	0.5074*	-0.2347*	1.0000								
(5) Corporate ROA	0.0793*	0.0273	-0.1320*	-0.1884*	1.0000							
(6) Corporate Financial Resources	0.1246*	0.0953*	0.1041*	-0.3739*	0.7145*	1.0000						
(7) Corporate Nbr. Employees	0.1026*	0.7473*	0.2431*	0.5054*	-0.2051*	0.0072	1.0000					
(8) Corporate Diversification level	-0.1179*	0.2568*	0.0094	0.0700*	-0.2448*	-0.0025	0.2933*	1.0000				
(9) Corporate CEO Tenure	-0.1649*	-0.0246	-0.1509*	-0.0198	0.1048*	0.2175*	-0.1025*	0.2898*	1.0000			
(10) Corp. North America (Dummy)	0.0704*	0.4653*	0.1585*	0.1679*	0.3623*	0.4451*	0.3750*	0.4110*	0.2803*	1.0000		
(11) Corp. Europe (Dummy)	0.0305	-0.2614*	-0.0367	-0.2763*	-0.3409*	-0.1054*	-0.0977*	-0.0910*	-0.2059*	-0.6874*	1.0000	
(12) Corp. Other Countries (Dummy)	-0.1294*	-0.3115*	-0.1676*	0.1014*	-0.0812*	-0.4615*	-0.3838*	-0.4385*	-0.1358*	-0.5219*	-0.2607*	1.0000
(13) R&D Industry Index	0.0498*	-0.2585*	-0.0248	-0.3433*	0.5138*	0.6545*	-0.2176*	-0.1249*	0.1307*	0.2313*	-0.0505*	-0.2481*
(14) Industry Market-to-Book Ratio	-0.1597*	-0.5048*	0.1261*	-0.4672*	0.0049	0.2229*	-0.4807*	0.0779*	0.5479*	-0.0895*	0.2465*	-0.2051*
(15) Global Innovation Index	0.0941*	0.4834*	0.1236*	0.1535*	0.4240*	0.4928*	0.4096*	0.3487*	0.2126*	0.9479*	-0.5632*	-0.5985*
(16) TEA	0.0795*	0.4546*	0.1027*	0.1636*	0.4615*	0.5194*	0.3666*	0.3487*	0.2552*	0.9709*	-0.7059**	-0.4616*
(17) G 20 membership (Dummy)	-0.1320*	0.0828*	-0.0007	0.0601*	0.0959*	-0.0080	0.0092	-0.0196	0.0556*	0.1527*	-0.1094*	-0.0745*
(18) Early-Stage Investments (% GDP)	-0.0032	0.3224*	0.0142	0.2673*	0.5005*	0.3917*	0.1980*	0.1641*	0.1185*	0.8587*	-0.8587*	.
(19) Later-Stage Investments (% GDP)	-0.0885*	0.1099*	-0.0241	0.0985*	0.4715*	0.4253*	0.0224	-0.0066	-0.0433	0.3817*	-0.3817*	.
(20) NV Europe (Dummy)	-0.0062	-0.0002	-0.1146*	-0.0943*	-0.0308	0.0918*	0.0294	-0.0458	-0.0592*	-0.1525*	0.2433*	-0.0831*
(21) NV North America (Dummy)	0.0036	0.0345	0.1248*	0.0878*	0.0317	-0.0581*	0.0149	0.0626*	0.0558*	0.1671*	-0.1973*	0.0096
(22) Nv Other Countries (Dummy)	-0.0008	-0.0753*	-0.0427	-0.0100	-0.0087	-0.0522*	-0.0891*	-0.0456	-0.0051	-0.0660*	-0.0401	0.1349*
(23) NV.Energy ind	0.1025*	0.1858*	-0.0667*	0.0215	0.0895*	0.0634*	-0.0090	0.0530*	0.0648*	0.0624*	-0.0438	-0.0316
(24) NV.Ind Goods & services	-0.0288	-0.0008	-0.0374	0.0489	-0.0161	-0.0190	0.0308	0.0211	0.1114*	0.0102	-0.0326	0.0247
(25) NV. Consumer goods	-0.0502*	0.1391*	0.0171	0.1373*	-0.0222	-0.0407	0.1708*	0.0643*	0.0474	0.0878*	-0.0826*	-0.0197
(26) NV. Telecom	0.2316*	-0.0140	0.1352*	-0.0255	-0.1234*	-0.0292	0.0418	0.0382	-0.0320	-0.0410	0.0526*	-0.0073
(27) VC Funds	0.0542*	-0.0019	0.0034	-0.0204	0.0080	0.0075	-0.0004	0.0118	0.0215	-0.0238	0.0238	0.0037
(28) NV. Telecom ICT & Computer related Ind.	-0.0677*	-0.0082	-0.0164	-0.0057	-0.0933*	-0.0414	0.0179	0.0464	0.0885*	-0.0251	0.0441	-0.0184
(29) NV. Health care Ind.	-0.1734*	-0.1255*	-0.0684*	-0.0425	0.2266*	0.0817*	-0.1450*	-0.1650*	-0.1850*	0.0162	-0.0482	0.0350
(30) NV. Early stage (Dummy)	-0.0064	0.0258	-0.0136	-0.0150	-0.0234	0.0182	0.0278	0.0310	0.0155	-0.0009	0.0528*	-0.0608*
(31) NV. Expansion and Growth Stage (Dummy)	0.0737*	-0.0220	-0.0250	-0.0295	0.0111	0.0085	-0.0434	-0.0443	-0.0455	-0.0412	0.0280	0.0218
(32) NV. Later Stage (Dummy)	-0.0277	-0.0609*	-0.0185	-0.0214	0.0247	-0.0345	-0.0967	-0.0215	-0.0445	0.0033	-0.0375	0.0396
(33) NV. Other Stages (Dummy)	-0.0782*	0.0942*	0.0923*	0.1067*	-0.0230	0.0111	0.1837*	0.0662*	0.1252*	0.0690*	-0.0695*	-0.0100

Variable	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)	(22)	(23)	(24)
(13) R&D Industry Index	1.0000											
(14) Industry Market-to-Book Ratio	0.4374*	1.0000										
(15) Global Innovation Index	0.2539*	-0.1454*	1.0000									
(16) TEA	0.2631*	-0.1578	0.8936*	1.0000								
(17) G 20 membership (Dummy)	-0.0367	0.030	0.2816*	0.0570*	1.0000							
(18) Early-Stage Investments (% GDP)	0.2777*	-0.2194*	0.8264*	0.8968*	-0.0393	1.0000						
(19) Later-Stage Investments (% GDP)	0.5166*	-0.0157	0.3466*	0.4694*	0.0126	0.6267*	1.0000					
(20) NV Europe (Dummy)	-0.0111	-0.0368	-0.0701*	-0.1533*	0.0138	-0.2490*	-0.2223*	1.0000				
(21) NV North America (Dummy)	0.0130	0.0274	0.0956*	0.1692*	-0.0146	0.2311*	0.2075*	-0.8691*	1.0000			
(22) NV Other Countries (Dummy)	-0.0077	0.016	-0.0706*	-0.0686*	0.0039	0.0008	-0.0007	-0.0550*	-0.4392*	1.0000		
(23) NV.Energy ind	-0.0620*	-0.0691*	0.0691*	0.0632*	0.0250	0.0448	0.0035	0.0173	-0.0055	-0.0197	1.0000	
(24) NV.Ind Goods & services	-0.0055	-0.0129	0.0162	0.0087	0.0173	0.0206	-0.0101	-0.0154	-0.0348	0.1000*	-0.0256	1.0000
(25) NV. Consumer goods	-0.0655*	-0.0874*	0.0834*	0.0848*	0.0208	0.0819*	0.0321	-0.0366	0.0032	0.0615*	-0.0306	-0.0212
(26) NV. Telecom	-0.0936*	0.0132	-0.0627*	-0.0480	-0.0433	-0.0989*	-0.0961*	0.0476	-0.0295	-0.0250	-0.0854*	-0.0592*
(27) VC Funds	-0.0129	-0.0095	-0.0060	-0.0250	0.0133	-0.0350	-0.0499	0.0277	-0.0493	0.0506*	-0.0197	-0.0136
(28) NV. Telecom ICT & Computer related Ind.	-0.0888*	0.0773*	-0.0329	-0.0415	-0.0162	-0.1027*	-0.2062*	0.0087	-0.0062	-0.0073	-0.2272*	-0.1575*
(29) NV. Health care Ind.	0.2819*	-0.0373	0.0426	0.0449	0.0563*	0.1680*	0.3830* *	-0.0538*	0.0630	-0.0282	-0.0830*	-0.0576*
(30) NV. Early stage (Dummy)	-0.0027	0.0170	0.0024	-0.0017	-0.0084	-0.0452	-0.0064 *	0.0732*	-0.0783	0.0171	0.0343	-0.0291
(31) NV. Expansion and Growth Stage (Dummy)	0.0022	0.0177	-0.0340	-0.0421	0.0069	-0.0296	-0.0158	0.0754*	-0.0684*	0.0057	-0.0687*	-0.0461
(32) NV. Later Stage (Dummy)	0.0076	0.0022	-0.0094	-0.0005	-0.0263	0.0291	-0.0034	-0.1424*	0.1575*	-0.0582*	0.0063	-0.0235
(33) NV. Other Stages (Dummy)	-0.0116	-0.0599*	0.0709*	0.0776*	0.0402	0.0751*	0.0422	-0.0224	-0.0055	0.0538*	0.0613*	0.1598*

Variable	(25)	(26)	(27)	(28)	(29)	(30)	(31)	(32)	(33)
(25) NV. Consumer goods	1.0000								
(26) NV. Telecom	-0.0710*	1.0000							
(27) VC Funds	-0.0163	-0.0456	1.0000						
(28) NV. Telecom ICT & Computer related Ind.	-0.1887*	-0.5263*	-0.1211*	1.0000					
(29) NV. Health care Ind.	-0.0690*	-0.1923*	-0.0443	-0.5114*	1.0000				
(30) NV. Early stage (Dummy)	0.0196	-0.0052	-0.0363	-0.0017	0.0059	1.0000			
(31) NV. Expansion and Growth Stage (Dummy)	-0.0482	0.0359	-0.0556*	0.1009*	-0.0791*	-0.4761*	1.0000		
(32) NV. Later Stage (Dummy)	-0.0470	0.0234	-0.0585*	-0.0101	0.0361	-0.3018*	-0.5158*	1.0000	
(33) NV. Other Stages (Dummy)	0.1281*	-0.0914*	0.2407*	-0.1601*	0.0758*	-0.1630*	-0.2786*	-0.1766*	1.0000

TABLE 6: REGRESSIONS: Determinants of CVC investments in the same business sector

The dependent variable in all the Probit regressions is "Same business sector (Dummy)", a dummy variable equal to one if the corporation or financial institution has invested in the same core business. The method of estimation is the Probit regression. For the interpretation, we report coefficient and standard errors. Significance levels: *** for 1%, ** for 5%, and * for 10%.

Variables	Full sample					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Revenue change	0.006*** (0.001)	0.008*** (0.001)	0.004 (0.003)	0.004 (0.003)	0.010*** (0.003)	0.008** (0.004)
ROA	0.052*** (0.006)	0.029*** (0.005)				
Ln (assets)		2.987*** (0.687)	15.438*** (1.780)	14.212*** (1.695)	15.154*** (18.39)	14.782*** (2.031)
Ln (assets ²)		-0.132*** (0.029)	-0.669*** (0.074)	-0.613*** (0.069)	-0.657*** (0.076)	-0.646*** (0.083)
Corp. Financial Resources			2.659 (2.603)	2.038 (2.038)	0.154 (1.987)	2.435 (3.113)
ln (Nbr. employees)	0.393*** (0.045)		-0.278* (0.146)	0.244* (0.146)	0.113 (0.16)	0.271 (0.179)
Corporate Diversification level			-0.929*** (0.137)	-0.733*** (0.117)	-0.986*** (0.138)	-0.998*** (0.148)
CEO Tenure			0.188*** (0.037)	0.153*** (0.034)	0.217*** (0.034)	0.221*** (0.041)
Corp. North America			-0.910 (3.462)			
Corp. Other Countries			0.712 (0.686)			
R&D Industry Index			0.194*** (0.025)	0.120*** (0.226)	0.215*** (0.025)	0.216*** (0.216)
Industry Market-to-Book Ratio			-0.967*** (0.144)	-0.742*** (0.0134)	-1.015*** (0.137)	-1.067*** (0.157)
Global Innovation Index			3.190** (1.363)	2.272*** (0.640)	3.757*** (0.511)	3.992*** (0.776)
TEA			-0.492 (0.446)	-0.182 (0.131)	-0.454*** (0.094)	-0.472*** (0.157)
G 20 membership			-6.751*** (0.863)	-4.507*** (1.09)	-7.377*** (0.786)	-7.674*** (1.268)
Later-Stage Investments (% GDP)				-2.349 (2.021)		-0.339 (2.469)
NV North America	0.104 (0.113)	0.103 (0.112)	0.224 (0.187)	0.162 (0.164)	0.302 (0.189)	0.378** (0.197)
NV. Other Countries	0.478 (0.226)	0.177 (0.222)	1.120*** (0.375)	0.380 (0.445)	1.430*** (0.376)	1.043** (0.488)
NV. Energy Ind.	0.845*** (0.141)	0.876*** (0.147)	1.449*** (0.209)		1.614*** (0.210)	1.697*** (0.220)
NV. Ind Goods & services	-0.105 (0.281)	-0.138 (0.314)	0.614* (0.372)		0.893** (0.377)	
NV. Consumer goods	-0.801 (0.319)	-0.467 (0.333)	0.043 (0.438)		0.166 (0.464)	0.263 (0.490)
NV. Telecom	0.935*** (0.080)	0.872*** (0.079)	1.791*** (0.122)		1.756*** (0.125)	1.972*** (0.134)
VC Funds	0.957*** (0.245)	0.948*** (0.243)	2.285*** (0.309)		2.752*** (0.356)	3.047*** (0.391)
NV. Expansion and Growth Stage					0.145 (0.132)	0.068 (0.138)
NV. Later Stage					-0.358** (0.154)	-0.410** (0.162)
NV. Other Stages					-0.836*** (0.260)	-1.178*** (0.305)
Number of Observations	2699	2699	2362	2064	2362	2033
Log Likelihood	-919.17	-946.67	-422.81	-530.17	-409.07	-360.92
Pseudo-R squared	15.36%	12.83%	54.87%	38.79%	56.34%	58.09%
LR chi2	333.60	278.59	1028.25	672.07	1055.73	1000.54
Prob > chi2	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

TABLE 7: REGRESSIONS: Determinants of CVC investments in startups located in own market geographic areas

The dependent variable in all the Probit regressions is "Same business sector (Dummy)", a dummy variable equal to one if the corporation or financial institution has invested in the same core business. The method of estimation is the Probit regression. For the interpretation, we report coefficient and standard errors. Significance levels: *** for 1%, ** for 5%, and * for 10%.

Variables	Full sample	
	(7)	(8)
Revenue change	0.020*** (0.002)	0.052*** (0.006)
ROA	0.154*** (0.009)	0.269*** (0.040)
Corp. Financial Resources		11.457 (6.018)
Corporate Diversification level		1.496*** (0.131)
CEO Tenure		0.521*** (0.046)
NV North America	-1.072*** (0.191)	-2.125*** (0.298)
NV. Other Countries	0.419 (0.379)	2.710*** (0.489)
NV.Energy Ind. 0001	0.672 (0.305)	0.548 (0.553)
NV.Ind Goods & services 2700	0.148 (0.308)	0.084 (0.456)
NV. Consumer goods 3000	1.578*** (0.352)	
NV. Telecom 6000	0.005*** (0.128)	0.309 (0.184)
VC Funds 8000	-0.135 (0.509)	-0.519 (0.540)
Number of Observations	1056	922
Log Likelihood	-462.13	-190.80
Pseudo-R squared	35.54%	69.54%
LR chi2	509.53	871.38
Prob > chi2	0.0000	0.0000