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#### Abstract

The $\beta$ decay of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$, produced by fragmentation of an ${ }^{36} \mathrm{Ar}$ beam at $880 \mathrm{MeV} /$ nucleon, was investigated. Identified ions of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$ were stopped in a gaseous time projection chamber with optical readout allowing us to record decay events with emission of protons. In addition to $\beta$-delayed emission of one and two protons we clearly observed the $\beta$-delayed three-proton branch. The branching ratio for this channel in ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$ is found to be $0.07 \pm 0.02 \%$.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Nuclides at the proton drip-line are characterized by large $\beta^{+}$-decay $Q$ values which allow population of particleunbound states in the daughter nuclei. This makes the process of $\beta$-delayed (multi) particle emission possible [1,2]. The probability of this phenomenon becomes particularly sizable when the isobaric analog state (IAS) is located above the respective threshold. The $\beta$-delayed single-proton emission ( $\beta p$ ), studied intensively over the last 50 years, has provided a wealth of information on the structure of neutron-deficient nuclei [1]. The delayed emission of two protons ( $\beta 2 p$ ), discovered in 1983 [3], now is known to occur in 11 cases [1,4]. The interesting additional aspect of this decay mode is the mechanism of two-proton emission which could proceed either sequentially or simultaneously. Much less is known on delayed emission of more than two particles. Prior to the study reported in this paper only two cases of $\beta$-delayed three-proton emission ( $\beta 3 p$ ) were known: ${ }^{45} \mathrm{Fe}$ [5] and ${ }^{43} \mathrm{Cr}$ [6]. Both of them were observed with help of a very sensitive gaseous detector-the Optical Time Projection Chamber (OTPC)—which records tracks of charged particles in space. With this approach a single
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good event is sufficient to establish a new decay channel. In this paper we report the observation of the third case of $\beta 3 p$ decay, ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$, accomplished with this technique.

The most-neutron-deficient argon isotope known to date, ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$, was observed for the first time almost 30 years ago [7]. It decays with the half-life of $T_{1 / 2}=15.1$ (3) ms [8] and the energy $Q_{E C}=18.3(2) \mathrm{MeV}$ [9]. In such a large decay-energy window many channels for delayed emission of protons are open. In fact, ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$ is the most extensively studied case of $\beta 2 p$ decay [10-14]. In addition, it was considered as a primary candidate for the $\beta 3 p$ decay and the search for this decay mode has an interesting history. Already in 1992 Bazin et al. claimed the first observation of this channel in ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$ [15]. In an experiment performed at the Grand Accelerateur National d'Ions Lourds (GANIL) in Caen with ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$ ions implanted into a segmented silicon detector, the branching ratio for $\beta 3 p$ channel was claimed to be $\beta 3 p=2.1$ (10)\% [15]. However, a measurement made later at the CERN On-Line Isotope Mass Separator (CERN-ISOLDE), employing a silicon detector array of larger granularity and efficiency, clarified that the peak identified as a $\beta 3 p$ branch in the GANIL experiment instead was due to a $\beta 2 p$ transition. No evidence for a $\beta 3 p$ branch was found and an upper limit for the $\beta 3 p$ decay branch from the IAS state in ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Cl}$ to the ground state of ${ }^{28} \mathrm{Si}$ of only $0.11 \%$ was placed [16].

Motivated by the success of applying the OTPC detector to studies of $\beta$-delayed multiparticle emission [5,6], we used it to investigate the delayed particles emitted in the decay of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$. The preliminary results, demonstrating clear and unambiguous evidence for the $\beta 3 p$ events, were presented in Ref. [17]. Soon afterwards, the reanalysis of data collected previously at the CERN-ISOLDE fully supported this observation $[8,18]$. Here we present results of the complete analysis of our experiment.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUE

The experiment was performed at the GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, in Darmstadt, Germany. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$ ions were produced in the fragmentation reaction of a ${ }^{36} \mathrm{Ar}$ primary beam at $880 \mathrm{MeV} /$ nucleon impinging on a $8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ beryllium target. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$ fragments were separated by the Fragment Separator (FRS) [19]. The setting of the FRS was not the standard one. Instead, the first half of the separator was used to select and form the secondary beam of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$ using a $5-\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$-thick aluminum degrader located at the S1 focal plane which was shaped for achromatic focusing to the intermediate focal plane (S2). There, this beam impinged on a secondary target for a purpose of a different experiment which will be reported elsewhere. The unreacted ions of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$ were transported through the second half of the FRS to the final focal plane (S4). There, after passing through the standard FRS detectors, the ions were slowed down by a variable aluminum degrader and entered our detection system based of the gaseous OTPC. In front of the OTPC entrance window a $30 \times 30 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$, $300-\mu \mathrm{m}$-thick silicon detector was mounted and an additional variable aluminum degrader to optimize the implantation of selected ions was installed.

The OTPC was developed at the University of Warsaw, primarily to study rare decay processes with emission of charged particles, like $2 p$ radioactivity [20,21]. The unit used in the present study was described in some details in Ref. [4]. Here we give only the main features and those details which are specific to the reported experiment. The active volume of the chamber was filled with a gas mixture of $98 \% \mathrm{Ar}$ and $2 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ at atmospheric pressure. The fiducial length of the detector was 31 cm . A uniform, vertical electric drift field of $300 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{cm}$ was maintained inside the chamber. Primary ionization electrons, generated by incoming ions and their charged decay products, were drifting towards the charge amplification stage made by a stack of four GEM foils [22] and a wire mesh anode. Before reaching the anode, the electrons stimulate the gas atoms to emit light. This light was detected by a CCD camera and a photomultiplier tube (PMT). The CCD image provided a projection of the particles tracks on the horizontal plane integrated over the exposure time. The PMT signal, sampled by a digital oscilloscope, gives the total intensity of light as a function of time. This provides information on the time sequence of recorded events. In addition, when the drift velocity of electrons in the given field and gas mixture is known, the vertical coordinate can be determined. Then, by combining data from the CCD and the PMT, the track of a particle can be reconstructed in three dimensions [4,20].

The detector could operate in a low- or a high-sensitivity mode depending on the potential applied to a special gat-
ing electrode mounted between the active volume and the amplification stage. In the low-sensitivity mode the detector is protected against large charges generated by heavy ions. Within $100 \mu$ s from the triggering signal, the mode was switched to high sensitivity, optimal for less-ionising particles like protons [4]. After the exposure the detector was returned to the low-sensitivity mode.

Ions arriving at the OTPC were identified in flight by using the time-of-flight (TOF) and the energy-loss $(\Delta E)$ information. The TOF was measured by means of plastic scintillators mounted at the S2 and the S4 focal planes of the FRS. Each scintillator was read from the left (L) and the right ( R ) side with respect to the beam axis. Two signals were formed by means of time-to-amplitude (TAC) converters, corresponding to the time between left (LL) and right (RR) readouts. The energy loss $(\Delta E)$ was measured by the silicon detector in front of the OTPC. These three identification signals were sampled by a digital oscilloscope and their waveforms were stored. Later, in the off-line analysis, the amplitudes of these signals were determined and the average of both TOF values was taken as the final time-of-flight value for the ion.

In order to reduce the amount of data collected, a selective trigger based on the identification information was applied. The trigger signal was generated only by those ions for which $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ and one of the TAC signals exceeded certain preset amplitudes. The identification plot for ions which triggered the OTPC acquisition system is shown in Fig. 1(a). In all previous experiments with the OTPC system, the beam was turned off upon receiving the triggering signal to protect the detector from other ions entering the active volume in the high sensitivity mode. In this experiment such a protection was not possible due to the acceleration scheme of the GSI heavy ion synchrotron (SIS) synchrotron. The primary beam was impinging on the target in 1-s-long spills slowly extracted from the SIS. Thus, the reaction products passing through the FRS were coming in bunches correlated with these spills. When the triggering ion arrived, all following ions from the same bunch could enter the OTPC in the high-sensitivity mode within the exposure time. The identification signals for all such ions, in addition to the triggering ion, were recorded. Figure 1(b) shows the identification plot for all ions which entered the OTPC during the total exposure time, including the triggering ions.

Tracks of the ions which entered the OTPC after the trigger were "contaminating" the CCD image and could obscure the decay events of the stopped ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$ ions. In order to remedy this problem and to reduce the number of particle tracks on CCD images, we applied a special acquisition mode by dividing the full CCD exposure into five shorter frames. The CCD camera was working in the "movie-like" mode, taking continuously subsequent images with a constant exposure time of 16 ms . The dead-time between two consecutive frames due to readout was 0.79 ms . When the trigger signal arrived, five consecutive frames, starting with the one in which the triggering ion was present, were validated and stored. After the entire exposure lasting 80 ms all the collected data were written to a PC hard disk. The data for each event consisted of five CCD images, the PMT waveform, the three waveforms of the identification signals, and the camera readout signal marking the starting


FIG. 1. (Color online) The $\Delta \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{TOF}$ identification plots for ions arriving to the OTPC detector. (a) Only the ions which triggered the data acquisition system. The rectangular box shows the gate used to select the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$ events for further analysis. (b) All ions recorded by the OTPC during the experiment.
time of each CCD frame. An example set of five CCD images collected for one event, picturing the $\beta 3 p$ decay of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$, is presented in Fig. 2.

## III. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Events corresponding to the ions of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$ which triggered the OTPC acquisition were selected by the software gate indicated by a rectangle in Fig. 1(a). The total number of such triggers
during the data-taking time of five days was about 53000 . However, as a result of the high-energy fragmentation reaction and the large thickness of all materials in the beam (target, degraders) the range straggling of the reaction products was much larger than the effective thickness of the OTPC detector. For the further analysis we selected only those events in which the triggering ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$ ion was stopped inside the detector, between $10 \%$ and $90 \%$ of its length. The latter limits were applied to make sure that all decays with emission of protons will be clearly visible on the CCD image. In addition, only those events were taken into account where on the first CCD frame no other ions than ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$ were present. This measure was taken to avoid any ambiguity in the assignment of the decay event to the implanted ion. Finally, this procedure yielded about 21000 events representing the proper implantation of a ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$ ion.

Each event was inspected individually. The decay events picturing the emission of a proton or a simultaneous emission of two and three protons were clearly observed. Example events of these three categories are shown in Fig. 3. If the decay was captured on a different CCD frame than the implantation, it was accepted only when the coordinates of the decay vertex on the CCD image coincided with those of the point of implantation. In addition, in case of emission of two and three protons it was verified that the corresponding PMT waveform was consistent with the scenario that all protons were emitted simultaneously, representing a single decay event. The analysis yielded 13157 events of $\beta p, 1729$ events of $\beta 2 p$, and 13 events of $\beta 3 p$. In the remaining events no decay signals were observed. In these cases either the decay occurred without emission of a proton, or the delayed proton(s) were emitted during the dead time of the system or after the full exposure time. Due to the finite measuring time and the dead time between frames, the average probability to observe a decay in the five-frame time window was $92.3 \%$. This value together with the number of decays allowed to determine the total branching ratios for all the decay channels observed. The results are collected in Table I.

The determined branching ratios for the $\beta 1 p$ and $\beta 2 p$ decays are in agreement with the literature values of $62(2) \%$ and $8.5(4) \%$ [23]. The uncertainties of our values are smaller, which illustrates the advantage of the OTPC detector for this kind of study. Our results are obtained by a simple counting of individual events which are unambiguously identified. No normalizations are involved.


FIG. 2. (Color online) Example set of five consecutive CCD frames collected for one event. Each frame was exposed for 16 ms . In the first frame the track of an implanted ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$ ion is visible. In the second and fifth frames, tracks of contaminant ions passing through the chamber are seen, while on the third frame nothing of interest happened. In the fourth frame the decay of the implanted ion by emission of three $\beta$-delayed protons is captured.


FIG. 3. (Color online) Example events of $\beta$-delayed proton emission from ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$. In the panels (a), (c), and (e) the CCD images of emitted particles are shown, while the corresponding PMT waveforms are presented in panels (b), (d), and (f), respectively. Examples of $\beta p$, $\beta 2 p$, and $\beta 3 p$ decays are displayed in panels (a) and (b), (c) and (d), and (e) and (f), respectively.

The classification of 13 events as $\beta 3 p$ decays was established with high confidence. It might have happened, however, that some additional events of this type were misclassified as $\beta 2 p$ decays. This could happen if the topology of the decay was particularly unfortunate; for example, if one of the protons was emitted along the direction of the electric field and its trace on the PMT waveform was obscured by signals from remaining protons. Since it is difficult to estimate the probability of such a scenario, we give only the statistical uncertainty to the branching ratio for the $\beta 3 p$ decay. The value

TABLE I. The total branching ratios for the observed decays of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$. The given uncertainties are statistical.

| Channel | Events | Branching [\%] |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| $\beta 0 p$ | 5984 | $22.6(3)^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| $\beta 1 p$ | 13157 | $68.3(3)$ |
| $\beta 2 p$ | 1729 | $9.0(2)$ |
| $\beta 3 p$ | 13 | $0.07(2)$ |
| Value obtained by subtracting the sum of the $\beta 1 p, \beta 2 p$ and $\beta 3 p$ |  |  |
| branching ratios from $100 \%$ |  |  |

of this branching, however, should be considered as a lower limit.

Our value for the $\beta 3 p$ branching is consistent with the upper limit of $0.11 \%$ established previously by Fynbo et al. [16]. Recently, Koldste et al. [8] reported the observation of the $\beta 3 p$ channel in ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$ in the experiment performed at ISOLDE, where the delayed particles were detected by means of a highly segmented array of double-sided silicon strip detectors (DSSSD) detectors providing large granularity and efficiency [24]. The branching ratio for the $\beta 3 p$ decay going through the IAS state in ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Cl}$ to the ground state of ${ }^{28} \mathrm{Si}$ was determined to be $0.039(19) \%$. In addition, it was estimated that this number represents roughly half of all $3 p$ emissions, while the rest of them goes through high-lying states above the IAS. Thus, the total branching observed in Ref. [8] amounts to about $0.08(4) \%$. Within error bars, this value agrees well with our result.

In principle, from the data measured by the OTPC detector it is possible to reconstruct the energy of an observed particle, under the condition, however, that the whole track is contained within the fiducial volume [4]. Unfortunately, in the present experiment a large fraction of the delayed protons emitted in the decay of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$ escaped the detector volume. Hence, here we report only on the decay modes and their total branching ratios.

## IV. SUMMARY

Beta decay of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$ was investigated at the GSI Fragment Separator by means of an optical-readout time-projection chamber. Decay channels with emission of delayed protons were directly and clearly observed. The measured total branching ratios for the $\beta p$ and $\beta 2 p$ channels were found to be in agreement with the literature data [23]. Thirteen events of the $\beta 3 p$ emission were unambiguously identified, yielding the branching ratio for this decay mode of 0.07 (2)\%. This result is in good agreement with the value reported recently by Koldste et al. [8].

Although the probability of the $\beta 3 p$ channel is small, it may have a large impact on the $\beta$-decay strength distribution. This is because the emission of three protons proceeds mainly from highly excited states in the daughter nucleus, including levels above the isobaric analog state. As estimated in Ref. [18], the $\beta 3 p$ transitions are responsible for about $30 \%$ of the total Gamow-Teller strength distribution observed in ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Ar}$. For the exhaustive determination of the $\beta$-decay strength, the complete information on all decay modes, including all channels of delayed particle emission, is mandatory.

The experimental technique of recording rare decay events with emission of heavy charged particles by means of a gaseous OTPC detector, in combination with the in-flight production of very exotic nuclei, proves to be highly efficient and sensitive. Implantation of a single ion, identified in flight and followed by an observation of its decay, is in principle sufficient to prove the occurrence of a decay channel. As a consequence, the branching ratios are measured with high accuracy. On the other hand, determination of energy in the current version of the OTPC detector is limited and cannot compete with arrays of silicon detectors. Therefore, these two approaches to particle spectroscopy are complementary and both have to be pursued.
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