



EUROPEAN EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH ASSOCIATION

Leadership Distribution in Schools. The Evidence from Social Network Analysis

Author(s): Julián López-Yáñez (presenting), Ana María Gómez-Delgado, Sara Muñiz, Adnalo Pardo, Víctor Hugo Perera, M Ángeles Triviño

Conference: ECER 2013, Creativity and Innovation in Educational Research

Network: 26. Educational Leadership

Format: Paper

Session Information

26 SES 01 A, Democracy, Decisions and Norms

Paper Session

Time: 2013-09-10
13:15-14:45

Room: D-306

Chair: Ulf Leo

Contribution

Leadership Distribution in Schools. The Evidence from Social Network Analysis

The current literature has emphasised the key role of leadership on school improvement (Hallinger and Heck, 1996; Harris and Muijs, 2005). In this sense, a growing consensus is being developed around the idea that the leadership that produces a real impact on school results is in a great extent *distributed* (Gronn, 2000; Spillane, 2006; Harris, 2009). This idea assumes that leadership can proceed from many *places* and agents (Anderson, Moore and Sun, 2009;) and instead being associated to a particular role or status it is embedded in the specific workflow that a community of practitioners unfold (Gronn, 2003) and in the organizational learning and knowledge that such social dynamics produces.

An important part of the research literature on distributed leadership has focused on the complexities of such distribution in schools: sources, scope, effects (Gronn, 2002; Spillane, Halverson and Diamond, 2004), and particularly on the patterns and modalities of distribution that different schools adopt in order to meet its own needs (Spillane, 2006; Anderson, Moore y Sun, 2009; MacBeath, 2009).

On the other hand, the extend of the interest about the distribution of leadership in schools should lead us to a deeper understanding of the nature of power and influence in educational settings, which is -far from any doubt- a pending debt of the leadership and management studies (Busher, 2006; Thomson and Blackmore, 2006). Similarly, the distributed approach should lead the field to a more informed reflexion on the barriers and possibilities of a more democratic functioning of

schools (Woods, 2004; Hatcher, 2005).

However, the research methodologies applied to the analysis of leadership and power dynamics in the school organizations have failed to capture the complexity of the phenomenon. Usually they put in the hand of the researchers a limited amount and type of data. In the research project presented in this paper a wide variety of methodologies, both quantitative and qualitative are being developed in order to get the big picture of the influence and power phenomenon in school organizations. The project is being developed in 39 primary and secondary schools in two Spanish / Andalusian provinces: Huelva and Seville. The research methodologies being applied include: daily logs of the leadership practice; social network analysis; shadowing; in-depth interviews; and observation of meetings and rhetorical discourse analysis of such meetings. The two first methodologies are being developed right now in a first, extensive phase of the inquiry, while the rest will be developed in a second, qualitative phase with a reduced group of the schools participants in the first stage.

Method

The use of daily logs is being combined with an extensive social network analysis of most of the schools participants in the first phase of the inquiry. As well as the team led by James Spillane in the Northwestern University did, we have administered a School Social Network Questionnaire to the whole staff of the 39 schools that have accepted to participate in this stage of the inquiry (Pitts y Spillane, 2009). Data are being processed and analysed by means of UCINET/NETDRAW software. The social network of each school is being represented as of the responses of the participants selecting his/her preferred colleagues to address issues related to four domains: teaching, school management, social relationships at work, and social relationship regarding personal issues. Such methodology is interesting as the focus is projected over the informal relationships through which power and influence are exerted, letting emerge the central/peripheral role played by every member of the school community.

Expected Outcomes

Data gathered are being processed right now. The two papers submitted to ECER 2013 about our project will be in charge of presenting the results and conclusions of the first phase of the inquiry. While this paper will focus on the results gathered from the Social Network Analysis, the other will focus on the Daily Log of the School Activity. Particularly, the discussion of such results pretend to broaden our knowledge about the social nature of the leadership phenomenon as well as the power circulation dynamics into schools as organizations.

References

- Anderson, S.E., Moore, S. and Sun, J. (2009) Positioning the principals in patterns of school leadership distribution. En Leithwood, K., Mascal, B. and Strauss, T. *Distributed leadership according to the evidence*. New York: Routledge, pp. 111-136.
- Busher, H. (2006) *Understanding educational leadership*. Berkshire, UK: Open University Press.
- Gronn, P. (2000) Distributed properties: A new architecture for leadership. *Educational Management and Administration*, 28 (3) 317-338.
- Gronn, P. (2002) Distributed leadership as a unit of analysis. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 13(4), 423-451.
- Gronn, P. (2003) Leadership: who needs it? *School Leadership & Management*, 23 (3) 267-290.
- Hallinger, P. and Heck, R.H. (1996) Reassessing the principal's role in school effectiveness: A review of the empirical research. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 32 (1) 27-31.
- Harris, A. (2009) (Ed.) *Distributed leadership. Different perspectives*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Harris, A. and Muijs, D. (2005) *Improving schools through teacher leadership*. Berkshire, UK: Open University Press.
- Hatcher, R. (2005) The distribution of leadership and power in school. *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 26(2), 253-267.
- MacBeath, J. (2009) Distributed leadership. Paradigms, policy, and paradox. En Leithwood, K., Mascal, B. and Strauss, T. *Distributed leadership according to the evidence*. New York: Routledge, pp. 41-57.
- Pitts, V.M. and Spillane, J.P. (2009) Using social network methods to study school leadership. *International Journal of Research & Method in Education*, 32(2) 185-207.
- Spillane, J.P. (2006) *Distributed leadership*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Spillane, J.P., Halverson, R and Diamond, J. (2004) Theory of leadership practice: a distributed perspective. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 36 (1) 3-34.
- Thomson, P. and Blackmore, J. (2006) Beyond the power of one: redesigning the work of school principals. *Journal of Educational Change*, 7:161-177
- Woods, P. (2004) Democratic leadership: drawing distinctions with distributed leadership. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 7(1), 3-26.

Author Information

Julián López-Yáñez (presenting)
Universidad de Sevilla, Spain

Ana María Gómez-Delgado
Universidad de Huelva, Spain

Sara Muñiz
Universidad de Sevilla, Spain

Adnaloy Pardo
Universidad de Huelva, Spain

Víctor Hugo Perera
Universidad de Sevilla, Spain

M Ángeles Triviño
Universidad de Huelva, Spain