



University of Pennsylvania
ScholarlyCommons

Statistics Papers

Wharton Faculty Research

9-2009

Rapid Mixing of Gibbs Sampling on Graphs That Are Sparse on Average

Elchanan Mossel
University of Pennsylvania

Allan Sly

Follow this and additional works at: http://repository.upenn.edu/statistics_papers

 Part of the [Statistics and Probability Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Mossel, E., & Sly, A. (2009). Rapid Mixing of Gibbs Sampling on Graphs That Are Sparse on Average. *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 35 (2), 250-270. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/rsa.20276>

This paper is posted at ScholarlyCommons. http://repository.upenn.edu/statistics_papers/433

For more information, please contact repository@pobox.upenn.edu.

Rapid Mixing of Gibbs Sampling on Graphs That Are Sparse on Average

Abstract

Gibbs sampling also known as Glauber dynamics is a popular technique for sampling high dimensional distributions defined on graphs. Of special interest is the behavior of Gibbs sampling on the Erdős-Rényi random graph $G(n, d/n)$, where each edge is chosen independently with probability d/n and d is fixed. While the average degree in $G(n, d/n)$ is $d(1 - o(1))$, it contains many nodes of degree of order $\log n / \log \log n$.

The existence of nodes of almost logarithmic degrees implies that for many natural distributions defined on $G(n, p)$ such as uniform coloring (with a constant number of colors) or the Ising model at any fixed inverse temperature β , the mixing time of Gibbs sampling is at least $n^{1+\Omega(1/\log \log n)}$. Recall that the Ising model with inverse temperature β defined on a graph $G = (V, E)$ is the distribution over $\{\pm 1\}^V$ given by $P(\sigma) = 1/Z \exp(\beta \sum_{(v, u) \in E} \sigma(v) \sigma(u))$. High degree nodes pose a technical challenge in proving polynomial time mixing of the dynamics for many models including the Ising model and coloring. Almost all known sufficient conditions in terms of β or number of colors needed for rapid mixing of Gibbs samplers are stated in terms of the maximum degree of the underlying graph.

In this work, we show that for every $d < \infty$ and the Ising model defined on $G(n, d/n)$, there exists a $\beta_d > 0$, such that for all $\beta < \beta_d$ with probability going to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the mixing time of the dynamics on $G(n, d/n)$ is polynomial in n . Our results are the first polynomial time mixing results proven for a natural model on $G(n, d/n)$ for $d > 1$ where the parameters of the model do not depend on n . They also provide a rare example where one can prove a polynomial time mixing of Gibbs sampler in a situation where the actual mixing time is slower than $n^{\text{polylog}}(n)$. Our proof exploits in novel ways the local tree like structure of Erdős-Rényi random graphs, comparison and block dynamics arguments and a recent result of Weitz.

Our results extend to much more general families of graphs which are sparse in some average sense and to much more general interactions. In particular, they apply to any graph for which every vertex v of the graph has a neighborhood $N(v)$ of radius $O(\log n)$ in which the induced sub-graph is a tree union at most $O(\log n)$ edges and where for each simple path in $N(v)$ the sum of the vertex degrees along the path is $O(\log n)$. Moreover, our result apply also in the case of arbitrary external fields and provide the first FPRAS for sampling the Ising distribution in this case. We finally present a non Markov Chain algorithm for sampling the distribution which is effective for a wider range of parameters. In particular, for $G(n, d/n)$ it applies for all external fields and $\beta < \beta_d$, where $d \tanh(\beta_d) = 1$ is the critical point for decay of correlation for the Ising model on $G(n, d/n)$.

Keywords

Erdős-Rényi random graphs, Gibbs samplers, Glauber dynamics, mixing time, Ising model

Disciplines

Statistics and Probability

Rapid Mixing of Gibbs Sampling on Graphs that are Sparse on Average

Elchanan Mossel*

Allan Sly[†]

February 14, 2013

Abstract

Gibbs sampling also known as Glauber dynamics is a popular technique for sampling high dimensional distributions defined on graphs. Of special interest is the behavior of Gibbs sampling on the Erdős-Rényi random graph $G(n, d/n)$, where each edge is chosen independently with probability d/n and d is fixed. While the average degree in $G(n, d/n)$ is $d(1 - o(1))$, it contains many nodes of degree of order $\log n / \log \log n$.

The existence of nodes of almost logarithmic degrees implies that for many natural distributions defined on $G(n, p)$ such as uniform coloring (with a constant number of colors) or the Ising model at any fixed inverse temperature β , the mixing time of Gibbs sampling is at least $n^{1+\Omega(1/\log \log n)}$. Recall that the Ising model with inverse temperature β defined on a graph $G = (V, E)$ is the distribution over $\{\pm 1\}^V$ given by $P(\sigma) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(\beta \sum_{(v,u) \in E} \sigma(v)\sigma(u))$. High degree nodes pose a technical challenge in proving polynomial time mixing of the dynamics for many models including the Ising model and coloring. Almost all known sufficient conditions in terms of β or number of colors needed for rapid mixing of Gibbs samplers are stated in terms of the maximum degree of the underlying graph.

In this work we show that for every $d < \infty$ and the Ising model defined on $G(n, d/n)$, there exists a $\beta_d > 0$, such that for all $\beta < \beta_d$ with probability going to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the mixing time of the dynamics on $G(n, d/n)$ is polynomial in n . Our results are the first polynomial time mixing results proven for a natural model on $G(n, d/n)$ for $d > 1$ where the parameters of the model do not depend on n . They also provide a rare example where one can prove a polynomial time mixing of Gibbs sampler in a situation where the actual mixing time is slower than $n \text{polylog}(n)$. Our proof exploits in novel ways the local treelike structure of Erdős-Rényi random graphs, comparison and block dynamics arguments and a recent result of Weitz.

Our results extend to much more general families of graphs which are sparse in some average sense and to much more general interactions. In particular, they apply to any graph for which every vertex v of the graph has a neighborhood $N(v)$ of radius $O(\log n)$ in which the induced sub-graph is a tree union at most $O(\log n)$ edges and where for each simple path in $N(v)$ the sum of the vertex degrees along the path is $O(\log n)$. Moreover, our result apply also in the case of arbitrary external fields and provide the first FPRAS for sampling the Ising distribution in this case. We finally present a non Markov Chain algorithm for sampling the distribution which is effective for a wider range of parameters. In particular, for $G(n, d/n)$ it applies for all external fields and $\beta < \beta_d$, where $d \tanh(\beta_d) = 1$ is the critical point for decay of correlation for the Ising model on $G(n, d/n)$.

Keywords: Erdős-Rényi Random Graphs, Gibbs Samplers, Glauber Dynamics, Mixing Time, Ising model.

*Email: mossel@stat.berkeley.edu. Dept. of Statistics, U.C. Berkeley. Supported by an Alfred Sloan fellowship in Mathematics and by NSF grants DMS-0528488, DMS-0548249 (CAREER) by DOD ONR grant N0014-07-1-05-06.

[†]Email: sly@stat.berkeley.edu Dept. of Statistics, U.C. Berkeley.

1 Introduction

Efficient approximate sampling from Gibbs distributions is a central challenge of randomized algorithms. Examples include sampling from the uniform distribution over independent sets of a graph [27, 26, 6, 8], sampling from the uniform distribution of perfect matchings in a graph [17], or sampling from the uniform distribution of colorings [12, 4, 5] of a graph. A natural family of approximate sampling techniques is given by Gibbs samplers, also known as Glauber dynamics. These are reversible Markov chains that have the desired distribution as their stationary distribution and where at each step the status of one vertex is updated. It is typically easy to establish that the chains will eventually converge to the desired distribution.

Studying the convergence rate of the dynamics is interesting from both the theoretical computer science and the statistical physics perspectives. Approximate convergence in time polynomial in the size of the system, sometimes called *rapid mixing*, is essential in computer science applications. The convergence rate is also of natural interest in physics where the dynamical properties of such distributions are extensively studied, see e.g. [20]. Much recent work has been devoted to determining sufficient and necessary conditions for rapid convergence of Gibbs samplers. A common feature to most of this work [27, 26, 6, 8, 12, 4, 18, 22] is that the conditions for convergence are stated in terms of the maximal degree of the underlying graph. In particular, these results do not allow for the analysis of the mixing rate of Gibbs samplers on the Erdős-Rényi random graph, which is sparse on average, but has rare denser sub-graphs. Recent work has been directed at showing how to relax statements so that they do not involve maximal degrees [5, 13], but the results are not strong enough to imply rapid mixing of Gibbs sampling for the Ising model on $G(n, d/n)$ for $d > 1$ and any $\beta > 0$ or for sampling uniform colorings from $G(n, d/n)$ for $d > 1$ and $1000d$ colors. The second challenge is presented as the major open problem of [5].

In this paper we give the first rapid convergence result of Gibbs samplers for the Ising model on Erdős-Rényi random graphs in terms of the average degree and β only. Our results hold for the Ising model allowing different interactions and arbitrary external fields. We note that there is an FPRAS that samples from the Ising model on any graph [16] as long as all the interactions are positive and the external field is the same for all vertices. However, these results do not provide a FPRAS in the case where different nodes have different external fields as we do here.

Our results are further extended to much more general families of graphs that are “tree-like” and “sparse on average”. These are graphs where every vertex has a radius $O(\log n)$ neighborhood which is a tree with at most $O(\log n)$ edges added and where for each simple path in the neighborhood, the sum of degrees along the path is $O(\log n)$. An important open problem [5] is to establish similar conditions for other models defined on graphs, such as the uniform distribution over colorings.

Below we define the Ising model and Gibbs samplers and state our main result. Some related work and a sketch of the proof are also given as the introduction. Section 2 gives a more detailed proof though we have not tried to optimize any of the parameters in proofs below.

1.1 The Ising Model

The Ising model is perhaps the simplest model defined on graphs. This model defines a distribution on labelings of the vertices of the graph by $+$ and $-$. The Ising model has various natural generalizations including the uniform distribution over colorings. The Ising model with varying parameters is of use in a variety of areas of machine learning, most notably in vision, see e.g. [9].

Definition 1.1 *The (homogeneous) Ising model on a (weighted) graph G with inverse temperature β is a*

distribution on configurations $\{\pm\}^V$ such that

$$P(\sigma) = \frac{1}{Z(\beta)} \exp(\beta \sum_{\{v,u\} \in E} \sigma(v)\sigma(u)) \quad (1)$$

where $Z(\beta)$ is a normalizing constant.

More generally, we will be interested in (inhomogeneous) Ising models defined by:

$$P(\sigma) = \frac{1}{Z(\beta)} \exp(\sum_{\{v,u\} \in E} \beta_{u,v} \sigma(v)\sigma(u) + \sum_v h_v \sigma(v)), \quad (2)$$

where h_v are arbitrary and where $\beta_{u,v} \geq 0$ for all u and v . In the more general case we will write $\beta = \max_{u,v} \beta_{u,v}$.

1.2 Gibbs Sampling

The Gibbs sampler is a Markov chain on configurations where a configuration σ is updated by choosing a vertex v uniformly at random and assigning it a spin according to the Gibbs distribution conditional on the spins on $G - \{v\}$.

Definition 1.2 Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and an inverse temperature β , the Gibbs sampler is the discrete time Markov chain on $\{\pm\}^V$ where given the current configuration σ the next configuration σ' is obtained by choosing a vertex v in V uniformly at random and

- Letting $\sigma'(w) = \sigma(w)$ for all $w \neq v$.
- $\sigma'(v)$ is assigned the spin \pm with probability

$$\frac{\exp(h_v + \sum_{u:(v,u) \in E} \beta_{u,v} \sigma(u))}{\exp(h_v + \sum_{u:(v,u) \in E} \beta_{u,v} \sigma(u)) + \exp(-h_v - \sum_{u:(v,u) \in E} \beta_{u,v} \sigma(u))}.$$

We will be interested in the time it takes the dynamics to get close to the distributions (1) and (2). The *mixing time* τ_{mix} of the chain is defined as the number of steps needed in order to guarantee that the chain, starting from an arbitrary state, is within total variation distance $1/2e$ from the stationary distribution. We will bound the mixing time by the relaxation time defined below.

It is well known that Gibbs sampling is a reversible Markov chain with stationary distribution P . Let $1 = \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m \geq -1$ denote the eigenvalues of the transition matrix of Gibbs sampling. The *spectral gap* is denoted by $\max\{1 - \lambda_2, 1 - |\lambda_m|\}$ and the *relaxation time* τ is the inverse of the spectral gap. The relaxation time can be given in terms of the Dirichlet form of the Markov chain by the equation

$$\tau = \sup \left\{ \frac{2 \sum_{\sigma} P(\sigma) (f(\sigma))^2}{\sum_{\sigma \neq \tau} Q(\sigma, \tau) (f(\sigma) - f(\tau))^2} : \sum_{\sigma} P(\sigma) f(\sigma) \neq 0 \right\} \quad (3)$$

where $f : \{\pm\}^V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is any function on configurations, $Q(\sigma, \tau) = P(\sigma)P(\sigma \rightarrow \tau)$ and $P(\sigma \rightarrow \tau)$ is transition probability from σ to τ . We use the result that for reversible Markov chains the relaxation time satisfies

$$\tau \leq \tau_{mix} \leq \tau \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \log(\min_{\sigma} P(\sigma))^{-1} \right) \quad (4)$$

where τ_{mix} is the mixing time (see e.g. [2]) and so by bounding the relaxation time we can bound the mixing time up to a polynomial factor.

While our results are given for the discrete time Gibbs Sampler described above, it will at times be convenient to consider the continuous time version of the model. Here sites are updated at rate 1 by independent Poisson clocks. The two chains are closely related, the relaxation time of the continuous time Markov chain is n times the relaxation time of the discrete chain (see e.g. [2]).

For our proofs it will be useful to use the notion of *block dynamics*. The Gibbs sampler can be generalized to update blocks of vertices rather than individual vertices. For blocks $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k \subset V$ with $V = \cup_i V_i$ the block dynamics of the Gibbs sampler updates a configuration σ by choosing a block V_i uniformly at random and assigning the spins in V_i according to the Gibbs distribution conditional on the spins on $G - \{V_i\}$. There is also a continuous analog in which the blocks each update at rate 1. In continuous time, the relaxation time of the Gibbs sampler can be given in terms of the relaxation time of the block dynamics and the relaxation times of the Gibbs sampler on the blocks.

Proposition 1.3 *In continuous time if τ_{block} is the relaxation time of the block dynamics and τ_i is the maximum the relaxation time on V_i given any boundary condition from $G - \{V_i\}$ then by Proposition 3.4 of [20]*

$$\tau \leq \tau_{block} (\max_i \tau_i) \max_{v \in V} \{\#j : v \in V_j\}. \quad (5)$$

1.2.1 Monotone Coupling

For two configurations $X, Y \in \{-, +\}^V$ we let $X \succcurlyeq Y$ denote that X is greater than or equal to Y pointwise. When all the interactions β_{ij} are positive, it is well known that the Ising model is a monotone system under this partial ordering, that is if $X \succcurlyeq Y$ then,

$$P(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_{V \setminus \{v\}} = X_{V \setminus \{v\}}) \geq P(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_{V \setminus \{v\}} = Y_{V \setminus \{v\}}).$$

As it is a monotone system there exists a coupling of Markov chains $\{X_t^x\}_{x \in \{-, +\}^V}$ such that marginally each has the law of the Gibbs Sampler with starting configurations $X_0^x = x$ and further that if $x \succcurlyeq y$ then for all t , $X_t^x \succcurlyeq X_t^y$. This is referred to as the monotone coupling and can be constructed as follows: let v_1, \dots be a random sequence of vertices updated by the Gibbs Sampler and associate with them iid random variables U_1, \dots distributed as $U[0, 1]$ which determine how the site is updated. At the i th update the site v_i is updated to $+$ if

$$U_i \leq \frac{\exp(h_v + \sum_{u:(v,u) \in E} \beta_{u,v} \sigma(u))}{\exp(h_v + \sum_{u:(v,u) \in E} \beta_{u,v} \sigma(u)) + \exp(-h_v - \sum_{u:(v,u) \in E} \beta_{u,v} \sigma(u))}$$

and to $-$ otherwise. It is well known that such transitions preserve the partial ordering which guarantees that if $x \succcurlyeq y$ then $X_t^x \succcurlyeq X_t^y$ by the monotonicity of the system. In particular this implies that it is enough to bound the time taken to couple from the all $+$ and all $-$ starting configurations.

1.3 Erdős-Rényi Random Graphs and Other Models of graphs

The Erdős-Rényi random graph $G(n, p)$, is the graph with n vertices V and random edges E where each potential edge $(u, v) \in V \times V$ is chosen independently with probability p . We take $p = d/n$ where $d \geq 1$ is fixed. In the case $d < 1$, it is well known that with high probability all components of $G(n, p)$ are of logarithmic size which implies immediately that the dynamics mix in polynomial time for all β .

For a vertex v in $G(n, d/n)$ let $V(v, l) = \{u \in G : d(u, v) \leq l\}$, the set of vertices within distance l of v , let $S(v, l) = \{u \in G : d(u, v) = l\}$, let $E(v, l) = \{(u, w) \in G : u, w \in V(v, l)\}$ and let $B(v, l)$ be the graph $(V(v, l), E(v, l))$.

Our results only require some simple features of the neighborhoods of all vertices in the graph.

Definition 1.4 Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and v a vertex in G . Let $t(G)$ denote the tree access of G , i.e.,

$$t(G) = |E| - |V| + 1.$$

We call a path v_1, v_2, \dots self avoiding if for all $i \neq j$ it holds that $v_i \neq v_j$. We let the maximal path density m be defined by

$$m(G, v, l) = \max_{\Gamma} \sum_{u \in \Gamma} d_u$$

where the maximum is taken over all self-avoiding paths Γ starting at v with length at most l and d_u is the degree of node u . We write $t(v, l)$ for $t(B(v, l))$ and $m(v, l)$ for $m(B(v, l), v, l)$.

1.4 Our Results

Throughout we will be using the term *with high probability* to mean with probability $1 - o(1)$ as n goes to ∞ .

Theorem 1.5 Let G be a random graph distributed as $G(n, d/n)$. When

$$\tanh(\beta) < \frac{1}{e^2 d},$$

there exists constant $a = C(d)$ such that the mixing time of the Glauber dynamics is $O(n^C)$ with high probability (probability $1 - o(1)$) over the graph as n goes to ∞ . The result holds for the homogeneous model (1) and for the inhomogeneous model (2) provided $|h_v| \leq 100\beta n$ for all v .

Note in the theorem above the $O(\cdot)$ bound depends on β . It may be viewed as a special case of the following more general result.

Theorem 1.6 Let $G = (V, E)$ be any graph on n vertices satisfying the following properties. There exist $a > 0, 0 < b < \infty$ and $0 < c < \infty$ such that for all $v \in V$ it holds that

$$t(v, a \log n) \leq b \log n, \quad m(v, a \log n) \leq c \log n.$$

Then if

$$\tanh(\beta) < \frac{a}{e^{1/a}(c - a)},$$

there exists constant $a = C(a, b, c, \beta)$ such that the mixing time of the Glauber dynamics is $O(n^C)$. The result holds for the homogeneous model (1) and for the inhomogeneous model (2) provided $|h_v| \leq 100\beta n$ for all v .

Remark 1.7 The condition that $|h_v| \leq 100\beta n$ for all v will be needed in the proof of the result in the general case (2). However, we note that given Theorem 1.6 as a black box, it is easy to extend the result and provide an efficient sampling algorithm in the general case without any bounds on the h_v . In the case where some of the vertices v satisfy $|h_v| \geq 10\beta n$, it is easy to see that the target distribution satisfies except

with exponentially small probability that $\sigma_v = +$ for all v with $h_v > 10\beta n$ and $\sigma_v = -$ for all v with $h_v < -10\beta n$. Thus we may set $\sigma_v = +$ when $h_v > 10\beta n$ and $\sigma_v = -$ when $h_v < -10\beta n$ and consider the dynamics where these values are fixed. Doing so will effectively restrict the dynamics to the graph spanned by the remaining vertices and will modify the values of h_v for the remaining vertices; however, it is easy to see that all remaining vertices will have $|h_v| \leq 100\beta n$. It is also easy to verify that if the original graph satisfied the hypothesis of Theorem 1.6 then so does the restricted one. Therefore we obtain an efficient sampling procedure for the desired distribution.

1.5 Related Work and Open Problems

Much work has been focused on the problem of understanding the mixing time of the Ising model in various contexts. In a series of results [14, 1, 28] culminating in [25] it was shown that the Gibbs sampler on integer lattice mixes rapidly when the model has the strong spatial mixing property. In \mathbb{Z}^2 strong spatial mixing, and therefore rapid mixing, holds in the entire uniqueness regime (see e.g. [21]). On the regular tree the mixing time is always polynomial but is only $O(n \log n)$ up to the threshold for extremity [3]. For completely general graphs the best known results are given by the Dobrushin condition which establishes rapid mixing when $d \tanh(\beta) < 1$ where d is the maximum degree.

Most results for mixing rates of Gibbs samplers are stated in terms of the maximal degree. For example many results have focused on sampling uniform colorings, the result are of the form: for every graph where all degrees are at most d if the number of colors q satisfies $q \geq q(d)$ then Gibbs sampling is rapidly mixing [27, 26, 6, 8, 12, 4, 18, 22]. For example, Jerrum [15] showed that one can take $q(d) = 2d$. The novelty of the result presented here is that it allows for the study of graphs where the average degree is small while some degrees may be large.

Previous attempts at studying this problem, with bounded average degree but some large degrees, for sampling uniform colorings yielded weaker results. In [5] it is shown that Gibbs sampling rapidly mixes on $G(n, d/n)$ if $q = \Omega_d((\log n)^\alpha)$ where $\alpha < 1$ and that a variant of the algorithm rapidly mixes if $q \geq \Omega_d(\log \log n / \log \log \log n)$. Indeed the main open problem of [5] is to determine if one can take q to be a function of d only. Our results here provide a positive answer to the analogous question for the Ising model. We further note that other results where the conditions on degree are relaxed [13] do not apply in our setting.

The following propositions, which are easy and well known, establish that for $d > 1$ and large β the mixing time is exponential in n and that for all $d > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ the mixing time is more than $n \text{polylog}(n)$.

Proposition 1.8 *If $d > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ then with high probability the mixing time of the dynamics on $G(n, d/n)$ is at least $n^{1+\Omega(1/\log \log n)}$.*

Proof: The proof follows from the fact that $G(n, d/n)$ contains an isolated star with $s = \Omega(\log n / \log \log n)$ vertices with high probability and that the mixing time of the star is $s \exp(\Omega(s))$. Since the star is updated with frequency s/n , it follows that the mixing time is at least

$$(n/s)s \exp(\Omega(s)) = n \exp(\Omega(s)) = n^{1+\Omega(1/\log \log n)}.$$

■

Proposition 1.9 *If $d > 1$ then there exists β'_d such that if $\beta > \beta'_d$ then with probability going to 1, the mixing time of the dynamics on $G(n, d/n)$ is $\exp(\Omega(n))$.*

Proof: The claim follows from expansion properties of $G(n, d/n)$. It is well known that if $d > 1$ then with high probability $G(n, d/n)$ contains a *core* C of size at least $\alpha_d n$ such that that every $S \subset C$ of size at least $\alpha_d/4 n$ has at least $\gamma_d n$ edges between C and $S \setminus C$. Let A be the set of configurations σ such that σ restricted to C has at least $\alpha_d/4$ $+$'s and at least $\alpha_d/4$ $-$'s. Then $P(A) \leq 2^n \exp(\beta|E| - 2\beta\gamma_d n)/Z$. On the other hand if $+$ denotes the all $+$ state then $P(+) = P(-) = \exp(\beta|E|)/Z$. Thus by standard conductance arguments, the mixing time is exponential in n when $2 \exp(-2\beta\gamma_d) < 1$. ■

It is natural to conjecture that properties of the Ising model on the branching process with $Poisson(d)$ offspring distribution determines the mixing time of the dynamics on $G(n, d/n)$. In particular, it is natural to conjecture that the critical point for *uniqueness* of Gibbs measures plays a fundamental role [10, 24] as results of similar flavor were recently obtained for the hard-core model on random bi-partite d regular graphs [23].

Conjecture 1.10 *If $d \tanh(\beta) > 1$ then with high probability over $G(n, d/n)$ the mixing time of the Gibbs sampler is $\exp(\Omega(n))$. If $d > 1$ and $d \tanh(\beta) < 1$ then with high probability over $G(n, d/n)$ the mixing time of the Gibbs sampler is polynomial in n .*

After proposing the conjecture we have recently learned that Antoine Gerschenfeld and Andrea Montanari have found an elegant proof for estimating the partition function (that is the normalizing constant $Z(\beta)$) for the Ising model on random d -regular graphs [11]. Their result together with a standard conductance argument shows exponentially slow mixing above the uniqueness threshold which in the context of random regular graphs is $(d+1) \tanh(\beta) = 1$.

1.6 Proof Technique

Our proof follows the following main steps.

- Analysis of the mixing time for Gibbs sampling on trees of varying degrees. We find a bound on the mixing time on trees in terms of the maximal sum of degrees along any simple path from the root. This implies that for *all* β if we consider a tree where each node has number of descendants that has Poisson distribution with parameter $d - 1$ then with high probability the mixing time of Gibbs sampling on the tree is polynomial in its size. The motivation for this step is that we are looking at tree-like graphs. Note however, that the results established here hold for all β , while rapid mixing for $G(n, d/n)$ does not hold for all β . Our analysis here holds for all boundary conditions and all external fields on the tree.
- We next use standard comparison arguments to extend the result above to case where the graph is a tree with a few edges added. Note that with high probability for all $v \in G(n, d/n)$ the induced subgraph $B(v, \frac{1}{2} \log_d n)$ on all vertices of distance at most $\frac{1}{2} \log_d n$ from v is a tree with at most a few edges added. (Note this still holds for all β).
- We next consider the effect of the boundary on the root of the tree. We show that for tree of $a \log n$ levels, the total variation distance of the conditional distribution at the root given all $+$ boundary conditions and all $-$ boundary conditions is $n^{-1-\Omega(1)}$ with probability $1 - n^{-1-\Omega(1)}$ provided $\beta < \beta_d$ is sufficiently small (this is the only step where the fact that β is small is used).
- Using the construction of Weitz [27] and a Lemma from [18, 3] we show that the spatial decay established in the previous step also holds with probability $1 - o(1)$ for all neighborhoods $B(v, a \log n)$ in the graph.

- The remaining steps use the fact that a strong enough decay of correlation inside blocks each of which is rapidly mixing implies that the dynamics on the full graph is rapidly mixing. This idea is taken from [7].
- In order to show rapid mixing it suffices to exhibit a coupling of the dynamics starting at all + and all – that couples with probability at least $1/2$ in polynomial time. We show that the monotone coupling (where the configuration started at – is always “below” the configuration started at +) satisfies this by showing that for each v in polynomial time the two configurations at v coupled except with probability $n^{-1}/(2e)$.
- In order to establish the later fact, it suffices to show that running the dynamics on $B(v, a \log n)$ starting at all + and all + boundary conditions and the dynamics starting at all – and all – will couple at v except with probability $n^{-1}/(2e)$ within polynomial time.
- The final fact then follows from the fact that the dynamics inside $B(v, a \log n)$ have polynomial mixing time and that the stationary distributions in $B(v, \frac{1}{2} \log_d n)$ given + and – boundary conditions agree at v with probability at least $1 - n^{-1}/(4e)$.

We note that the decay of correlation on the self-avoiding tree defined by Weitz that we prove here allows a different sampling scheme from the target distribution. Indeed, this decay of correlation implies that given any assignment to a subset of the vertices S and any $v \notin S$ we may calculate using the Weitz tree of radius $a \log n$ in polynomial time the conditional probability that $\sigma(v) = +$ up to an additive error of $n^{-1}/100$. It is easy to see that this allow sampling the distribution in polynomial time. More specifically, consider the following algorithm from [27].

Algorithm 1.11 *Fix a radius parameter L and label the vertices v_1, \dots, v_n . Then the algorithm approximately samples from $P(\sigma)$ by assigning the spins of v_i sequentially. Repeating from $1 \leq i \leq n$:*

- *In step i construct $T_{SAW}^L(v_i)$, the tree of self-avoiding walks truncated at distance L from v_i .*

- *Calculate*

$$p_i = P_{T_{SAW}^L}(\sigma_{v_i} = + | \sigma_{\{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\}}, \tau_{A-V_{i-1}}).$$

(The boundary conditions at the tree can be chosen arbitrarily; in particular, one may calculate p_i with no boundary conditions).

- *Fix $\sigma_{v_i} = X_{v_i}$ where X_{v_i} is a random variable with $p_i = P(X_{v_i} = +) = 1 - P(X_{v_i} = -)$.*

Then we prove that:

Theorem 1.12 *Let G be a random graph distributed as $G(n, d/n)$. When*

$$\tanh(\beta) < \frac{1}{d},$$

for any $\gamma > 0$ there exist constants $r = r(d, \beta, \gamma)$ and $C = C(d, \beta, \gamma)$ such that with high probability Algorithm 1.11, with parameter $r \log n$, has running time $O(n^C)$ and output distribution Q with $d_{TV}(P, Q) < n^{-\gamma}$. The result holds for the homogeneous model (1) and for the inhomogeneous model (2).

Theorem 1.13 *Let $G = (V, E)$ be any graph on n vertices satisfying the following properties. There exist $a > 0, 0 < b < \infty$ such that for all $v \in V$,*

$$|V_{T_{SAW}(v)}(v, a \log n)| \leq b^{a \log n} \quad (6)$$

where $V_{T_{SAW}(v)}(v, r) = \{u \in T_{SAW}(v) : d(u, v) \leq r\}$. When

$$\tanh(\beta) < \frac{1}{b},$$

for any $\gamma > 0$ there exist constants $r = r(a, b, \beta, \gamma)$ and $C = C(a, b, \beta, \gamma)$ such that Algorithm 1.11, with parameter $r \log n$, has running time $O(n^C)$ and output distribution Q with $d_{TV}(P, Q) < n^{-\gamma}$. The result holds for the homogeneous model (1) and for the inhomogeneous model (2).

1.7 Acknowledgment

E.M. thanks Andrea Montanari and Alistair Sinclair for interesting related discussions. The authors thank Jinshan Zhang for pointing out an error in a previous draft.

2 Proofs

2.1 Relaxation time on Sparse and Galton Watson Trees

Recall that the local neighborhood of a vertex in $G(n, d/n)$ looks like a branching process tree. In the first step of the proof we bound the relaxation time on a tree generated by a Galton-Watson branching process. More generally, we show that trees that are not too dense have polynomial mixing time.

Definition 2.1 *Let T be a finite rooted tree. We define $m(T) = \max_{\Gamma} \sum_{v \in \Gamma} d_v$ where the maximum is taken over all simple paths Γ emanating from the root and d_v is the degree of node v .*

Theorem 2.2 *Let τ be the relaxation time of the continuous time Gibbs Sampler on T where $0 \leq \beta_{u,v} \leq \beta$ for all u and v and given arbitrary boundary conditions and external field. Then*

$$\tau \leq \exp(4\beta m(T)).$$

Proof:

We proceed by induction on m with a similar argument to the one used in [18] for a regular tree. Note that if $m = 0$ the claim holds true since $\tau = 1$. For the general case, let v be the root of T , and denote its children by u_1, \dots, u_k and denote the subtree of the descendants of u_i by T^i . Now let T' be the tree obtained by removing the k edges from v to the u_i , let P' be the Ising model on T' and let τ' be the relaxation time on T' . By equation (3) we have that

$$\tau/\tau' \leq \frac{\max_{\sigma} P(\sigma)/P'(\sigma)}{\min_{\sigma, \tau} Q(\sigma, \tau)/Q'(\sigma, \tau)} \leq \exp(4\beta k). \quad (7)$$

Now we divide T' into $k + 1$ blocks $\{\{v\}, \{T^1\}, \dots, \{T^k\}\}$. Since these blocks are not connected to each other the block dynamics is simply the product chain. Each block updates at rate 1 and therefore the

relaxation time of the block dynamics is simply 1. By applying Proposition 1.3 we get that the relaxation time on T' is simply the maximum of the relaxation times on the blocks,

$$\tau' \leq \max\{1, \tau^i\}.$$

where τ^i is the relaxation time on T^i . Note that by the definition of m , it follows that the value of m for each of the subtrees T^i satisfies $m(T^i) \leq m - k$, and therefore for all i it holds that $\tau^i \leq \exp(4\beta(m - k))$. This then implies by (7) that $\tau \leq \exp(4\beta m)$ as needed. ■

2.2 Some properties of Galton Watson Trees

Here we prove a couple of useful properties for Galton Watson trees that will be used below. We let T be the tree generated by a Galton-Watson branching process with offspring distribution N such that for all t , $E \exp(tN) < \infty$ and such that $E(N) = d$. Of particular interest to us would be the Poisson distribution with mean d which has

$$E \exp(tN) = \exp(d(e^t - 1)).$$

We let T_r denote the first r levels of T . We let $M(r)$ denote the value of m for $T(r)$ and $\tau(r)$ the supremum of the relaxation times of the continuous time Gibbs Sampler on $T(r)$ over any boundary conditions and external fields assuming that $\beta = \sup \beta_{u,v}$. We denote by Z_r the number of descendants at level r .

Theorem 2.3 *Under the assumptions above we have:*

- *There exists a positive function $c(t)$ such that for all t and all r :*

$$E[\exp(tM(r))] \leq \exp(c(t)r).$$

- *Then $E\tau(r) \leq C(\beta)^r$ for some $C(\beta) < \infty$ depending on $\beta = \sup \beta_{u,v}$ only.*
- *If N is the Poisson distribution with mean d then for all $t > 0$,*

$$\sup_r E[\exp(tZ_r d^{-r})] < \infty.$$

Proof: Let K denote the degree of the root of T_r and for $1 \leq i \leq K$ let $M_i(r-1)$ denote the value of m for the sub-tree of T_r rooted at the i 'th child. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} E[\exp(tM(r))] &= E[\max(1, \max_{1 \leq i \leq K} \exp(t(M_i(r-1) + K)))] \\ &\leq E[(1 + \exp(tK)) \sum_{i=1}^K \exp(tM_i(r-1))] \\ &= E[(1 + K \exp(tK))] E[\exp(tM(r-1))]. \end{aligned}$$

and so the result follows by induction provided that $c(t)$ is large enough so that

$$\exp(c(t)) \geq E(1 + K \exp(tK)).$$

For the second statement of the theorem, note that by the previous theorem we have that

$$E\tau(r) \leq E[\exp(4\beta M(r))],$$

where $M(r)$ is the random value of m for the tree T_r so if $C(\beta) = \exp(c(4\beta))$ then $E\tau(r) \leq C(\beta)^r$.

For the last part of the theorem, let N_i be independent copies of N and note that

$$\begin{aligned} E \exp(tZ_{r+1}) &= E \exp\left(\sum_{i=0}^{Z_r} td^{-(r+1)}N_i\right) = E[E[\exp\left(\sum_{i=0}^{Z_r} td^{-(r+1)}N_i|Z_r\right)]] \\ &= E[(E[\exp(td^{-(r+1)}N)])^{Z_r}] = E \exp(\log(E \exp(td^{-(r+1)}N))Z_r) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

which recursively relates the exponential moments of Z_{r+1} to the exponential moments of Z_r . In particular since all the exponential moments of Z_1 exist, $E \exp(tZ_r) < \infty$ for all t and r . When $0 < s \leq 1$

$$E \exp(sN) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^i EN^i}{i!} \leq 1 + sd + s^2 \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{EN^i}{i!} \leq \exp(sd(1 + \alpha s)) \quad (9)$$

provided α is sufficiently large. Now fix a t and let $t_n = t \exp(2\alpha t \sum_{i=r+1}^{\infty} d^{-i})$. For some sufficiently large j we have that $\exp(2\alpha t \sum_{i=r+1}^{\infty} d^{-i}) < 2$ and $t_r d^{-(r+1)} < 1$ for all $r \geq j$. Then for $r \geq j$ by equations (8) and (9),

$$\begin{aligned} E \exp(t_{r+1}Z_{r+1}d^{-(r+1)}) &= E \exp(\log(E \exp(t_{r+1}d^{-(r+1)}N_i))Z_r) \\ &\leq E \exp(t_{r+1}(1 + \alpha t_{r+1}d^{-(r+1)})Z_r d^{-r}) \\ &\leq E \exp(t_{r+1}(1 + 2\alpha t d^{-(r+1)})Z_r d^{-r}) \\ &\leq E \exp(t_r Z_r d^{-r}) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\sup_{r \geq j} E \exp(tZ_r d^{-r}) \leq \sup_{r \geq j} E \exp(t_r Z_r d^{-r}) = E \exp(t_j Z_j d^{-j}) < \infty$$

which completes the result. ■

When the branching process is super-critical, the number of vertices is $O((EW)^r)$ and the result above gives that the mixing time is polynomial in the number of vertices on Galton Watson branching process with high probability. We remark that all our bounds here are increasing in the degrees of the vertices so if a random tree T is stochastically dominated by a Galton-Watson branching process then the same bound applies.

2.3 Relaxation in Tree-Like Graphs

For the applications considered for random and sparse graphs, it is not always the case that the neighborhood of a vertex is a tree, instead it is sometimes a tree with a small number of edges added. Using standard comparison arguments we show that the mixing time of a graph that is a tree with a few edges added is still polynomial. We also show that with high probability for the $G(n, d/n)$ the neighborhoods of all vertices are tree-like.

Proposition 2.4 *Let G be a graph on r vertices with $r + s - 1$ edges that has a spanning tree T with $m(T) = m$. Then the mixing time τ of the Glauber dynamics on G with any boundary conditions and external fields satisfies:*

$$\tau \leq \exp(4\beta(m + s)).$$

Proof: By equation (7) removing the s edges in G which are not in T decreases the relaxation time by at most a multiplicative factor of $\exp(4\beta s)$. By Theorem 2.2 the relaxation time of T is at most $\exp(4\beta m)$ so the relaxation time of G is bounded by $\exp(4\beta(m + s))$. ■

Lemma 2.5 *Let G be a random graph distributed as $G(n, d/n)$. The following hold with high probability over G :*

- For $0 < a < \frac{1}{2\log d}$ there exists some $c(a, d)$ such that for all $v \in G$, $m(v, a \log n) \leq c \log n$.
- There exists $k = k(a, d) > 0$ such that for all $v \in G$, $t(v, a \log n) \leq k$.
- For $0 < a < \frac{1}{2\log d}$ and every $v \in G$,

$$|B(v, a \log n)| \leq 3(1 - d^{-1})n^{a \log d} \log n.$$

Proof: We construct a spanning tree $T(v, l)$ of $B(v, l)$ in a standard manner. Take some arbitrary ordering of the vertices of G . Start with the vertex v and attach it to all its neighbors in G . Now take the minimal vertex in $S(v, 1)$, according to the ordering, and attach it to all its neighbors in G which are not already in the graph. Repeat this for each of the vertices in $S(v, 1)$ in increasing order. Repeat this for $S(v, 2)$ and continue until $S(v, l - 1)$ which completes $T(v, l)$. By construction this is a spanning tree for $B(v, l)$. The construction can be viewed as a breadth first search of $B(v, l)$ starting from v and exploring according to our ordering.

By a standard argument $T(v, a \log n)$ is stochastically dominated by a Galton-Watson branching process with offspring distribution $\text{Poisson}(d)$. Then by repeating the argument of Theorem 2.3 for some δ ,

$$E \exp(m(T(v, a \log n), v, a \log n)) \leq \delta^{a \log n}$$

and so,

$$P(m(T(v, a \log n), v, a \log n) > (a\delta + 2) \log n) = O(n^{-2}).$$

which implies that with high probability $m(T(v, a \log n), v, a \log n) < (a\delta + 2) \log n$ for all v .

If Z_l are the number of offspring in generation l of a Galton-Watson branching process with offspring distribution $\text{Poisson}(d)$ then by Theorem 2.3 we have that $\sup_l E \exp(Z_l/d^l) < \infty$ and since

$$P(|S(v, l)| > 3d^l \log n) \leq P(\exp(Z_l/d^l) > n^3) \leq n^{-3} E \exp(Z_l/d^l),$$

it follows by a union bound over all $v \in G$ and $1 \leq l \leq a \log n$ we have with high probability for all v ,

$$|B(v, a \log n)| \leq 3(1 - d^{-1})n^{a \log d} \log n. \quad (10)$$

In the construction of $T(v, a \log n)$ there may be some edges in $B(v, a \log n)$ which are not explored and so are not in $T(v, a \log n)$. Each edge between $u, w \in V(v, a \log n)$ which is not explored in the construction of $T(v, a \log n)$ then is present in $B(v, a \log n)$ independently of $T(v, a \log n)$ with probability d/n . There are at most $(3(1 - d^{-1})n^{a \log d} \log n)^2$ unexplored edges. Now when $k > 1/(1 - 2a \log d)$,

$$P(\text{Binomial}((3(1 - d^{-1})n^{a \log d} \log n)^2, d/n) > k) = O(n^{k(2a \log d - 1)}(\log n)^{2k}) = n^{-1 - \Omega(1)}$$

so by a union bound with high probability we have $t(v, a \log n) \leq k$. Now a self-avoiding path in $B(v, a \log n)$ can traverse each of these k edges at most once so this path can be split into at most $k + 1$ self-avoiding paths in $T(v, a \log n)$ and hence with high probability $m(v, l) \leq c \log n$ where $c = (k + 1)(a\delta + 2)$. ■

Lemma 2.6 When $0 < a < \frac{1}{2 \log d}$ with high probability for all $v \in G$,

$$|V_{T_{SAW}(v)}(v, a \log n)| \leq O(n^{a \log d} \log n)$$

where $V_{T_{SAW}(v)}(v, r) = \{u \in T_{SAW}(v) : d(u, v) \leq r\}$.

Proof: We now count the number of self-avoiding walks of length at most $a \log n$ in $B(v, a \log n)$. By Lemma 2.5 we have that with high probability for all v , $|B(v, a \log n)| \leq 3(1 - d^{-1})n^{a \log d} \log n$ and $t(v, a \log n) \leq k$. Let $e_1, \dots, e_{t(v, a \log n)}$ denote the edges in $B(v, a \log n)$ which are not in $T(v, a \log n)$. Now every vertex in $u' \in T_{SAW}(v)$ corresponds to a unique self avoiding walk in $B(v, a \log n)$ from v to u . A self-avoiding walk in $B(v, a \log n)$ passes through each edge at most once so in particular it passes through each of the e_i at most once. So a path which begins at v traverses through some sequence e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_l} in particular directions and then ends at u is otherwise uniquely defined since the intermediate steps are paths in $T(v, a \log n)$ which are unique. There are at most $k(k!)$ sequences e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_l} , there are 2^k choices of directions to travel through them, and at most $3(1 - d^{-1})n^{a \log d} \log n$ possible terminal vertices in $B(v, a \log n)$ so $|V_{T_{SAW}(v)}(v, a \log n)| \leq 3(1 - d^{-1})2^k k(k!)n^{a \log d} \log n$. ■

2.4 Spatial decay of correlation for tree-like neighborhoods

Proposition 2.7 Let T be a tree such that $m(v, a) \leq m$. Then $|S(v, a)| \leq \left(\frac{m-a+1}{a}\right)^a$.

Proof: First we establish inductively that $|S(v, a)|$ is maximized by a spherically symmetric tree, that is one where the degrees of the vertices depend only on their distance to v (it may be that it is also maximized by non-spherically symmetric trees). It is clearly true when $a = 0$ so suppose that it is true for all m up to height $a - 1$. Let T^* be a tree of height a rooted at v that maximizes $|S(T^*, v, a)|$ under the constraint $m(T^*, v, a) \leq m$ and let k be the degree of v . Then each of the subtrees T_i attached to v have depth $a - 1$ and are constrained to have $m(T_i, v_i, a - 1) \leq m - k - 1$. Let T^- be a spherically symmetric tree of height $a - 1$ which has $m(T^-, v, a - 1) \leq m - k - 1$ and maximizes $|S(T^-, v, a - 1)|$. A vertex v connected to the roots of k copies of T^- is a spherically symmetric tree of height a with $m(v, a) = m$ and by our inductive hypothesis must have boundary size $|S(v, a)|$ at least as large as T^* which completes the induction step.

So suppose that T is spherically symmetric and let d_i be the degree of a vertex distance i from v . Then by the arithmetic-geometric inequality

$$|S(v, a)| = d_0 \prod_{i=1}^{a-1} (d_i - 1) \leq \left(\sum_{i=0}^{a-1} d_i - (a - 1)\right)/a^a \leq \left(\frac{m - a + 1}{a}\right)^a.$$

■

We now consider the effect that conditioning on the leaves of a tree can have on the marginal distribution of the spin at the root. It will be convenient to compare this probability to the Ising model with the same interaction strengths β_{uv} but no external field ($h \equiv 0$) which we will denote \tilde{P} .

Lemma 2.8 If T is a tree, P is the Ising model with arbitrary external field (including $h_u = \pm\infty$ meaning that σ_u is set to \pm) and $\beta_{u,v} \leq \beta$ then for all v ,

$$P(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_{S(v,l)} \equiv +) - P(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_{S(v,l)} \equiv -) \leq |S(v, l)| (\tanh \beta)^l.$$

Proof: Lemma 4.1 of [3] states that for any vertices $v, u \in T$,

$$P(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_u = +) - P(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_u = -) \leq \tilde{P}(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_u = +) - \tilde{P}(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_u = -). \quad (11)$$

If u_0, u_1, \dots, u_l are a path of vertices in T then a simple calculation yields that

$$\tilde{P}(\sigma_{u_k} = + | \sigma_{u_0} = +) - \tilde{P}(\sigma_{u_k} = + | \sigma_{u_0} = -) = \prod_{i=1}^k \tanh \beta_{u_{i-1}u_i} \leq (\tanh \beta)^k. \quad (12)$$

Now suppose that $u \in S(v, l)$ and that $\eta_{S(v, l)}^+$ and $\eta_{S(v, l)}^-$ are configurations on $S(v, l)$ which differ only at u where $\eta_u^\pm = \pm$. Conditioning is equivalent to setting an infinite external field so equations (11) and (12) imply that

$$P(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_{S(v, l)} = \eta^+) - P(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_{S(v, l)} = \eta^-) \leq (\tanh \beta)^l. \quad (13)$$

Take a sequence of configurations $\eta^0, \eta^1, \dots, \eta^{|S(v, l)|}$ on $S(v, l)$ with $\eta^0 \equiv -$ and $\eta^{|S(v, l)|} \equiv +$ where consecutive configurations differ at a single vertex. By equation (13) we have that

$$P(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_{S(v, l)} = \eta^{i+1}) - P(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_{S(v, l)} = \eta^i) \leq (\tanh \beta)^l$$

and so

$$P(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_{S(v, l)} \equiv +) - P(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_{S(v, l)} \equiv -) \leq |S(v, l)| (\tanh \beta)^l$$

which completes the proof. ■

Now $B(v, a \log n)$ is not in general a tree so we use the self-avoiding tree construction of Weitz [27] to reduce the problem to one on a tree. The tree of self-avoiding walks, which we denote $T_{saw}(v, a \log n)$, is the tree of paths in $B(v, a \log n)$ starting from v and not intersecting themselves, except at the terminal vertex of the path. Through this construction each vertex in $T_{saw}(v, a \log n)$ can be identified with a vertex in G which gives a natural way to relate a subset $\Lambda \subset V$ and a configuration σ_Λ to the corresponding subset $\Lambda' \subset T_{saw}(v, a \log n)$ and configuration $\sigma_{\Lambda'}$ in T_{saw} . Furthermore if $A, B \subset V$ then $d(A, B) = d(A', B')$. Then Theorem 3.1 of [27] gives the following result. Each vertex (edge) of T_{saw} corresponds to a vertex (edge) so $P_{T_{saw}}$ is defined by taking the corresponding external field and interactions.

Lemma 2.9 *For a graph G and $v \in G$ there exists $A \subset T_{saw}$ and some configuration τ_A on A such that,*

$$P_G(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_\Lambda) = P_{T_{saw}}(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_{\Lambda'}, \tau_{A-\Lambda'}).$$

The set A corresponds to the terminal vertices of path which returns to a vertex already visited by the path.

Corollary 2.10 *Suppose that a, b, c, β satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1.6. Then,*

$$\max_{v \in G} P(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_{S(v, a \log n)} \equiv +) - P(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_{S(v, a \log n)} \equiv -) = o(n^{-1}).$$

Proof: By applying Lemma 2.9 we have that if $\Lambda = S(v, a \log n)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} P_G(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_\Lambda \equiv +) - P_G(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_\Lambda \equiv -) \\ = P_{T_{saw}}(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_{\Lambda'} \equiv +, \tau_{A-\Lambda'}) - P_{T_{saw}}(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_{\Lambda'} \equiv -, \tau_{A-\Lambda'}). \end{aligned}$$

Conditioning on τ_A is equivalent to setting the external field at $u \in A$ to $\text{sign}(\tau_u)$ hence it follows by Lemma 2.8 that,

$$P_{T_{saw}}(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_{\Lambda'} \equiv +, \tau_{A-\Lambda'}) - P_{T_{saw}}(\sigma_v = + | \sigma_{\Lambda'} \equiv -, \tau_{A-\Lambda'}) \leq |S_{saw}(v, a \log n)| (\tanh \beta)^{a \log n}$$

where $S_{saw}(v, a \log n) = \{u \in T_{saw}(v, a \log n) : d(u, v) = a \log n\}$. Now suppose $v = u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k$ is a non-repeating walk in T_{saw} and let u'_1, u'_2, \dots, u'_k be the corresponding walk in G . Then from the construction of T_{saw} either u'_1, u'_2, \dots, u'_k is a non-repeating walk in G or for some $j < k$, $u'_j = u'_k$ in which case u_k is a leaf of T_{saw} and so has degree 1. It also follows from the construction of T_{saw} that the degree of u_i is less than or equal to the degree of u'_i and so we have that $m(v, a \log n) \leq m(T_{saw}, v, a \log n) + 1$. The by Proposition 2.7

$$|S_{saw}(v, a \log n)| \leq \left(\frac{c \log n - a \log n + 2}{a \log n} \right)^{a \log n} = O \left(n^{a \log((c-a)/a)} \right) = o(n^{-1} (\tanh \beta)^{-a \log n}),$$

which completes the result. ■

2.5 Proof of the Main Result

Proof:(Theorem 1.6) Let X_t^+, X_t^- , denote the Gibbs sampler on G started from respectively all + and −, coupled using the monotone coupling described in Section 1.2.1. Fix some vertex $v \in G$. Define four new chains Q_t^+, Q_t^-, Z_t^+ and Z_t^- . These chains run the Glauber dynamics and are coupled with X_t^+ and X_t^- inside $B(v, a \log n)$ by using the same choice of vertices v_1, v_2, \dots and the same choice of update random variables U_1, U_2, \dots except that they are fixed (i.e. do not update) outside $B(v, a \log n)$. They are given the following initial and boundary conditions.

- Q_t^+ starts from all + configuration (and therefore has all + boundary conditions during the dynamics).
- Q_t^- starts from all − configuration (and therefore has all − boundary conditions during the dynamics).
- Z_t^+ starts from all + configuration outside $B(v, a \log n)$ and Z_0^+ is distributed according to the stationary distribution inside $B(v, a \log n)$ given the all + boundary condition (therefore Z_t^+ will have this distribution for all t).
- Z_t^- starts from all − configuration outside $B(v, a \log n)$ and is distributed according to the stationary distribution inside $B(v, a \log n)$ given the all − boundary condition (therefore Z_t^- will have this distribution for all t).

As the Gibbs distribution on $B(v, a \log n)$ with a + boundary condition stochastically dominates the distribution with a − boundary condition, we can initialize Z_t^+ and Z_t^- so that $Z_0^+ \succcurlyeq Z_0^-$. By monotonicity of the updates we have $Q_t^+ \succcurlyeq Z_t^+ \succcurlyeq Z_t^- \succcurlyeq Q_t^-$ for all t . We also have that $Q_t^+ \succcurlyeq X_t^+ \succcurlyeq X_t^- \succcurlyeq Q_t^-$ on $B(v, a \log n)$. As Z_t^+ (respectively Z_t^-) starts in the stationary distribution of the Gibbs sampler given the all + (respectively all −) boundary condition, it remains in the stationary distribution for all time t .

Since $Z_t^+(v) \geq Z_t^-(v)$ we have that

$$P(Z_t^+(v) \neq Z_t^-(v)) = P(Z_t^+(v) = +) - P(Z_t^-(v) = +) \leq o(n^{-1}),$$

for all t where the inequality follows from Corollary 2.10. By Proposition 2.4 the continuous time Gibbs sampler on $B(v, a \log n)$ has relaxation time bounded above by $\exp(4\beta(b+c) \log n)$ which implies that the discrete time relaxation time satisfies $\tau \leq n^{1+4\beta(b+c)}$. As each vertex has degree at most $c \log n$,

$$\log(\min_{\sigma} P(\sigma))^{-1} \leq (\beta|E|) + \sum_u |h_u| \leq (100cn^2\beta^2 \log n)$$

which implies that $\tau_{mix} \leq O(n^{4+4(b+c)\beta})$ since the mixing satisfies $\tau_{mix} \leq \tau(1 + \frac{1}{2} \log(\min_{\sigma} P(\sigma))^{-1})$. For $C = 6 + 4(b+c)\beta$ we have that with high probability after $t = 2n^C$ steps that the Gibbs sampler has

chosen every vertex at least $n^{5+4(b+c)\beta} \geq n\tau_{mix}$ times. It follows that the number of updates to $B(v, a \log n)$ is at least n times its mixing time and so

$$P(Q_t^+(v) \neq Z_t^+(v)) \leq d_{TV}(Q_t^+(v), Z_t^+(v)) \leq e^{-n} = o(n^{-1}).$$

where d_{TV} denotes the total variation distance which is always bounded above by $\exp(-t/\tau_{mix})$. We similarly have that

$$P(Q_t^-(v) \neq Z_t^-(v)) \leq o(n^{-1}).$$

It follows that $P(Q_t^+(v) \neq Q_t^-(v)) \leq o(n^{-1})$ and hence $P(X_t^+(v) \neq X_t^-(v)) \leq o(n^{-1})$ for all v . By a union bound $P(X_t^+ \neq X_t^-) \leq o(1)$ so the mixing time is bounded by $O(n^C)$ as required. ■

Proof:(Theorem 1.5) By Lemma 2.5 with high probability a random graph satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.6 for small enough β . To prove the result when $\tanh(\beta) < \frac{1}{e^{2d}}$ the only modification to the proof of Theorem 1.6 needed is to show that with high probability when $-1/(\log(d \tanh(\beta))) < a < (2 \log d)^{-1}$ we still have $P(Z_t^+(v) \neq Z_t^-(v)) \leq o(n^{-1})$. We know from Lemma 2.6 that with high probability $|V_{TSAW}(v)(v, a \log n)| \leq O(n^{a \log d} \log n) = o(n^{-1}(\tanh \beta)^{-a \log n})$. Now using this bound and repeating the proof of Corollary 2.10 we get that $P(Z_t^+(v) \neq Z_t^-(v)) = o(n^{-1})$ as required.

The mixing time is bounded by $n^{6+4(b+c)\beta}$ which is bounded by $n^{6+4(b+c) \tanh^{-1}(\frac{1}{e^{2d}})}$ and does not need to depend on β . ■

2.6 Sampling from the distribution through the tree of self avoiding walks

The proofs Theorems 1.12 and 1.13 make use the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.11 *Let (X_1, \dots, X_n) and (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) be two vector valued distributions taking values in some product space. Suppose that for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and all (x_1, \dots, x_i) we have*

$$d_{TV}((X_i | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_{i-1} = x_{i-1}), (Y_i | Y_1 = x_1, \dots, Y_{i-1} = x_{i-1})) \leq \varepsilon_i,$$

Then

$$d_{TV}((X_1, \dots, X_n), (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i,$$

Proof: The proof follows by constructing a coupling of the two distributions whose total variation distance is bounded by $\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i$. The coupling is performed by first coupling X_1 and Y_1 except with probability ε_1 . Then at step i , given the coupling of (X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}) and (Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}) and conditioned on

$$(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}) = (Y_1, \dots, Y_{i-1}),$$

we couple the two configurations in such a way that they do not agree at most with probability ε_i . The proof follows. ■

Lemma 2.12 *Suppose the graph G satisfies that for $v \in V$ it holds that*

$$|V_{TSAW}(v)(v, a)| \leq b,$$

Then for all integer j it holds that

$$|V_{TSAW}(v)(v, ja)| \leq b^j.$$

Proof: We prove the result by induction on j . Suppose that $u \in S_{T_{SAW}(v)}(v, (j-1)a)$ and let T_u denote the subtree of u and its descendants in $V_{T_{SAW}(v)}(v, ja) \setminus V_{T_{SAW}(v)}(v, (j-1)a)$. Each path from u in T_u corresponds to a self avoiding walk in G started from u so it follows that the number of vertices in $T_u \setminus \{u\}$ is at most $b-1$. So $|V_{T_{SAW}(v)}(v, ja) \setminus V_{T_{SAW}(v)}(v, (j-1)a)| \leq b^{j-1}(b-1)$ which completes the induction. ■

Proof:(Theorem 1.13) Set $r = ja$ where j is the smallest integer greater than $\frac{-(1+\gamma)}{\log(b \tanh \beta)}$. By Lemma 2.12 for all i , $|V_{T_{SAW}(v_i)}(v_i, r \log n)| \leq b^{r \log n}$ so $T_{SAW}^{r \log n}(v_i)$ the tree of self avoiding walks of radius $r \log n$ can be constructed in $O(b^{r \log n}) = O(n^{r \log b})$ steps. Using the standard recursions on a tree, p_i can be evaluated in $O(n^{r \log b})$ steps so the running time of the algorithm is $O(n^C)$ where $C = 1 + r \log b$.

At step i we calculate $p_i = P_{T_{SAW}^{r \log n}}(\sigma_{v_i} = + | \sigma_{V_{i-1}}, \tau_{A-V_{i-1}})$ to approximate $P(\sigma_{v_i} = + | \sigma_{V_{i-1}})$. Applying Lemma 2.9 we have that

$$P(\sigma_{v_i} = + | \sigma_{V_{i-1}}) = P_{T_{SAW}(v_i)}(\sigma_{v_i} = + | \sigma_{V_{i-1}}, \tau_{A-V_{i-1}}).$$

where $V_j = \{v_1, \dots, v_j\}$ and so if $\Lambda = S_{T_{SAW}(v_i)}(v_i, r \log n)$ then,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{T_{SAW}(v_i)}(\sigma_{v_i} = + | \sigma_{\Lambda} \equiv -, \sigma_{V_{i-1}}, \tau_{A-V_{i-1}}) &\leq P(\sigma_{v_i} = + | \sigma_{V_{i-1}}) \\ &\leq P_{T_{SAW}(v_i)}(\sigma_{v_i} = + | \sigma_{\Lambda} \equiv +, \sigma_{V_{i-1}}, \tau_{A-V_{i-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} P_{T_{SAW}(v_i)}(\sigma_{v_i} = + | \sigma_{\Lambda} \equiv -, \sigma_{V_{i-1}}, \tau_{A-V_{i-1}}) &\leq P_{T_{SAW}^{r \log n}}(\sigma_{v_i} = + | \sigma_{V_{i-1}}, \tau_{A-V_{i-1}}) \\ &\leq P_{T_{SAW}(v_i)}(\sigma_{v_i} = + | \sigma_{\Lambda} \equiv +, \sigma_{V_{i-1}}, \tau_{A-V_{i-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} &|P_{T_{SAW}^{r \log n}}(\sigma_{v_i} = + | \sigma_{V_{i-1}}, \tau_{A-V_{i-1}}) - P(\sigma_{v_i} = + | \sigma_{V_{i-1}})| \\ &\leq P_{T_{SAW}(v_i)}(\sigma_{v_i} = + | \sigma_{\Lambda} \equiv +, \sigma_{V_{i-1}}, \tau_{A-V_{i-1}}) - P_{T_{SAW}(v_i)}(\sigma_{v_i} = + | \sigma_{\Lambda} \equiv -, \sigma_{V_{i-1}}, \tau_{A-V_{i-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Conditioning on $\sigma_{V_{i-1}}$ and τ_A is equivalent to setting the external field to be $\pm\infty$. Then by Lemma 2.8

$$\begin{aligned} &P_{T_{SAW}(v_i)}(\sigma_{v_i} = + | \sigma_{\Lambda} \equiv +, \sigma_{V_{i-1}}, \tau_{A-V_{i-1}}) - P_{T_{SAW}(v_i)}(\sigma_{v_i} = + | \sigma_{\Lambda} \equiv -, \sigma_{V_{i-1}}, \tau_{A-V_{i-1}}) \\ &\leq |S_{T_{SAW}(v_i)}(v_i, r \log n)| (\tanh \beta)^{r \log n} = O(n^{-1-\gamma}). \end{aligned}$$

If Q is the output of the algorithm then by Lemma 2.11

$$d_{TV}(P, Q) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{\sigma_{V_{i-1}}} |P_{T_{SAW}^{r \log n}}(\sigma_{v_i} = + | \sigma_{V_{i-1}}, \tau_{A-V_{i-1}}) - P(\sigma_{v_i} = + | \sigma_{V_{i-1}})| = O(n^{-\gamma})$$

which completes the result. ■

Proof:(Theorem 1.12) By Lemma 2.6 equation (6) holds with high probability for any $0 < a < \frac{1}{2 \log d}$ and $b > d$ so the result follows by Theorem 1.13. ■

References

- [1] M. Aizenman and R. Holley. Rapid convergence to equilibrium of stochastic Ising models in the Dobrushin Shlosman regime. In H. Kesten, editor, *Percolation Theory and Ergodic Theory of Infinite Particle Systems*, volume 8 of *IMS Volumes in Math. and Appl.*, pages 1–11. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [2] D. Aldous and J. A. Fill. Reversible Markov chains and random walks on graphs. book in preparation. Current version online at <http://stat-www.berkeley.edu/users/aldous/book.html>.
- [3] Noam Berger, Claire Kenyon, Elchanan Mossel, and Yuval Peres. Glauber dynamics on trees and hyperbolic graphs. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 131(3):311–340, 2005.
- [4] M. Dyer, A. Frieze, T. Hayes, and E. Vigoda. Randomly coloring constant degree graphs. In *Proceedings of the 45th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS'04)*, pages 582–589, 2004.
- [5] Martin Dyer, Abraham D. Flaxman, Alan M. Frieze, and Eric Vigoda. Randomly coloring sparse random graphs with fewer colors than the maximum degree. *Random Struct. Algorithms*, 29(4):450–465, 2006.
- [6] Martin Dyer, Alan Frieze, and Mark Jerrum. On counting independent sets in sparse graphs. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 31:1527–1541, 2002.
- [7] Martin Dyer, Alistair Sinclair, Eric Vigoda, and Dror Weitz. Mixing in time and space for lattice spin systems: a combinatorial view. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 24(4):461–479, 2004.
- [8] Martin E. Dyer and Catherine S. Greenhill. On Markov chains for independent sets. *J. Algorithms*, 35(1):17–49, 2000.
- [9] S. Geman and D. Geman. Stochastic relaxation, Gibbs distributions, and the Bayesian restoration of images. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, pages 721–741, 1984.
- [10] H. O. Georgii. *Gibbs measures and phase transitions*, volume 9 of *de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1988.
- [11] Antoine Gerschenfeld and Andrea Montanari. Reconstruction for models on random graphs. In *FOCS '07: Proceedings of the 48th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 194–204, Washington, DC, USA, 2007. IEEE Computer Society.
- [12] L. A. Goldberg, R. Martin, and M Paterson. Strong spatial mixing for lattice graphs with fewer colours. In *Proceedings of the 45th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS'04)*, pages 562–571. 2004.
- [13] T. P. Hayes. A simple condition implying rapid mixing of single-site dynamics on spin systems. In *Proceedings of the 47th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS 2006)*, pages 39–46, 2006.
- [14] R. Holley. Rapid convergence to equilibrium of stochastic Ising models in the Dobrushin Shlosman regime. In R. Durrett, editor, *Particle systems, Random media, and Large deviations*, volume 41 of *AMS Volumes on Contemp. Math.*, pages 215–234. 1985.

- [15] M. R. Jerrum. A very simple algorithm for estimating the number of k -colourings of a low degree graph. *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 7:157–165, 1995.
- [16] Mark Jerrum and Alistair Sinclair. Polynomial-time approximation algorithms for the ising model. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 22:1087–1116, 1993.
- [17] Mark Jerrum, Alistair Sinclair, and Eric Vigoda. A polynomial-time approximation algorithm for the permanent of a matrix with non-negative entries. *Journal of the ACM*, 51(4):671–697, 2004., 51(4):671–697, 2004.
- [18] Claire Kenyon, Elchanan Mossel, and Yuval Peres. Glauber dynamics on trees and hyperbolic graphs. In *42nd IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (Las Vegas, NV, 2001)*, pages 568–578. IEEE Computer Soc., Los Alamitos, CA, 2001.
- [19] R. Lyons. The Ising model and percolation on trees and tree-like graphs. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 125(2):337–353, 1989.
- [20] F. Martinelli. Lectures on Glauber dynamics for discrete spin models. In *Lectures on probability theory and statistics (Saint-Flour, 1997)*, volume 1717 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 93–191. Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [21] F. Martinelli and E. Olivieri. Approach to equilibrium of Glauber dynamics in the one phase region. I. The attractive case. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 161(3):447–486, 1994.
- [22] Fabio Martinelli, Alistair Sinclair, and Dror Weitz. Glauber dynamics on trees: boundary conditions and mixing time. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 250:301–334, 2004.
- [23] Elchanan Mossel, Dror Weitz, and Nicholas Wormald. On the hardness of sampling independent sets beyond the tree threshold. Submitted, 2007.
- [24] R. Pemantle and J. E. Steif. Robust phase transitions for Heisenberg and other models on general trees. *Ann. Probab.*, 27(2):876–912, 1999.
- [25] D. W. Stroock and B. Zegarliński. The logarithmic Sobolev inequality for discrete spin systems on a lattice. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 149(1):175–193, 1992.
- [26] E. Vigoda. A note on the Glauber dynamics for sampling independent sets. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, (1), 2001.
- [27] D. Weitz. Counting independent sets up to the tree threshold. In *Proceedings of the thirty-eighth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 140–149. ACM, 2006.
- [28] B. Zegarliński. On log-Sobolev inequalities for infinite lattice systems. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 20:173–182, 1990.