



UNIVERSITÀ  
DEGLI STUDI  
DI PADOVA

*Università degli Studi di Padova*

*Padua Research Archive - Institutional Repository*

P.L.E.A.T.-Preventing Lymphocele Ensuring Absorption Transperitoneally: A Robotic Technique

*Original Citation:*

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 11577/3244015 since: 2017-11-20T09:07:06Z

*Publisher:*

Elsevier Inc.

*Published version:*

DOI: 10.1016/j.urology.2017.05.031

*Terms of use:*

Open Access

This article is made available under terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Guidelines, as described at <http://www.unipd.it/download/file/fid/55401> (Italian only)

(Article begins on next page)

# Accepted Manuscript

Title: **P.L.E.A.T. - Preventing Lymphocele Ensuring Absorption Transperitoneally:** a Novel Robotic Technique

Author: Fabrizio Dal Moro, Filiberto Zattoni

PII: S0090-4295(17)30568-X

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2017.05.031>

Reference: URL 20475

To appear in: *Urology*

Received date: 31-3-2017

Accepted date: 19-5-2017

Please cite this article as: Fabrizio Dal Moro, Filiberto Zattoni, **P.L.E.A.T. - Preventing Lymphocele Ensuring Absorption Transperitoneally:** a Novel Robotic Technique, *Urology* (2017), <http://dx.doi.org/doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2017.05.031>.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1 **P.L.E.A.T. - Preventing Lymphocele Ensuring Absorption Transperitoneally:**

2 a novel robotic technique

3

4

5

6 *Authors:*

7 Fabrizio Dal Moro, Filiberto Zattoni

8

9 *Institutional Affiliation:*

10 Department of Surgery, Oncology and Gastroenterology - Urology

11 University of Padova

12 Via Giustiniani 2

13 35128 Padova - Italy

14 T: +390498212720 - F: +390498218757

15

16 *Contact Information (Corresponding Author):*

17 Fabrizio Dal Moro, MD, FEBU

18 Assistant Professor

19 Department of Surgery, Oncology and Gastroenterology - Urology

20 University of Padova

21 Via Giustiniani 2

22 35128 Padova - Italy

23 T: +390498212720 - F: +390498218757

24 E: [fabrizio.dalmoro@unipd.it](mailto:fabrizio.dalmoro@unipd.it)

25

26

27 Word Count of Abstract: 137

28 Word Count of Text: 1561

29

30 Keywords: Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy; Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection;

31 Lymphocele

32

33 **Declaration of interest**

34

35 'Conflicts of interest: none'

36

37 **Acknowledgment**

38

39 Support/Financial Disclosures: None

40

41

42 **Abstract**

43 Objectives

44 To reduce the risk of symptomatic lymphocele after robotic pelvic lymph node dissection  
45 (PLND), we present a novel technique (P.L.E.A.T.): the peritoneum is 'pleated' along its  
46 midline, leaving two lateral openings and allowing lymphatic fluid to drain away from the  
47 pelvis and into the abdomen.

48 Methods

49 We analysed a single-surgeon series of PLNDs during Robotic Radical Prostatectomy,  
50 comparing 195 'standard' PLNDs (in which the peritoneum was 're-approximated' or left  
51 completely open) with 176 cases in which P.L.E.A.T. was performed.

52 Results

53 In the group without P.L.E.A.T., 8 cases of symptomatic (Grade  $\geq 3$ , according to the  
54 Clavien Dindo Classification) lymphoceles (4.1%) were recorded. Only one P.L.E.A.T.  
55 patient complained of symptoms due to a lymphocele ( $p=0.039$ ). No patient reported  
56 complications due to the procedure.

57 Conclusions

58 The P.L.E.A.T. technique is a fast, easy-to-perform and safe method of reducing the risk of  
59 symptomatic lymphocele after transperitoneal robotic PLND.

60

61  
62 **Introduction**

63

64 The formation of a pelvic lymphocele is a complication which may follow robotic pelvic  
65 lymph node dissection (PLND). Most cases of lymphocele are asymptomatic (incidence  
66 reaches 30%) and are often an incidental finding during follow-up<sup>1,2</sup>. When symptoms do  
67 occur (incidence after robotic PLND 0-8% - Grade  $\geq 3$ , according to the Clavien Dindo  
68 Classification<sup>3</sup>), they are typically related to compression of surrounding structures (pelvic  
69 pain, leg edema, deep vein thrombosis)<sup>4</sup>.

70

71 An injury to the lymphatic vessels is the main causative factor in the formation of a  
72 lymphocele. Potential risk factors for its development are: surgical approach (laparotomy  
73 vs. laparoscopy/robotic), number of lymph nodes removed, lymph node status, and type of  
74 cancer.

75

76 Several studies have shown a lower incidence of lymphocele after robotic radical  
77 prostatectomy (RARP) with PLND, by means of a transperitoneal approach rather than  
78 traditional open or extraperitoneal approaches. Initial peritoneotomy is probably the main  
79 reason for the decreased incidence of lymphocele formation during transperitoneal PLND.  
80 The opening created during this approach allows lymphatic fluid to drain away from the  
81 pelvis and into the abdomen. Nevertheless, the incidence of lymphocele is also higher  
82 than anticipated, in view of the believed protective effect of the transperitoneal approach<sup>5,6</sup>.

83

84 The aim of this study was to analyse the incidence to date of symptomatic lymphocele and  
85 to assess the protective role of a novel surgical technique to prevent its formation in a

86 large cohort of patients followed after robotic PLND and transperitoneal RARP for prostate  
87 cancer.

## 88 **Materials and Methods**

89 We analysed a single-surgeon (FDM) series of PLNDs during RARP, comparing 195  
90 'standard' PLNDs (in which the peritoneum was 're-approximated' or left completely open)  
91 with 176 cases, in which a 'partial' closure of the peritoneum was performed.

92 The aim of this novel technique, named P.L.E.A.T. (acronym: Preventing Lymphocele  
93 Ensuring Absorption Transperitoneally) is to create a pathway lined by peritoneum, to  
94 direct lymphatic fluid out of the pelvis and into the peritoneal cavity where it can be  
95 absorbed: the peritoneum is 'pleated' along its midline and fixed to the fibers of the *rectus*  
96 *abdominis* muscles, near the pubis. The P.L.E.A.T. technique, leaving two lateral  
97 openings, allows lymphatic fluid to drain away from the pelvis and into the abdomen  
98 [Figure #1].

99  
100 We excluded the first 50 cases of PLND performed by the surgeon FDM from this series:  
101 in these cases we found 4 symptomatic lymphoceles, but because the cooperation with  
102 other surgeons and a non-standardized technique, we decided to exclude the above cases  
103 in order to avoid any bias due to the initial learning curve. Although including the first 50  
104 cases would have allowed us to increase the level of significance of this study (p value  
105 from 0.038 to 0.01), it would not have been methodologically correct.

106 All patients were managed similarly in the perioperative period (i.e. same timing for  
107 catheter/pelvic drain removal). In view of Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT) prophylaxis, we  
108 treated all patients with subcutaneous low molecular weight heparin (Enoxaparin) at a  
109 dosage of 3000 UI/day (modified according to specific risk, renal function, body mass  
110 index) and graduated compression stockings. We usually continued Enoxaparin  
111 administration for one month after surgery.

112

113 We considered as 'symptomatic' any patient who presented with pelvic symptoms such as  
114 pelvic fullness, fever, or lower abdominal pain, even if slight, with ultrasound/CT/MRI  
115 feedback showing a lymphocele, according to Kim's criteria<sup>7</sup>.

116 Patients who developed DVT complained of pain, swelling, or discoloration of the affected  
117 extremity; diagnosis was confirmed with doppler/compression ultrasonography.

118

119 Statistical analysis was performed with application of Fisher's, Mann-Whitney and  
120 Pearson's Chi-Square Tests.

121

122

## 123 **Results**

124 The demographic and clinical characteristics of patients in both groups were comparable,  
125 as was lymph nodes status ( $p>0.05$ ). There were statistically significant differences in the  
126 pathological staging of cancers ( $p<0.05$ ), and the median number of lymph nodes removed  
127 (5 vs 10 in standard and P.L.E.A.T. groups, respectively;  $p<0.00001$ ) [see Table #1].

128 The cases of extended PLND (25 vs 35, in standard and P.L.E.A.T. groups, respectively)  
129 were not statistically different ( $p=0.064$ ). In the 195 PLNDs without P.L.E.A.T.

130 reconstruction, we found symptomatic lymphocele (Grade  $\geq 3$ , according to the Clavien

131 Dindo Classification<sup>3</sup>) in 8 cases (4.1%) distributed homogeneously (and not grouped in

132 the first cases). Only one P.L.E.A.T. patient complained of symptoms due to a bilateral

133 lymphocele, which required percutaneous drainage ( $p=0.039$ ). Specific data concerning

134 these patients and the management of complications are shown in Table #2. No patient

135 reported either complications related to the procedure or any kind of abdominal/pelvic

136 discomfort.

137

138

139 **Comment**

140 The problem of preventing lymphocele after PLND remains an interesting challenge,  
141 particularly in cases of extended PLND. Various solutions have been proposed to limit the  
142 risk, such as the use of new energy sources, or collagen patches coated with human  
143 coagulation factors which provide rapid and reliable hemostasis by creating a robust fibrin  
144 clot adhering to the tissue surface<sup>8,9</sup>.

145

146 Considering exclusively surgical techniques, a 'peritoneal fenestration' is proposed to  
147 prevent the above-mentioned complications: this concept has been extensively studied to  
148 prevent lymphocele development in renal transplantation and a recent review confirmed its  
149 effectiveness<sup>10</sup>.

150 In fact, during open radical prostatectomy or extraperitoneal RARP, the occurrence of  
151 lymphocele is significantly lower with fenestration, and the formation of symptomatic  
152 lymphocele requiring surgical intervention was *de facto* eliminated, without an increase in  
153 postoperative morbidity, as documented by Stolzenburg et al.<sup>11</sup>.

154

155 Nevertheless, although transperitoneal PLND, as opposed to traditional open or  
156 extraperitoneal approaches, has shown a lower incidence of lymphocele, it still remains  
157 significant<sup>12</sup>: it may be due to spontaneous 're-approximation' of the edges of the  
158 peritoneum, incised laterally to the medial obliterate ligaments. In many cases, after  
159 release of the pneumoperitoneum after a RARP with PLND, even though the bladder is left  
160 'dropped', perivesical fat adheres to the PLND bed, creating a closed space in which  
161 lymphatic fluid accumulates. As reported by Lebeis et al., the bladder often forms the  
162 medial wall of the lymphocele cavity<sup>13</sup>.



163 In addition, when the peritoneum is 're-approximated', the final result is similar to an  
164 extraperitoneal open/laparoscopic radical prostatectomy.

165 Some authors have proposed the insertion of a peritoneal flap, created by dropping the  
166 bladder from the abdominal wall and fixing it to the lateral aspect of the bladder, at the end  
167 of the procedure: the 'window' prevents scarring to the bladder over the PLND area,  
168 allowing lymphatic fluid to drain into the peritoneal cavity and thus be reabsorbed<sup>13</sup>. As  
169 reported by the authors, this peritonealization of the lateral aspect of the bladder with a  
170 interposed flap is effective in preventing post-operative lymphoceles. However, this  
171 technique fixes the bladder inferiorly.

172 As previously reported<sup>14</sup>, during RARP we usually perform the CoRPUS reconfiguration, in  
173 which, after the creation of a complete support for the urethra, we put a final stitch from the  
174 anterior wall of the bladder to the pubis allowing the bladder, bladder neck and/or posterior  
175 urethra axis to be properly aligned. With this technique, the solution proposed by Lebeis et  
176 al. is not feasible.

177

178 It was from these considerations that we devised and applied the P.L.E.A.T. surgical  
179 technique. The unique nature of this strategy is that the two lateral 'openings' do not  
180 collapse when the pneumoperitoneum is removed, because pleating the bladder (into a  
181 more natural position) means that we pull the peritoneum medially, thereby avoiding any  
182 possible spontaneous re-approximation.

183 The results demonstrated the significant protective effect of this technique in preventing  
184 symptomatic lymphocele, compared with the widespread standard approach, although the  
185 number of lymph nodes removed in the P.L.E.A.T. group was significantly higher  
186 ( $p < 0.00001$ ).

187 The strengths of this study are: 1. the surgical technique for both limited and extended  
188 PLND was standardized (with only one Hem-o-lock<sup>®</sup> clip distal to Cloquet's node and bi-

189 polar coagulation); 2. In view of the incidence of symptomatic lymphocele reported in the  
190 literature, the number of patients enrolled was adequate (powered statistical analysis); 3.  
191 the technique is simple and easy-to-perform (2-3 min) and is thus easily replicable; 4. the  
192 absence of complications due to the technique allows us to conclude that it is safe.

193 The limitations of this study are: 1. all the procedures were performed by the same skilled  
194 robotic surgeon: although this avoided any bias due to the differing proficiency and/or  
195 technique of several surgeons, we realize that it may represent a limitation. In effect, it was  
196 in order to reduce the impact of the learning curve that we decided not to consider the first  
197 50 cases; 2. this is a non-randomized study, based on analysis of medical records; 3. both  
198 limited and extended PLND were examined in the same analysis, although both  
199 techniques were similarly distributed in the two groups, nullifying any bias (25 vs 35  
200 extended PLNDs in the standard and P.L.E.A.T. groups, respectively;  $p>0.05$ ). Although  
201 not significantly higher, the number of extended PLNDs performed in the second 'era' far  
202 from being a demonstration of an improvement in technique, only demonstrates an  
203 increase in the number of indications of more clinically extended neoplasms, as confirmed  
204 by the different percentage of pT2/pT3 in the two groups. Considering that both the  
205 number of lymph nodes removed and the type of cancer represent well-documented risk  
206 factors for symptomatic lymphoceles, this distribution of cases reinforces the protective  
207 role of the P.L.E.A.T. technique.

208

209

## 210 **Conclusions**

211 Our preliminary analysis confirms that the P.L.E.A.T. technique is a fast, economic, easy-  
212 to-perform and safe method for reducing the risk of symptomatic lymphocele after  
213 transperitoneal robotic PLND. Randomized clinical trials (preferably multi-institutional) are

214 needed to confirm the efficacy of P.L.E.A.T., matching other recently reported studies

215 comparing differing techniques for lymphoceles.

216

Accepted Manuscript

217 **References**

218

219 [1] Rassweiler JJ, Hruza M, Teber D, Su LM. Laparoscopic and robotic assisted radical  
220 prostatectomy—critical analysis of the results. *Eur Urol* 2006;49:612–624.

221 [2] Orvieto MA, Coelho RF, Chauhan S, Palmer KJ, Rocco B, Patel VR. Incidence of  
222 lymphoceles after robot-assisted pelvic lymph node dissection. *BJU Int.* 2011;108:1185–  
223 1190.

224 [3] Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: a new  
225 proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. *Ann Surg*  
226 2004;240:205-213.

227 [4] Ploussard G, Briganti A, de la Taille A, et al. Pelvic lymph node dissection during robot-  
228 assisted radical prostatectomy: efficacy, limitations, and complications—a systematic review  
229 of the literature. *Eur Urol* 2014;65:7–16.

230 [5] Chung JS, Kim WT, Ham WS, et al. Comparison of oncological results, functional  
231 outcomes, and complications for transperitoneal versus extraperitoneal robot-assisted  
232 radical prostatectomy: a single surgeon's experience. *J Endourol* 2011;25:787–792.

233 [6] Solberg A, Angelsen A, Bergan U, et al. Frequency of lymphoceles after open and  
234 laparoscopic pelvic lymph node dissection in patients with prostate cancer. *Scand J Urol*  
235 *Nephrol* 2003;37:218–221.

236 [7] Kim JK, Jeong YY, Kim YH, et al. Postoperative pelvic lymphocele: treatment with  
237 simple percutaneous catheter drainage. *Radiology* 1999;212:390–394.

238 [8] Grande P, Di Pierro GB, Mordasini L, et al. Prospective randomized trial comparing  
239 titanium clips to bipolar coagulation in sealing lymphatic vessels during pelvic lymph node  
240 dissection at the time of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. *Eur Urol* 2017;71:155–158.

- 241 [9] Marchioni M, Ingrosso M, De Francesco P, Primiceri G, Manco R, Tenaglia RL. The  
242 use of haemostatic agents and sealants for the prevention of lymphocele after urological  
243 surgery: a review of the literature. *Surg Technol Int* 2015;27:45–50.
- 244 [10] Mihaljevic AL, Heger P, Abbasi Dezfouli S, Golriz M, Mehrabi A. Prophylaxis  
245 of lymphocele formation after kidney transplantation via peritoneal fenestration:  
246 a systematic review. *Transpl Int* 2017;30:534-555.
- 247 [11] Stolzenburg JU, Wasserscheid J, Rabenalt R, et al. Reduction in incidence of  
248 lymphocele following extraperitoneal radical prostatectomy and pelvic lymph node  
249 dissection by bilateral peritoneal fenestration. *World J Urol* 2008;26:581–586.
- 250 [12] Zorn KC, Katz MH, Bernstein A, et al. Pelvic lymphadenectomy during robot-assisted  
251 radical prostatectomy: Assessing nodal yield, perioperative outcomes, and complications.  
252 *Urology* 2009;74:296–302.
- 253 [13] Lebeis C, Canes D, Sorcini A, Moinzadeh A. Novel technique prevents lymphoceles  
254 after transperitoneal robotic-assisted pelvic lymph node dissection: peritoneal flap  
255 interposition. *Urology* 2015;85:1505-1509.
- 256 [14] Dal Moro F, Crestani A, Valotto C, Zattoni F. CORPUS--novel COmplete  
257 Reconstruction of the Posterior Urethral Support after robotic radical prostatectomy:  
258 preliminary data of very early continence recovery. *Urology* 2014;83:641-647.

259

260 **Legends:**

261

262

263 **Figure #1:** (A) Drawing and (B) Intraoperative photo showing bladder peritoneum 'pleated'  
264 along midline, leaving two lateral openings, according to the P.L.E.A.T. technique.

265

266

267

268

Accepted Manuscript

269 Table 1. Comparison of patient characteristics in 'standard' Group and P.L.E.A.T. Group.

	<b>Group 1 (standard)</b>	<b>Group 2 (P.L.E.A.T.)</b>	<i>p</i>
<b>Parameter</b>			
<i>Number of Patients</i>	<b>195</b>	<b>176</b>	
<i>Pathological Staging (%)</i>			<i>0.03*</i>
pT0	10 (5.1)	1 (0.6)	
pT2(a-b-c)	110 (56.4)	98 (55.7)	
pT3(a-b)/pT4	75 (38.5)	77 (43.7)	
<i>Lymph Nodes Removed</i>			
Median (IR)	5 (0-11)	10 (6.5-15)	<i>&lt;0.00001<sup>§</sup></i>
Positive Nodes (%)	5 (2.6)	11 (6.25)	<i>0.12<sup>#</sup></i>
<i>Extended PLNDs (%)</i>	25 (12.8)	35 (19.9)	<i>0.068*</i>
<i>Symptomatic Lymphocele (%)</i>	8 (4.1)	1 (0.6)	<i>0.038<sup>#</sup></i>
<i>Symptomatic Lymphocele in Extended PLNDs (%)</i>	1/25 (4)	1/35 (2.9)	<i>n.s.</i>
<i>Follow-up days (median, IQR)</i>	1951 (1678-2192)	731.5 (508-1033)	
			<i>*Chi-Square</i> <i>§ Mann-Whitney test</i> <i>#Fisher's test</i>

270  
271

272 Table 2. Patients with symptomatic lymphocele

<b>Case #</b>	<b>Technique</b>	<b>Symptoms</b>	<b>Postop Day #</b>	<b>Management</b>	<b>Successful</b>
2	no PLEAT	fever, DVT	36	PC Drainage	yes
18	no PLEAT	pain	45	PC Drainage	yes
82	no PLEAT	fever	11	PC Drainage	yes
91	no PLEAT	fever, LUTS	38	PC Drainage	yes
98	no PLEAT	fever	145	Antibiotics	yes
120	no PLEAT	fever, gain	26	PC Drainage	yes
177	no PLEAT	fever, DVT	32	PC Drainage	yes
182	no PLEAT	DVT	25	PC Drainage	yes
367	PLEAT	DVT	26	PC Drainage	yes

273 *DVT: Deep Venous Thrombosis; LUTS: Low Urinary Tract Symptoms; PC: Percutaneous*  
274

Accepted Manuscript