Which children and young people are excluded from school? Findings from a large British birth cohort study, the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC)

Amelia Paget¹ Claire Parker² Jon Heron³ Stuart Logan² William Henley²

Alan Emond¹

Tamsin Ford²

¹ Centre for Child and Adolescent Health, School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol,

Bristol, UK

² Institute of Health Research, University of Exeter Medical School, Exeter, UK

³ School of Social and Community Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

* Address for correspondence

Dr Amelia Paget, Department for Child and Adolescent Health, School Of Social and Community

Medicine, University Of Bristol, Oakfield House, Bristol, BS8 2BN

Tel: +44(0)117 331 4099 Email: <u>Amelia.Paget@bristol.ac.uk</u>

Keywords: ALSPAC, school exclusion, school

MESH terms: Schools, mental disorders, developmental disabilities

Word count = 3263 inc abstract, key messages, and in-text citations, excl tables and reference list

Abstract

Background

Exclusion from school is increasingly recognised as pertinent to child health. National educational data reveal that boys, children who are looked-after, living in poverty, have special educational needs (SEN) or from certain ethnic minorities, are disproportionately excluded from school. As population-based data on the wider characteristics of excluded children are scarce, we aimed to describe predictors of school exclusion in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC).

Method

ALSPAC, a prospective UK population-based birth-cohort study, collected parent reports of permanent school exclusions by 8 years and parent and self-reports of permanent and fixed-term exclusions in the preceding 12 months at 16 years. Potential risk factors were examined for associations with exclusion using logistic regression, with a focus on child mental health and neurodevelopment.

Results

Analyses were based on all available data on 53/8245 (0.6%) pupils excluded from school by 8 years and 390/4482 (8.7%) at 16 years. Key factors associated with exclusion at both time points included male gender, lower socioeconomic status, maternal psychopathology, mental health and behavioural difficulties, psychiatric disorder, social communication difficulties, language difficulties, antisocial activities, bullying/being bulled, lower parental engagement with education, low school engagement, poor relationship with teacher, low educational attainment and SEN (all p<0.05).

Conclusion

Exclusion from school was associated with child, family and school-related factors identifiable at, or prior to, primary school age. Child health professionals have an important role in the holistic, multidisciplinary assessment of children who are at-risk of exclusion from school. Mental health and neurodevelopmental difficulties should be recognised and supported, to improve the health and educational outcomes amongst this vulnerable group.

Key messages

- Our findings support national guidance that children who are excluded warrant a holistic assessment that goes beyond their educational needs. Child health practitioners have an important role to play in addressing any unrecognised or inadequately supported neurodevelopmental or mental health needs.
- 2) Current policy recognises the need for effective integrated working between health, education and social care for children with complex needs. This study reflects the importance of a collaborative approach to children presenting with disruptive behaviour at school to avoid educational placements breaking down.
- 3) Difficulties experienced by children who are excluded in relation to their mental health, behaviour, social communication and learning may be identifiable early on at primary school or even prior to school entry – providing opportunities for intervention.
- 4) Further research is required to clarify the contribution of mental health and neurodevelopmental disorders to disciplinary exclusion.

Background

Exclusion from school is a disciplinary tool, which is increasingly recognised as pertinent to child health (Parker and Ford 2013). In the short term, exclusion may have significant psychological and practical impacts on the child, their family and others in the school (McDonald and Thomas 2003, Parker *et al.* 2016b, Quin and Hemphill 2014, Smith 2009), whilst in the longer term it is associated with poor mental and physical health, substance abuse, antisocial behaviour, crime, low educational achievement, unemployment and homelessness (Skiba *et al.* 2003, Daniels and Cole 2010, Parsons *et al.* 2001, Berridge *et al.* 2001, Pirrie *et al.* 2011, Hemphill *et al.* 2012, Hemphill *et al.* 2006, Arcia 2006).

The most common reason for exclusion is persistent disruptive behaviour (DfE 2016, Parker *et al.* 2016a), which may be due to unrecognised or inadequately supported needs. Exclusion is unlikely to reduce disruptive behaviour as it fails to address underlying difficulties, and many children experience multiple exclusions (Parsons *et al.* 2001, Bowman-Perrott *et al.* 2013, Theriot *et al.* 2009). Statutory guidance in England suggests that exclusion should trigger a holistic assessment that extends beyond educational needs to identify and remediate contributory factors (DfE. 2012). In practice, it is unclear how often and how effectively such assessments occur.

National educational data reveal that exclusion occurs disproportionately in certain groups; including boys, some ethnic minorities, those eligible for free school meals, and children who are looked-after or have SEN (DfE. 2014). National statistics from the USA similarly indicate the disproportionate exclusion of vulnerable children (Krezmien *et al.* 2006, Bowman-Perrott *et al.* 2013). In England, official exclusion rates have increased over the past year (DfE 2016); these data may also hide a wider burden of informal exclusion practices, particularly among children with special educational needs and disability (SEND) (LGO 2011, OCC 2013, Evans 2010, Butler 2011, CAF 2013, AAA 2014, Parker *et al.* 2016b).

Primary research on this issue using population-level data is sparse. Although children with a neurodevelopmental or psychiatric disorder may be more likely to be excluded, two systematic reviews found surprisingly few studies testing this relationship (Parker *et al.* 2014, Whear *et al.* 2014). Research from the US and Australia suggests risk factors may include poor social skills, low academic attainment, emotional and behavioural difficulties, single parenthood, young maternal age, low maternal education, receipt of public assistance, high individual school mobility, poor school 'climate', and low parental expectations, satisfaction and involvement with school (Achilles *et al.* 2007, Bowman-Perrott *et al.* 2013, Bruns *et al.* 2005, Hemphill *et al.* 2014, McElderry and Cheng 2014, Raffaele-Mendez *et al.* 2002, Theriot *et al.* 2009).

The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) is a UK prospective population-based birth-cohort study, which offers an excellent opportunity to examine a range of risk factors for school exclusion. The aim of this study is to describe the broad profiles of children and young people excluded from school.

Commented [FT1]: New stats released a week or two ago – also small increase – would change the reference

Methods

Sample

ALSPAC recruited 14,541 pregnant women resident in Avon, South West England, expected to deliver between April 1991 - December 1992. When the oldest children were 7 years old the initial sample was bolstered with eligible cases that failed to join the study originally, so the total sample with child-based data collected after the age of 7 is 15,458 pregnancies, including 14,775 live births and 14,701 children alive at 1 year. The sample and phases of enrolment are described in the cohort profile papers (Boyd *et al.* 2013, Fraser *et al.* 2013), and further information is available on the study website (http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac), which contains a searchable data dictionary (<u>http://www.bris.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/data-access/data-dictionary</u>). Ethical approval for the study

was obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee and Local Research Ethics Committees.

Measures

Outcome measure: Exclusion from school

1) Exclusion by eight years:

When the child was eight years seven months old, mothers were asked if their child had ever been excluded from school, creating a binary variable of 'Yes' or 'No'. Those who were not sent or did not complete the questionnaire (n=6826) or respond to the question (n=48) were coded as missing.

2) Exclusion at 16 years:

When the child was approximately 16 years old, mothers and children were asked separately about fixed-term and permanent exclusions from school in the past 12 months. A binary variable was derived; if the mother and/or child had reported any school exclusion this was coded as 'Yes', or if both the mother and child had reported no exclusions, this was coded as 'No'. Questionnaires not sent or completed were coded as missing (n=10,963).

Exposure variables

To determine early discernible differences in children excluded from school, exposure variables focussed on early childhood and included risk factors for disruptive behaviour (Latimer *et al.* 2012) and for exclusion observed in the literature and clinical practice. We split exposures into three groups; Family, Child, and School-related factors. We used all available data rather than restricting investigation to those with complete data on all exposures, therefore each exposure investigated has a different denominator. As a pragmatic approach and because many continuous measures were skewed, distributions were dichotomised to create binary exposures, coded as 1 to indicate risk and 0 to indicate non-risk. Cut-offs were either clinically significant thresholds or used in previous ALSPAC studies. Table 1 provides further details on exposure variables.

Statistical analysis

Logistic regression models explored associations with exposure variables in relation to the two outcome variables; exclusion by 8 years and exclusion at 16 years. Values were not adjusted for multiple testing. Analyses were conducted using Stata v13.1.

Results

Available data

This investigation is based on 8,245 children with data on exclusion by 8 years (56% of the total cohort alive at 1 year) and 4,482 with data on exclusion at 16 years (31% of those alive at 1 year). Children with available data had higher socio-economic status than those without, due to increased attrition of those in lower socio-economic groups (Wolke *et al.* 2009).

Number of children and young people experiencing exclusion 53/8,245 children (0.64%) experienced permanent exclusion by 8 years. At 16 years, Mothers reported 215 children to have been excluded (including 212 with fixed-term exclusions and 11 with permanent exclusions) and 269 children reported exclusion. The total number of cases where exclusion was reported by the mother and/or the child was 390/4,482 (8.7%). Missing data was not the same for both sources, so for the 4,310 participants with data on exclusion from *both* the mother and child at 16 years, we compared reported exclusions between the two; there were 18 cases where the mother reported exclusion whilst the child did not, whilst 106 children reported exclusions that their mothers did not report. Some of these may indicate 'informal' exclusions and question whether appropriate procedures were followed for informing parents every time the pupil was excluded from the educational environment. Of the 53 children excluded by 8 years, only 16 had data on exclusion at 16 years, of whom 9 (56%) had experienced further exclusion; exclusion by 8 years was a strong predictor of exclusion at 16 years (OR 15.27, CI 5.65 - 41.29, p<0.001).

Factors associated with exclusion

Results for family, child and school-related factors are shown in Tables 2, 3 and 4 respectively.

Family

The three family factors most strongly associated with exclusion by 8 years were a history of the mother being suspended from school, rented housing and maternal depression in pregnancy; whilst for exclusion at 16 years they were the mother being suspended, young maternal age, and maternal smoking in pregnancy.

Exclusion at both time points was associated with measures reflecting low socio-economic status, but this association was more consistent for children excluded at 16 years, which may reflect greater statistical power with more reported cases of exclusion. Strikingly, one third of children born to teenage mothers were excluded at the age of 16 years, and almost one third of those with a maternal history of exclusion also experienced exclusion; there was some but only partial overlap between these groups.

Higher levels of adversity in pregnancy and in the first two years of the child's life were associated with exclusion at both time points, whether examined using the Family Adversity Index as a continuous measure, and when dichotomised above the 95^{th} centile (exclusion by 8 years: OR 3.68, Cl 1.55 - 8.72, p=0.003, exclusion at 16 years: OR 5.85, Cl 3.99 - 8.58, p<0.001).

Child

Psychiatric disorder and social communication difficulties strongly predicted exclusion at both 8 and 16 years. Exclusion by 8 years was also related to worse general health in the pre-school period, and at 16 years, to involvement in antisocial activities.

School

The three school-related factors most strongly associated with exclusion by 8 years were presence of SEN, high levels of school mobility, and poor relationship with the teacher; whilst for exclusion by 16 years they were less parental support for the child's learning, and Key Stage One (KS1) results of ≤level 1 for writing and reading.

Discussion

Our study shows a significant proportion of young people experiencing fixed term or permanent exclusions at 16 years (8.7%). Given this may be an under-estimate, and with the known long-term adverse outcomes of school exclusion, this has widespread potential implications. Furthermore, our findings suggest that exclusion is experienced by children who face multiple vulnerabilities in different areas and stages of life compared to their peers. Excluded children were more likely to have mental health or neurodevelopmental difficulties, however there may be a complex mixture of needs on presentation, and not all may meet clinical/diagnostic thresholds. Exclusion is likely to result from an accumulation of child, family and school factors, which all occur within, and interact with, the wider community and societal context, and amount to a significant burden expressed in the disruptive behaviour that challenges the school. The problem is unlikely to reside solely within the child or family, as is often the perception.

The profile of children excluded at primary and at secondary school age may differ; though we were unable to formally test this hypothesis. Exclusion at primary school was rarer, and may reflect more severe difficulties, whilst in adolescence, difficulties may be more subtle, but numerous and accumulative, particularly in the context of the increasing challenges of a secondary school setting.

Associations with socioeconomic deprivation, family adversity and maternal mental health problems, highlights the importance of considering a child's behaviour in the context of challenges the family may be facing, and the need to focus preventative interventions for families at risk. The association between mothers and children who experience exclusion may highlight genetic contributions, as well as the influence of maternal experiences of education on the child's attitude towards and experience of school.

There was strong evidence for early differences in behaviour amongst excluded children, as well as parental concerns about child health and behaviour in the pre-school period. Professionals should take such concerns seriously, and intervene early. Many child and school-related factors measured early in primary school, were still strongly associated with exclusion at 16 years, emphasising that difficulties may be identifiable at an early stage, and early school experiences may have significant influence on later school trajectories.

Relevance to existing literature

The proportion of children excluded from school in our study is higher than currently reported in England and higher than we might expect, given both ALSPAC attrition and school exclusion are socially patterned. However exclusion rates have halved since the late 1990s, so the rates in our study are in line with figures from the time of data collection in 2006/7, but may also reflect informal exclusion episodes missing from national data.

Our results are consistent with known socio-demographic risks for exclusion and findings from US and Australian datasets (Achilles *et al.* 2007, Bowman-Perrott *et al.* 2013, Hemphill *et al.* 2014, Theriot *et al.* 2009) and qualitative work (Daniels *et al.* 2003, Parsons *et al.* 1994, Hayden and Ward 1996, Gordon 2001, Parker *et al.* 2016b) in highlighting the role of family and school factors, in addition to child characteristics. The findings have relevance to children in similar education systems and high-income settings worldwide.

Key implications for policy and practice

The American Academy of Paediatrics has highlighted that school exclusion is an issue that requires more attention from health professionals, particularly paediatricians who can help schools understand and address root causes of disruptive behaviour (AAP 2003). In the UK, the policy response to the seminal report 'Why children die' also called for a much stronger focus on child mental health, especially for children most at risk such as those excluded from school (RCPCH. and NCB. 2014). Our study adds weight to the case that school exclusion is not simply an education issue, but highly relevant for broader child health and wellbeing.UK education/health policy should reflect this, and ensure every school has timely access to psychology/counselling services and links to the local community child health centre.

Our findings support statutory guidance that disruptive behaviour may indicate unmet need and that excluded children warrant a multi-disciplinary assessment that goes beyond their educational situation, and a review of the adequacy of existing support for children with known SEND (DfE. 2012). We recommend such assessments encompass child, family and school-related factors and ideally occur when the *risk* of exclusion is identified, allowing opportunity to intervene and reduce the likelihood of the school placement breaking down. Community paediatricians are well placed to contribute, actively searching for evidence of a neurodevelopmental or mental health condition, and referring to child and adolescent mental health services (CAMHS) and other allied child health professionals as appropriate (Paget and Emond 2016). Chid health professionals also have an important role advocating for the rights of children who are illegally excluded, and against disciplinary practices which further disadvantage vulnerable children. Finally, some children may be unable to cope within a mainstream setting, but their move to specialist provision should ideally be planned as the optimal place to meet their needs rather than by default after permanent exclusion.

The need for early identification and intervention for difficulties that could be remediated is clear, given that children most at risk of exclusion are often those already vulnerable to poor outcomes. Early intervention may help redirect children onto a more positive trajectory, and reduce the huge potential costs of educational disengagement, childhood mental health difficulties and social exclusion (Scott *et al.* 2001, Snell *et al.* 2013, Romeo *et al.* 2006); an approach all the more pertinent in an era of financial

austerity. For this to occur, school staff (Ford *et al.* 2007), need training in recognising neurodevelopmental and psychiatric disorders, and support in helping such children access the curriculum. Active treatment of behavioural difficulties is important, via prompt and easy access to parenting courses (NICE 2013, Furlong *et al.* 2012), as well as training for school staff on behaviour management.

Strengths and limitations

To our knowledge this is the only exploration of exclusion in a UK birth-cohort, using data from a large population-based study with a wide range of prospectively measured, relevant, robust, and clinically important measures.

This topic is difficult to research as exclusions are relatively rare and occur among 'hard to reach' groups, and the use of exclusion varies greatly between schools. Our data were not derived from linked education records, but reported by parents and children. However, this self-reported data is a strength, as it likely includes episodes not officially recorded by the school, thus may be a more realistic estimate of children who experience exclusion.

Our data had no measure of fixed-term exclusions by 8 years and small numbers of permanent exclusions at both time-points. However, permanent exclusions are usually preceded by fixed-term exclusions, suggesting that these children are usually part of the same group. It was not appropriate to statistically compare profiles for exclusions at the two time-points, due to small numbers of exclusions by 8 years and because exclusions were captured in a different way.

Missing data was a limitation. Attrition from ALSPAC was systematic and children who dropped out were more likely to suffer from disruptive behaviour disorder. However, work on the impact of this attrition in ALSPAC (Wolke *et al.* 2009), as well as sensitivity analyses using multiple imputation (Parker 2014), suggests our conclusions would be unlikely to change if complete data were available.

Further research

Further analyses of existing and more recent large cohort studies would help establish more clearly the relationship between exclusion and neurodevelopmental and mental health conditions, as well allowing investigation of the impact of changes in education policy and practice (Parker and Ford 2013). Exploring potentially modifiable risk factors in the school environment would be important, as well as factors associated with positive experiences of school and high levels of attainment among groups predisposed towards exclusion.

Conclusion

Exclusion from school is associated with a multitude of child, family and school-related factors, many of which are present in the pre-school period or early in primary school, allowing opportunity for intervention. Children who are excluded warrant a holistic, multi-disciplinary assessment to identify any unrecognised or inadequately supported difficulties, particularly looking for mental health or neurodevelopmental conditions. School exclusion is not simply an education issue and child health professionals have an important role to play in assessing and supporting children at risk.

Acknowledgements

We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists and nurses. The UK Medical Research Council and the Wellcome Trust (Grant ref: 092731) and the University of Bristol provide core support for ALSPAC. This publication is the work of the authors and they will serve as guarantors for the contents of this paper. This research was specifically funded by Amelia Paget's National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Academic Clinical Fellowship in Child Health. Claire Parker's work on this project was supported by a PhD studentship funded by The National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care (CLAHRC) South West. The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health in England.

Tables Table 1: Details of exposure variables investigated

FACTOR	TIME-POINT	SOURCE	SPECIFIC MEASURE AND DESCRIPTION OF VARIABLES CREATED
FAMILY FACTORS		·	
Parental socioeconomic class	32 weeks gestation	Mother	Occupation was used to calculate social class based on the 1991 Office and Populations Censuses and Surveys standards (OPCS 1991). The highest of maternal and paternal social class was taken: Class I, II Class III, IV, V
Equivalised household income	33 and 47 months	Mother	Dichotomised at lowest 20% of household income
Housing tenure	8 weeks gestation	Mother	Mortgaged/owned Rented
Maternal marital status	8 weeks gestation	Mother	Married Unmarried/divorced/widowed
Maternal education	32 weeks gestation	Mother	Highest maternal educational qualification achieved: A Level/Higher education O level/CSE/Vocational
Mother suspended from school before 17 years	32 weeks gestation	Mother	Not suspended Suspended
Maternal age at delivery	Pregnancy baseline data	Mother	<20 years ≥20 years
Parity	18 weeks gestation	Mother	Primiparous Multiparous
Smoked cigarettes in 1st trimester of pregnancy	18 weeks gestation	Mother	No Yes
Alcohol use in 1 st trimester of pregnancy	18 weeks gestation	Mother	<1 glass/week ≥1 glass/week
Maternal depression in pregnancy	32 weeks gestation	Mother	Edinburgh Post-natal Depression Scale (EPDS) - a cut off of 13 was used to create a binary variable, as it is validated as a good predictor of clinical depression (Murray and Carothers 1990).
Maternal anxiety in pregnancy	32 weeks gestation	Mother	Crown Crisp Experimental Index - a cut off of the top 15% was used as it has been shown to predict emotional and behavioural difficulties in children at 4 years (O'Connor <i>et al.</i> 2002).

Mother life events	8 weeks	Mother	Life events inventory of 42 items occurring since mid-pregnancy, created for ALPSAC using items from previous inventories (Brown and Harris 1978, Barnett <i>et al.</i> 1983, Honnor <i>et al.</i> 1994). A binary variable was created using total number of life events, dichotomised at top 25% (\geq 5 life events).
Home environment	6 months	Mother	HOME score – Six-factor measure of the emotional and cognitive home environment, adapted from the HOME observation inventory for ALSPAC (Caldwell and Bradley 1979). A dichotomous variable was created with suboptimum HOME environment defined as the lowest 25% of the total score.
Mother's parenting	6 months	Mother	Mother's parenting score – seven-factor measure of cognitive stimulation, adapted from the HOME observation inventory for ALSPAC (Caldwell and Bradley 1979). A dichotomous variable was created with suboptimum parenting defined as the lowest 25% of the total score.
Maternal psychopathology	2 years	Mother	A summary item from the Family Adversity Index (Steer <i>et al.</i> 2004) designating whether the mother had any depression, anxiety or suicide attempts during the 1st 2 years of the child's life: No Yes
Family Adversity	Pregnancy	Mother	The Family Adversity Index (FAI) (Steer <i>et al.</i> 2004) was developed by the ALSPAC team to create an index of multiple family risks. It included 18 items taken from questionnaires administered throughout pregnancy (8, 12, 18 and 32 weeks gestation), including risk factors related to the age of mother, housing, education, finance, partner relationships, family, social network, maternal mental health, substance abuse, and crime. For each item of adversity that was present a score of 1 was given, and a total FAI score was gained by summing all 18 items, the higher score indicated more adversity. A binary variable was created with scores ≥5 indicating high family adversity (dichotomised at 95th centile) (Macleod <i>et al.</i> 2008).
Family Adversity	Birth-2years	Mother	Family Adversity Index (FAI) as above. A binary variable was created with scores ≥6 indicating high family adversity (dichotomised at 95th centile as per (Macleod <i>et al.</i> 2008))
CHILD FACTORS	•		
Sex	Child baseline data	Mother	Female Male
Child ethnic background	32 weeks gestation	Mother	White Black or Minority Ethic
Preterm birth	Child baseline data	Mother, Obstetric records	No (Gestation≥37 weeks) Yes (Gestation<37 weeks)
Low birth-weight	Child baseline data	Mother, Obstetric records	No (Birth-weight ≥2500g) Yes (Birth-weight <2500g)
Development	1 year 6 months	Mother	An estimate of the child's developmental abilities used a scale developed by ALSPAC, including items from the Denver Developmental Screening Test. A set of questions completed by the mother was used

Mother concerned about child's behaviour Language development	2 years 8 months 3 years 2	Mother	to calculate a continuous total score including the four developmental domains (gross motor, fine motor, communication, and social skills). A dichotomous variable was created with suboptimum development defined as the lowest 10% of the total development score ((Chittleborough <i>et al.</i> 2011). Mothers were asked if they were worried about their child's behaviour development: No Yes Modified version of the MacArthur Communication Questionnaire (Fenson <i>et al.</i> 1994) used to create a
	months		total language score, incorporating measures of vocabulary, tenses, plurals and word combinations. The score was dichotomised at the lowest 10% to reflect children with poor language development.
Child general health	3 years 6 months	Mother	Mothers were asked about their child's general health over the past year, with responses collapsed into a dichotomous variable: Very healthy/mostly well Sometimes ill/never well
Mental health problems	3 years 6 months	Mother	Childhood mental health problems were assessed using the Strengths & Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ). The total difficulties score (combination of four sub-scales: hyperactivity, emotional symptoms, conduct problems, peer problems) was dichotomised at the recommended clinical cut off (≥14) (Goodman 1997).
Psychiatric disorder	7 years	Mother Teacher	The Development and Well-Being Assessment (DAWBA) (Goodman <i>et al.</i> 2000) composed of questions relating to a number of common emotional and behavioural disorders in children occurring in the present and recent past. A dichotomous summary variable was used to indicate any psychiatric disorder generated by the DAWBA, as well as variables indicating clinical diagnoses of any ADHD disorder, any oppositional defiant/conduct/behavioural disorder, any pervasive developmental disorder, and any emotional disorder (anxiety/depressive disorder).
Social Communication difficulties	7 years 7 months	Mother	12-item Social Communication Disorder Checklist (SCDC; score range 0–24) (Skuse <i>et al.</i> 2005). The SCDC is a brief screening instrument of social reciprocity and verbal/nonverbal communication with high sensitivity and specificity for autism (Bölte <i>et al.</i> 2011) with higher scores reflecting more social-communication deficits. The SCDC was dichotomised at the clinical cut off (SCDC<9 vs SCDC≥9), which has been previously shown to provide maximum discrimination between all PDD diagnoses and normal comparisons (Skuse <i>et al.</i> 2005).
Antisocial activities	8 years	Child in Clinic	 11 items from the Self-Reported Antisocial Behavior for Young Children Questionnaire (Loeber <i>et al.</i> 1989). The total number of antisocial activities was dichotomised to indicate involvement in ≥1 antisocial activity.

Bullying	8 years	Child in Clinic	A modified version of the Bullying and Friendship Interview Schedule (BFIS) (Wolke <i>et al.</i> 2000), indicating whether or not the child had been a victim or perpetrator of overt (e.g. name-calling, physical aggression) or relational bullying (e.g. withdrawing friendship, spreading rumours). Children are categorised into Neutral, Bully, Victim, or Bully-victim, with dichotomous variables constructed to indicate any involvement in bullying: Neutral Bully/victim/bully-victim
IQ	8 years	Child in Clinic	Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC- III UK) (Wechsler 1992). Total IQ was categorised into low (<90), average (90-109) and high (110-151) based on the WISC-IV/WASI-IV classification. A dichotomous variable indicating presence of low IQ was created.
Language	8 years	Child in clinic	20 minute direct assessment of children's language functioning was assessed on the Weschler Objective Language Dimensions (WOLD) (Rust 1996) verbal comprehension task, and short-term phonological memory and processing abilities were assessed using an adaptation of the Children's Test of Non-word Repetition (NWR, CNRep) (Gathercole and Baddeley 1996). Both scores were dichotomised at lowest 10% to indicate children with language difficulties.
SCHOOL-RELATED FAC	TORS		
Key Stage 1 results	7 years 4 months	National Pupil Database	Data on the child's Key Stage 1 (KS1) assessments was obtained through data linkage with the Local Education Authority. Dichotomous variables were created indicating whether the child had failed to achieve expected levels (≥ Level 2) for the Reading , Writing and Mathematics KS1 tests.
School engagement	7 years 7 months	Mother	Derived score built from responses to two Likert scale questions on whether the child is stimulated by school and whether they are bored in school (Gutman and Vorhaus 2012), with a higher score indicating higher school engagement. Dichotomised at approximately lowest 10% to indicate those with low school engagement (score 0-3= 1 and score 4-6=0).
School enjoyment	7 years 7 months	Mother	Derived score built from responses to two Likert scale questions on whether the child enjoys school and whether they look forward to going to school (Gutman and Vorhaus 2012), with a higher score indicating higher school enjoyment. Dichotomised at approximately lowest 10% to indicate those with low school enjoyment (score 0-3= 1 and score 4-6=0).
Relationship with teacher	7 years 7 months	Mother	Likert score question indicating whether the child likes their teacher, collapsed into a binary variable: Usually/always likes teacher Not at all/only sometimes likes teacher
Parental interest/ involvement in education	7-8 years	Teacher	Likert score question indicating how supportive parents are towards their child's learning, collapsed into a binary variable: Very supportive Somewhat/Not at all supportive/difficult to say

Special Educational Needs (SEN) status	7-8 years	Mother, Teacher	Responses to questions about the child's SEN statement status were combined into a dichotomous variable:					
Neeus (SEN) status			Never been considered for a statement of SEN					
			Has a SEN statement or has been considered for a statement					
School mobility	8 years	Mother	Number of schools since 5 th birthday:					
			1-2					
			3+					

Table 2: Family factors and exclusion from school

		EX	CLUSION BY	8 YEARS			EX	CLUSION AT	16 YEARS	
EXPOSURE	N with	No	Yes			N with	No	Yes		
	data	(8192)	(53)	OR	р	data	(4092)	(390)	OR	р
	(8245)	N (%)	N (%)	(95% CI)	value	(4482)	N (%)	N (%)	(95% CI)	value
Lower parental socioeconomic	7312	2914/7269	20/43	1.30 (0.71 - 2.37)	0.393	4066	1267/3741	151/325	1.69 (1.35 - 2.13)	< 0.001
status (Class III, IV, V)		(40.1)	(46.5)				(33.9)	(46.5)		
Low household income	7162	1166/7120	13/42	2.29 (1.19 - 4.42)	0.014	3956	449/3638	75/318	2.19 (1.66 - 2.89)	< 0.001
(bottom 20%)		(16.4)	(31.0)				(12.3)	(23.6)		
Rented housing tenure	7691	1351/7643	18/48	2.79 (1.55 - 5.03)	0.001	4201	481/3854	108/347	3.17 (2.48 - 4.05)	< 0.001
		(17.7)	(37.5)				(12.5)	(31.1)		
Unmarried mother	7743	1082/7697	9/46	1.49 (0.72 - 3.09)	0.288	4233	447/3883	85/350	2.47 (1.89 - 3.21)	< 0.001
		(14.1)	(19.6)				(11.5)	(24.3)		
Lower maternal educational status	7671	4459/7624	34/47	1.86 (0.98 - 3.52)	0.058	4220	1935/3869	256/351	2.69 (2.11 - 3.44)	< 0.001
(O level/CSE/Vocational)		(58.5)	(72.3)				(50.0)	(72.9)		
Mother suspended from school	7640	167/7593	5/47	5.29 (2.07 - 3.55)	0.001	4203	56/3862	24/351	4.98 (3.04 - 8.13)	< 0.001
		(2.2)	(10.6)				(1.5)	(6.8)		
Maternal age ≤20 years at delivery	7910	173/7861	2/49	1.89 (0.46 - 7.85)	0.380	4302	41/3934	20/368	5.46 (3.16 - 9.42)	< 0.001
		(2.2)	(4.1)				(1.0)	(5.4)		
Multiparous	6528	4186/6494	21/34	0.89 (0.45 - 1.78)	0.743	3878	2178/3578	216/300	1.65 (1.27 - 2.15)	< 0.001
		(64.5)	(61.8)				(60.9)	(72.0)		
Smoking in 1 st trimester pregnancy	7771	1491/7725	12/46	1.48 (0.76 - 2.86)	0.248	4248	547/3891	123/357	3.21 (2.54 - 4.07)	< 0.001
		(19.3)	(26.1)				(14.1)	(34.5)		
Alcohol in 1 st trimester pregnancy	7729	1187/7684	6/45	0.84 (0.36 - 1.99)	0.696	4227	590/3872	62/355	1.18 (0.88 - 1.57)	0.267
(≥1 glass/wk)		(15.5)	(13.3)				(15.2)	(17.5)		
Maternal depression in pregnancy	7456	977/7411	13/45	2.67 (1.40 - 5.12)	0.003	4109	391/3764	56/345	1.67 (1.23 - 2.27)	0.001
(EPDS score≥13)		(13.2)	(28.9)				(10.4)	(16.2)		
Maternal anxiety in pregnancy	7277	1120/7234	11/43	1.88 (0.94 - 3.73)	0.073	4019	460/3685	62/334	1.60 (1.19 - 2.14)	0.002
(CCEI ≥85 th Centile)		(15.5)	(25.6)				(12.5)	(18.6)		
Stressful life events in perinatal	7550	2144/7505	23/45	2.61 (1.45 - 4.70)	0.001	4159	1019/3820	123/339	1.57 (1.24 - 1.98)	< 0.001
period (≥5)		(28.6)	(51.1)				(26.7)	(36.3)		
Low home environment score at 6	7532	1730/7490	12/42	1.33 (0.68 - 2.61)	0.403	4162	809/3826	86/337	1.28 (0.99 - 1.65)	0.062
months (bottom 25%)		(23.1)	(28.6)				(21.2)	(25.5)		
Low maternal parenting score at 6	7522	1575/7480	6/42	0.62 (0.26 - 1.49)	0.287	4158	723/3821	74/337	1.21 (0.92 - 1.58)	0.175
months (bottom 25%)		(21.1)	(14.3)				(18.9)	(22.0)		
Any maternal psychopathology	7804	1557/7755	17/49	2.11 (1.17 - 3.82)	0.013	4251	699/3896	86/355	1.46 (1.13 - 1.88)	0.004
during 1st 2 years		(20.1)	(34.7)				(18.0)	(24.2)		

Table 3: Child factors and exclusion from school

		EX		EXCLUSION AT 16 YEARS							
EXPOSURE	N with	No	Yes			N with	No	Yes			
	data	(8192)	(53)	OR	р	data	(4092)	(390)	OR	р	
	(8245)	N (%)	N (%)	(95% CI)	value	(4482)	N (%)	N (%)	(95% CI)	value	
Male sex	8245	4159/8192	40/53	2.98 (1.59 - 5.59)	0.001	4482	1767/4092	246/390	2.25 (1.81 - 2.79)	< 0.001	
		(50.8)	(75.5)				(43.2)	(63.1)			
Non-white ethnic background	7539	277/7494	2/45	1.21 (0.29 - 5.03)	0.791	4167	118/3821	18/346	1.73 (1.04 - 2.88)	0.034	
		(3.7)	(4.4)				(3.1)	(5.2)			
Preterm birth (<37 weeks)	7909	422/7860	2/49	0.75 (0.18 - 3.10)	0.691	4302	203/3934	13/368	0.67 (0.38 - 1.19)	0.174	
		(5.4)	(4.1)				(5.2)	(3.5)			
Low Birth-Weight (≤2500g)	7812	342/7765	1/47	0.47 (0.06 - 3.43)	0.458	4245	181/3882	12/363	0.70 (0.39 - 1.27)	0.238	
		(4.4)	(2.1)				(4.7)	(3.3)			
Poor development at 18 months	7493	741/7449	8/44	2.01 (0.93 - 4.34)	0.075	4150	404/3803	39/347	1.07 (0.75 - 1.51)	0.722	
(bottom 10%)		(10.0)	(18.2)				(10.6)	(11.2)			
Mother concerned about child's	7326	428/7282	5/44	2.05 (0.81 - 5.24)	0.132	4057	197/3733	31/324	1.90 (1.28 - 2.82)	0.002	
behaviour at 2 yrs 6 months		(5.9)	(11.4)				(5.3)	(9.6)			
Poor language development at 3	7121	669/7081	9/40	2.78 (1.32 - 5.87)	0.007	3971	285/3655	38/316	1.62 (1.13 - 2.32)	0.009	
yrs 2 months (bottom 10%)		(9.5)	(22.5)				(7.8)	(12.0)			
Worse general health at 3 yrs 6	7268	262/7226	6/42	4.43 (1.85-10.61)	0.001	4037	116/3716	16/321	1.63 (0.95 - 2.78)	0.075	
months		(3.6)	(14.3)				(3.1)	(5.0)			
Mental health problems at 3 yrs 6	7133	1026/7090	17/43	3.86 (2.09 - 7.15)	< 0.001	3969	433/3645	67/324	1.93 (1.45 - 2.58)	< 0.001	
months (SDQ total difficulties≥14)		(14.5)	(39.5)				(11.9)	(20.7)			
Any psychiatric disorder at 7 yrs	7462	386/7417	17/45	11.06 (6.00 - 20.38)	< 0.001	4119	152/3785	47/334	3.91 (2.76 - 5.55)	< 0.001	
		(5.2)	(37.8)				(4.0)	(14.1)			
ADHD	7080	134/7038	8/42	12.12 (5.51 - 26.68)	< 0.001	3964	47/3662	18/302	4.87 (2.79 - 8.50)	<0.001	
		(1.9)	(19.1)				(1.3)	(6.0)			
PDD	7080	25/7038	1/42	6.84 (0.91 - 51.69)	0.062	3964	11/3662	1/302	1.10 (0.14 - 8.57)	0.926	
		(0.4)	(2.4)				(0.3)	(0.3)			
ODD/CD	7080	196/7038	13/42	15.65 (8.01 - 30.56)	< 0.001	3964	53/3662	32/302	8.07 (5.12 - 12.73)	<0.001	
		(2.8)	(31.0)				(1.5)	(10.6)			
Emotional disorder	7080	221/7038	5/42	4.17 (1.62 - 10.7)	0.003	3964	98/3662	13/302	1.64 (0.91 - 2.95)	0.103	
		(3.1)	(11.9)				(2.7)	(4.3)			
Social communication difficulties	6990	506/6949	15/41	7.35 (3.87 - 3.96)	< 0.001	3927	209/3631	42/296	2.71 (1.90 - 3.86)	<0.001	
at 7 yrs 7 months (SCDC≥9)		(7.3)	(36.6)				(5.8)	(14.2)			
Antisocial activities at 8 yrs (≥1	6079	1326/6044	15/35	2.67 (1.36 - 5.23)	0.004	3591	615/3310	107/281	2.69 (2.09 - 3.48)	<0.001	
activity)		(21.9)	(42.9)				(18.6)	(38.1)			
Any involvement in overt bullying	6042	2069/6010	19/32	2.78 (1.37 - 5.65)	0.005	3587	1060/3307	126/280	1.73 (1.36 - 2.22)	<0.001	
at 8 yrs (bully/victim/bully-victim)		(34.4)	(59.4)				(32.1)	(45.0)			

Low IQ at 8 yrs (Total IQ<90)	6358	1196/6321	12/37	2.06 (1.03 - 4.11)	0.041	3745	491/3451	75/294	2.06 (1.56 - 2.73)	< 0.001
		(18.9)	(32.4)				(14.2)	(25.5)		

Table 4: School-related factors and exclusion from school

		E)	CLUSION B	Y 8 YEARS	EXCLUSION AT 16 YEARS					
EXPOSURE	N with	No	Yes			N with	No	Yes		
	data	(8192)	(53)	OR	р	data	(4092)	(n=390)	OR	р
	(8245)	N (%)	N (%)	(95% CI)	value	(4482)	N (%)	N (%)	(95% CI)	value
Below expected level at Key Stage 1										
≤Level 1 in Reading	6549	670/6503	9/46	2.12 (1.02 - 4.41)	0.045	3484	183/3152	43/332	2.41 (1.70 - 3.44)	<0.001
		(10.3)	(19.6)				(5.8)	(13.0)		
≤Level 1 in Writing	6547	684/6501	12/46	3.00 (1.55 - 5.82)	0.001	3484	196/3152	52/332	2.80 (2.01 - 3.89)	<0.001
		(10.5)	(26.1)				(6.2)	(15.7)		
≤Level 1 in Maths	6542	468/6496	6/46	1.93 (0.81 - 4.58)	0.135	3481	147/3149	21/332	1.38 (0.86 - 2.22)	0.177
		(7.2)	(13.0)				(4.7)	(6.3)		
Low school engagement score at 7 yrs 7	6994	663/6953	9/41	2.67 (1.27 - 5.61)	0.010	3929	299/3632	49/297	2.20 (1.59 - 3.06)	<0.001
months (bottom 10%)		(9.5)	(22.0)				(8.2)	(16.5)		
Low school enjoyment score at 7 yrs 7	7032	829/6991	7/41	1.53 (0.68 - 3.46)	0.307	3941	390/3643	53/298	1.80 (1.32 - 2.47)	<0.001
months (bottom 10%)		(11.9)	(17.1)				(10.7)	(17.8)		
Child never/sometimes likes their	7028	501/6988	9/40	3.76 (1.78 - 7.94)	0.001	3943	201/3646	26/297	1.64 (1.07 - 2.52)	0.022
teacher at 7 yrs 7 months		(7.2)	(22.5)				(5.5)	(8.8)		
Parents are somewhat/not at all	3868	1413/3844	16/24	3.44 (1.47 - 8.06)	0.004	2121	563/1945	94/176	2.81 (2.06 - 3.84)	< 0.001
supportive of child's learning at 7-8 yrs		(36.8)	(66.7)				(29.0)	(53.4)		
SEN status at 7-8 yrs (SEN statement in	3482	344/3454	18/28	16.27 (7.45 - 35.54)	< 0.001	1963	124/1813	21/150	2.22 (1.35 - 3.64)	0.002
place/considered)		(10.0)	(64.3)				(6.8)	(14.0)		
School mobility at 8 yrs (≥3 schools)	8098	331/8049	7/49	3.89 (1.73 - 8.72)	0.001	4022	150/3708	11/314	0.86 (0.46 - 1.61)	0.638
		(4.1)	(14.3)				(4.1)	(3.5)		

References

Aaa (2014) Ruled out – Why are children with autism missing out on education? Ambitious about Autism, London.

Aap (2003) Out-of-School Suspension and Expulsion. Pediatrics, 112, 1206-1209.

Achilles, G. M., Mclaughlin, M. J. & Croninger, R. G. (2007) Sociocultural Correlates of Disciplinary Exclusion Among Students With Emotional, Behavioral, and Learning Disabilities in the SEELS National Dataset. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders*, **15**, 33-45.

Arcia, E. (2006) Achievement and Enrollment Status of Suspended Students: Outcomes in a Large, Multicultural School District. *Education and Urban Society*, **38**, 359-369.

Barnett, B. E., Hanna, B. & Parker, G. (1983) Life event scales for obstetric groups. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 27, 313-320.

Berridge, D., Brodie, I., Pitts, J., Porteous, D. & Tarling, R. (2001) The independent effects of permanent exclusion from school on the offending careers of young people., London.

Bölte, S., Westerwald, E., Holtmann, M., Freitag, C. & Poustka, F. (2011) Autistic traits and autism spectrum disorders: the clinical validity of two measures presuming a continuum of social communication skills. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, **41**, 66-72.

Bowman-Perrott, L., Benz, M. R., Hsu, H.-Y., Kwok, O.-M., Eisterhold, L. A. & Zhang, D. (2013) Patterns and Predictors of Disciplinary Exclusion Over Time: An Analysis of the SEELS National Data Set. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders*, **21**, 83-96.

Boyd, A., Golding, J., Macleod, J., Lawlor, D. A., Fraser, A., Henderson, J., Molloy, L., Ness, A., Ring, S. & Davey Smith, G. (2013) Cohort Profile: The 'Children of the 90s'—the index offspring of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, **42**, 111-127.

Brown, A. & Harris, T. (1978) Social origins of depression: A study of psychiatric disorder in women., Free Press, New York.

Bruns, E., Moore, E., Stephan, S., Pruitt, D. & Weist, M. (2005) The Impact of School Mental Health Services on Out-of-School Suspension Rates. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 34, 23-30.

Butler, V. (2011) Experiences of illegal school exclusions in Wales: a qualitative study. DfES, Welsh Government.Barnardo's Cymru.SNAP Cymru., Cardiff.

Caf (2013) Falling through the Net: Illegal Exclusions, the Experiences of Families with Disabled Children in England and Wales. Contact a Family, London.

Caldwell, B. & Bradley, R. (1979) Home Observation for Measurement of the Environment. University of Arkansas at Little Rock., Little Rock.

Chittleborough, C. R., Lawlor, D. A. & Lynch, J. W. (2011) Young maternal age and poor child development: predictive validity from a birth cohort. *Pediatrics*, **127**, e1436-1444.

Daniels, H. & Cole, T. (2010) Exclusion from school: short-term setback or a long term of difficulties? European Journal of Special Needs Education, 25, 16.

Daniels, H., Cole, T., Sellman, E., Sutton, J., Visser, J. & Bedward, J. (2003) Study of young people permanently excluded from school, DfES Publications London.

Dfe (2016) Permanent and Fixed Period Exclusions in England: 2014 to 2015. (ed. Education, D. F.). London.

Dfe. (2012) Exclusion from maintained schools, Academies and pupil referral units in England - A guide for those with legal responsibilities in relation to exclusion. (ed. Education., D. F.). London.

Dfe. (2014) Permanent and fixed-period exclusions in England: 2012 to 2013. . (ed. Education., D. F.). London.

Evans, J. (2010) Not Present and Not Correct: Understanding and Preventing School Exclusion. Barnardos., London.

- Fenson, L., Dale, P. S., Reznick, J. S., Bates, E., Thal, D. J. & Pethick, S. J. (1994) Variability in early communicative development. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 59, 1-185.
- Ford, T., Hamilton, H., Meltzer, H. & Goodman, R. (2007) Child Mental Health is Everybody's Business: The Prevalence of Contact with Public Sector Services by Type of Disorder Among British School Children in a Three-Year Period. *Child and Adolescent Mental Health*, **12**, 13-20.
- Fraser, A., Macdonald-Wallis, C., Tilling, K., Boyd, A., Golding, J., Davey Smith, G., Henderson, J., Macleod, J., Molloy, L., Ness, A., Ring, S., Nelson, S. M. & Lawlor, D. A. (2013) Cohort Profile: The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children: ALSPAC mothers cohort. *International Journal* of Epidemiology, **42**, 97-110.
- Furlong, M., Mcgilloway, S., Bywater, T., Hutchings, J., Smith, S. M. & Donnelly, M. (2012) Behavioural and cognitive-behavioural group-based parenting programmes for early-onset conduct problems in children aged 3 to 12 years. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, CD008225.
- Gathercole, S. E. & Baddeley, A. D. (1996) The Children's Test of Nonword Repetition. The Psychological Corporation., London.
- Goodman, R. (1997) The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: A Research Note. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, **38**, 581-586.
- Goodman, R., Ford, T., Richards, H., Gatward, R. & Meltzer, H. (2000) The Development and Well-Being Assessment: Description and Initial Validation of an Integrated Assessment of Child and Adolescent Psychopathology. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, **41**, 645-655.
- Gordon, A. (2001) School Exclusions in England: Children's voices and adult solutions? Educational Studies, 27, 69-85.
- Gutman, L. & Vorhaus, J. (2012) The impact of pupil behaviour and wellbeing on educational outcomes. Department for Education., London.
- Hayden, C. & Ward, D. (1996) Faces Behind the Figures: Interviews with Children Excluded from Primary School. *Children & Society*, **10**, 255-266.
- Hemphill, S. A., Heerde, J. A., Herrenkohl, T. I., Toumbourou, J. W. & Catalano, R. F. (2012) The impact of school suspension on student tobacco use: a longitudinal study in Victoria, Australia, and Washington State, United States. *Health Education and Behaviour*, **39**, 45-56.
- Hemphill, S. A., Plenty, S. M., Herrenkohl, T. I., Toumbourou, J. W. & Catalano, R. F. (2014) Student and school factors associated with school suspension: A multilevel analysis of students in Victoria, Australia and Washington State, United States. *Children and Youth Services Review*, **36**, 187-194.
- Hemphill, S. A., Toumbourou, J. W., Herrenkohl, T. I., Mcmorris, B. J. & Catalano, R. F. (2006) The effect of school suspensions and arrests on subsequent adolescent antisocial behavior in Australia and the United States. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, **39**, 736-744.
- Honnor, M. M. J., Zubrick, S. R. & Stanley, F. J. (1994) The role of life events in different categories of preterm birth in a group of women with previous poor pregnancy outcome. *European journal of epidemiology*, **10**, 181-188.
- Krezmien, M. P., Leone, P. E. & Achilles, G. M. (2006) Suspension, Race, and Disability: Analysis of Statewide Practices and Reporting. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders*, **14**, 217-226.
- Latimer, K., Wilson, P., Kemp, J., Thompson, L., Sim, F., Gillberg, C., Puckering, C. & Minnis, H. (2012) Disruptive behaviour disorders: a systematic review of environmental antenatal and early years risk factors. *Child Care Health and Development*, **38**, 611-628.
- Lgo (2011) Out of school ... out of mind? : how councils can do more to give children out of school a good education : focus report : learning lessons from complaints. Local Government Ombudsman, London.
- Loeber, R., Stouthamer-Loeber, M., Kammen, W. V. & Farrington, D. (1989) Development of a new measure of self-reported antisocial behavior for young children: prevalence and reliability. In:

Cross-national research in self-reported crime and delinquency (ed. Klein, M. W.). Kluwer Academic Publishers, City.

- Macleod, J., Hickman, M., Bowen, E., Alati, R., Tilling, K. & Smith, G. D. (2008) Parental drug use, early adversities, later childhood problems and children's use of tobacco and alcohol at age 10: birth cohort study. Addiction, 103, 1731-1743.
- Mcdonald, T. & Thomas, G. Y. (2003) Parents' reflections on their children being excluded. *Emotional* and Behavioural Difficulties, 8.
- Mcelderry, C. G. & Cheng, T. C. (2014) Understanding the Discipline Gap from an Ecological Perspective. Children & Schools, **36**, 241-249.
- Murray, L. & Carothers, A. D. (1990) The validation of the Edinburgh Post-natal Depression Scale on a community sample. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, **157**, 288-290.
- Nice (2013) Antisocial behaviour and conduct disorders in children and young people: recognition and management.
- O'connor, T. G., Heron, J., Golding, J., Beveridge, M. & Glover, V. (2002) Maternal antenatal anxiety and children's behavioural/emotional problems at 4 years. Report from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, **180**, 502-508.
- Occ (2013) Always Someone Else's Problem Office of the Children's Commissioner's Report on Illegal Exclusions. The Office of the Children's Commissioner., London.
- Opcs (1991) Standard Occupational Classification. . Office of Population Censuses and Surveys, Her Majesty's Stationery Office., London.
- Paget, A. & Emond, A. (2016) The role of community paediatrics in supporting schools to avoid exclusions that have a basis in health. *Emotional and Behavioural Difficulties*, 21, 8-21.
- Parker, C. (2014) The relationship between child mental health and well-being and exclusion from school. In: *Medical School.* University of Exeter.
- Parker, C. & Ford, T. (2013) School exclusion is a mental health issue. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, **54**, 1366-1368.
- Parker, C., Marlow, R., Kastner, M., May, F., Mitrofan, O., Henley, W. & Ford, T. A. (2016a) The "Supporting Kids, Avoiding Problems" (SKIP) study: relationships between school exclusion, psychopathology, development and attainment – a case control study. *Journal of Children's Services*, **11**, 91-110.
- Parker, C., Paget, A., Ford, T. & Gwernan-Jones, R. (2016b) '.he was excluded for the kind of behaviour that we thought he needed support with...' A qualitative analysis of the experiences and perspectives of parents whose children have been excluded from school. *Emotional and Behavioural Difficulties*, **21**, 133-151.
- Parker, C., Whear, R., Ukoumunne, O. C., Bethel, A., Thompson-Coon, J., Stein, K. & Ford, T. (2014) School exclusion in children with psychiatric disorder or impairing psychopathology: a systematic review. *Emotional and Behavioural Difficulties*, 1-23.
- Parsons, C., Benns, L., Hailes, J. & Howlett, K. (1994) Excluding primary school children. Family Policy Studies Centre. , London.
- Parsons, C., Godfrey, R., Howlett, K., Hayden, C. & Martin, T. (2001) Excluding primary school childrenthe outcomes six years on. *Pastoral Care in Education.*, **19**, 12.
- Pirrie, A., Macleod, G., Cullen, M. A. & Mccluskey, G. (2011) What happens to pupils permanently excluded from pupil referral units and special schools in England? *British Educational Research Journal*, **37**, 20.
- Quin, D. & Hemphill, S. A. (2014) Students' experiences of school suspension. *Health Promotion Journal* of Australia, **25**, 52-58.

- Raffaele-Mendez, L. M., Knoff, H. M. & Ferron, J. M. (2002) School demographic variables and out-ofschool suspension rates: A quantitative and qualitative analysis of a large, ethnically diverse school district. *Psychology in the Schools*, **39**, 259-277.
- Rcpch. & Ncb. (2014) Why children die: death in infants, children and young people in the UK Part B. A policy response for England to the report 'Why children die: deaths in infants, children and young people in the UK Part A'. Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health.

National Children's Bureau., London.

- Romeo, R., Knapp, M. & Scott, S. (2006) Economic cost of severe antisocial behaviour in children--and who pays it. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, **188**, 547-553.
- Rust, J. (1996) The manual of the Wechsler Objective Language Dimensions (WOLD). The Psychological Corporation., London.
- Scott, S., Knapp, M., Henderson, J. & Maughan, B. (2001) Financial cost of social exclusion: follow up study of antisocial children into adulthood. *British Medical Journal*, **323**, 191.
- Skiba, R. J., Simmons, A., Staudinger, L., Rausch, M., Dow, G. & Feggins, R. (2003) Consistent removal: Contributions of school discipline to the school-prison pipeline. In: School to prison pipeline conference., Boston, MA.
- Skuse, D. H., Mandy, W. P. & Scourfield, J. (2005) Measuring autistic traits: heritability, reliability and validity of the Social and Communication Disorders Checklist. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 187, 568-572.
- Smith, A. P. (2009) New Zealand families' experience of having a teenager excluded from school. *Pastoral Care in Education.*, **27**, 12.
- Snell, T., Knapp, M., Healey, A., Guglani, S., Evans-Lacko, S., Fernandez, J. L., Meltzer, H. & Ford, T. (2013) Economic impact of childhood psychiatric disorder on public sector services in Britain: estimates from national survey data. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, **54**, 977-985.
- Steer, C., Wolke, D. & Team., A. S. (2004) An index of family adversity. In: *3rd Conference on Epidemiological Longitudinal Studies in Europe*. Bristol, United Kingdom.
- Theriot, M. T., Craun, S. W. & Dupper, D. R. (2009) Multilevel evaluation of factors predicting school exclusion among middle and high school students. . *Children and Youth Services Review.*, **32**, 13-19.
- Wechsler, D. (1992) Wechsler Individual Achievement Test manual. TX: Psychological Corporation., San Antonio
- Whear, R., Marlow, R., Boddy, K., Ukoumunne, O. C., Parker, C., Ford, T., Thompson-Coon, J. & Stein, K. (2014) Psychiatric disorder or impairing psychology in children who have been excluded from school: A systematic review. *School Psychology International*, **35**, 530-543.
- Wolke, D., Waylen, A., Samara, M., Steer, C., Goodman, R., Ford, T. & Lamberts, K. (2009) Selective dropout in longitudinal studies and non-biased prediction of behaviour disorders. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **195**, 249-256.
- Wolke, D., Woods, S., Bloomfield, L. & Karstadt, L. (2000) The association between direct and relational bullying and behaviour problems among primary school children. *Journal of Child Psychology* and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines, **41**, 989-1002.