

International Endodontic Journal

The Official Journal of the British Endodontic Society and the European Society of Endodontology



The efficacy of supplementary sonic irrigation using the EndoActivator® system determined by removal of a collagen film from an ex vivo model

Journal:	<i>International Endodontic Journal</i>
Manuscript ID	IEJ-17-00143.R3
Manuscript Type:	Original Scientific Article
Keywords:	Endoactivator, sonic irrigation, endodontic treatment, biofilm

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Review

1
2
3 **The efficacy of supplementary sonic irrigation using the EndoActivator® system**
4 **determined by removal of a collagen film from an ex vivo model**
5
6
7

8
9 G Bryce¹, N MacBeth², K Gulabivala¹, Y-L Ng¹
10

11
12
13 ¹Unit of Endodontology, Department of Restorative Dentistry, UCL Eastman Dental Institute,
14 University College London, UK and ²Centre for Restorative Dentistry, Defence Primary
15 Healthcare, UK.
16
17

18
19
20
21 **Running Title:** Efficacy of EndoActivator system
22

23
24
25 **Keywords:** Endoactivator, sonic irrigation, endodontic treatment, biofilm
26
27

28
29 **Corresponding Author**
30

31 Graeme Bryce
32

33 Department of Restorative Dentistry (Unit of Endodontology), Eastman Dental Hospital
34

35 UCLH NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
36

37 graeme.bryce@uclh.nhs.uk;
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Aim To evaluate the efficacy of sonic irrigation (EndoActivator[®]) using various polymer tips and power-settings in a stained collagen *ex-vivo* model.

Methodology Fifty human, straight single-rooted extracted teeth were prepared to size 40,.08 taper. The roots were split longitudinally; stained collagen applied to the canal surfaces, photographed and re-assembled. The canals were subjected to syringe without supplementary (Group 1, n = 10), or with supplementary sonic (groups 2–5, n = 10) irrigation. EndoActivator[®] tip sizes (size 15, .02 taper for groups 2 & 3, size 35,.04 taper for groups 4 & 5) and power-settings (Low for groups 2 & 4, high for groups 3 & 5) were tested. After irrigation, the canals were re-photographed and the area of residual stained-collagen was quantified using the UTHSCA Image Tool program (Version 3.0). The data were analysed using Wilcoxon signed rank test and General Linear Mixed Models.

Results Supplementary sonic irrigation using EndoActivator[®] resulted in significantly ($P < 0.0001$) less residual collagen compared with syringe irrigation only. Agitation of irrigant using the large EndoActivator[®] tip with high-power resulted in significantly less (22.4% – 29.5%) residual collagen compared to other combinations (large-tip/low-power $P = 0.001$; small-tip/low-power $P = 0.01$; small-tip/high-power $P = 0.04$). There was no significant difference amongst the latter three groups ($P > 0.5$).

Conclusions Supplementary sonic irrigation using the EndoActivator[®] system was significantly more effective in removing stained collagen from the canal surface than syringe irrigation alone. EndoActivator[®] used with large-tip (size 35, .04 taper) and high power-setting in size 40,.08 taper canals was more effective than other combinations.

Introduction

Removal of the bacterial biofilm from an infected canal surface is one of the most important roles of root canal irrigation (Gulabivala *et al.* 2010). It has been accepted that irrigation using a syringe and needle can only deliver the irrigant to approximately 1 to 1.5 mm beyond the needle opening (Boutsioukis *et al.* 2009). Manual or automated agitation of the irrigant aids both its apical penetration beyond the stagnation plane (Bronnec *et al.* 2010, Gulabivala *et al.* 2010) and removal of surface adherent layers, be they smear layer (Caron *et al.* 2010), debris (Jiang *et al.* 2012) or stained collagen (Huang *et al.* 2007, McGill *et al.* 2008). The latter, closely representing microbial biofilms (Abbott *et al.* 2011, Alarab Mohammed *et al.* 2016).

Manual-dynamic agitation of irrigant can be achieved using a file (Bronnec *et al.* 2010) or a tapered gutta-percha cone (Huang *et al.* 2007) but may be considered laborious and less effective than ultrasonic or sonic devices (Jiang *et al.* 2010a). Endovac™ is another device aimed at active irrigation and shows promising debris removal (Nielsen *et al.* 2007, Siu *et al.* 2010) although less-so for additional anti-bacterial efficacy (Townsend *et al.*, 2009 Miller *et al.* 2010). Ultrasonic irrigant agitation is effective (Lee *et al.* 2004, Van der Sluis *et al.* 2006, 2009, Jiang *et al.* 2010b, 2010c, 2011,), but may be accompanied by instrument fracture and dentine damage at 20–40 kHz (Boutsioukis *et al.* 2013), despite the use of a smooth wire designed for “passive ultrasonic irrigation” (Van der Sluis *et al.* 2005).

Sonic devices operate at lower frequencies (<200 Hz) and include the Vibringe® (Cavex Holland BV, Haarlem, The Netherlands) (Rödig *et al.* 2010) and EndoActivator® (Advanced Endodontics, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) (Ruddle 2007) systems.

The EndoActivator® is an electrically driven unit operating at stated frequencies of 33, 100 and 167 Hz (Ruddle 2007) but with measured vibrational frequencies of 160, 175 and 190 Hz, respectively (Jiang *et al.* 2010a). The instrument employs polymer tips of different sizes (size 15, .02 taper, size 25, .04 taper, size 35, .04 taper) to agitate irrigants (Jiang *et al.* 2010a) potentially avoiding the risks associated with ultrasonically-driven metal instruments.

1
2
3 The EndoActivator[®] does not create cavitation or acoustic streaming (Jiang *et al.* 2010a) but,
4 compared with static or manual-dynamic irrigation, has been shown to have superior irrigant
5 penetration into apical dentinal tubules (Paragliola *et al.* 2010), removal of debris, and
6 breakdown of the smear layer (Caron *et al.* 2010). However, these merits were not evident
7 when a small size 15, 02 taper tip was used (Klyn *et al.* 2010, Uroz-Torres *et al.* 2010,
8 Merino *et al.* 2012).

9
10
11
12
13
14
15 Agitation of sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) (Pasqualini *et al.* 2010, Bago *et al.* 2013), or
16 chlorhexidine gluconate (Shen *et al.* 2010) solutions using the EndoActivator[®] system have
17 been shown to produce synergistic bacterial load reduction (Pasqualini *et al.* 2010) as well
18 as biofilm killing (Bago *et al.* 2013) and disruption (Shen *et al.* 2010). The EndoActivator[®]
19 has also been shown to have similar results to laser-activated irrigation when removing *E.*
20 *faecalis* from an extracted tooth model, with both systems more effective than conventional
21 irrigation (Bago *et al.* 2013).

22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30 Previous studies investigating the efficacy of irrigant agitation have all used the
31 EndoActivator[®] at maximum power-setting (10,000 cycles per minute) coupled with different
32 tip sizes: size 25, .04 taper (Merino *et al.* 2012, Bago *et al.* 2013), size 15, .02 taper
33 (Townsend & Maki 2009, Klyn *et al.* 2010, Uroz-Torres *et al.* 2010), or both (size 25, .04
34 taper; size 35, .04 taper) (Caron *et al.* 2010). The latter study did not clarify the protocol for
35 tip selection and the influence of tip size was not analysed.

36
37
38
39
40
41
42 This study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of sonic irrigation (EndoActivator[®]) using
43 different polymer tips and power-settings in a stained collagen *ex-vivo* model. The null
44 hypotheses for the study were that irrigant agitation, using various tip-sizes of
45 EndoActivator[®] at different power-settings, had no significant effect in the removal of stained
46 collagen films from the canal surface.

51 52 53 54 **Materials and Methods**

55
56 Ethical approval was granted for the use of extracted teeth from the UCL Eastman Biobank
57 (Study number: 1301). A power analysis for a two-sample proportions chi-squared test ($\alpha =$

0.05, power = 90%, difference at the apical third = 20 percentage points) based on data from a previous study (Huang *et al.* 2007) for comparisons between the test groups (sonic irrigation) against the control group, indicated that a minimum of 9 root canals per group were required to detect a significant difference. However, there was a lack of prior equivalent data on sonic irrigation to estimate the sample size for comparisons amongst the four test groups.

Selection and preparation of teeth

Fifty extracted human permanent single-rooted teeth with straight, single canals, mature apices and free from dental caries or resorption, were collected and stored in 4% saline (CellPath, Newtown, UK). The teeth were decoronated using a diamond-coated disk (Abrasive Technology Inc., Westerville, OH, USA) to give a uniform working length of 18 mm to the apical terminus. The root canals were prepared to an apical size 40 and 0.08 taper using a combination of stainless steel files (Flexofile[®] Dentsply Sirona, Ballaigues, Switzerland) and nickel-titanium rotary instruments (ProTaper[®] and SystemGT[®], Dentsply Sirona) in a 70:1 controlled-torque, low-speed rotary handpiece (TCM Endo III[®], SybronEndo Corp, West Collins, Orange, CA, USA) at 300 rpm. Instrumentation was accompanied by standard, intermittent irrigation with 2.5% NaOCl (Teepol[®] bleach, Teepol products, Egham, UK); after each instrument, 3 mL NaOCl was delivered using a Monoject[®] syringe with a 27 gauge needle (Sherwood Medical, St. Louis, MO, USA). Each tooth was then embedded in silicone putty matrix (President Putty Coltène, Altstätten, Switzerland) to aid reassembly of the tooth following splitting.

The teeth were grooved longitudinally on the buccal and palatal surfaces using a diamond disc (Abrasive Technology Inc.), placed between 2 opposing scalpel blades (Size 11 blade, Swann-Morton, Sheffield, UK), which were inserted into the grooves and split into two halves with a mallet. Four even layers of collagen (Type I rat tail collagen in 0.6% acetic acid solution, First Link Ltd., Birmingham, UK) mixed with calligraphic ink (Kai-Ming, Tainan, Taiwan), in a ratio of 5:1, were painted on the canal surfaces. The solvent was allowed to

1
2
3 evaporate from the acid solution at room temperature for 48 hrs to allow the collagen to form
4
5 a gel.
6

7 Each split half of the tooth was divided into apical, middle, coronal segments of equal
8
9 lengths of 6 mm and marked (using a sharp pencil on the unpainted surface). Each pair of
10
11 root halves was placed on a backlit radiograph-viewer and photographed (Fujifilm FinePix
12
13 S2 Pro digital camera, Tokyo, Japan) in a standard fashion (6). The split teeth were then
14
15 reassembled in the silicone putty matrix using ribbon wax to seal the gap between the two
16
17 halves and randomly allocated to five experimental groups (n = 10 each) for syringe
18
19 irrigation without supplementary (Group 1) or with supplementary sonic irrigation using
20
21 EndoActivator[®] for irrigant agitation (Groups 2–5). Amongst groups 2–5, small-tip (size 15,
22
23 .02 taper) with low power-setting was used for group 2, small-tip with high power-setting for
24
25 Group 3, large-tip (size 35,.04 taper) with low power-setting for Group 4, and large-tip with
26
27 high power-setting for Group 5.
28

29 **Evaluation of oscillatory amplitude of EndoActivator tips**

30
31 The oscillatory amplitudes of the EndoActivator[®] tips, in motion within air or water, were
32
33 measured using an image-capture model that employed a mounted digital camera
34
35 (CoolsnapPRO-cf, Media Cybernetics, Marlow, UK), with a capture-rate of 10 frames per
36
37 second, connected to an imaging software package (Image-Pro Plus v4.5, Media
38
39 Cybernetics, Marlow, UK). The EndoActivator[®] was mounted on a stand, with the tip edge
40
41 adjacent to a calibrated metal ruler, and illuminated using a continuous wave focused light
42
43 source (Schott KL1500 cold light source, Schott UK Ltd, Stafford, UK). Five representative
44
45 images were captured of large and small tips running at high- and low-power settings within
46
47 both air- and water-filled 5mL glass vials. The amplitude of oscillation was measured using
48
49 the image analysis software (Image-Pro Plus v4.5) and mean amplitude calculated for each
50
51 group (n = 5).
52

53 **Irrigation experiments**

54
55 The syringe irrigation protocol for Group 1 was adapted from a previous study (Huang *et al.*
56
57 2007). A total volume of 36 mL of 2.5% NaOCl was delivered from a Monoject[®] endodontic
58
59
60

1
2
3 3 mL syringe through a Luer-lock 27 gauge side-cut open ended needle (Sherwood
4 Medical, St. Louis, MO, USA), at a rate of 1 mL sec⁻¹, in twelve 3 mL boluses. The needle
5 tip was inserted to a maximum depth of 4 mm short of the canal terminus and moved, with 4
6 mm amplitude, in an apical-coronal direction away from this maximum depth. After every 9
7 mL of irrigant delivered, the irrigant was left in the root canal for 1 minute, giving a total of
8 10 minutes of NaOCl exposure time.

9
10
11
12
13
14
15 The irrigation protocol for the canals in Groups 2–5 was the same as in Group 1 with
16 the addition of 1-minute irrigant agitation after every 9 mL of irrigant delivered. The
17 designated small (groups 2 & 3) or large (groups 4 & 5) nylon tip was inserted to the apical
18 terminus, and activated by the EndoActivator[®] at the designated low (groups 2 & 4) or high
19 (groups 3 & 5) power-setting. New batteries (AA Duracell[®] alkaline, Geneva, Switzerland)
20 were replaced in the EndoActivator[®] handpiece at the commencement of each sonic
21 irrigation group test.

22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29 After completion of the irrigation regimen, the split teeth were disassembled and left
30 at room temperature for 24 hours to allow evaporation of residual fluid. Digital images were
31 taken as previously described.

32 33 34 35 **Image analyses**

36
37 The pre- and post-irrigation images of each tooth were loaded as paired JPEG format (1.4
38 MB) sets to facilitate measurement manipulation on Adobe Photoshop CS5[®] software (San
39 Jose, CA, USA). On the pre-irrigation image, the “Line” Tool was used to draw a polygon
40 around each 6 mm segment of the canal (coronal, middle, apical), taking care to follow the
41 outline of the canal exactly. The “Magnetic Lasso” Tool was then used to highlight and
42 separate the canal surface from the rest of the image and saved as a 256 Grey-scale mode
43 separate layer. The “Magnetic Lasso” was also employed to separate the coronal-, mid- and
44 apical thirds of the canal to facilitate analysis at a sectional level. The grey-scale has 256
45 values, which range from 0 (representing absolute black) to 255 (representing absolute
46 white). This process was repeated for the post-irrigation images. The pair of pre- and post-
47 irrigation grey-scale layers (identical in shape but different in grey-scale value) were
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 transferred to an analysis programme (UTHSA Image Tool, university of Texas Health
4 Science Center, San Antonio, TX, USA) to quantify the proportion of canal surface
5 coverage with stained collagen. The grey value of 45 was independently agreed-upon by
6 three individuals, who held experience of the *ex vivo* stained collagen model, and employed
7 as the threshold to stratify the entirety of the canal surface with presence (0-45 grey values)
8 or absence (46+ grey values) of stained collagen (Figure 1). The number of 0-45 grey value
9 pixels after irrigation for each third of each half of the split canal was divided by the
10 respective number in the pre-irrigation image. This represented the proportion of canal
11 surface coverage with residual stained collagen following irrigation.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 **Data analyses**

22
23 Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests for Normality were used to test the hypothesis
24 that the percentage values of canal surface coverage with residual stained collagen did not
25 fulfil the assumption of normal distribution. The percentage area of residual stained collagen
26 coverage of canal sides A and B were compared using Wilcoxon signed rank test (STATA
27 12; STATA Corporation: College Station, TX, USA). A general linear mixed model was used
28 to account for the clustering effect of the measurements taken from different levels of the
29 same tooth (STATA 12) and to analyse the effects of the following potential factors on the
30 efficacy of stained collagen removal: Irrigant agitation; EndoActivator® tip-size and power-
31 setting; and the corono-apical segments of the root canal. The effects of tip size and power-
32 setting were further analysed by including data from the groups 2–5 only. The proportion of
33 canal surface coverage with residual stained collagen was used as the dependant variable.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 **Results**

49
50 The amplitude of the two different tips within air or water whilst running at high and low
51 power is detailed in Table 1.
52

53
54 The hypothesis that the percentage values of canal surface coverage with residual
55 stained collagen was normally distributed was not rejected ($P = 0.1$). Paired t-test revealed
56 no significant difference in the amount of residual collagen present on side A *versus* side B
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 of the canal ($P = 0.07$ for coronal thirds; $P = 0.4$ for middle thirds; $P = 0.8$ for apical thirds).
4
5 The data from the two sides were therefore pooled for further analyses. There was
6
7 substantially more residual collagen on canal surfaces following syringe irrigation without
8
9 supplementary sonic irrigation ($93.8\% \pm 9.5\%$ to $97.3\% \pm 3\%$) compared with those canals
10
11 exposed to sonic irrigation ($27.9\% \pm 18.1\%$ to $83.5\% \pm 14.6\%$) (Figure 2).
12

13 The general linear mixed model (Table 2, model 1) revealed that “mode of irrigation”
14
15 ($P < 0.0001$) and “corono-apical level of canal” ($P = 0.01$) had significant association (Table
16
17 2) with the percentage of canal surface coverage with residual stained collagen following
18
19 irrigation. Syringe irrigation without supplementary sonic irrigation resulted in significantly (P
20
21 < 0.0001) more residual collagen than sonic irrigation using the EndoActivator[®], regardless
22
23 of tip-size and power-setting (Table 2). Following irrigation, the apical (coefficient = -6.7;
24
25 95% CI -11.7, -1.6) and middle thirds of the canal displayed significantly less residual
26
27 collagen than the coronal thirds (coefficient = -8.8; 95% CI -14.0, -3.7) (Table 2, model 1).
28
29 There was no significant ($P = 0.5$) difference between the middle and the apical thirds.
30

31 The general linear mixed model (Table 2, model 2), incorporating the data from
32
33 groups 2 to 5 only, revealed the EndoActivator[®] tip-size and power-setting combination had
34
35 a significant ($P < 0.0001$) influence on its efficacy. Agitation of irrigant using the large-
36
37 tip/high-power (group 5) resulted in significantly less residual collagen than using small-
38
39 tip/low-power (group 2) (coefficient = 22.4; 95% CI 4.1, 40.7), small-tip/high-power (group 3)
40
41 (coefficient = 29.5; 95% CI 11.3, 47.8) or large-tip/low-power (group 4) (coefficient = 27.0;
42
43 95% CI 8.7, 45.3). There was no significant difference amongst the latter three groups ($P >$
44
45 0.5) (Results not shown).
46
47
48

49 Discussion

50 The *ex vivo* test model adopted from (Huang *et al.* 2007) has been judged suitable for
51
52 investigation of root canal irrigation parameters as it allows progressive degradation of the
53
54 measured substrate in a manner similar to artificial root canal bacterial biofilm (Abbott *et al.*
55
56 2011). A recent study investigating the percentage of *E. faecalis* biofilm removal from 3D
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 printed photopolymer root canals revealed 89% removal from the surface after irrigation with
4 9 mL of 2.5% NaOCl and irrigant agitation using EndoActivator® (alarab Mohammed *et al.*
5 2016). The present *ex-vivo* study reported a much lower efficacy with a maximum of 72%
6 collagen film removal from the apical canal surface using the large tip and high power-
7 setting, whilst the minimal removal was 16% from the coronal third using large tip but low
8 power-setting. The high efficacy reported by alarab Mohammed *et al.* (2016) may be
9 attributed to the simple canal anatomy with smooth polymer canal surface. Nevertheless, the
10 above studies support the validity of the use of collagen film as a bacterial biofilm simulant in
11 an *ex vivo* model for initial investigation of irrigation devices.

12
13 The length and size of canal preparation, as well as the syringe irrigation protocol were
14 adapted from previous studies (Huang *et al.* 2007, McGill *et al.* 2008) to allow comparison.
15 The dimensions of canal preparation (size 40;.08 taper) provided sufficient space for both
16 the irrigant needle and large sized tips. Although this large dimension may be considered to
17 violate the principle of dentine conservation, the apical size was consistent with the apical
18 foramen diameter of maxillary incisors associated with periapical lesions (Gesi *et al.* 2014).

19
20 The syringe irrigation protocol was modified (Huang *et al.* 2007) in two respects, to bring the
21 irrigation protocol closer to clinical reality, as follows: (1) a gauge 27 side-cut open-end
22 needle was used instead of gauge 30 with a close-end side-open tip design; (2) the needle
23 was moved apico-coronally during irrigation instead of fixing it 4 mm from the apical
24 terminus. The surface coverage with residual collagen film following various irrigation groups
25 might have been over-estimated when compared with clinical reality as a proportion of the
26 canal surface would have been mechanically debrided during enlargement (Peters *et al.*
27 2001). However, the coating of the entire canal surface after enlargement controlled the
28 confounding effect due to the variability of extent of surface touched by the instrument.

29
30 The syringe irrigation protocol removed less stained-collagen in the apical third than the
31 range reported (Huang *et al.* 2007 or McGill *et al.* 2008). In addition, the present study
32 revealed minimal difference amongst the corono-apical thirds following syringe irrigation, in
33 contrast to the significantly less residual collagen present in the apical than the coronal third
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 reported by Huang *et al.* (2007) and McGill *et al.* (2008). The difference in these findings
4 may be attributed to the adjusted irrigation protocol that applied vertical reciprocation of the
5 needle tip as opposed to static positioning, 4 mm from the apex. The needle penetration (4
6 mm from canal terminus) in this study was consistent with clinical practice, where it may
7 range between 2-5 mm from the working length (Kong 2014). Computational irrigant flow
8 studies (Boutsioukis *et al.* 2010) that employed a model of similar dimensions to this study
9 (size 45,.06 taper) indicate that reduced apical fluid pressure and shear stresses occur when
10 the needle is moved further from the working length. The observed superior collagen layer
11 removal at the site of irrigant deposition agrees with previous findings (Huang *et al.* 2007,
12 McGill *et al.* 2008).

13
14 Despite the improved needle irrigation performance in this study, the efficacy of collagen film
15 removal was distinctly enhanced by additional agitation using the EndoActivator[®], regardless
16 of coronal-apical level, or EndoActivator[®] tip size or power-setting. The null hypothesis for
17 the study was rejected.

18
19 The superior efficacy of dynamic-agitation of irrigant compared with syringe irrigation without
20 agitation (albeit with a push-pull movement) was confirmed and was consistent with
21 expectations based on previous studies (Huang *et al.* 2007, McGill *et al.* 2008). These
22 findings were also in keeping with other outcome measures evaluated for the
23 EndoActivator[®], including irrigant penetration to working length (Merino *et al.* 2012) and into
24 dentinal tubules (Paragliola *et al.* 2010), smear layer removal (Caron *et al.* 2010), and *E.*
25 *faecalis* biofilm removal (Bago *et al.* 2013).

26
27 This study revealed that the combination of EndoActivator[®] large-tip with high power-setting
28 was significantly more effective in collagen film removal compared to other combinations.
29 Although Jiang *et al.* (2010a), had compared small (size 15,.02 taper) and medium (size
30 25,.04 taper) EndoActivator[®] tips vibrating at 190 Hz for irrigant agitation and had found no
31 significant difference in debris removal, their study was not able to test the efficacy of the
32 large (size 35,.04 taper) tip due to their smaller canal preparation (size 30,.06 taper).

1
2
3 However, there are insufficient selection of tips with varying tip size and taper for further
4 investigation of their interacted effects in different canal dimensions.
5

6
7 The superior efficacy of the large-tip (size 35,.04 taper) and high power-setting may be
8 theoretically attributable to two factors: (1) increased direct mechanical removal through
9 increased canal wall contact; or, (2) increased energy applied to the irrigant as a result of
10 greater tip rigidity. The first hypothesis was rejected in a separate study, in which the
11 EndoActivator® had negligible mechanical effect of on stained collagen, in the absence of
12 irrigant (Gazani 2016). Furthermore, the vibration amplitude of the large tips was smaller
13 than that of the small tips, regardless of power-setting or medium of immersion. The second
14 hypothesis, suggesting higher hydrodynamic shear stresses is plausible, given the improved
15 irrigant penetration (Merino *et al.* 2012), and fluid exchange within the root portions
16 (Boutsioukis *et al.* 2010). The potentially closer proximity between the larger tip and collagen
17 layers may also synergise the effect.
18
19

20
21 The present findings are strongly suggestive that use of high power-setting in combination
22 with the largest *fitting* tip according to the canal dimension may optimise the efficacy of
23 active irrigation when using the EndoActivator®. Ultimate verification may emerge from
24 appropriate human randomised controlled trials. The findings do not however imply that all
25 canals should routinely be prepared to apical size 40,.08 taper. Canal enlargement should
26 be guided by all the factors clinicians would normally apply in judging the enlargement
27 required to facilitate irrigant and root filling material delivery.
28
29

30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 **Conclusions**

47
48 Supplementary irrigant agitation using the EndoActivator® was significantly more effective in
49 removing stained collagen from *ex vivo* root canal walls, prepared to size 40,.08 taper, than
50 syringe irrigation only. Sonic irrigation using the EndoActivator® system was significantly
51 more effective when a large tip (size 35, .04 taper) with high power-setting was used.
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 59 60 **Acknowledgments**

1
2
3 The authors thank Dr Cliff Ruddle for funding this work. The funding covered the supply of
4 materials and equipment and had no influence on: study design; collection, analysis and
5 interpretation of data; writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for
6 publication. The authors affirm that there was and is no financial affiliation (e.g.,
7 employment, direct payment, stock holdings, retainers, consultant-ships, patent licensing
8 arrangements or honoraria), or involvement with any commercial organization with direct
9 financial interest in the subject or materials discussed in this manuscript, nor have any such
10 arrangements existed.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 **Conflict of Interest statement**

22 All authors report grants from Dr Cliff Ruddle during the conduct of the study.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

Abbott P, Ng Y-I, Pratten J, Mordan N, Gulabivala K (2011) Validation of a collagen film irrigation model using a stained bacterial biofilm *ex vivo* model. *International Endodontic Journal* **44**, 788-9.

alarab Mohammed S, Vianna ME, Penny MR, Hilton ST, Mordan N, Knowles JC (2016) A novel experimental approach to investigate the effect of different agitation methods using sodium hypochlorite as an irrigant on the rate of bacterial biofilm removal from the wall of a simulated root canal model. *Dental Materials* **32**, 1289-300.

Bago I, Plečko V, Gabrić Pandurić D, Schauperl Z, Baraba A, Anić I (2013) Antimicrobial efficacy of a high-power diode laser, photo-activated disinfection, conventional and sonic activated irrigation during root canal treatment. *International Endodontic Journal* **46**, 339-47.

Boutsioukis C, Lambrianidis T, Kastrinakis E (2009) Irrigant flow within a prepared root canal using various flow rates: a computational fluid dynamics study. *International Endodontic Journal* **42**, 144-55.

Boutsioukis C, Lambrianidis T, Verhaagen B *et al.* (2010) The effect of needle-insertion depth on the irrigant flow in the root canal: evaluation using an unsteady computational fluid dynamics model. *Journal of Endodontics* **36**, 1664-8.

Boutsioukis C, Verhaagen B, Walmsley A, Versluis M, van der Sluis L (2013) Measurement and visualization of file-to-wall contact during ultrasonically activated irrigation in simulated canals. *International Endodontic Journal* **46**, 1046-55.

Bronnec F, Bouillaguet S, Machtou P (2010) Ex vivo assessment of irrigant penetration and renewal during the final irrigation regimen. *International Endodontic Journal* **43**, 663-72.

Caron G, Nham K, Bronnec F, Machtou P (2010) Effectiveness of Different Final Irrigant Activation Protocols on Smear Layer Removal in Curved Canals. *Journal of Endodontics* **36**, 1361-6.

Gazani E (2016) Development of a scaled-up complex root canal system to study irrigation dynamics. (MSc Endodontics UCL: University College London).

1
2
3 Gesi A, Mareschi P, Doldo T, Ferrari M (2014) Apical Dimension of Root Canal Clinically
4 Assessed with and without Periapical Lesions. *International Journal of Dentistry* **2014**.

5
6
7 Gulabivala K, Ng Y, Gilbertson M, Eames I (2010) The fluid mechanics of root canal
8 irrigation. *Physiological Measurement* **31**, R49.

9
10
11 Huang TY, Gulabivala K, Ng YL (2007) A biomolecular film ex vivo model to evaluate the
12 influence of canal dimensions and irrigation variables on the efficacy of irrigation.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

International Endodontic Journal **41**, 60-71.

Jiang L-M, Lak B, Eijsvogels LM, Wesselink P, van der Sluis LW (2012) Comparison of the
cleaning efficacy of different final irrigation techniques. *Journal of Endodontics* **38**, 838-41.

Jiang L-M, Verhaagen B, Versluis M, Langedijk J, Wesselink P, van der Sluis LW (2011) The
influence of the ultrasonic intensity on the cleaning efficacy of passive ultrasonic irrigation.
Journal of Endodontics **37**, 688-92.

Jiang L-M, Verhaagen B, Versluis M, van der Sluis LW (2010a) Evaluation of a sonic device
designed to activate irrigant in the root canal. *Journal of Endodontics* **36**, 143-6.

Jiang L-M, Verhaagen B, Versluis M, van der Sluis LW (2010b) Influence of the oscillation
direction of an ultrasonic file on the cleaning efficacy of passive ultrasonic irrigation. *Journal
of Endodontics* **36**, 1372-6.

Jiang L-M, Verhaagen B, Versluis M, Zangrillo C, Cuckovic D, van der Sluis LW (2010c) An
evaluation of the effect of pulsed ultrasound on the cleaning efficacy of passive ultrasonic
irrigation. *Journal of Endodontics* **36**, 1887-91.

Klyn SL, Kirkpatrick TC, Rutledge RE (2010) In Vitro Comparisons of Debris Removal of the
EndoActivator™ System, the F File™, Ultrasonic Irrigation, and NaOCl Irrigation Alone
after Hand-rotary Instrumentation in Human Mandibular Molars. *Journal of Endodontics* **36**,
1367-71.

Kong A (2014) Post-operative pain associated with chemo-mechanical root canal
debridement using a manual-dynamic irrigation protocol: A randomized controlled trial (MSc
Dissertation) (MSc University College London: University College London).

1
2
3 Lee SJ, Wu MK, Wesselink P (2004) The effectiveness of syringe irrigation and ultrasonics
4 to remove debris from simulated irregularities within prepared root canal walls. *International*
5 *Endodontic Journal* **37**, 672-8.
6
7

8
9 McGill S, Gulabivala K, Mordan N, Ng YL (2008) The efficacy of dynamic irrigation using a
10 commercially available system (RinsEndo®) determined by removal of a collagen 'bio□
11 molecular film' from an ex vivo model. *International Endodontic Journal* **41**, 602-8.
12
13

14
15 Merino A, Estevez R, Gregorio C, Cohenca N (2013) The effect of different taper
16 preparations on the ability of sonic and passive ultrasonic irrigation to reach the working
17 length in curved canals. *International Endodontic Journal* **46** 427-33
18
19

20
21 Miller TA, Baumgartner JC (2010) Comparison of the antimicrobial efficacy of irrigation using
22 the EndoVac to endodontic needle delivery. *Journal of Endodontics* **36**, 509-11.
23
24

25
26 Nielsen BA, Baumgartner JC (2007) Comparison of the EndoVac system to needle irrigation
27 of root canals. *Journal of Endodontics* **33**, 611-5.
28
29

30
31 Paragliola R, Franco V, Fabiani C *et al.* (2010) Final rinse optimization: influence of different
32 agitation protocols. *Journal of Endodontics* **36**, 282-5.
33
34

35
36 Pasqualini D, Cuffini AM, Scotti N *et al.* (2010) Comparative Evaluation of the Antimicrobial
37 Efficacy of a 5% Sodium Hypochlorite Subsonic-activated Solution. *Journal of Endodontics*
38 **36**, 1358-60.
39
40

41
42 Peters O, Schönenberger K, Laib A (2001) Effects of four Ni-Ti preparation techniques on
43 root canal geometry assessed by micro computed tomography. *International Endodontic*
44 *Journal* **34**, 221-30.
45
46

47
48 Rödiger T, Döllmann S, Konietschke F, Drebenstedt S, Hülsmann M (2010) Effectiveness of
49 different irrigant agitation techniques on debris and smear layer removal in curved root
50 canals: a scanning electron microscopy study. *Journal of Endodontics* **36**, 1983-7.
51
52

53
54 Ruddle CJ (2007) Hydrodynamic Disinfection. *Dentistry Today* **26**, 110-7

55
56 Shen Y, Stojicic S, Qian W, Olsen I, Haapasalo M (2010) The synergistic antimicrobial effect
57 by mechanical agitation and two chlorhexidine preparations on biofilm bacteria. *Journal of*
58 *Endodontics* **36**, 100-4.
59
60

1
2
3 Siu C, Baumgartner JC (2010) Comparison of the debridement efficacy of the EndoVac
4 irrigation system and conventional needle root canal irrigation in vivo. *Journal of*
5 *Endodontics* **36**, 1782-5.
6
7

8
9 Townsend C, Maki J (2009) An in vitro comparison of new irrigation and agitation techniques
10 to ultrasonic agitation in removing bacteria from a simulated root canal. *Journal of*
11 *Endodontics* **35**, 1040-3.
12
13

14
15 Uroz-Torres D, González-Rodríguez MP, Ferrer-Luque CM (2010) Effectiveness of the
16 EndoActivator System in removing the smear layer after root canal instrumentation. *Journal*
17 *of Endodontics* **36**, 308-11.
18
19

20
21 Van der Sluis L, Gambarini G, Wu M, Wesselink P (2006) The influence of volume, type of
22 irrigant and flushing method on removing artificially placed dentine debris from the apical
23 root canal during passive ultrasonic irrigation. *International Endodontic Journal* **39**, 472-6.
24
25

26
27 Van der Sluis L, Wu M-K, Wesselink P (2009) Comparison of 2 flushing methods used
28 during passive ultrasonic irrigation of the root canal. *Quintessence International* **40**.
29
30

31
32 Van Der Sluis L, Wu MK, Wesselink P (2005) A comparison between a smooth wire and a
33 K \square file in removing artificially placed dentine debris from root canals in resin blocks during
34 ultrasonic irrigation. *International Endodontic Journal* **38**, 593-6.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **Figure Legends**
4

5 **Figure 1** Image series displaying different Grey Scale Values for depiction of "cleaned" or
6
7 "uncleaned" root canal surface area (Grey Scale of 45 chosen as ideal representative value)
8

9 **Figure 2** Mean (\pm SD) percentages of canal surface coverage with residual collagen
10
11 following irrigation by experimental group and corono-apical thirds of canal.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1 Amplitude of sonic tip

Power Setting	Large Tip	Small Tip
	35 ISO/0.04 taper	(15 IS)/ 0.02 Taper)
	Amplitude (mm)	Amplitude (mm)
Slow in air	1.05	1.50
Fast in air	1.50	1.55
Slow in fluid	0.75	1.00
Fast in fluid	0.90	1.10

For Peer Review

Table 2 Generalized linear model incorporating “mode of irrigation” and corono-apical level of canal” as independent variables and “percentage of canal surface coverage with residual stained collagen” as the dependent variable.

Independent variables	Coefficient	95% CI for coefficient	P-value	Z-value
Model 1 (full dataset was included)				
Mode of irrigation			*<0.0001	
Static irrigation (Reference)	0	-	-	-
EndoActivator® (small tip, low power)	-33.1	-49.4, -16.7	<0.0001	-4.0
EndoActivator® (small tip, high power)	-25.9	-42.3, -9.5	0.002	-3.1
EndoActivator® (large tip, low power)	-28.4	-44.8, -12.0	0.001	-3.4
EndoActivator® (large tip, high power)	-55.5	-71.9, -39.1	<0.0001	-6.6
Corono-apical level of canal			*0.01	
Coronal (Reference)	0	-	-	-
Middle	-8.8	-14.0, -3.7	0.003	-3.4
Apical	-6.7	-11.8, -1.5	0.01	-2.6
Random effect parameters	Estimate	92% CI for Estimate		
Variance for each tooth	293.1	183.4, 468.5		
Variance for each observation	339.7	285.1, 404.8		
Model 2 (data from groups 2-5 were included)				
Mode of irrigation			*<0.0001	
EndoActivator® (large tip, high power)	0	-	-	-
EndoActivator® (small tip, low power)	22.4	4.1, 40.7	0.02	2.4
EndoActivator® (small tip, high power)	29.5	11.3, 47.8	0.002	3.2
EndoActivator® (large tip, low power)	27.0	8.7, 45.3	0.004	2.9
Corono-apical level of canal			*0.01	
Coronal (Reference)	0	-	-	-
Middle	-11.6	-17.5, -5.3	<0.0001	-3.7
Apical	-9.2	-15.5, -3.0	0.004	-2.9
Random effect parameters	Estimate	92% CI for Estimate		
Variance for each tooth	367.4	218.4, 618.1		
Variance for each observation	406.0	333.7, 493.9		

* P-value for test of heterogeneity for categorical variable

Figure 1: Image series displaying different Grey Scale Values for depiction of "cleaned" or "uncleaned" root canal surface area (Grey Scale of 45 chosen as ideal representative value)




Figure 2: Mean (\pm SD) percentages of canal surface coverage with residual collagen following irrigation by experimental group and corono-apical thirds of canal.

