1 Air flow and pollution in a real, heterogeneous urban street canyon: a field and laboratory study

- Styliani Karra¹, Liora Malki-Epshtein¹, Marina K.-A. Neophytou²
- ¹Department of Civil, Environmental and Geomatic Engineering, University College London
- 4 ²Environmental Fluid Mechanics Laboratory Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Cyprus
- 5

2

- 6 *Corresponding Author : email: <u>I.malki-epshtein@ucl.ac.uk</u> Postal Address: Chadwick Building Gower Street London UK, WC1E 6BT
- 7
- 8

9 Abstract

10 In this work we investigate the influence of real world conditions, including heterogeneity and natural variability of 11 background wind, on the air flow and pollutant concentrations in a heterogeneous urban street canyon using both 12 a series of field measurements and controlled laboratory experiments. Field measurements of wind velocities and 13 Carbon Monoxide (CO) concentrations were taken under field conditions in a heterogeneous street in a city centre 14 at several cross-sections along the length of the street (each cross-section being of different aspect ratio). The real 15 field background wind was in fact observed to be highly variable and thus different Intensive Observation Periods 16 (IOPs) represented by a different mean wind velocity and different wind variability were defined. Observed 17 pollution concentrations reveal high sensitivity to local parameters: there is a bias towards the side closer to the 18 traffic lane; higher concentrations are found in the centre of the street as compared to cross-sections closer to the 19 junctions; higher concentrations are found at 1.5 height from the ground than at 2.5 m height, all of which are of 20 concern regarding pedestrian exposure to traffic-related pollution. A physical model of the same street was 21 produced for the purpose of laboratory experiments, making some geometrical simplifications of complex volumes 22 and extrusions. The physical model was tested in an Atmospheric Boundary Layer water channel, using 23 simultaneously Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) and Planar Laser Induced Fluorescence (PLIF), for flow 24 visualisation as well as for quantitative measurement of concentrations and flow velocities. The wind field 25 conditions were represented by a steady mean approach velocity in the laboratory simulation (essentially 26 representing periods of near-zero wind variability). The laboratory investigations showed a clear sensitivity of the 27 resulting flow field to the local geometry and substantial three-dimensional flow patterns were observed 28 throughout the modelled street. The real-field observations and the laboratory measurements were compared. 29 Overall, we found that lower variability in the background wind does not necessarily ensure a better agreement 30 between the airflow velocity measured in the field and in the lab. In fact, it was observed that in certain cross 31 sections, the airflow was more affected by the particular complex architectural features such as building extrusions 32 and balconies, which were not represented in the simplified physical model tested in the laboratory, than by the 33 real wind field variability. For wind speed comparisons the most favourable agreement (36.6% of the compared 34 values were within a factor of 2) was found in the case of lowest wind variability and in the section with the most 35 simple geometry where the physical lab model was most similar to the real street. For wind direction comparisons 36 the most favourable agreement (45.5% of the compared values was within $\pm 45^{\circ}$) was found in the case with higher 37 wind variability but in the cross-sections with more homogeneous geometrical features. Street canyons are often 38 simplified in research and are often modelled as homogenous symmetrical canyons under steady flow, for practical 39 purposes; our study as a whole demonstrates that natural variability and heterogeneity play a large role in how 40 pollution disperses throughout the street, and therefore further detail in models is vital to understand real world 41 conditions.

- 42 Keywords: field measurements, urban air pollution, PIV, PLIF, street canyon
- 43
- 44
- ---
- 45

46 **1. Introduction**

47 Urban air quality is primarily associated with the emissions produced by traffic and industry. The actual 48 air ventilation within urban street canyons is of rising importance mainly due to its link to the pollutant 49 removal capacity of specific street canyons and subsequently to the long-term air pollution exposure of 50 citizens. Street canyons, where continuous buildings flank a street on both sides, are known to be the 51 worst type of street design in terms of pollution dispersion, especially when the background wind is 52 perpendicular to the street. There have been many laboratory and numerical studies, and fewer field 53 studies, of air flow and dispersion in street canyons. The Height to Width (H/W) aspect ratio of the street was shown in numerous studies to be the main geometric parameter affecting street ventilation. 54 55 One or more vortices might form in the street depending on this ratio and the direction of the above 56 roof wind (Hunter et al., 1992; Sini et al., 1996). Neophytou et al (2014) determined the exchange 57 velocity and hence the city breathability for street canyons of different aspect ratios. In all these 58 studies, streets are assumed to be symmetrical and homogeneous and are simplified significantly both 59 in physical models and in simulations; the resulting air flow patterns, including a persistent vortex 60 formation in the street, are found to be very unfavourable for ventilation and pollution removal. Yet in 61 a numerical study of an asymmetric canyon, Assimakopoulos et al. (2003) noted that in complex 62 geometries, such as heterogeneous canyons, the flow field could significantly differ from the flow in a 63 symmetrical, homogeneous street canyon

The formation of the street canyon vortex is also dependent on the stability of atmospheric conditions 64 65 (Nakamura and Oke, 1988), and on ambient wind speeds being above 1.5 - 2ms⁻¹ (DePaul and Sheih, 66 1986). Real world conditions were tested also by Qin and Kot (1993), Meroney et al. (1996), and 67 Eliasson et al. (2006), who all found that under conditions of low background wind and weaker turbulence, vortices measured in the streets were less persistent. Klein and Clark (2007) found that a 68 69 small variation in the wind above the roof produced an alteration in the flow properties in the street, 70 and a vortex could not be identified under variable wind conditions. The variability of the wind above 71 the roof also has a significant effect in the vicinity of intersections, where small changes can 72 substantially shift the distribution of street flow angles (Balogun et al., 2010, Klein et al., 2007).

73 It is widely recognized that background meteorological conditions have an important impact on the 74 dispersion of pollution within and above the city (e.g. Britter and Hanna, 2003; Li et al., 2009; Gu et al., 75 2011). However this relationship is not always clear. Reynolds (1996), reports that there was no linear 76 relationship between concentration levels and background meteorological conditions. The effects of 77 alterations in the wind flow patterns in the street considerably modify the local pattern of pollutant 78 dispersion near the intersection or in the street. As a consequence of the variability in flow structure, it 79 is estimated that measured concentration levels might differ from predictions (Mavroides et al., 2007). 80 Schatzmann et al. (2000) carried out a field campaign in Hanover/Germany and showed that the 81 concentrations reduced or increased depending on whether the background wind was perpendicular, 82 oblique or parallel to the street canyon. In addition, Karra et al. (2011) showed that near the ground the 83 concentrations are more affected by the location of the traffic lane than the meteorological conditions. 84 Different measures to quantify the pollutant removal capacity have been proposed, such as the 85 breathability (Neophytou and Britter 2005; Panagiotou et al. 2013; Neophytou et al. 2014) and mean 86 age of air (Ramponi et al. 2015; Buccolieri et al. 2015). The concept of an "exchange" velocity has also 87 been used in the literature, e.g. an air-exchange velocity which takes into account only the air-flow rate 88 going in and out of a defined control volume (e.g. Bentham and Britter 2003; Li et al. 2005; Moonen et 89 al. 2011; Panagiotou et al. 2013) or a pollutant-exchange velocity which takes into account also the 90 distribution of pollutant concentration (Liu et al. 2005; Cheng et al. 2008, Kubilay et al, 2017).

91

92 Most of the street canyon studies reported above include comparisons between laboratory and 93 simulations. Wind variability is largely acknowledged as an important factor in the differentiation of real 94 field studies relative to laboratory studies or simulations under controlled conditions. However, there is 95 only one reported study to our knowledge that compares a study under real field conditions with 96 laboratory experiments: Blackman et al (2015), who studied the flow field inside an idealized 97 (symmetrical and homogeneous) street canyon. Although they do not report any observations on the 98 field wind variability, they found that overall agreement between lab and field results falls within 20%.

99 This paper addresses the issues that arise in "real" street canyons, of heterogeneity and of background 100 wind variability, which are typically neglected in street canyon studies, to demonstrate that the situation in real streets is far more complex than expected. The real street conditions are fully investigated by 101 102 drawing on a complementary set of information from both field measurements of airflow and dispersion 103 inside a real heterogeneous canyon, and laboratory simulations of a reference case of steady, 104 perpendicular flow in a physical model of the street, accounting for the large scale geometric complexity 105 in the model. The field measurements of air flow and of traffic-related Carbon Monoxide concentrations 106 were carried out in a typical Mediterranean heterogeneous street canyon in Nicosia, Cyprus, a tall 107 narrow street with varying building shapes and heights. The laboratory experiments were carried out in 108 a water channel, obtaining simultaneously the flow and concentrations through Particle Image 109 Velocimetry (PIV) and Planar Laser Induced Fluorescence (PLIF) techniques, the simultaneous application 110 of which has not been done for street canyon investigations to the best of our knowledge. The structure 111 of the paper is as follows: Section 2 describes the methodologies for the field measurements and the 112 experimental modelling, Section 3 presents observations of background wind, flow field and 113 concentrations within the street for several wind cases, compares these qualitatively and quantitatively 114 with the laboratory measurements, and discusses the effects of street geometry on the 3D flow field, 115 and the effect of variable wind conditions. Finally, Section 4 presents Conclusions.

116 2. Methodology

117 **2.1 Field Measurements**

118 The field measurements were carried out in a typical Mediterranean heterogeneous street canyon in 119 Nicosia, Cyprus, Rigenis Street, a tall narrow street with varying building shapes and heights. The street 120 is shaded at that time of the day and upwards vertical velocities were not observed, thus buoyancy 121 effects related to solar heating are not relevant. Rigenis Street is a one-way street with a South-East to 122 North West orientation, is 160m long (L) and 8m wide (W) including the pavements, and has flat building 123 roofs. The mean aspect ratio of the street is H/W=1.25. The South side of the street is 9.7m high on 124 average with large variations in height up to a maximum of 11.5m. The North side is 9.9m high on 125 average, has a mostly homogeneous building geometry and several gaps between buildings (Figure 1a). 126 As seen in Figure 1(b), some parts of the street have large architectural features such as balconies, 127 which extend about a metre into the street. Morphological data was obtained from the Nicosia Master

128 plan and the Department of Land and Surveys, Cyprus Ministry of the Interior.

129

- 130 **Figure 1:** Rigenis Heterogeneous Street Canyon
- a) Schematic Diagram of Rigenis Street and of telescope mast positions in the street at three different cross sections along the
- 132 length of the heterogeneous street, b) Rigenis Street View, showing the parked cars along the South side and traffic lane on the
- 133 North side. The buildings are complex and have large balconies as well as variations in roof height
- 134

- 135 Reference data on background meteorological conditions were measured every second and averaged to
- a one-minute resolution on top of a mast on the roof at a height of 26m (2H_{building}) from the ground,
- using a La Crosse Technology WS-3502 cup anemometer and a mini weather station, at a resolution of
- 138 0.1m/s and 22.5 degrees for wind direction. Velocities in the street were measured with one 3D 8100
- Young ultrasonic anemometer (accuracy for wind speed was ±0.1% rms, ±0.05m/s and for wind direction
 ±2° for wind speeds up to 30m/s, sampled at 32Hz), and four 2D Gill Windsonic Ultrasonic Wind Sensors
- 141 (accuracy for wind speed $\pm 2\%$ and for wind direction $\pm 3^{\circ}$ up to 12 m/s, sampled at 1Hz.).
- 142 Carbon Monoxide (CO) was chosen as an indicator of traffic related emissions within the street canyon
- because it has slow chemical transformation within the atmosphere and can be considered inert over

short distances. The main source of CO was emissions from a continuous stream of cars and vans along

the street. CO concentration was measured every 10 seconds via two types of electrochemical sensors,

- 146 Learian Micro ICOM and Wireless Bracelet Nodes (see Shum et al, 2013).
- 147 Vertical profiles of flow fields and CO concentrations were measured at three cross sections of the street 148 (noted in **Figure 1a**), with different local street geometry as follows. Building ratios are calculated 149 assuming south-westerly perpendicular background wind:
- Section I: building ratio H_{leeward}/H_{windward} =1, with surrounding buildings on the South side up to
 27% taller and a wide gap between the buildings on the North side, and large balconies
- Section II: building ratio =1.92, and gaps near the buildings on both sides
- Section III: building ratio =1.28 and a gap on the South side, and large balconies on the facades
 on both sides of the street
- To create the vertical profiles, the 3D and 2D anemometers and four CO sensors were spaced out along the telescopic mast, which was placed about 0.8 m away from the buildings and was gradually extended from the ground up to 12m height with a total capture time of 10 minutes for each profile. The measurements of vertical profiles were taken from 09:00 am until 12:30 pm, a time of day with relatively stable wind and traffic conditions. At each section, vertical profiles were obtained first on one, then the opposite side of the street after a 20 minute break between profiles for instrument set up.
- A second field campaign was carried out, in which CO concentration levels were measured at street level on several days between 8:00am until 4:00pm. These CO sensors were deployed at the cross sections on both sides of the street, roughly 0.1m away from the building walls; six were positioned at a height of 1.5m from the ground, six at 2.5m from the ground.

165 2.2 Physical Modelling

166 2.2.1 Water Channel

- The physical modelling was carried out in a low-turbulence atmospheric boundary layer water channel in the Pat Kemp Fluid Mechanics Laboratory at the Dept. of Civil Engineering at University College London (UCL). This open water channel is made of a level glass bed with connecting plate glass side walls, built onto a substantial cast iron main girder structure. The dimensions of the water channel are shown in **Figure 3**. To reduce undesirable turbulence at the inlet of the channel, the water is passed through five meshes and a shaped inlet fairing. The maximum water depth that can be reached is 0.22m, which was set as constant for all the simulations.
- The maximum height of the physical model within the channel should be 40% of the depth of the boundary layer (or, the height of the free stream should be at least 2.5 the height of the scaled
- 176 buildings). To create a suitable profile for a typical Urban Boundary Layer, it was necessary to increase
- 177 the boundary layer thickness. A trip wire of 2mm diameter was placed at the inlet of the water channel,

178 on the floor and side walls,. Figure 2a shows the development of the boundary layer profile of the 179 empty water channel with and without the trip wire at 2.30m form the inlet and shows that the 180 boundary layer thickness (BLT) without the wire is 40mm (calculated following Hansen (1930)) while 181 with the wire the BLT reaches a depth of 60mm. The greater boundary layer thickness allowed us to 182 introduce a larger scale model to better visualise the flow and the tracer dye dispersion inside the 183 canyon. Figure 2b shows the development of the boundary layer and the fact that once it is developed, 184 it remains stable. As a result the scaled model was sized to be up to 60mm high. Thus, the upstream 185 bulk velocity of the empty water channel was 0.16m/s, resulting in a Reynolds number 3.5*10⁵ at a 186 distance of 2.30m downstream of the inlet The similarity criteria suggested by Meroney et al. (1996), 187 Hoydysh et al. (1974) and Snyder (1972) are satisfied in our experimental set up: The Re number at the 188 cavity exceeds 3400 and the critical roughness Reynolds number, which depends on the roughness of 189 the plate, is greater than 2.5. Full details of the physical model and boundary layer flume are provided 190 in Karra (2012).

191

Figure 2: a) Velocity profile with and without trip within empty water channel, b) Development of Boundary Layer Profile within
 the water channel

194 2.2.2 Street Canyon Model

195 Following the previous measurements the upstream bulk velocity at 2.5H_{building} from the bottom of the 196 bed was a constant 0.23 m/s and a Reynolds number above the buildings of 6.3×10^4 . A 1:183 scale 197 perspex replica of the Rigenis street canyon was placed in the water channel 2.24m from the inlet, such 198 that the flow was perpendicular to the buildings, as shown in **Figure 3**. The street replica was the only 199 region of interest for the purposes of this study; it was simplified to represent the large scale geometric 200 shape of the buildings and thus features smaller than 1m scale, such as balconies, were not explicitly 201 modelled. The street replica was aligned perpendicular to the flow and spanned across the water 202 channel up to the walls, to avoid side wall effects. Three homogeneous roughness blocks of the same 203 height and width were positioned upstream and two similar homogeneous roughness blocks were 204 positioned downstream of the test street canyon in order to smooth the turbulence and to achieve the 205 necessary fully developed urban boundary layer profile.

206 Figure 3: Configuration of the street canyons and Rigenis street model in the water channel and PIV and PLIF set up

207 2.2.3 Line Source Design and Imaging

A line source released dye to simulate the exhaust emissions from vehicles aligned along the street length. The design of the line source was based on that proposed by Meroney et al. (1996) with some modifications to enable application to water properties. Rhodamine 6G was chosen as a passive scalar and was injected through the line source placed at the bottom of the canyon at a constant flow rate, 8ml/min, through a syringe pump. Full description of the set up and the techniques are given by Karra (2012).

The study collected simultaneous measurements from PIV and PLIF, providing detailed two-dimensional information on velocity and concentrations at the three cross sections of the street canyon. The Laser system, a New Wave MiniLase double pulse Nd: YAG laser (532nm wavelength, power 100 mJ and pulse width 6ns) from TSI was placed vertically on top of the water channel at 0.70m from the bed surface up to the edge of the lens, and two CCD cameras were oriented perpendicular to the laser on both sides of the water channel and were connected to the laser pulse 610035 synchroniser to ensure that all components operated in the correct sequence. A TSI PowerView Plus 2048 x 2048 pixel CCD camera

- was used with a Nikon 60mm lens of 2.8D focal length for the PIV and a TSI PowerView Plus 1280 x 1960
- pixel with 28mm Nixon lens of 2.8D focal length was used for the PLIF (Figure 2).
- 223

224 **3. Results and Discussion**

225 **3.1 In-street airflow patterns for different background wind conditions**

The presentation of the results in this field study is structured according to background wind direction in relation to the street canyon axis as observed at rooftop level. We first present the overall variability

- relation to the street canyon axis as observed at rooftop level. We first present the overall variability found in the field and identify several Intensive Observation Periods (IOPs) with different background
- 229 wind conditions. We then present results for the different types of IOPs.

230 3.1.1 Variability of the background wind

231 Overall it was observed that the approaching wind above the heterogeneous canyon varied significantly 232 throughout the daily observation period of eight hours, as seen in Figure 4. It was thus necessary to 233 identify shorter duration IOPs during which the mean wind direction was relatively stable. A mean 234 approaching wind direction was identified, as was its associated mean wind meandering (standard 235 deviation from the mean direction), for several different IOP cases in the overall field study. Table 1 236 shows the five identified IOPs, as classified according to the measured Mean Wind Direction, of the 237 background wind approaching at rooftop level. The street orientation is SE to NW (135° to 315°), and 238 the background wind would have been exactly perpendicular to the street if it had approached from 239 225°(SW) or 45° (NE). We first discuss the cases of perpendicular wind, for both steady wind (IOP1, 2) 240 and highly variable wind (IOP3, 5); then present the case for oblique background wind (IOP4). 241

Figure 4: Variability of reference background wind direction and velocity at 26m above the ground level: (a) perpendicular case;
(b) oblique to perpendicular case (data recorded and averaged to one minute)

- 244 245
- **Table 1:** Description of the five distinct Intensive Observation Periods (IOP cases).
- 247

248 **3.1.2** Perpendicular prevailing wind and comparison with Laboratory model

249 Two IOPs were obtained in the field for a perpendicular South-Westerly prevailing background wind. 250 IOP1 has a mean wind variability of 26.9° and in this time period vertical profiles were obtained for 251 Section I. IOP 2 had higher variability of 37.6° and in this time period vertical profiles were obtained for 252 Section II. The flow fields for the same sections under perpendicular steady flow conditions were 253 obtained in the Laboratory. The results of these observation periods are shown in Figure 5, and are 254 compared to the corresponding laboratory measurements. The figure shows the direction of the 255 prevailing wind whilst the vertical profiles were being obtained, separately for each profile, and notes 256 the direction of the reference flow in the laboratory. As the four different vertical profiles were 257 obtained one by one, the wind had changed during the observation period and this is noted on the side 258 of the figures.

In IOP 1, Section I, wind speeds were observed overall to be 60% lower on the Northeast side of the
 street – which was the windward side in this case - than on the Southwest side. The typical clockwise
 vortex expected to arise in street canyons is seen very clearly in the laboratory model in Section I under
 steady flow conditions. However, this vortex was not observed in the field study.

263

264

265 266

- 267 Figure 5: Reference background wind captured over the duration of recording vertical profiles in Section I and II
- a) Section I, IOP1: i) wind measured during the vertical profile on the South side ii) velocity fields obtained in the field and
 underneath those the reference velocity fields obtained via PIV in the experimental model of the street iii) wind measured
 during the vertical profile on the North side
- b) Section II, IOP2: i) wind measured during the vertical profile on the South side ii) velocity fields obtained in the field and
 underneath those the reference velocity fields obtained via PIV in the experimental model of the street (the grey shaded area
 represents a region of the street that was not visible to the PIV) iii) wind measured during the vertical profile on the North side
- 273 represents a region of the street that was not visible to the Piv) III) wind measured during the vertical profile on the North sid 274
- 275 Section II has a complex geometry, due to the "step down" geometry created locally by the drop in 276 building height on the windward side, as well as the gaps around the buildings. The reference flow field 277 observed in the Laboratory does not develop a vortex. This is due to the flow being fully three 278 dimensional at this cross section, and this illustrates the very localised nature of the effect of geometry 279 on airflow in the street. This three dimensional flow was seen clearly in the laboratory under steady 280 flow conditions (as further discussed in section 3.3.2), but in the observation period IOP2, under realistic 281 variable wind conditions the vertical profile observations do not match those obtained in the 282 Laboratory. It is clear from the results in both sections, that real world conditions are so variable that 283 the vortex flow, which is the hallmark of street canyon research and the main reason street canyons are 284 considered unfavourable for ventilation, does not always appear. This is an encouraging result in terms 285 of street ventilation.
- 286

287 3.1.3 Highly Variable wind

288 Flow fields were observed at Section III of the street canyon for two cases: IOP3, where there is 289 perpendicular wind both from NE and from WSW (coming from the opposite side of the street 290 compared with the reference case), is shown in Figure 6a; and IOP5 for highly variable wind that 291 fluctuates from perpendicular to parallel in the observation period is shown in Figure 6b. (Note: during 292 the measurements in Section III we did not observe a perpendicular prevailing wind comparable to the 293 ones experienced for Section I and Section II, thus a laboratory reference case is not shown here; for 294 reference it can be seen in **Figure 11**). In the cases of highly variable wind under realistic field 295 conditions it was not possible to observe a vortex formation at all. The airflow pattern is not much 296 different than in the case where flow was relatively steady and perpendicular. It is seen that in reality, 297 the relative steadiness of the background wind does not seem to have a great effect on the in-street 298 airflow and the conditions on the ground.

- 299
- **Figure 6:** Variable wind cases, vertical profiles captured in the field in Section III:
- a) IOP3: i) Reference background wind over the duration of recording vertical profiles on South West side ii) Vertical profiles
 of velocity in the field iii) Reference background wind over the duration of recording vertical profiles on South West
- b) IOP5: i) Reference background wind over the duration of recording vertical profiles on South West side ii) Vertical profiles
 of velocity in the field iii) Reference background wind over the duration of recording vertical profiles on South West side
- 305 306

307 3.1.4 Oblique wind

Measurements were also taken in Sections I and II of the street for IOP4, in which case the prevailing approaching wind was oblique to the street axis (**Figures 7a and 7b**). The wind was relatively stable during the capture period of the profiles in both cross-sections. Yet the flow fields were quite different from what is predicted in the literature (e.g. Oke, 1998). The measured airflow patterns on the SW side of the street are quite similar for both cross sections, which have very similar background wind conditions in the field. However, the measurements are quite different on the NE side of the street, 314 which in Section II, produces a "step-down" geometry. This illustrates the effect of heterogeneity of the 315 street on the flow fields.

Figure 7: Oblique wind - vertical profiles captured in the field during IOP4:

a) Section I: i) Reference background wind over the duration of recording vertical profiles on South West side ii) Vertical
 profiles of velocity in the field iii) Reference background wind over the duration of recording vertical profiles on South West
 side

- b) Section II: i) Reference background wind over the duration of recording vertical profiles on South West side ii) Vertical
 profiles of velocity in the field iii) Reference background wind over the duration of recording vertical profiles on South West
 side
- 323
- 324

325 **3.2** Quantitative comparison between velocities in the lab and field measurements

326 A quantitative comparative analysis was conducted for the air flow velocity fields obtained in the urban 327 field measurements and the corresponding laboratory simulations, in order to assess quantitatively the 328 degree of influence on the flow velocity fields due to the real field conditions as opposed to those in a 329 controlled physical simulation in the lab. This was conducted only for the IOP cases IOP1 and IOP2 - for 330 relatively stable perpendicular background wind. The corresponding laboratory velocities were 331 normalized through the Reynolds number similarity. This analysis was carried out following the 332 methodology used by Neophytou et al. (2011) for comparing urban field measurements and 333 corresponding numerical simulations in the Joint Urban 2003 field campaign in OKC- U.S.A.

334

335 For a collective view of IOP cases IOP1 and IOP2, Figure 8 shows the scatter plots of the wind direction 336 and wind speed as observed in the field in comparison with the laboratory measurements. The dotted 337 lines in the figures depict the bounds of interest within which the variability is assessed; for the wind 338 direction the bounds are set within 45°, while for the wind speed the bounds are set for values within a 339 factor of 2 (i.e. between 1/2 and 2). The figures distinguish amongst measurement points located at 340 different sides of the street canyon (NE versus SW side). The total fraction of the measurement points 341 falling within the bounds is calculated. Table 2 presents these fractions in terms of the assessed IOP 342 cases IOP1 and IOP2, which refer to observation periods at cross-sections I and II respectively.

343 We observe that lower wind variability does not necessarily ensure a better agreement between the 344 wind direction measured in the field and in the lab. This is consistent with the findings in section 3.1. As 345 seen in Table 2, only a 27.3% fraction of the wind direction measurements at cross section I (IOP1) was 346 found to be within ±45 degrees of the corresponding laboratory measurements despite having lower 347 variability in the mean rooftop wind direction (26.9), while at cross section II (IOP2), the corresponding 348 fraction was found to be 45.5% and the variability was (37.6). It may also be that the airflow was much 349 more affected by the particular local street features and complex architectural features in cross section 350 I, which had building extrusions and large balconies that were not present in the simplified physical 351 model tested in the laboratory.

352

Regarding the wind speeds, the situation is reversed: for IOP1 a 36.6% fraction of the wind speed data from the field measurements and the corresponding laboratory measurements was found to be within a factor of 2 agreement, whereas a smaller fraction, of 22.7 %, falls within a factor of 2 for the IOP2 case. The lower agreement for IOP2 (compared to IOP1) persists when we re-consider the fraction for the wind speeds only above a threshold value of 0.05m/s, which is the threshold value of the instrumentation. It is interesting that the case with the lower agreement (IOP2) takes place at Section II, which is more homogeneous and has less obstructions and architectural features compared to the other sections, but which is a "step-down" configuration in which a vortex would not be expected to form, andhas not been formed in the laboratory.

362

The data is also calculated and presented in **Table 2** in terms of the Windward or the Leeward side of the street canyon. The Windward side of the street-canyon shows a better agreement than the Leeward side of the street-canyon for the wind direction, but no marked difference in agreement for the wind speeds. It must be noted however that the fraction of measurements above the accuracy threshold is substantially lower on the windward side (only 7.7%) therefore this result may not be conclusive yet and merits further testing in future field campaigns.

369

Figure 8: Scatter plots for (a) the wind direction measurements and (b) the wind speed measurements as observed in the field
 measurement campaign and in the corresponding laboratory measurements for two observation periods - IOP1, IOP2.: i) IOP1
 is at Section I (H₁/W=1.12, H_w/W=1.12), and ii) IOP2 is at Section II (H₁/W=1.25, H_w/W=0.75), The plotted dotted lines show the
 bound lines within ±45° (for wind direction) and within a factor of 2 (for wind speed)

374
 375 Table 2: Fraction of points included within the bounds for IOP I and II – calculated separately for section I, section II and for the
 376 Windward side in both sections and the Leeward side in both sections

377

378 3.3 Pollution Distribution

379 **3.3.1** Relationship between street level CO concentrations and background wind direction

380 Carbon Monoxide was measured during along the length of the street. First, results of a measurement 381 period of one hour in Section II, in the middle of Rigenis street are shown. Figure 9 shows the CO 382 concentrations at one minute averages at a height of 1.5 metres from the ground in the middle section 383 of Rigenis street canyon as a function of rooftop wind direction (wind from the north corresponds to 0° 384 and wind perpendicular to the street corresponds to 225°) for a measurement period of one hour. 385 Within this one hour, the wind meandered between predominantly perpendicular, oblique and parallel 386 to the street. It can be seen that there is no wind direction that is clearly associated with higher 387 pollution episodes. This variability is consistent with the results of the velocity measurements, and is 388 determined by the full set of parameters influencing the street, such as the variation in wind speed and 389 traffic levels in the street at the time of the measurements. Overall, the wind variability in real field 390 conditions is so high that background wind direction at rooftop height does not dominate the pollution 391 concentrations in the street.

392

Figure 9: Relationship between CO levels and wind direction in Section II; shown on the left are results observed on the South West side and to the right, results from the North East side of the street. The measurements for perpendicular wind to the street episodes and for parallel wind episodes are noted on the figure.

The following results are from a second field campaign in which CO concentration levels were measured at street level on several days between 8:00am until 4:00pm. Concentration levels were measured at several locations along the street length, as seen in the diagram in Figure 10b. **Table 3** presents the second set of IOP cases, showing the eight hour average background wind conditions recorded on the rooftop, during the campaign.

401

403

402 **Table 3:** IOP cases for pollution dispersion measurements

Figure 10a presents the mean CO concentration levels for each IOP case. These are averaged from all measurements along the length of the street at heights of 1.5m, and 2.5m from the ground. Because of

the high variability of the wind, results are shown for the time period within those eight hours ofmeasurements in which steadier conditions occurred.

- 408 On the whole, for all IOP cases the CO concentrations are greater in the middle of the canyon, Section II,
- 409 as compared with Sections I and III, which are nearer the junctions at the ends of the street. The only
- 410 exception is the high concentrations observed in Section III at 1.5 m height on the SW side of the street.
- 411 This site is unique as there are no gaps in the street canyon near that measurement location and this
- 412 might explain the higher concentrations there.
- 413
- Figure 10: a) Mean Concentration levels along the street for all IOP cases; (i) South West side and (ii) North West side b)
 Schematic diagram of measurements positions
- 416

For all IOP cases the concentrations are systematically higher at 1.5m height by 20% to 50% than at 2.5m height, demonstrating that pedestrian exposure near the ground can be significantly higher than expected from rooftop measurements.

For the case of persistent oblique wind in IOP4, the CO mean concentrations were lower overall by 20-30% compared to the partly oblique flow in IOP3. However, IOP presented higher concentrations in most locations than for IOP2, contrary to the expectation, in symmetrical canyons, that CO concentrations for oblique directions would be always lower than for perpendicular flow (Vachon et al., 2000). This might be as a result of the meandering of the wind during the measurement period, the specific traffic rate and levels during that period (Karra et al., 2011), or due to the heterogeneity of the street.

427

428 **3.3.2 Flow and dispersion in the laboratory**

429 The experimental study enabled very detailed simultaneous observations of flow and concentrations for 430 the reference case of steady perpendicular wind under controlled conditions. Figure 11 presents the 431 mean velocities and concentrations at the three cross sections inside the heterogeneous street. The 432 flow is perpendicular to the street, entering at rooftop level from the left. Great differences between 433 the cross sections are found in both the airflow and the pollution concentrations. Figure 11a shows the 434 velocity and concentration field in Section I. There is mass transfer from the windward building to the 435 leeward building resulting in the accumulation of pollution near the wall of the leeward building. This leads to Leeward concentrations which are twice as high as those on the wall of the windward building. 436

437 Under the conditions of steady flow in the lab, there are robust, systematic differences in 438 concentrations between the different sections and sides of the street. Figure 11b shows that Section II 439 produces a different flow pattern than typically found in symmetrical street canyons, which results in 440 enhanced mixing and a more homogeneous distribution of pollutants in the street. Pollution 441 concentrations at the top of the leeward building are 60% lower than at the bottom of the canyon. 442 Section III, seen in Figure 11c, shows a flow pattern that is more similar to the symmetrical canyon, due 443 to the small ratio of H_I/H_w. Again, towards the leeward building the concentrations are twice as high as 444 those near the windward wall. The table in Figure 11e presents the total mean concentration over the 445 same domain from z=0mm up to z=60mm, for all cross sections. We find the mean concentration is 446 lowest in Section I, followed by Section II, while Section III accumulates concentrations more than twice 447 as high as those in Section I.

448 In the laboratory, which corresponds most closely to the field study IOP case IOP2, we observed greater 449 concentrations always on the leeward side, as seen in **Figure 11a, 11b, 11c**. Yet, in the field, the mean 450 concentrations were always greater only on side of the street, on the NE side, for all IOP cases and at 451 both measurement heights. This was true both for the case of IOP2, IOP3, and IOP4 when the NE side 452 was the windward side, and for IOP1, when the NE side was the leeward side. Thus, this higher 453 concentration on the NE side of the real street was in effect whether the wind was perpendicular, 454 oblique or meandering and regardless of the direction the wind was approaching from. This indicates 455 that maybe a local parameter in the street that did not appear in the experimental model, has a higher 456 effect on concentrations. It is likely that this anomaly relates to the traffic lane in the real street not 457 being exactly in the centre of the street but closer to the NE side. It may also be because of the line of 458 parked cars on the SW side.

Instantaneous velocity and concentration fields (period of time from 0.0004s to 1.98s) are shown in Figure 11d. The vortex that can typically be seen when calculating the average values for steady flow is not seen clearly here, and observations over a time series in the experiment show this vortex dissolving and reconstructing at short intervals. This instantaneous image is a good representation of field conditions as background wind meanders or changes speed.

464

465 **Figure 11:** Velocity and concentration fields in the heterogeneous street canyon.

In the grey area, vectors and concentrations were not visible to the PIV and PLIF systems due to the higher buildings obstructing the view and velocities and concentrations could not be measured: a) Section I, b) Section II, c) Section III and d) instantaneous measurements of velocity field and concentration levels in Section II, e) **Table 4**; Mean concentration levels in heterogeneous street canyon

470 The heterogeneous street, with uneven building heights along the length of the street and gaps between

471 buildings, shows marked three dimensional flow patterns. This can be seen clearly in Figure 12, which

472 presents the velocity fields on three horizontal planes as visualised from above, at 8mm, 30mm and

50mm from the bottom of the bed. There is significant channelling through the gaps in the buildings.

474

475 Figure 12: Velocity fields at three horizontal planes along the length of the heterogeneous street a) at 8mm from the bottom, b)
476 at 30mm from the bottom, c) at 50mm from the bottom, d) Position of the measurements on the model of the street canyon

477

478

479

480 4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

481 In this work we investigate a heterogeneous real urban street canyon using a series of field 482 measurements and controlled laboratory experiments and attempt to measure and analyse all its 483 complexities, to account for both the real heterogeneity in the geometry and the real field conditions 484 including great wind variability. The complementarity of the field campaign and the physical model have 485 afforded us a deeper understanding of a wide range of issues of importance to street canyon ventilation, 486 and have demonstrated just how complicated air flow and pollutant dispersion processes can be in real 487 street canyons. To our knowledge, such a dataset (i.e. accounting for both the geometric complexity, 488 the wind variability and the combination of air flow and pollutant concentrations) has not been reported 489 so far. There is a comparative study between laboratory and field measurements over an idealized, 490 homogeneous urban street but not in a highly heterogeneous real urban canyon. Furthermore, our 491 study reports comparative results for both the airflow and pollutant dispersion fields - for such a real 492 canyon; so far only airflow results have been compared in some other case studies.

493 Field measurements of wind velocities and Carbon Monoxide (CO) concentrations were taken under real 494 field conditions in Rigenis Street in Nicosia city centre (Cyprus), at several cross-sections along the length 495 of the street (each cross-section being of different aspect ratio). A physical model of the same street 496 was produced for the purpose of laboratory experiments, of necessity making some geometrical 497 simplifications of complex volumes and extrusions. The physical model of the street was tested in an 498 Atmospheric Boundary Layer water channel, using simultaneously Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) and 499 Planar Laser Induced Fluorescence (PLIF), for flow visualisation as well as quantitative measurement of 500 concentrations and flow velocities. The experiments demonstrated the effects of large scale street 501 heterogeneity on airflows in this type of street canyon, and how they differ from those expected in a 502 symmetrical, homogeneous street canyon. The variable wind field conditions were represented by a 503 steady mean approach velocity in the laboratory simulation. The real field background wind was in fact 504 observed to be highly variable; thus different intensive observation periods represented by a different 505 mean wind velocity and different wind variability were defined. The laboratory investigations 506 (essentially representing periods of near-zero wind variability) showed a clear sensitivity of the resulting 507 flow field to the local geometry and substantial three-dimensional flow patterns were observed 508 throughout the modelled street. The real-field observations and the laboratory measurements were 509 compared for the cases of perpendicular flow observed in the field.

510 Overall, we found that lower wind variability does not necessarily ensure a better agreement between 511 the airflow velocity measured in the field and in the lab. In fact, we believe that in certain cross 512 sections, the airflow was more affected by the particular, local street geometry and complex 513 architectural features such as building extrusions and balconies (which were not represented in the 514 simplified physical model tested in the laboratory), than by the real wind field variability. For wind 515 speed comparisons the most favourable agreement (36.6% of the compared values was within a factor 516 of 2) was found in the case of lowest wind variability in the section closest to the physical lab model; for 517 wind direction comparisons the most favourable agreement (45.5% of the compared values was within 518 $\pm 45^{\circ}$) was found in the case with higher wind variability but in the cross-sections with more 519 homogeneous geometrical features.

520 Street canyon studies are always limited by the necessity to reduce complexity of real streets when 521 laboratory scale studies are conducted. This study is no different, and it is possible that a better agreement would have been achieved if the physical model were a more exact replica of the 522 523 surrounding streets. However this study attempts to show that these complexities and heterogeneity do 524 make a difference by accounting for large scale features and by conducting very detailed flow 525 visualisation. Field studies in street canyons are severely limited by resources and time constraints; by 526 necessity this study provided a snapshot of the conditions in a real street at a few particular times. It is 527 seen that by conducting both studies, a more comprehensive picture of the airflow and pollution 528 concentrations that can be expected in that street can be constructed, and some general observations 529 about the impact of street heterogeneity and wind variability can be made.

530 More specifically we have found that:

1. Realistic wind conditions were found to be highly meandering throughout the day. This should have had a demonstrable effect on flow patterns measured in the street, on formation of the vortex, and on pollution concentrations. Yet a quantitative analysis comparing local air velocities in the field and in the lab finds low agreement even when background wind is stable, during short Intensive Observation Periods. We find that in the field, airflow patterns do not relate clearly to background wind speed, direction, and variability within an observational time period. In the laboratory, we find systematic differences in pollution concentrations between different sections and sides of the street; yet under real conditions, variability in the street measurements is high. This raises questions about vortex formationin real streets and its impact on the ventilation of real streets.

540 2. The laboratory study demonstrates the effects of heterogeneity on airflow and pollution distribution, 541 with substantial three dimensional flow patterns throughout the street, and a clear sensitivity to local 542 geometry. The street canyon's aspect ratio as a whole does not explain the flow patterns found in this 543 case. We find that simplification of a real street to a homogeneous street canyon in models and 544 simulations needs to be done with caution.

3. As some of our field observations cannot be explained only by overall street geometry or by wind intensity and direction, it seems possible that local street parameters have a significant effect on flow and dispersion: the position of the traffic lane, the presence of parked cars and the presence of large balconies, all might affect the flow. We observe that CO concentrations are always greater on the NE side of this street, regardless of the direction of the background wind. This is most likely due to the location of the traffic lane being closer to the NE side of the street and demonstrates a high sensitivity inside the street to this local parameter.

4. We observe a couple of noteworthy results of the field campaign that raise issues of concern for pedestrian exposure to traffic related pollution in all types of street canyons: that concentrations are almost always higher in the middle of the street, away from the junctions. And that in all cases, pollution concentrations were systematically higher at the height of pedestrians, 1.5 m, than they were at 2.5 m height.

557

558 Acknowledgement

559

560 The authors would like to extend their gratitude to the Erasmus exchange program which has given the 561 opportunity to fulfil this collaborative work between the University of Cyprus and the University College 562 London through the exchange visits of the authors to both universities.

563

564 **References**

Assimakopoulos, V., Simon, H., Moussiopoulos, N., 2003. A numerical study of atmospheric pollutant
dispersion in different two-dimensional street canyon configurations. Atmospheric Environment 37 (29),
4037-4049.

568

Balogun, A. A., Tomlin, A. S., Wood, C. R., Barlow, J. F., Belcher, S. E., Smalley, R. J., Lingard, J. J.,
Arnold, S. J., Dobre, A., Robins, A. G., Martin, D., Shallcross, D. E., 2010. In-street wind direction
variability in the vicinity of a busy intersection in central London. Boundary-Layer Meteorology 136,
489-513.

573

Bentham, T., and Britter, R. 2003. Spatially averaged flow within obstacle arrays. Atmos Environ 37 (15):2037-2043.

576

Blackman, K., Perret, L., Savory, E., Piquet T., 2015. Field and wind tunnel modeling of an idealized
street canyon flow. Atmospheric Environment 106 139-153

579

580 Britter, R., Hanna, S., 2003. Flow and dispersion in urban areas. Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 35, 581 469-496. 582 583 Buccolieri, R., Salizzoni, P., Soulhac, L., Garbero, V., and Di Sabatino, S. 2015. The breathability of 584 compact cities. Urban Clim 13:73-93. 585 Cheng, W.C., Liu, C.-H., and Leung, D.Y.C. 2008. Computational formulation for the evaluation of street 586 canyon ventilation and pollutant removal performance. Atmospheric Environment 42 (40):9041-587 588 9051. 589 590 DePaul, F., Sheih, C., 1986. Measurements of wind velocities in a street canyon. Atmospheric 591 Environment (1967) 20 (3), 455-459. 592 593 Eliasson, I., Offerle, B., Grimmond, C., Lindqvist, S., 2006. Wind fields and turbulence 594 statistics in an urban street canyon. Atmospheric Environment 40 (1), 1-16. 595 596 Gu, Z.-L., Zhang, Y.-W., Cheng, Y., Lee, S.-C., 2011. Effect of uneven building layout on air flow and pollutant dispersion in non-uniform street canyons. Building and Environment 46, 2657-2665. 597 598 599 Hansen M., 1930. Velocity distribution in the Boundary layer of Submerged Plate, NACA-TM-585 600 601 Hoydysh, W. G. Griffiths, R. A. and Ogawa, Y., 1974. A scale model study of the dispersion of pollution in street canyons. Proceedings of the 67th Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association, 602 603 Denver, CO, 9-13, APCA Paper No. 74-157 604 Hunter, L., Johnson, G., Watson, I., 1992. An investigation of three-dimensional characteristics of flow 605 606 regimes within the urban canyon. Atmospheric Environment Part B Urban Atmosphere 26, 425-432. 607 608 Karra, S, Malki- Epshtein L., Neophytou, M., 2011. The dispersion of traffic related pollutants across a 609 non homogeneous street canyon. Procedia Environmental Sciences 4, 25-34 610 611 Karra, S., 2012. An Investigation of traffic related pollutants dispersion in heterogeneous street canyon. PhD Thesis, University College London United Kingdom. 612 613 614 Klein, P. and Clark, J. V., 2007. Flow variability in a North American downtown street canyon. 615 American Meteorological Society, 851-877. 616 617 Klein, P., B. Leitl and M. Schatzmann, 2007. Driving physical mechanisms of flow and dispersion in urban canopies. International Journal of Climatology 27, 1887–1907 618

619 620	Kubilay, Neophytou, Matsentides, Loizou, Carmeliet (2017). The pollutant removal capacity of urban
621	street canyons as quantified by the pollutant exchange velocity. Urban Climate (under review)
622	
623 624	Kumar, P., Morawska, L., 2013. Energy-pollution nexus for urban buildings. Environ. Sci. Technol 47, 7591-7592.
625	
626 627	Li, XX., Liu, CH., Leung, D. Y., 2009. Numerical investigation of pollutant transport characteristics inside deep urban street canyons. Atmospheric Environment 43, 2410-2418.
628 629 630	Li, XX., Liu, CH., and Leung, D.Y.C. 2005. Development of a k-€ model for the determination of air exchange rates for street canyons. Atmospheric Environment 39 (38):7285-7296.
631	
632 633 634	Liu, CH., Leung, D.Y.C., and Barth, M.C. 2005. On the prediction of air and pollutant exchange rates in street canyons of different aspect ratios using large-eddy simulation. Atmos Environ 39 (9):1567-1574.
635	
636 637	Longley, I., Gallagher, M., Dorsey, J., Flynn, M., Barlow, J., 2004. Short-term measurements of air flow and turbulence in two street canyons in Manchester. Atmospheric Environment 38 (1), 69-79.
638	
639 640 641	Meroney, R. N., Pavageau, M., Rafailidis, S., Schatzmann, M., 1996. Study of line source characteristics for 2-d physical modelling of pollutant dispersion in street canyons. Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics 62 (1), 37-56.
642 643 644	Moonen, P., Dorer, V., and Carmeliet, J. 2011. Evaluation of the ventilation potential of courtyards and urban street canyons using RANS and LES. J. Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn. 99 (4):414-423.
645	
646 647	Nakamura, Y., Oke, T., 1988. Wind, temperature and stability conditions in an eastwest oriented urban canyon. Atmospheric Environment (1967) 22 (12), 2691-2700.
648 649 650 651 652	Neophytou, M.KA., and Britter, R.E. 2005. Modelling the wind flow in complex urban topographies: a Computational-Fluid-Dynamics simulation of the central London area. Proceedings of the Fifth GRACM International Congress on Computational Mechanics. In. Limassol, Cyprus, 29 June-1 July.
653	
654 655	Neophytou, M., Goussis, D., Mastorakos, E., Britter, R., 2005. The conceptual development of a simple scale-adaptive reactive pollutant dispersion model. Atmospheric Environment 39 (15), 2787-2794.
656	
657 658 659	Neophytou, M. KA., Gowardan, A. G., Brown, M.J., 2011. An inter-comparison of three urban wind models using Oklahoma City joint urban 2003 wind field measurements. Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics 99, 357-368

660 661 662 663	Neophytou, M.KA., Markides, C.N., Fokaides, P.A., 2014. An experimental study of the flow through and over two-dimensional rectangular roughness elements: Deductions for urban boundary layer parameterizations and exchange processes. Physics of Fluids Vol. 26 Issue 8, p1
664	
665 666 667	Panagiotou, I., Neophytou, M. KA., Hamlyn, D., Britter, R. E., 2013. City breathability as quantified by the exchange velocity and its spatial variation in real inhomogeneous urban geometries: An example from central London urban area. Science of The Total Environment 442, 466 -477.
668	
669 670	Qin, Y., Kot, S., 1993. Dispersion of vehicular emission in street canyons, Guangzhou city, south china (p.r.c.). Atmospheric Environment. Part B. Urban Atmosphere 27 (3), 283-291.
671 672 673 674	Ramponi, R., Blocken, B., de Coo, L.B., and Janssen, W.D. 2015. CFD simulation of outdoor ventilation of generic urban configurations with different urban densities and equal and unequal street widths. Build Environ 92:152-166.
675	
676 677 678	Sini, JF., Anquetin, S., Mestayer, P. G., 1996. Pollutant dispersion and thermal effects in urban street canyons. Atmospheric Environment 30 (15), 2659-2677.
679 680	Snyder, W. H., 1972. Similarity criteria for the application of fluid models to the study of air pollution meteorology. BoundLayer Meteorol. 3, 113
681	
682 683	Xie, S., Zhang, Y., Qi, L., Tang, X., 2003. Spatial distribution of traffic-related pollutant concentrations in street canyons. Atmospheric Environment 37 (23), 3213-3224.