



Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository
This is an author produced version of a paper published in: Patient Education and Counseling
Cronfa URL for this paper: http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa36699
Paper: Cherry, M., Fletcher, I., Berridge, D. & O'Sullivan, H. (2017). Do doctors' attachment styles and emotional intelligence influence patients' emotional expressions in primary care consultations? An exploratory study using multilevel analysis. <i>Patient Education and Counseling</i> http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2017.10.017

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/

- 1 Do doctors' attachment styles and emotional intelligence influence patients' emotional
- 2 expressions in primary care consultations? An exploratory study using multilevel analysis

3

4 M. Gemma Cherry¹, Ian Fletcher², Damon Berridge³, Helen O'Sullivan⁴

5

- 6 Department of Psychological Sciences, University of Liverpool, UK
- 7 Division of Health Research, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK
- 8 ³ Swansea University Medical School, Swansea University, UK
- 9 ⁴ School of Medicine, University of Liverpool, UK

10 11

- 12 Correspondence to:
- M. Gemma Cherry, Department of Psychological Sciences, B212, Block B, Waterhouse
- Building, University of Liverpool, Dover Street, Liverpool, UK. E: gcherry@liv.ac.uk; T:
- 15 0151 7955364. F: 0151 7945537

16

17 Funding

- 18 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
- 19 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

20

1 Abstract

- 2 Objective: To investigate whether and how doctors' attachment styles and emotional
- 3 intelligence (EI) might influence patients' emotional expressions in general practice
- 4 consultations.
- 5 Methods: Video recordings of 26 junior doctors consulting with 173 patients were coded
- 6 using the Verona Coding Definition of Emotional Sequences (VR-CoDES). Doctors'
- 7 attachment style was scored across two dimensions, avoidance and anxiety, using the
- 8 Experiences in Close Relationships: Short Form questionnaire. EI was assessed with the
- 9 Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test. Multilevel Poisson regressions modelled
- the probability of patients' expressing emotional distress, considering doctors' attachment
- styles and EI and demographic and contextual factors.
- 12 Results: Both attachment styles and EI were significantly associated with frequency of
- patients' cues, with patient- and doctor-level explanatory variables accounting for 42% of the
- variance in patients' cues. The relative contribution of attachment styles and EI varied
- depending on whether patients' presenting complaints were physical or psychosocial in
- 16 nature.

- 17 Conclusion: Doctors' attachment styles and levels of EI are associated with patients'
- 18 emotional expressions in primary care consultations. Further research is needed to investigate
- 19 how these two variables interact and influence provider responses and patient outcomes.
- 20 Practice Implications: Understanding how doctors' psychological characteristics influence
- 21 PPC may help to optimise undergraduate and postgraduate medical education.

1. Introduction

1

34

2 Effective patient-provider communication (PPC) is an integral part of high-quality healthcare [1, 2]. In addition to aiding effective diagnosis, treatment, referral and decision-making, 3 effective PPC confers a number of patient benefits, including greater satisfaction with the 4 5 standard of care, increased understanding of health concerns and treatment options, better recall of information and increased treatment adherence [3-10]. As such, PPC is identified by 6 7 regulatory bodies as a core component of clinical practice [11, 12], and is an integral part of undergraduate and postgraduate medical education curricula worldwide [1, 13-16]. 8 9 Effective PPC arguably plays a particularly valuable role in primary care, given that, in the United Kingdom, primary care consultations often represent patients' first access to medical or 10 mental health services [17], yet last, on average, only 7 to 10 minutes [18]. However, there 11 remains substantial variation in primary care providers' ability to identify and respond to 12 patients displaying signs of emotional distress, indicating a need for targeted investigation of 13 the factors associated with individual differences in their PPC [19]. Two related psychological 14 theories may provide a theoretical framework for understanding why providers demonstrate 15 different PPC behaviours when faced with the same situational stimuli: attachment theory, and 16 the theory of emotional intelligence (EI) [20-34]. 17 18 Attachment theory is a theory of psychosocial development, which posits that individuals form enduring patterns of interpersonal behaviour through internalisation of interactions with their 19 20 primary carer(s) in infancy [35]. These patterns are represented cognitively in the form of an internal working model (IWM) of attachment, which subsequently influences behaviour in 21 22 close relationships throughout the lifespan, particularly care-giving or care-seeking 23 relationships such as the patient-provider relationship [23, 35]. Two main dimensions of adult 24 attachment have been proposed: attachment anxiety (characterised by habitual preoccupation 25 and over-involvement in close relationships combined with fear of abandonment), and 26 attachment avoidance (characterised by difficulty in trusting others, devaluation of close relationships and avoidance of intimacy) [36]. Emotional intelligence develops in childhood 27 partly as a function of attachment style [37], and can broadly be defined as the ability to 28 understand, perceive, use and manage their own and others' emotions [38]. As such, EI is a 29 multifaceted ability which encompasses skills in not only empathy (the ability to understand 30 31 and share another's emotions) but also in emotional regulation, management and self-32 perception [38]. 33 Prior research indicates that both attachment style and EI are independently associated with

PPC, particularly providers' abilities to acknowledge and respond to patients' cues of

- 1 emotional distress [20, 22, 39-42]. However, whilst attachment is thought to remain relatively
- 2 stable throughout the lifespan [43], EI is developmental [44] and can be enhanced throughout
- 3 medical education using targeted educational interventions [45, 46].
- 4 Informed by these data, we developed a theoretically-informed model of PPC in which we
- 5 hypothesised that attachment would indirectly influence providers' PPC by negatively
- 6 influencing their EI. We tested this model in first- and second-year medical students,
- 7 communicating in a summative Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCE) [20, 22].
- 8 In both studies, support for this model was gained, but interestingly, EI had a stronger influence
- 9 when more global PPC competence was considered [47]. Collectively, these data provide
- insight into the influence of early-year medical students' attachment styles and EI on their PPC
- during early undergraduate medical education, and have important educational implications for
- undergraduate medical curricula. However, the generalisability of these findings to real life
- clinical practice is unclear, given that medical students' PPC with patients in simulated settings
- may differ significantly from their PPC with real patients in a clinical setting [48, 49]. The
- current study aims to builds on the findings of Cherry et al. [20, 22] by investigating whether
- and how doctors' attachment styles and emotional intelligence (EI) influence real patients'
- emotional expressions in general practice (GP) consultations. By doing so, we will be better
- able to make theoretically-informed and evidence-based suggestions on how to improve
- 19 undergraduate and postgraduate training and education.
- **20 2. Methods**
- 2.1 Ethical approval
- 22 UK National Health Service (NHS) ethical approval was granted (reference 10/H1005/64).
- 23 **2.2 Participants and procedure**
- Junior doctors and their patients were recruited from 20 GP practices within North West
- England, UK. Doctors were recruited during their GP placement; patients (aged 18 years or
- over) were recruited in the order that they attended consecutive appointments with participating
- 27 GPs. Participation was voluntary and informed written consent was sought. Consultations were
- video-recorded; the camera was only directed at the doctors, no physical examinations were
- recorded and only the doctor and patient were present during the consultation.
- 30 2.3 Measures
- 31 Patients completed a demographic questionnaire assessing age range, perceived health status,
- and whether they had seen the doctor before. Doctors completed a demographic questionnaire
- 33 (assessing age, gender and ethnicity), a measure of adult attachment and a measure of EI.

- 1 Adult attachment was assessed using the 12-item Experiences in Close Relationships: Short
- 2 Form (ECR-SF) questionnaire [50]. Participants rate the extent to which each item describes
- 3 their feelings about close relationships (e.g. "I need a lot of reassurance that I am loved by my
- 4 partner") using a 7-point Likert scale. Responses produce two subscale scores, attachment
- 5 avoidance and attachment anxiety, which correspond to the two-dimensional model of adult
- 6 attachment [36]. Both subscales range from six to 42, with low scores indicating low levels of
- 7 attachment avoidance and/or attachment anxiety. The ECR-SF demonstrates acceptable
- 8 construct validity with the original ECR, and displays good internal consistency and six-month
- 9 test-retest reliability [50]. We did not estimate the internal consistency of the ECR-SF in this
- sample because our sample size did not exceed the minimum recommended sample size for
- calculating Cronbach's alpha [51].
- 12 EI was assessed using the Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT) [44],
- a 141-item ability-based measure of the perception, facilitation, understanding and
- management of emotions in oneself and others. Responses produce four Branch scores (Figure
- 1), from which Area and Total EI scores can be calculated. All are computed as empirical
- percentages positioned on a normal distribution curve (mean = 100; standard deviation = 15).
- 17 The measure demonstrates high reliability (total EI score of 0.92, experiential EI score of 0.90
- and strategic EI score of 0.85 [44]); as above, it was not possible to determine the psychometric
- 19 properties of the MSCEIT in this study given the sample size.

20 **2.4 Coding Cues and Concerns**

- 21 The Verona Coding Definition of Emotional Sequences (VR-CoDES) [52], a well-validated
- 22 coding scheme, was used to code patients' utterances of emotional distress. The VR-CoDES
- 23 handbook defines a cue as "a verbal or non-verbal hint which suggests an underlying
- unpleasant emotion and that lacks clarity", and a concern as "a clear and unambiguous
- 25 expression of an unpleasant current or recent emotion where the emotion is explicitly
- verbalised" [52]. MGC was first trained in the use of the VR-CoDES by IF, an expert coder
- 27 who helped to develop the VR-CoDES. A random sample of 20 practice transcripts were coded
- 28 to establish inter-rater reliability; Krippendorff's alpha was .93, indicating the MGC was
- 29 competent to code data independently. MGC coded all videos directly so as to preserve tone of
- voice and context. Coding was overseen by IF.

31 2.5 Analysis

- 32 Cues and concerns were collapsed together (referred to as 'cues/concerns' from hereon in).
- Pearson's product-moment correlations, independent sample t-tests, Chi-squared tests and one-
- 34 way ANOVAs were used as appropriate for preliminary data exploration. Relevant patient-

level and doctor-level variables were then transformed into dummy variables for analysis. A 1 2 series of multilevel models investigated the predictive value of both patient-level and doctorlevel variables on the outcome measure. As patients (Level 1) were grouped within doctors 3 (Level 2), the general framework of multilevel models was assumed where the dependent 4 5 variable(s) were assumed to follow a distribution belonging to the exponential family. A twolevel random intercept Poisson model was fitted, in which patients were assumed to be random 6 7 units sampled from the larger patient population. Doctors' unique study numbers were used to account for clustering at the doctor level (equivalent to incorporating a doctor-specific random 8 9 effect into the modelling framework). Number of cues was first modelled as a function of the characteristics collected for each patient until a final patient-level model was obtained. 10 Backward selection was based on Wald tests and non-significant covariates were removed from 11 the model ($\alpha = .05$). All excluded covariates were evaluated for their potential confounding 12 effect by evaluating their influence on the coefficient of the remaining variables in the model. 13 Doctor-level explanatory variables were then added to the model. Descriptive and exploratory 14 analyses were performed in SPSS 20.0.1 [53]. Stata (version 12.0) was used to fit the Poisson 15 models [54]. 16

3. Results

18 3.1 Sample characteristics

- 19 The final sample comprised 26 doctors consulting with 173 patients. Doctors were primarily
- 20 White British (n = 24; 92.31%) and female (n = 21; 80.77%), with a mean age of 26.61 years
- (SD = 3.32, range 24 to 38). The mean number of video-recoded consultations per doctor was
- 22 6.65 (SD = 1.92, range 4 to 11); mean consultation length was 17 minutes and 20 seconds (SD
- = 56.40 seconds). Most patients were female (n = 99; 57.23%), aged between 25 and 44 years
- 24 (n = 65; 37.57%) and rated their health as good, very good or excellent (n = 134; 77.45%). Two
- 25 thirds of patients (n = 112; 64.74%) were consulting with the participating doctor for the first
- 26 time. Participating doctors recorded patients' presenting complaints to be psychosocial in
- 27 nature for 26 patients (15.03%) and physical for 147 patients (84.97 %). Psychosocial
- 28 presenting complaints included panic attacks, low mood, dissociation and anxiety. Physical
- 29 health complaints included chest infections, urinary tract infections, and lower back pain.
- 30 Table 1 displays doctors' ECR:SF and MSCEIT scores. No significant differences in
- 31 participating doctors' scores were found according to their gender, age or ethnicity. Significant
- negative correlations between attachment avoidance and Branch 1 (Perceiving Emotions; r = -

- 1 .40, p < .05), Area 1 (Strategic EI; r = -.39, p < .05) and total EI scores (r = -.43, p < .05) were
- 2 found. Attachment anxiety was not significantly correlated with any EI score.

3 [INSERT TABLE 1 HERE]

4 3.2 Number of cues/concerns and responses

- 5 The mean number of cues/concerns per consultation was 2.33 (SD = 3.86, range 0-24); 79
- 6 consultations (45.67%) contained no cues. Patients with psychosocial complaints presented
- significantly higher numbers of cues (M = 5.02, SD = 4.64) than those with patients with
- 8 physical health complaints (M = 1.15, SD = 2.69), t(171) = 6.85, p = .00). No significant
- 9 differences in the number of cues/concerns elicited per consultation were found relative to
- 10 either doctor or patient gender. Table 2 displays examples of cues and concerns presented
- 11 during consultations.

13

12 [INSERT TABLE 2 HERE]

3.3 Multilevel modelling

History with the doctor (i.e. whether it was the patient's first visit to the doctor) and type of 14 presenting complaint (i.e. psychosocial or physical) were included in the final patient-level 15 model. Both significantly influenced cue/concern presentation and increased the variation in 16 cue/concern presentation between doctors (Model 1 $\sigma_u = .51$ (SE =.10), Model 2 $\sigma_u = .61$ 17 18 (SE=.11)), accounting for 31.47% of the variance in cue/concern presentation between patients (calculated using proportionate change in log likelihood). Number of cues/concerns was then 19 modelled as a function of the characteristics collected for each doctor, which were entered 20 collectively into the final patient-level model. Attachment anxiety was the only doctor-level 21 explanatory variable significantly associated with cue presentation, with a decrease of .11 22 cues/concerns per one unit increase in attachment anxiety (p = .00). Neither total EI nor 23 attachment avoidance significantly influenced cue/concern presentation. Consideration of 24 25 doctor-level explanatory variables further increased the variation in cue/concern presentation between doctors (Model 2 $\sigma u = .61$ (SE = .11), Model 3 $\sigma u = .78$ (SE = .16)), accounting for 26 an additional 2.94% of the variance in cue/concern presentation between patients (calculated 27 28 using proportionate change in log likelihood). To assess the interaction between doctor-level characteristics and patients' presenting complaint, an interaction variable was calculated for 29 30 attachment avoidance, attachment anxiety and total EI by multiplying each by the

- 1 'psychosocial' patient covariate. These interaction variables were then entered collectively into
- 2 Model 3 (Table 3).

3 [INSERT TABLE 3 HERE]

Attachment anxiety was significantly negatively associated with cue/concern presentation in 4 patients presenting with a physical health problem, with a decrease of .15 cues/concerns per 5 6 one unit increase in attachment anxiety (p = .00). There was no significant difference in effect of attachment anxiety between those presenting with psychosocial health problems and those 7 8 presenting with physical health problems. Inclusion of the interaction terms to Model 3 resulted 9 in a significant positive association between EI and cue/concern presentation, with a decrease 10 of .05 cues/concerns per one unit increase in total EI (p = .00) in patients presenting with a physical health problem. There was a significant difference in the effect of total EI between 11 12 those presenting with psychosocial health problems and those presenting with physical health problems, with an increase of .07 cues/concerns per one unit increase in total EI (p = .00) in 13 patients presenting with psychosocial health problems compared with those presenting with 14 physical health problems. Attachment avoidance had no influence on cue/concern presentation 15 in patients presenting with a physical health problem but significantly positively influenced 16 cue/concern presentation in patients presenting with psychosocial health issues, with an 17 increase of .23 cues/concerns per one unit increase in attachment avoidance (p = .00) compared 18 with those presenting with physical health problems. Consideration of the interaction terms in 19 addition to the doctor- and patient-level variables in Model 3 reduced the variation in 20 21 cue/concern presentation between doctors (Model 2 $\sigma u = .61$ (SE = .11), Model 3 $\sigma u = .80$ (SE = .16)) and accounted for an additional 10.43% of the variance in cue/concern presentation 22 23 between patients (calculated using proportionate change in log likelihood).

4. Discussion and Conclusions

4.1 Discussion

24

- 26 This study investigated whether and how doctors' attachment styles and emotional intelligence
- 27 (EI) might influence patients' emotional expressions in GP consultations. Both attachment and
- 28 EI were significantly associated with patients' emotional expressions, with patient- and doctor-
- 29 level explanatory variables accounting for 41.90% of the variance in patients' cue/concern
- 30 presentation. Collectively, these data support previous findings and indicate the importance of
- 31 considering the influence of doctors' psychological characteristics on PPC.

After controlling for significant patient-level explanatory variables, doctors' attachment anxiety was significantly associated with patients' cue presentation, with a decrease of .11 cues per one unit increase in attachment anxiety. Attachment anxiety is characterised by hyper activation of affect regulation strategies, in which the individual overreacts to negative feelings in order to gain support from others [35]. As such, it is possible that doctors high in attachment anxiety may have elicited fewer cues from patients than those lower in attachment anxiety due to adopting an over-intensive questioning style when initially presented with cues/concerns, thus resulting in less chance of patients re-presenting their cues/concerns [25, 41, 55, 56]. Interestingly, no differences were found in the effect of attachment anxiety on cue presentation between patients presenting with psychosocial health problems and those presenting with physical health problems, potentially indicating a standardised approach to cue responding regardless of patients' presenting complaints. However, it must be stressed that the focus of the study was on patients' cue presentation; because we did not consider doctors' responses to patients' cues, this interpretation, although theoretically-informed, should be considered speculative at present.

Whilst attachment avoidance had no influence on cue presentation in patients presenting with a physical health problem, it significantly positively influenced cue presentation in patients presenting with psychosocial health issues, with an increase of .23 cues per one unit increase in attachment avoidance when compared to patients with physical health problems. Salmon et al. [25] hypothesise that attachment processes are only activated in consultations characterised by psychosocial discussion, such as those typical of patients presenting with psychosocial health complaints. When presented with cues of emotional distress, doctors high in attachment avoidance may withdraw from the doctor-patient interaction by demonstrating less intensive and more evasive responses to cues, hence resulting in re-presentation of cues from this patient group only. This explanation is in-keeping with the findings of Del Piccolo et al. [55], who suggest that cue frequency may be a result of doctors' attributions of patients' psychosocial distress, rather than an antecedent. However, further sequence analysis is required in order to clarify the relationship between doctors' responses and patients' subsequent cue presentation.

Total EI had a negative influence on cue presentation in patients presenting with a physical health problem, with a decrease of .05 cues per one unit increase in total EI. EI may therefore be positively related to ability to assess appropriateness of response; doctors with high EI may realise when it is appropriate to enquire about emotion and when, instead, to pursue a purely

- biomedical agenda in line with the patients' needs, thus reducing their cue presentation. This
- 2 in in keeping with Mayer and Salovey's ability model of EI, which posits that individuals high
- 3 in EI do not merely demonstrate empathic understanding and response to another's distress,
- 4 but rather have the ability to adequately recognise, understand, use and manage both another's
- 5 distress and one's own emotions in the most appropriate way [38]. Interestingly, total EI
- 6 significantly positively influenced cue presentation in patients presenting with psychosocial
- 7 health issues, with an increase of .07 cues per one unit increase in total EI. Doctors with high
- 8 EI may therefore be better able to identify patients' psychological distress, and thus elicit more
- 9 cues than their less able counterparts in patients with psychosocial health complaints [57, 58].
- 10 They may also be more likely to use facilitative behaviours when interacting with patients
- showing emotional distress, which have been shown to increase cue presentation in patients
- with psychological health problems [58]. This is an area that would benefit from further
- research, given the preliminary nature of the findings.

4.1.1 Methodological Strengths, Considerations and Possible Limitations

- 15 The current study is the first to explore the relationships between attachment styles, EI and
- 16 PPC in a postgraduate doctor sample consulting in a clinical setting. A strength is in the
- precision of baseline data and the triangulation and further investigation of the findings of
- 18 Cherry et al. [20, 22]. However, several limitations must be considered. The sample size was
- somewhat lower than the recommended 30/30 (i.e. 30 at Level 2 each consulting with 30 at
- 20 Level 1 [59-61]), which may have reduced the robustness of the analyses. The self-selecting
- 21 nature of the cohort may have limited the generalisability of the findings. Furthermore, it was
- 22 not possible to examine differences in characteristics or presenting complaints between
- 23 consenting and non-consenting patients. Fourth, although analyses and interpretation of
- 24 findings were theoretically-informed, the cross sectional nature of the study means that we are
- unable to imply causation or directionality from the data. Finally, we were unable to adjust
- 26 models for consultation time because we did not have accurate information recorded (some
- 27 doctors turned off the cameras prior to physical examinations). As a recommendation for future
- 28 research, we would suggest that consultation time is accurately recorded, thereby permitting
- 29 control for this factor in statistical analyses.

4.2 Conclusions

30

- 31 Although exploratory in nature and limited by the relatively low numbers of doctors, this study
- provided preliminary data in support of the findings of Cherry et al. [20, 22], namely that

- 1 providers' attachment styles and EI are related to their PPC. These data add to the growing
- 2 body of literature suggesting the importance of considering attachment theory and EI with
- 3 respect to PPC.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

4.3 Practice Implications

Further research should focus on investigating how these two variables interact and influence both provider responses and patient outcomes, drawing from larger and more representative patient and doctor populations. In particular, sequence analysis would provide rich data regarding the relationships between attachment, EI, providers' responses and patients' cues, and may allow determination of whether emotional expressions are always desirable and one criteria of a successful consultation, or whether they point to missed opportunities by doctors. Consideration of this initial research recommendation would allow for further confidence in the stability and validity of these data. Providing that these findings are generalisable to other populations and settings, three practice points can be proposed. First, PPC skills should continue to be formally taught and assessed during undergraduate and postgraduate medical education, and should encourage development of the skills involved in identification and responding to patients' cues. Second, educating students about the potential influence of their attachment styles on their PPC may form a valuable contribution to undergraduate and postgraduate medical education curricula. This could help students to understand how their conscious feelings about close relationships may influence their PPC and develop students' awareness of their own attachment styles and how to use them, or compensate for them, effectively. Education may also assist practising doctors to identify situations in which their attachment styles may influence their PPC. Third, EI should be viewed as an attribute that can be nurtured throughout an individual's undergraduate medical education [45]. Curricula should consider integrating teaching designed to improve or develop students' EI into existing PPC skills' teaching at undergraduate level. This teaching should be based on a solid, ability-based conceptual framework, such as Salovey and Meyer's [62] four-branch ability model [62], and should i) emphasise the relationship between attachment and EI and ii) specifically focus on the influence of medical students' emotional reactions on their behaviours, cognitions and subsequent learning experiences [63]. This would allow for students to be aware of the influence of their attachment styles prior to interacting clinically with patients or simulated patients, and also provide students with the maximum opportunity to develop EI-related skills prior to graduation.

1 References

- 2 [1] General Medical Council, Tomorrow's Doctors, General Medical Council, London, 2009.
- 3 [2] P. Maguire, C. Pitceathly, Key communication skills and how to acquire them, Br. Med. J.
- 4 325(7366) (2002) 697-700.
- 5 [3] S. Kaplan, S. Greenfield, J. Ware, Assessing the effects of physician-patient interactions on
- 6 the outcomes of chronic disease, Med. Care 27 (suppl) (1989) 110-127.
- 7 [4] K. Rost, W. Carter, T. Inui, Introduction of information during the initial medical visit:
- 8 consequences for patient follow-through with physician recommendations for medication, Soc.
- 9 Sci. Med. 28 (1989) 315-321.
- 10 [5] D. Roter, J. Hall, Doctors Talking to Patients/Patients Talking to Doctors, Auburn House,
- 11 Westport, Connecticut, 1993.
- 12 [6] G. Hickson, E. Clayton, S. Entman, Miller CS, Githens PB, Whetten-Goldstein K, Sloan
- 13 FA, Obstetricians' prior malpractice experience and patients' satisfaction with care, Journal of
- the American Medical Association 272(20) (1994) 1583-1587.
- 15 [7] M. Stewart, Effective physician-patient communication and health outcomes: a review,
- 16 Canadian Medical Journal 152 (1995) 1423-1433.
- 17 [8] W. Levinson, N. Chaumeton, Communication between surgeons and patients in routine
- 18 office visits, Surgery 125(2) (1999) 127-34.
- 19 [9] K. Adams, J. Cimino, R. Arnold, W. Anderson, Why should I talk about emotion?
- 20 Communication patterns assicated with physician discussion of patient expressions of negative
- emotion in hospital admission encounters, Patient Educ. Couns. 89 (2012) 44-50.
- 22 [10] M. Stewart, J. Brown, H. Boon, J. Galajda, L. Meredith, M. Sangster, Evidence on patient-
- 23 doctor communication, Cancer Prev. Control 3(1) (1999) 25-30.
- 24 [11] Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education, Accreditation Council for
- 25 Graduate Medical Education Outcome Project, 2005.
- 26 [12] General Medical Council, Good Medical Practice, General Medical Council, Regent's
- 27 Place, London, 2006.
- 28 [13] J. Frank, The CanMEDs 2005 physician competency framework. Better standards. Better
- 29 physicians. Better care, The Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada, Ottowa,
- 30 2005.
- 31 [14] W. Hall, C. Violato, R. Lewkonia, J. Lockyer, H. Fidler, J. Toews, P. Jennett, M. Donoff,
- 32 D. Moores, Assessment of physician performance in Alberta: the Physician Acheivement
- 33 Review, Can. Med. Assoc. J. 161 (1999) 52-57.

- 1 [15] R. Epstein, E. Hundert, Defining and assessing professional competence, JAMA 287
- 2 (2002) 226-235.
- 3 [16] R. Maudsley, D. WIlson, V. Neufield, B. Hennen, M. DeVillaer, J. Wakefield, Educating
- 4 future physicians for Ontario: phase II, Acad. Med. 75 (2000) 113-126.
- 5 [17] National Institute of Health and Clinical Excellence, 'Referral advice' recommendation
- 6 details, 2013.
- 7 [18] M. Deveugele, A. Derese, A. van den Brink-Muinen, J. Bensing, J. De Maeseneer,
- 8 Consultation length in general practice: cross sectional study in six European countries, Br.
- 9 Med. J. 352(7362) (2002) 472.
- 10 [19] L. Del Piccolo, M. Mazzi, A. Saltini, C. Zimmermann, Inter and intra individual variations
- in physicians' verbal behaviour during primary care consultations, Soc. Sci. Med. 55(10) (2002)
- 12 1871-1875.
- 13 [20] M. Cherry, I. Fletcher, H. O'Sullivan, Validating relationships among attachment,
- emotional intelligence and patient-provider communication, Med. Educ. 48(10) (2014) 988-
- 15 997.
- 16 [21] M. Cherry, I. Fletcher, H. O'Sullivan, The influence of medical students' and doctors'
- 17 attachment style and emotional intelligence on their patient-provider communication, Patient
- 18 Educ. Couns. 93 (2013) 177-87.
- 19 [22] M. Cherry, I. Fletcher, H. O'Sullivan, Exploring the relationships among attachment,
- emotional intelligence and communication, Med. Educ. 47(3) (2013) 317-325.
- 21 [23] G. Adshead, Becoming a caregiver: attachment theory and poorly performing doctors,
- 22 Med. Educ. 44(2) (2010) 125-131.
- 23 [24] P. Salmon, L. Wissow, J. Carroll, A. Ring, G. Humphris, J. Davies, C. Dowrick, Doctors'
- 24 responses to patients with medically unexplained symptoms who seek emotional support:
- criticism or confrontation?, Gen. Hosp. Psychiatry 29(5) (2007) 454-460.
- 26 [25] P. Salmon, L. Wissow, J. Carroll, A. Ring, G. Humphris, J. Davies, Dowrick C, Doctors'
- 27 attachment style and their inclination to propose somatic interventions for medically
- unexplained symptoms, Gen. Hosp. Psychiatry 30 (2008) 104-111.
- 29 [26] M. Webber, The influence of attachment classification on first year medical students'
- 30 perceived competency in communicating with terminally ill patients, ETD Collection for
- 31 Wayne State University, 1999.
- 32 [27] N. Arora, S. Russ, K. Petrides, P. Sirimanna, R. Aggarwal, A. Darzi, N. Sevdalis,
- Emotional intelligence and stress in medical students performing surgical tasks, Acad. Med.
- 34 86(10) (2011) 1311-1317.

- 1 [28] E. Austin, P. Evans, P. Goldwater, V. Potter, A preliminary study of emotional
- 2 intelligence, empathy and exam performance in first year medical students, Personality and
- 3 Individual Differences 39 (2005) 1395-1405.
- 4 [29] E. Austin, P. Evans, Magnus B, K. O'Hanlon, A preliminary study of empathy, emotional
- 5 intelligence and examination performance in MbCbH students, Med. Educ. 41(7) (2007) 684-
- 6 689.
- 7 [30] P. Wagner, G. Moseley, M. Grant, J. Gore, C. Owens, Physicians' emotional intelligence
- 8 and patient satisfaction, Fam. Med. 34 (2002) 750-754.
- 9 [31] H. Weng, Does the physician's emotional intelligence matter? Impacts of the physician's
- 10 emotional intelligence on the trust, patient-physician relationship, and satisfaction, Health Care
- 11 Manage. Rev. 33(4) (2008) 280-288.
- 12 [32] H. Weng, H. Chen, H. Chen, K. Lu, S. Hung, Doctors' emotional intelligence and the
- patient-doctor relationship, Med. Educ. 42 (2008) 703-711.
- 14 [33] H. Weng, C. Hung, Y. Liu, Y. Cheng, C. Yen, C. Chang, C. Huang, Associations between
- emotional intelligence and doctor burnout, job satisfaction and patient satisfaction, Med. Educ.
- 16 45(8) (2011) 835-842.
- 17 [34] H. Weng, J. Steed, S. Yu, Y. Liu, C. Hsu, T. Yu, W. Chen, The effect of surgeon empathy
- and emotional intelligence on patient satisfaction, Advances in Health Science Education:
- 19 Theory and Practice 16(5) (2011) 591-600.
- 20 [35] J. Bowlby, Attachment and Loss: Volume 1. Attachment, Basic Books, New York, 1969.
- 21 [36] K. Bartholomew, L. Horowitz, Attachment styles among young adults: A test of a four-
- 22 category model, J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 61 (1991) 226-244.
- 23 [37] K. Kafetsios, Attachment and emotional intelligence abilities across the life course,
- Personality and Individual Differences 37 (2004) 129-145.
- 25 [38] J. Mayer, P. Salovey, What is emotional intelligence?, in: P. Salovey, D. Sluyter (Eds.)
- 26 Emotional development and emotional intelligence: Educational implications, Basic Books,
- 27 New York, 1997, pp. 3-31.
- 28 [39] M. Fenton, The role of cues in medical consultations: how to GPs respond to patients'
- 29 cues?, Doctorate in Clinical Psychology, University of Liverpool. (2008).
- 30 [40] K. Berry, R. Shah, A. Cook, E. Geater, C. Barrowclough, A. Wearden, Staff attachment
- 31 styles: A Pilot study investigating the influence of adult attachment styles on staff
- psychological mindedness and therapeutic relationships, J. Clin. Psychol. 64 (2008) 355-363.
- 33 [41] M. Dozier, K. Cue, L. Barnett, Clinicians as caregivers: Role of attachment organization
- in treatment, J. Consult. Clin. Psychol. 62(4) (1994) 793-800.

- 1 [42] M. Dozier, C. Tyrell, The role of attachment in therapeutic relationships, in: J. Simpson,
- 2 W. Rholes (Eds.), Attachment theory and close relationships Guildford Press, New York, NY,
- 3 1998, pp. 221-248.
- 4 [43] R. Fraley, Attachment Stability From Infancy to Adulthood: Meta-Analysis and Dynamic
- 5 Modeling of Developmental Mechanisms, Personality and Social Psychology Review 6(2)
- 6 (2002) 123-151.
- 7 [44] J. Mayer, P. Salovey, D. Caruso, Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test
- 8 (MSCEIT). Version 2.0, Multi-Health Systems, Toronto, Canada, 2002.
- 9 [45] M. Cherry, I. Fletcher, H. O'Sullivan, N. Shaw, What impact do structured educational
- sessions to increase emotional intelligence have on medical students? BEME Guide No. 17,
- 11 Med. Teach. 34(1) (2012) 11-19.
- 12 [46] J. Sattersfield, E. Hughes, Emotion skills training for medical students: a systematic
- 13 review, Med. Educ. 41 (2007) 935-941.
- 14 [47] J. Silverman, S. Kurtz, J. Draper, Skills for communicating with patients, Radcliffe
- 15 Publishing, Oxford, San Francisco, 2005.
- 16 [48] M. Hanna, J. Fins, Viewpoint: power and communication: why simulation training ought
- to be complemented by experiential and humanist learning, Acad. Med. 81(3) (2006) 265-270.
- 18 [49] P. Ram, C. van der Vleuten, J. Rethans, R. Grol, K. Aretz, Assessment of practicing family
- 19 physicians: comparison of observation in a multiple-station examination using standardized
- patients with observation of consultations in daily practice, Acad. Med. 74(1) (1999) 62-69.
- 21 [50] M. Wei, D. Russell, B. Mallinckrodt, D. Vogel, The Experiences in Close Relationship
- Scale (ECR)-Short Form: Reliability, Validity, and Factor Structure, J. Pers. Assess. 88(2)
- 23 (2007) 187-204.
- 24 [51] H. Yurdugül, Minimum sample size for Cronbach's coefficient alpha: a Monte-Carlo
- study, Hacettepe University Journal of Education 35 (2008) 397-405.
- 26 [52] L. Del Piccolo, A. Finset, C. Zimmermann, Verona coding definitions of emotional
- sequences (VR-CoDES). Cues and concerns manual. http://www.each.eu>, 2009).
- 28 [53] IBM Corp, IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 21.0, IBM Corp, Armonk, NY,
- 29 Released 2012.
- 30 [54] StataCorp, Statistical Software: Release 12.0, Stata Corporation, College Station, TX,
- 31 2011.
- 32 [55] L. Del Piccolo, A. Saltini, C. Zimmermann, G. Dunn, Differences in verbal behaviours of
- patients with and without emotional distress during primary care consultations, Psychol. Med.
- 34 30(3) (2000) 629-43.

- 1 [56] L. Zandbelt, E. Smets, F. Oort, M. Godfried, H. de Haes, Patient participation in the
- 2 medical specialist encounter: does physicians' patient-centred communication matter?, Patient
- 3 Educ. Couns. 65(3) (2007) 396-406.
- 4 [57] S. Davenport, Goldberg D, T. Millar, How psychiatric disorders are missed during medical
- 5 consultations, The Lancet 22 (1987) 439-441.
- 6 [58] D. Goldberg, L. Jenkins, T. Millar, E. Faragher, The ability of trainee general practitioners
- 7 to identify psychological distress among their patients, Psychol. Med. 23 (1993) 185-193.
- 8 [59] J. Hox, Multilevel modeling: When and why, in: I. Balderjahn, R. Mathar, M. Schader
- 9 (Eds.), Classification, data analysis, and data highways, Springer Verlag, New York, 1998, pp.
- 10 147-154.
- 11 [60] C. Maas, J. Hox, Robustness issues in multilevel regression analysis, Statistica
- 12 Neerlandica 58 (2004) 127-137.
- 13 [61] J. Hox, Multilevel analysis: techniques and applications, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates,
- 14 Mahwah 2002.
- 15 [62] P. Salovey, J. Mayer, Emotional intelligence, Imagination, Cognition and Personality 9
- 16 (1990) 185-211.

19

- 17 [63] M. Zeidner, R. Roberts, G. Matthews, Can emotional intelligence be schooled? A critical
- 18 review, Educational Psychologist 37(4) (2002) 215-231.

Table 1 Participants' attachment and EI scores (n = 26)

Variable	Score, mean (SD)	Range
Experiential Emotional Intelligence (Area 1)	96.45 (15.74)	71.72-130.55
Strategic Emotional Intelligence (Area 2)	107.24 (11.17)	89.09-135.90
Total Emotional Intelligence	101.89 (15.44)	79.77-129.20
Attachment avoidance	11.62 (4.24)	6-23
Attachment anxiety	18.96 (5.41)	11-30

1 Table 2: Examples of cues and concerns presented during consultations

Emotional expression	Definition	Examples
CONCERN Clear verbalisation of	Emotion is current or recent and issue of importance is not stated.	P: I think I'm down a l
an unpleasant emotional state		P: I'm worried about r
	Issue of recent or current importance is stated (life events, social problems, symptoms, other issues).	D: Do you think there my job does worry me, night thinking 'What if
		P: This [medical comp quite worried about it
CUE Expression in which the emotion is not clearly verbalized or might be present The criteria of currency/recentness is not applicable	a. Words or phrases in which the patient uses vague or unspecified words to describe his/her emotions.	D: How are you doing
		D: How are you? P: I'.
	b. Verbal hints to hidden concerns (emphasizing, unusual words, unusual description of symptoms, profanities,	P: I've got the whirlies
	metaphors, ambiguous words, double negatives, exclamations, expressions of uncertainties and of hope regarding stated problems).	D: How do you feel? <i>I</i>
		P: I feel like I'm gettin

c. Words or phrases which emphasize (verbally or non-verbally) physiological or cognitive correlates (regarding sleep, appetite, physical energy, concentration, excitement or motor slowing down, sexual desire) of unpleasant emotional states	P: I can't sleep at nigh P: I am knackered [tire
d. Neutral words or phrases that mention issues of potential emotional importance which stand out from the narrative background and refer to stressful life events and conditions.	P: I'm finishing my Ph P: My father died of a
e. A patient-elicited repetition of a previous neutral expression (repetitions of a neutral expression within the same turn are not included).	None identified in the
f. Non-verbal expressions of emotion	Crying Sighing Sobbing
g. Clear expression of an unpleasant emotion, which occurred in the past (more than 1 month ago) or is without time frame	P: I've had anxiety in the P: We didn't talk for the affected me a lot. I was
	P: My mood was really

Table 3: Two-level Poisson model with doctor level covariates and interaction variables: number of cues/concerns

	Model	2: Final	Model 3: Patient-level model with	
	patient-level model		doctor-level EVs and interaction ter	
	Coeffici	Standard	Coefficient	Standard error
	ent	error		
	Fixed	effects; patie	ent-level	
Seen > once before	-1.27***	.23	-1.20***	.24
Psychosocial complaint	1.64***	.12	9.05***	1.68
	Rando	m effects; do	ctor-level	
MSCEIT total	-		05***	.01
Attachment avoidance	-		06	.05
Attachment anxiety	-		15***	.03
MSCEIT interaction term	-		.07***	.01
Avoidance interaction term	-		.23***	.04
Anxiety interaction term	-		.04	.03
Constant	.08	.16	7.89	1.81
$Log \ of \ {\sigma_u}^2$	98	.37	46	.39
σ_{u}	.61	.11	.80	.16
Log-likelihood	-35	55.13		-318.06

 $[\]overline{*}$ = significant at p < .05, ** = significant at p < .01, *** = significant at p < .001; EVs = explanatory variables