

Original citation:

Nzinga, Jacinta, McGivern, Gerry and English, Mike (2018) Examining clinical leadership in Kenyan public hospitals through the distributed leadership lens. Health Policy and Planning, 33 (Supplement 2). ii27-ii34. doi:10.1093/heapol/czx167

Permanent WRAP URL:

http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/94872

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher's statement:

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced PDF of an article accepted for publication in Health Policy and Planning following peer review. The version of record Nzinga, Jacinta, McGivern, Gerry and English, Mike (2018) Examining clinical leadership in Kenyan public hospitals through the distributed leadership lens. Health Policy and Planning, 33 (Supplement 2). ii27-ii34 is available online at https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czx167

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRAP URL' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk

Nzinga, J., McGivern, G. & English, M. 'Examining clinical leadership in Kenyan 1 public hospitals through the distributed leadership lens. Forthcoming in Health Policy 2 and Planning. 3 **Abstract** 4 5 Clinical leadership is recognised as a crucial element in health system strengthening and health policy globally yet it has received relatively little attention in low and middle income countries 6 7 (LMICs). Moreover, analyses of clinical leadership tend to focus on senior-level individual 8 leaders, overlooking a wider constellation of middle-level leaders delivering health care in 9 practice in a way affected by their health care context. Using the theoretical lens of 'distributed leadership', this paper examines how middle-level leadership is practised and affected by context 10 11 in Kenyan county hospitals, providing insights relevant to health care in other LMICs. The paper is based on empirical qualitative case studies of clinical departmental leadership in two 12 13 Kenyan public hospitals, drawing on data gathered through ethnographic observation, interviews and focus groups. We inductively and iteratively coded, analysed and theorised our findings. 14 We found the distributed leadership lens useful for the purpose of analysing middle-level 15 leadership in Kenyan hospitals, although clinical departmental leadership was understood locally 16 17 in more individualised terms. Our distributed lens revealed medical and nursing leadership occurring in parallel and how only doctors in leadership roles were able to directly influence 18 behaviour among their medical colleagues, using interpersonal skills, power and professional 19 20 expertise. Finally, we found that Kenyan hospital contexts were characterized by cultures, norms 21 and structures that constrained the way leadership was practiced. We make a theoretical 22 contribution by demonstrating the utility of using distributed leadership as a lens for analysing leadership in LIMC health care contexts, revealing how context, power and interprofessional 23 24 relationships moderate individual leaders' ability to bring about change. Our findings, have 25 important implications for how leadership is conceptualised and the way leadership development and training are provided in LMICs health systems. 26 27 28 Introduction Leadership plays a key role in improving care quality, performance and outcomes in health 29 systems globally (WHO, 2008, Gilson and Daire, 2011, Alliance for health policy and systems, 30

2016) and having doctors and nurses in leadership roles has been found to be important in

driving health service improvement (Ferlie and Shortell, 2001, Ham, 2003, Fitzgerald et al., 2013,

McGivern et al., 2015). However, there is relatively little empirical research on clinical leadership

31

32

- in LMICs (Van Lerberghe, 2008), despite weak leadership and managerial capacities contributing
- 35 to problems facing health systems in these settings (Egger and Ollier, 2007, Puoane et al., 2008,
- 36 Marchal et al., 2010, Moyo et al., 2013).
- 37 Moreover, leadership in health systems improvement and strengthening is rarely discussed in a
- 38 way informed either by leadership theory or an understanding of the 'messy' practice of
- 39 leadership (Denis et al., 2010). Furthermore, leadership is usually conceptualised as a top-down
- 40 and individualised phenomenon, including LMIC health systems. Yet health care delivery
- 41 involves multiple actors (Denis et al., 2010), particularly powerful medical professionals
- 42 (Freidson, 1988), who often make operational clinical decisions at ward level, in ways influenced
- 43 more by collegial mechanisms than line management structures (Ham and Dickinson, 2008).
- 44 Accordingly, researchers have shown that leadership in health care usually involves multiple
- 45 leaders from different professional groups, at the top and middle-levels of organisations, whose
- actions are enabled and constrained by their organisational contexts (Denis et al., 2001, Currie
- 47 and Lockett, 2011, Denis et al., 2012, Fulop and Mark, 2013, Ferlie et al., 2013, Nzinga et al.,
- 48 2013, Daire and Gilson, 2014, Fitzgerald et al., 2013). Addressing this oversight, we the use lens
- 49 of 'distributed leadership' (Gronn, 2002) to examine the messy day-to-day practice of middle-
- 50 level leadership in Kenyan district hospitals.
- 51 District hospitals are an important part of health systems in LMICs, delivering essential health
- 52 care services in resource poor settings (Hugo et al., 2010), although their functioning is not well
- understood (Van Lerberghe, 2008, English et al., 2004). The limited literature on district
- hospitals in LMICs tends to focus on performance outcomes (Puoane et al., 2008, Hugo et al.,
- 55 2010) and quality improvement in a decontextualized way (Elwyn et al., 2007). Yet hospitals are
- 56 complex organizations, whose functioning and performance are determined by both formal and
- 57 informal rules, regulations, cultures and norms (Kuhlmann et al., 2016). We focus on day-to-day
- 58 leadership of middle level leaders during routine delivery of health care in Kenyan county
- 59 (formerly district) hospitals.,.
- The structure of the paper is as follows. First, we outline theory underpinning our study and
- explain why distributed leadership is a useful lens for examining health care. We then describe
- 62 the Kenyan county hospital context where our study was situated. We explain the methods we
- 63 used to gather and analyse our qualitative data, before presenting our empirical findings and
- 64 discussing their implication for health policy and practice.

65 Distributed and socially constructed leadership In health care, there is a complex interrelationship between leadership, health professions, 66 contexts and organizational performance (Ferlie and Shortell, 2001, Goodall, 2011), so leadership 67 cannot be conceptualized as a top-down and individualized construct. We therefore need a 68 69 broader conceptualization of health care leadership, which encapsulates interactions between leaders, followers and contexts (Edmonstone, 2009, Chreim et al., 2010). 70 71 Distributed leadership therefore provides a useful framework for understanding how leaders and 72 followers co-create a shared understanding of their daily interactions (Gronn, 2002, Spillane et 73 al., 2004) in health care. Distributed leadership is defined as a constellation in which individual 74 members plays distinct roles and all members work together. It provides a holistic sense of leadership as a product of leaders and followers co-constructing performance in collective and 75 76 group context, and provides a dynamic, non-linear frame on how people and events interact in 77 organizations (Denis et al., 2001, Gronn, 2002). We use distributed leadership to frame the process of leadership as a co-construction of shared meaning and action to accomplish common 78 79 objectives (Bolden, 2011). 80 Moreover, leadership includes a relational aspect involving power, relationships between actors involved and the context within which they operate. Thus, through social processes, such as 81 building interpersonal relationships, influencing and motivating others, we shift from a 82 83 perspective of 'who is leading' to 'how leadership is created and accomplished' (Uhl-Bien, 2006, 84 Martin et al., 2009). Distributed leadership can also therefore be thought of a form of 'relational 85 leadership'; a process of social influence through which emergent coordination and change are 86 constructed and produced (Uhl-Bien, 2006). Put simply, distributed leadership conceptualizes leadership as a collective practice embedded within a wider constellation of relations between 87 88 leaders, followers and context (Gronn, 2002, Denis et al., 2012). 89 For Gronn (2002) there are two main dimensions of distributed leadership. Concertive action is about 90 aligning the direction of leadership across different individuals, facilitating collaboration and sharing of leadership within work groups. Conjoint agency is about the nature and quality of 91 92 interactions among leaders and followers; how leaders synchronize leadership acts through their individual plans, those of peers and a willingness to engage in mutual influence with one another 93 (Gronn, 2002, Currie and Lockett, 2011). Therefore, distributed leadership can be thought of as 'a 94

process involving multiple agents, including those who might enact leadership and those who

might enact followership depending on context (Gordon et al., 2015, Mehra et al., 2006), involving

95

the 'influence-ship' of both leaders on followers and followers on leaders. This reciprocal influence affects leadership actions whilst contingent on the context in which the interactions happen.

Context, including organizational structures, routines, socio-cultural, political and historical elements, is an important element in the conceptualisation of the dynamics between leadership and followership (Spillane et al., 2004). Context enables and constrains leadership practice and, as such, leadership can be thought of as an emergent, on-going negotiation between social actors in co-constructing meaning, trust and cohesion and better practice (Bolden, 2011).

While there has been increasing use of distributed leadership as theoretical 'unit of analysis' (Gronn, 2002) in analysing health care leadership particularly in HIC settings (Currie and Lockett, 2011, Fitzgerald et al., 2013, Ferlie et al., 2013), distributed leadership has not been applied in LMICs. Yet using the distributed leadership lens is critical in LMIC health system contexts, because, in the frequent absence of effective standardised processes and accountability mechanisms, its governance is affected by plural and contextually situated modes of professional organization. Thus, we use the distributed leadership lens to examine clinical leadership in Kenyan county hospitals, which are similarly embedded in wider complex healthcare contexts. By focusing on county hospitals in one LMIC, we show how distributed leadership provides a useful lens for understanding clinical leadership and, in doing so, provide lessons for others analysing leadership in other LMIC health care contexts.

The Kenyan health care context

In Kenya, county hospitals serve critical roles as the first level of referral care, while also providing support to peripheral health facilities such as health centres, dispensaries and the community. Training of physicians, clinical officers, nurses and on-going medical education are all provided by the county hospitals. County hospitals consume about 50% of all funding allocated to the Kenyan health sector (Mills, 1990, Barasa et al., 2015) and employ half of all public health care staff. Improving the way Kenyan district hospitals are led and managed could therefore have a significant impact on the country's health system. Unfortunately, the performance and quality of Kenyan public sector hospitals is often poor (English et al., 2004, Irimu et al., 2012) due to resource and structural limitations, inadequate leadership and poor communication between senior and frontline workers (Nzinga et al., 2009, English, 2013).

County hospital heads of departments, including those clinically and non-clinically trained, form the middle level leadership of these hospitals and play a key role in making improvements in Kenya county hospitals. Our focus is on these middle level leaders running clinical departments and supervising front-line workers (principally doctors and nurses) (Nzinga et al., 2009, Nzinga et al., 2013). All middle level leaders report to a senior leadership team, comprising a medical superintendent (a doctor) and a hospital matron (the head of nursing), supported by a health administrative officer (without clinical training) (*See Figure 1 below*), who are in charge of translating health policies into practice. Senior district hospital leaders may also have regulatory roles at county and national levels (English et al., 2004)

Fig 1: Generic organogram of county hospitals in Kenya with the circle representing the mid-level leaders of interest for this study

Clinical departments in Kenyan district hospitals (for example medicine, paediatrics, obstetrics and gynaecology, and surgery) are jointly managed by doctors and nurses (*See Figure 1 above*). Doctors heading these departments may have a higher degree in an appropriate specialty or, especially in smaller rural hospitals, a general medical qualification. Nurses 'in charge' of inpatient wards and outpatient departments tend to have more work experience than junior doctors, although few have higher training in a specific clinical specialty (Nzinga et al., 2013). Senior managers and frontline workers alike expect doctors running departments to implement policy, lead and motivate staff to improved service delivery, despite few such doctors having leadership or management training (Nzinga et al., 2009, English et al., 2011).

The poor performance of hospitals in Kenya and other LMICs is often attributed to poor leadership at operational level (Nzinga et al., 2009, English et al., 2011), yet such leadership is often situated in a complex healthcare context that undermines leaders' abilities to act. For example, decentralization of governance of health services in Kenya and increasing accountability demands on clinicians taking on leadership and managerial roles (KPMG, 2013) make the enactment of leadership roles difficult. Consequently, our research question is: 'how are leadership micropractices at the middle level of hospitals (clinical departments) negotiated and enacted?'

Methodology

This paper is based upon qualitative case studies of two Kenyan public county (district) hospitals, focusing on eight mid-level departmental leaders (four in each hospital) running front-line clinical departments (four medical consultants (three male and one female) and four nurses 'in charge' of inpatient wards (all female). Between February and September 2014, the lead author spent 480 hours shadowing and observing these leaders' routine hospital work, including during clinical ward rounds, departmental meetings, hospital management meetings, and continuous learning

162	(continuing professional development) sessions running clinics run (see interview and observation guides
163	in appendix).
164	The lead author interviewed each of the clinical departmental leaders three times, asking questions
165	about what influenced them to pursue clinical training, how they came to be appointed as heads
166	of departments, day-to day leadership in terms of how they interpreted behaviours acts and
167	experiences, their roles and achievements as departmental leaders. She also interviewed three
168	senior managers, four mid-level leaders and 21 frontline workers in Hospital A and three senior
169	managers, four mid-level leaders and 16 frontline workers in Hospital B during one-to-one
170	interviews and focus groups. She asked questions about perceptions of leadership in the
171	departments run by the eight departmental leaders. Thus, in total, 61 people were interviewed
172	across the two hospitals.
173	We managed and coded data using NVIVO 10 Qualitative data software. We then theorised data
174	drawing on Gioia and colleagues' (2013) inductive and Corbin and Strauss' (2014) grounded
175	research methods. We started with open coding, looking for inductive concepts and themes (also
176	informed by relevant literature), then axially coded these data, allowing concepts to emerge, while
177	developing relationships and patterns among categories and themes. We then compared
178	concepts emerging from data with leadership literature, taking an iterative approach to
179	theorisation (Eisenhardt, 1989, Golden-Biddle and Locke, 2007) to explain the social
180	mechanisms and processes through which leadership is enacted in the empirical sites we studied.
181	
182	Results
183	We now describe and explain our empirical findings.
184	Perceptions of leadership as an individualised phenomenon
185	While we used a distributed leadership lens to analyse mid-level leadership, interviewees
186	perceived leadership as individualized, top-down phenomenon, in which clinical departmental
187	heads were expected to tell clinical staff what to do. As a result, followers demonstrated little
188	personal agency. As a consultant paediatrican leading a department noted:
189	"When I left, some of my staff felt lost because I was not there to give them direction I felt like I had
190	not build structures to support things. I felt like I was the one man show but I said that has to change
191	they should not think that I should always be there for things to go on." Paediatric consultant,
192	Hospital A

193	Most respondents also conflated leadership with being a departmental "figure head",	
194	"spokesperson" and "role model", as noted below:	
195	"Our consultant is hilarious and so, so good. He knows his stuff also and is not [just] focused on	
196	medicine and the patient he brings some social aspects, cultural aspect." Medical officer intern,	
197	obstetrics/gynaecology rotation, Hospital B	
198	"They expect you to be the role model in everything, even just coming on duty, putting on proper uniform,	
199	even the language. Even in the working they expect you to show them. You teach them OK, mostly	
200	they always act like we do." Nurse manager, Maternity ward, Hospital A	
201	Heads of departments' formal responsibilities and accountability within the departments	
202	underpinned the individualized view of leadership. As a medical consultant running a departme	
203	noted:	
204	"My role as head of the department is to make sure that everything in the pediatric department is	
205	running. Doing daily ward rounds, outpatient clinics and specialist clinics academic mentorship to	
206	clinical officers to medical officers and interns." Pediatric consultant, Hospital B	
207		
208	Leadership along professional hierarchies	
209	A key feature of the context in which middle-level leadership occurred in district Kenyan	
210	hospitals was inter-professional stratification, particularly between doctors and nurses, producing	
211	parallel lines of leadership. Nurse 'in charges' supervised nurses in departments, whose work	
212	plans were developed separately from those of medical officers, medical and clinical officer (non-	
213	physician clinicians) interns, who were supervised by medical consultants, as described below:	
214	"When it comes to the CO [clinical officer] interns, there's a bit of interference from their in-charge. For	
215	example, you might have a number of CO interns in your rotation, and then you come on a random day	
216	and you find the CO in-charge has actually deployed them somewhere else to do some work, and a Head	
217	of Department, you really have no powers to contest that. The nurses, we have always worked as parallel	
218	systems, so the nurses have their own way of reporting and the Medical Officers also have their own way	
219	of reporting but we've never had that clash, somehow we've been able to accommodate each other. But that	
220	doesn't seem to happen with the CO interns because there will be some decision from their in-charge and	
221	somehow that decision will be there'll be very little that you can do to influence that decision when it's	
222	made. So yeah, that again is quite a challenge I would say from the admin side."	
223	Obstetrics/gynaecology consultant, Hospital B	

224	Relationships in clinical department also developed around professional specializations, with				
225	limited opportunities for different professional groups to meet and discuss departmental issues				
226	as a team. From observations, meetings were cadre specific and nurses and doctors rarely				
227	interacted. Even where standard operating procedures were designed to be multi-disciplinary,				
228	they were not always enacted in multi-disciplinary ways, as the following interview extract				
229	indicates:				
230	"The collaboration between us and nurses could be better. For example, when we hold mortality				
231					
232	ward rounds." Paediatric medical officer, Hospital A				
233	"We even have Continuous Medical Education (CME) every two weeks but we can't attend, we have so				
234	much work, so you don't really have time for CME's." Paediatric nurse, Hospital A				
235	Doctors usually made departmental decisions individually, without involving their teams or nurse				
236	managers within the same department. Nurses also made decisions on ward operations				
237	independently, without involving their nursing teams or medical consultants.				
238	Despite hospital administrators recognising problems resulting from parallel lines of leadership,				
239	it was accepted as a cultural norm and remained unaddressed, undermining the possibility of				
240	team or distributed leadership, as indicated by the interview extract below:				
241	"Well we have work plans per departments and the nursing staff, they do their work with the nursing				
242	manager based on their profession. The doctors will do their work with their consultant in their				
243	department but the only challenge that we have had is marrying the work plan of the nurses and that of				
244	the clinicians. So that gap is there and we are still thinking of another way to address this." Medical				
245	Superintendent, Hospital A				
246	Respondents described medical dominance within the interprofessional hierarchy affecting				
247	leadership in these hospitals. As a medical head of department noted:				
248	"As consultants, we are the top leadership of the department, so we make the decisions on everything."				
249	Obstetrics and Gynaecology Consultant, Hospital A				
250	Clinical heads of departments' senior medical professional identity, presumed clinical knowledge				
251	and expertise appeared to provide taken-for-granted authority in leadership roles. For example, a				
252	medical officer described the consultant leading their department as:				
253	"Someone who wasn't just given a head of department position, that it is someone who is very				
254	knowledgeable." Medical Officer Intern, Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Hospital B				

255	Our observations suggested that even inexperienced medical doctors had authority over nurses.				
256	So, nurse managers with more technical experience struggled to exercise authority over the				
257	medical interns. A nurse noted:				
258	"When the clinical interns come, they look down upon you. But you see, I've worked in paediatrics for				
259260	long, so I know what the consultant expects. So, when you are trying to tell that intern, he's like 'who are you?'" Paediatric Nurse Manager, Hospital B				
261	Nurses' experiential knowledge was also less valued within the clinical departments and nurse				
262	leaders were expected to play supportive roles to doctors. As a consultant noted:				
263	"We (medical doctors) are the main decision-makers in the ward but for the supplies and resources				
264	generally you have an efficient nurse who makes sure all of that is delivered." Paediatrics				
265	consultant, Hospital B				
266	Few nurse managers appeared empowered by their leadership role. For example, even a nurse in-				
267	charge of paediatrics, who interviewees considered charismatic, motivating and inspiring did not				
268	consider herself a leader. As she commented:				
269	'I am someone who minds my own business and I don't see it as a short coming and I like seeing things				
270	organized that is just my initiative another person without that character will do the bare				
271	necessity." Nurse Manager, Hospital A				
272	Nurse managers often appeared approachable, empathetic and understanding towards team				
273	members, using informal interpersonal relationships to influence change, as the following				
274	interview extracts suggest:				
275	"[Nurse manager] really tries his best to balance being an administrator, a teacher and also a friend. He				
276	tries to know what's going on in people's lives, so he tries to reach out and he is outgoing he is very				
277	good with the nurses." Maternal and child health nurse, Hospital A				
278	"As a departmental head first of all you listen to them [nurses] and understand that each one of us				
279	has got problems and you are dealing with adults if you don't solve their problem, then you are even				
280	creating problems for yourself." Maternity nurse Manager, Hospital B				
281	During observations of hospital management team meetings nurse managers played silent and				
282	supportive roles, unable to challenge the perceived expertise and authority of medical				
283	professional colleagues. A nurse reported:				
284	"Our nurse manager is supportive, a team player but with the hospital administration he feels				
285	intimidated. He cannot report to the administration the needs of the department because he is afraid that				

286	he may be pinned down there, so when he comes back to us, he will just be silent." Paediatric nurse,			
287	Hospital B			
288	Only a few clinical departmental leaders, particularly those with social skills and knowledge of			
289	the local hospital context, had the authority and credibility to actively solve problems, as a			
290	consultant explained:			
291	"[I] solve problems rather than blaming others or shifting problems to others. Like if there is no oxygen			
292	for patients who need it, I won't start saying that the administration is not giving them oxygen, I will			
293	look, talk to the maintenance; 'what is your problem?' Maintenance will tell me it is procurement.			
294	Procurement will tell me we have a debt. So, I know the whole side of things. I actually went to see what			
295	the problem is, so I think that is what has helped me." Paediatric consultant, Hospital A			
296	More commonly, however, we observed medical consultants using coercive power and			
297	intimidating junior staff to make things happen, as a medical officer describes below:			
298	'The way she [departmental leader] talked to us! She would tell us sometimes: 'I don't trust your			
299	decisions; see the way you make poor decisions' all those bad things. She was not encouraging, she was			
300	finding fault at your decisions, and doing it in front of the patients. She was not encouraging." Medical			
301	officer intern, Paediatrics rotation, Hospital B			
302	Clinical departmental leaders rarely recognised effort or praised their teams and were more likely			
303	to point out inadequacies and failures. This created a blame culture and poor interpersonal			
304	relationships, which subsequently became accepted as the norm. Another medical officer intern			
305	noted:			
306	"Nobody will applaud you for the good things, the bad things will be detected." Medical officer			
307	intern, Paediatrics rotation, Hospital B			
308	Intimidation was also seen to characterize senior management:			
309	"[Senior managers] play the intimidation game. They tell you, if you do this we will not pay you."			
310	Medical officer, Paediatric rotation, Hospital A			
311	Top-down communication was seen to be problematic too:			
312	"As a team leader, communication downwards or upwards it is a challenge communication from the			
313	topmost administration is tricky". Nurse 'in charge', Paediatrics ward, Hospital B			
314	In sum, interprofessional hierarchies and boundaries significantly affected mid-level leadership			
315	practices, with doctors 'naturally' assuming leadership roles, due to their perceived credibility and			
316	expert medical knowledge, while nurse leaders played quieter supportive roles.			

2	1	7

How context shapes and is shaped by leadership

Interestingly, we found little difference between patterns of leadership in the two hospital we studied. In both hospitals, departments usually lacked standardized ways of working, clear goals, aims, job descriptions, accountability and supervision. Without these procedures, mid-level leaders were, in effect, often unaccountable for their own and their teams' conduct. Simultaneously, inertia was deeply embedded within the hospital cultures, meaning that clinical staff simply ignored problems, as described below:

"There are conflicts or disagreements in this ward... We don't bring it up. You keep quiet and it goes away... The victimization is really a lot in this hospital. You don't go and report because if you do it will come back to you." Medical officer, Gynaecology, Hospital A

We also observed the way conflicts, poor practices, negative work climates and health worker norms were both accepted and taken-for-granted, and leaders' ignorance (or ignoring) of such issues only reinforced this. Thus, negligent practices, even those resulting in fatalities, simply went unreported, as the interview extract below describes:

"You have called the anaesthetist at 2pm, the guy shows up at 6pm. You go in and remove the dead baby, who was alive from 2pm to 5pm, and you are removing the foetus at around 5.30-6pm. I am afraid of going to report this guy, because it will come back to me and they will say I am the one who reported him. So, you just keep quiet and maybe when the case is taken upstairs and when the matron looks at the file then she will summon him." Medical Officer, Obstetrics and Gynaecology,

Hospital A

While nurse managers were continuously present in the hospitals, clinical consultants were often absent, some spending only a few hours in the public county hospitals per week. However, the few middle-level medical leaders who were physically present in their clinical departments had made significant effort and progress in improving service delivery. For instance, one ward, which stood out in terms of cleanliness, staff punctuality and high quality, team-based patient care, was led by a consultant paediatrician who, from our observations, role-modelled good clinical practice, interpersonal relationships and behavior expected of staff. The consultant noted:

"You can drive the agenda... people used to start ward rounds at 9am... continue to 1p.m visiting hours. But now we have been starting our rounds at 8a.m. And we have been having a feedback-like

report in the morning. So that the person on night duty tells us what happened at night. As a head of 348 department actually you... can bring in such changes." Paediatrics Consultant, Hospital A 349 350 So, while ineffective managerial procedures, inert organizational culture and poor practices were 351 accepted as the norm, where doctors in leadership roles were motivated to do so, they could bring about improvements to health care delivery. 352 353 Discussion 354 Using distributed leadership as the unit of analysis (Gronn, 2002), we examined leadership in 355 Kenyan hospital departments at micro-level, focusing on individual leaders (clinical heads of 356 357 department and nurse 'in charges') situated within organizational context and social processes, involving interactions between multiple professional actors. Four key themes emerged from our 358 analysis. 359 First, we found clinical departmental leadership was heavily affected by taken-for-granted 360 individualised concepts of leadership, top-down authority and medical professional dominance, 361 reflecting other research on leadership in Kenyan health care (Nzinga et al., 2009), other LMIC 362 363 health care systems and global health care more generally (Freidson, 1988, Denis et al., 2001, 364 Ferlie et al., 2013). Thus, leadership in such settings cannot be explained in individual terms but 365 ought to be considered in relation to organizational structures and wider (inter)professional 366 norms. Second, our research shows how power is fully implicated in leadership, reflecting existing 367 research (Smircich and Morgan, 1982, Pfeffer, 2010). Indeed, Kenyan hospital managers have 368 369 been shown to be powerful actors expressing 'power over, power with, power to and power within' (VeneKlasen et al., 2002) routine hospital priority setting activities (Barasa et al., 2016). 370 Likewise, we found that professional 'expert power' (Raven, 1992) to be a crucial component of 371 leadership in LMIC healthcare, anchored particularly in clinicians' specialized knowledge, which 372 373 was often uncontested in Kenyan hospitals. Indeed, most mid-level leaders in our study relied on their expert power to lead departments and influence colleagues and juniors. Moreover, because 374 375 of their dominance within the professional hierarchy, and greater representation in hospital 376 management meetings, doctors were able enact leadership roles in the Kenyan county hospitals in ways that could potentially influence how health care was delivered. Such professional power 377 378 is so deeply embedded and taken for granted in health care, that the associated problems it also propagates appearto be accepted. Thus, professional power and politics may also undermine the 379

380 development of distributed leadership, where it requires power to be exercised at all organizational levels and by different professional cadres (Gordon et al., 2015). 381 382 Third, leader-follower relations occurred along cadre-specific lines, affected by professional 383 power and social identities, with little multi-disciplinary interactions or conjoint agency (Gronn, 384 2002). Within their profession, medical consultants and nurse leaders were seen as knowledgeable experts, expected to provide coaching and mentorship to junior professional 385 386 colleagues. Yet there was little inter-professional collaboration, multi-professional teamwork or diffusion of knowledge and experience across professional cadres, which distributed leadership 387 requires. This may require leadership building trust, respect and inspiring common goals across 388 389 professions (Mehra et al., 2006). 390 An emerging and related observation is that hospital leaders require leadership training and 391 development to understand and address the contextual, (inter)professional and political factors 392 affecting their ability to change and improve health care systems. Such software skills, including understanding how to use different sources of power, engage in local politics and cultivate 393 facilitative relationships, are vital leadership skills. 394 395 Finally, we found a general pattern of inertia in the hospitals we studied. However, mid-level 396 leaders with intimate knowledge of their organizations and informal social networks can negotiate and influence change in ways that senior leaders cannot (Huy, 2001, Dopson and 397 398 Fitzgerald, 2006). Moreover, middle level leaders spend significant amounts of time 399 communicating information, providing a useful resource in connecting with others (Nzinga et al., 400 2013) and developing shared meanings (Rouleau and Balogun, 2011). However, in our study poor communication structures between senior and middle-level leaders and between mid-level 401 402 leaders and their teams resulted in individualised, professionally dominated models of leadership, which often perpetuated apathy and inertia among followers. Yet, in rare cases, departmental 403 medical leaders, who were physically present in their hospital departments, motivated improved 404 405 work practices, role-modelled good professional practice and behaviours, and developed interpersonal and interprofessional team work, did make some changes. 406 Implications for policy and practice and future research 407 Our study has implications for health care policy and practice in Kenya and other LMIC 408 contexts. Firstly, our findings highlight the critical importance of reconceptualising leadership in 409 410 distributed rather than individual terms; as a collective social process situated in context and affected by (inter)professional politics. Second, leadership training accordingly needs to focus on 411 412 developing conceptual, analytical and political skills to resolve the complex problems leaders face

413	in practice, rather than concentrating only on technical skills and competencies, as is currently				
414	the case in Kenya and other LMICs. Such training needs to be contextually rich, to help leaders				
415	diagnose organisational contexts, understand the political consequences of their actions,				
416	particularly for professional hierarchies, to develop relationships and learn to use power to bring				
417	about constructive and sustainable change.				
418	Moreover, where effective hospital departmental leaders are spotted, they need to be nurtured				
419	and brought together with other like-minded and talented leaders (Lehmann and L 2013,				
420	Lehmann and Gilson, 2014). Leadership that ignores contexts, professional authority, relations				
421	and power will do little in strengthening health systems and remedying the many significant				
422	problems facing health care systems in LMICs.				
423	Future research might attempt to explore the development and implementation of leadership				
424	training programmes providing contextually embedded software skills and test their impact on				
425	leadership and hospital performance.				
426					
427	Conclusion				
428	This paper explains mid-level leadership on the front line of health services in Kenyan district				
429	hospitals from a distributed perspective. It provides contextually situated lessons for those				
430	seeking to understand and develop leadership in other LMIC health care settings, where such				
431	research remains underdeveloped. Indeed, to the best of our knowledge, our study is the one of				
432	the first using the distributed leadership lens to understand healthcare leadership in LMICs.				
433	We argued that using a distributed leadership lens to analyse leadership in LMIC health care,				
434	rather than individual 'leader' oriented perspectives, is crucial because of (inter)professional				
435	power, politics and parallel leadership between nurses and doctors. Indeed, these are also likely				
436	to undermine the development of distributed modes of leadership in practice. By focusing on				
437	everyday leadership practices, we provide descriptions of complex and relational distributed				
438	leadership processes in which the exercise of power is critical to influencing change. Our				
439	findings have implications for health leadership and managerial development programmes, which				
440	tend to focus on technical skills but ignore software skills and the way power, politics and				
441	context influence leadership practices and outcomes.				
442	References				
443 444	ALLIANCE FOR HEALTH POLICY AND SYSTEMS, R. 2016. Open mindsets: Participatory Leadership for Health				

BARASA, E. W., CLEARY, S., ENGLISH, M. & MOLYNEUX, S. 2016. The influence of power and actor relations on priority setting and resource allocation practices at the hospital level in Kenya: a case study. *BMC health services research*, 16, 536.

452

453 454

459

460

461

462

463

464

477 478

479 480

- BARASA, E. W., MOLYNEUX, S., ENGLISH, M. & CLEARY, S. 2015. Setting healthcare priorities in hospitals: a review of empirical studies. *Health policy and planning*, 30, 386-396.
- BOLDEN, R. 2011. Distributed Leadership in Organizations: A Review of Theory & Research
 International Journal of Management Reviews, 13, 251-69.
 - CHREIM, S., WILLIAMS, B. B., JANZ, L. & DASTMALCHIAN, A. 2010. Change agency in a primary health care context: The case of distributed leadership. *Health Care Management Review*, 35, 187-199.
- 455 CORBIN, J. & STRAUSS, A. 2014. *Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory*, Sage publications.
- 457 CURRIE, G. & LOCKETT, A. 2011. Distributing Leadership in Health and Social Care: 458 Concertive, Conjoint or Collective? *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 13, 286-300.
 - DAIRE, J. & GILSON, L. 2014. Does identity shape leadership and management practice? Experiences of PHC facility managers in Cape Town, South Africa. *Health policy and planning*, 29, ii82-ii97.
 - DENIS, J.-L., LAMOTHE, L. & LANGLEY, A. 2001. The Dynamics of Collective Leadership and Strategic Change in Pluralistic Organizations. *Academy of Management Journal*, 44/4, 809-837.
- DENIS, J.-L., LANGLEY, A. & ROULEAU, L. 2010. The practice of leadership in the messy world of organizations. *Leadership*, 6, 67-88.
- DENIS, J.-L., LANGLEY, A. & SERGI, V. 2012. Leadership in the plural. The Academy of
 Management Annals, 6, 211-283.
- DOPSON, S. & FITZGERALD, L. 2006. The role of the middle manager in the
 implementation of evidence-based health care. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 14, 43-51.
- 471 EDMONSTONE, J. 2009. Clinical leadership: the elephant in the room. *The International journal of health planning and management*, 24, 290-305.
- EGGER, D. & OLLIER, E. 2007. Managing the health Millennium Development Goals--the challenge of management strengthening: lessons from three countries.
- EISENHARDT, K. M. 1989. Building theories from case study research. *Academy of management review*, 14, 532-550.
 - ELWYN, G., BUETOW, S., HIBBARD, J. & WENSING, M. 2007. Respecting the subjective: quality measurement from the patient's perspective. *Bmj*, 335, 1021-1022.
 - ENGLISH, M. 2013. Designing a theory-informed, contextually appropriate intervention strategy to improve delivery of paediatric services in Kenyan hospitals. *Implementation Science*, 8, 39.
- 482 ENGLISH, M., ESAMAI, F., WASUNNA, A., WERE, F., OGUTU, B., WAMAE, A., SNOW, 483 R. W. & PESHU, N. 2004. Assessment of inpatient paediatric care in first referral level 484 hospitals in 13 districts in Kenya. *The Lancet*, 363, 1948-1953.
- ENGLISH, M., WAMAE, A., NYAMAI, R., BEVINS, B. & IRIMU, G. 2011. Implementing locally appropriate guidelines and training to improve care of serious illness in Kenyan hospitals: a story of scaling-up (and down and left and right). *Archives of disease in childhood*, 96, 285-290.
- FERLIE, E., FITZGERALD, L., MCGIVERN, G., DOPSON, S. & BENNETT, C. 2013.
 Making Wicked Problems Governable? The Case of Managed Health Care Networks, Oxford
 Oxford University Press.
- FERLIE, E. B. & SHORTELL, S. M. 2001. Improving the quality of health care in the United Kingdom and the United States: a framework for change. *The Milbank Quarterly*, 79, 281.

- FITZGERALD, L., FERLIE, E., MCGIVERN, G. & BUCHANAN, D. 2013. Distributed leadership patterns and service improvement: Evidence and argument from English healthcare. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 24, 227-239.
- FREIDSON, E. 1988. *Profession of medicine: a study of the sociology of applied knowledge*, University of Chicago Press.
- FULOP, L. & MARK, A. 2013. Relational leadership, decision-making and the messiness of context in healthcare. *Leadership*, 9, 254-277.
- GILSON, L. & DAIRE, J. 2011. Leadership and Governance Within the South African Health
 System. In: PADARATH, A. & ENGLISH, R. (eds.) South African Health Review. Durban:
 Health Systems Trust.
 - GIOIA, D. A., CORLEY, K. G. & HAMILTON, A. L. 2013. Seeking qualitative rigor in inductive research notes on the Gioia methodology. *Organizational Research Methods*, 16, 15-31.
- 507 GOLDEN-BIDDLE, K. & LOCKE, K. 2007. Composing qualitative research, Sage.

504

505 506

510

511

- 508 GOODALL, A. H. 2011. Physician-leaders and hospital performance: is there an association?

 Social science & medicine, 73, 535-539.
 - GORDON, L. J., REES, C. E., KER, J. S. & CLELAND, J. 2015. Leadership and followership in the healthcare workplace: exploring medical trainees' experiences through narrative inquiry. *BMJ open*, 5, e008898.
- 513 GRONN, P. 2002. Distributed leadership as a unit of analysis. *The leadership quarterly*, 13, 423-451.
- 514 HAM, C. 2003. Improving the performance of health services: the role of clinical leadership. *The Lancet*, 361, 1978-1980.
- HAM, C. & DICKINSON, H. 2008. Engaging doctors in leadership. NHS Institute for Innovation
 and Improvement, Coventry.
- HUGO, J. F., COUPER, I. D., THIGITI, J. & LOELIGER, S. 2010. Equity in health care: does family medicine have a role?: conference proceedings. *African Journal of Primary Health Care and Family Medicine*, 2, 1-3.
- 521 HUY, Q. N. 2001. In praise of middle managers. Harvard business review, 79, 72-9, 160.
- IRIMU, G. W., GATHARA, D., ZUROVAC, D., KIHARA, H., MAINA, C., MWANGI, J.,
 MBORI-NGACHA, D., TODD, J., GREENE, A. & ENGLISH, M. 2012. Performance
 of health workers in the management of seriously sick children at a Kenyan tertiary
 hospital: before and after a training intervention. *PloS one*, 7, e39964.
- 526 KPMG 2013. Devolution of Healthcare Services in Kenya. Kenya: Nairobi;.
- KUHLMANN, E., BLANK, R., BOURGEAULT, I. & WENDT, C. 2016. The Palgrave
 International Handbook of Healthcare Policy and Governance, Springer.
- 529 LEHMANN, U. & GILSON, L. 2014. Action learning for health system governance: the reward and challenge of co-production. *Health Policy and Planning*, doi: 10.1093/heapol/czu097.
- 531 LEHMANN, U. & L.., G. 2013. Actor interfaces and practices of power in a community health 532 worker programme: a South African study of unintended policy outcomes. *Health Policy* 533 *and Planning,* 28, 358–66.
- MARCHAL, B., DEDZO, M. & KEGELS, G. 2010. A realist evaluation of the management of a well-performing regional hospital in Ghana. *BMC health services research*, 10, 24.
- 536 MARTIN, G. P., CURRIE, G. & FINN, R. 2009. Leadership, service reform, and public-service networks: the case of cancer-genetics pilots in the English NHS. *Journal of public administration research and theory*, 19, 769-794.
- MCGIVERN, G., CURRIE, G., FERLIE, E., FITZGERALD, L. & WARING, J. 2015. Hybrid manager-professionals' identity work, the maintenance and hybridization of medical professionalism in managerial contexts. *Public Administration*, 93, 412-432
- 542 MEHRA, A., SMITH, B. R., DIXON, A. L. & ROBERTSON, B. 2006. Distributed leadership 543 in teams: The network of leadership perceptions and team performance. *The Leadership* 544 *Quarterly*, 17, 232-245.

MILLS, A. 1990. The economics of hospitals in developing countries. Part I: expenditure patterns. *Health policy and planning*, 5, 107-117.

551

552

553

561

564 565

566567

568

569 570

571

578

428-437.

- MOYO, S., MADALE, R., OGUNMEFUN, C. & ENGLISH, R. 2013. Public health and
 management competency requirements for primary health care facility managers at sub district level in the District Health System in South Africa. Research snapshot. Durban, South
 Africa: Health Systems Trust, 1Á5.
 - NZINGA, J., MBAABU, L. & ENGLISH, M. 2013. Service delivery in Kenyan district hospitals—what can we learn from literature on mid-level managers? *Human resources for health*, 11, 10.
- NZINGA, J., MBINDYO, P., MBAABU, L., WARIRA, A. & ENGLISH, M. 2009.
 Documenting the experiences of health workers expected to implement guidelines during an intervention study in Kenyan hospitals. *Implement Sci*, 4, 44.
- PFEFFER, J. 2010 Power: Why Some People Have It and Others Don't New York, Harper Collins
 PUOANE, T., CUMING, K., SANDERS, D. & ASHWORTH, A. 2008. Why do some
 hospitals achieve better care of severely malnourished children than others? Five-year
 follow-up of rural hospitals in Eastern Cape, South Africa. Health Policy and Planning, 23,
- RAVEN, B. H. 1992. A power/interaction model of interpersonal influence: French and Raven thirty years later. *Journal of Social Behavior & Personality*.
 - ROULEAU, L. & BALOGUN, J. 2011. Middle managers, strategic sensemaking, and discursive competence. *Journal of Management Studies*, 48, 953-983.
 - SMIRCICH, L. & MORGAN, G. 1982. Leadership: The management of meaning. *The Journal of applied behavioral science*, 18, 257-273.
 - SPILLANE, J. P., HALVERSON, R. & DIAMOND, J. B. 2004. Towards a theory of leadership practice: A distributed perspective. *Journal of curriculum studies*, 36, 3-34.
 - UHL-BIEN, M. 2006. Relational leadership theory: Exploring the social processes of leadership and organizing. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 17, 654-676.
- VAN LERBERGHE, W. 2008. The world health report 2008: primary health care: now more than ever,
 World Health Organization.
- VENEKLASEN, L., MILLER, V., BUDLENDER, D. & CLARK, C. 2002. A new weave of power,
 people & politics: the action guide for advocacy and citizen participation, World Neighbors
 Oklahoma City, OK.
- 577 WHO 2008. The World Health Report. Geneva, Switzerald: WHO.