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#### Abstract

A key hurdle to meet the needs of micro/nano manipulation in some complex cases is the inadequate workspace and flexibility of the operation ends. This paper presents a 6-degree of freedom (DOF) serial-parallel precision positioning system, which consists of two compact type 3-DOF parallel mechanisms. Each parallel mechanism is driven by three piezoelectric actuators (PEAs), guided by three symmetric T-shape hinges and three elliptical flexible hinges, respectively. It can extend workspace and improve flexibility of the operation ends. The proposed system can be assembled easily, which will greatly reduce the assembly errors and improve the positioning accuracy. In addition, the kinematic and dynamic model of the 6-DOF system are established, respectively. Furthermore, in order to reduce the tracking error and improve the positioning accuracy, the Discrete-time Model Predictive Controller (DMPC) is applied as an effective control method. Meanwhile, the effectiveness of the DMCP control method is verified. Finally, the tracking experiment is performed to verify the tracking performances of the 6-DOF stage.


Keywords: 6-DOF precision positioning system, Modeling, Model predictive controller Tracking control.

## 1. Introduction

The roles of precision positioning technology have become increasingly important in various industry fields, such as nano-imprint [1], cell operation [2], scanning probe microscopes[3], optical instruments [4], measurement systems [5,6] and micro/nano manipulations $[7,8,9]$, a precision positioning system possesses such advantages as high positioning accuracy, fast response speed, satisfactory stability and dynamic characteristics. It mainly composed of drives, guide mechanism and operation ending three parts. The piezoelectric actuator (PEA) is the main type of actuator which is often used to actuate a positioning mechanism. Because, PEAs offer the extremely fine resolution, quick response, large force generation and small volume. In addition, the guide mechanism is a key factor in positioning system design, which will decide the motion performance and dynamic characteristics of the system. The flexure mechanism is a normal choice as they avoid many disadvantages including backlash, wear, lubrication and friction, compare with the other guide mechanisms. In the past decade, most of the existing precision positioning systems were designed and featured by one-three-DOF motions. Such as, a flexure-based mechanism for ultra-precision operation with 1-DOF, and a 3-DOF planar micro/nano manipulation were presented by Tian et al [10, 11]. Qin et al. focused on design two different type decoupling positioning stages with 2-DOF [12, 13]. In addition, there are also some out-of-plane positioning systems. A 3-DOF micro-positioning table was investigated in [14]. Another nanoprecision 3-DOF vertical positioning system has been presented in [15], which can be used in various optical alignment systems. Lee et al. [16] proposed a three-axis out-of-plane nanopositioning stage with a new flexure structure, which has the aperture to measure a biospecimen. Furthermore, combinations of in-plane and out-of-plane system have been reported and utilized. A flexure hinge-based XYZ atomic force microscopy scanner was presented by Kim et al [17].

However, in some special case, limited by the inadequate workspace and flexibility of the operation ends, most of the existing precision positioning stages can not meet the needs of manipulations. For example, an atomic force microscope designed for nanometrology in [18], which used a 6-DOF nanopositioning stage to carry the sample carrier. Thus, it is necessary for a manipulator with 6-DOF motions in some special systems, which could extend workspace and improve flexibility of the operation ends. Such as many 6-DOF parallel mechanisms had been reported, in 1990, Taniguchi [19] developed a 6-PSS flexible parallel micro-manipulator. Tanikawa [20] presented a parallel-link manipulator with 6-DOF motion
capability. The six links are driven by piezoelectric (PZT) actuators. And another 6-DOF parallel manipulator "Sigma 6" using flexure hinge was mentioned in [21]. Thomas [22] presented 6-DoF flexure-based parallel mechanisms for vibratory manipulation. Liang et al. [23] presented a six-DOF parallel mechanism based on three inextensible limbs, which are connected to three planar two-DOF movement in the base plane. These kind of parallel mechanisms offer the advantages of high stiffness, precision, speed capability, low inertia at the expense of smaller workspace, but they also have such disadvantages as more complex mechanical design, difficult direct kinematics, and complicated control algorithms. In comparison, serial mechanisms consist of a series of joints connecting the base to the end effector and feature a large workspace and high dexterity, but they suffer from lack of stiffness and relatively large positioning errors.

In recent years, many micro/nano positioning devices are designed with hybrid structures due to their remarkable characteristics. Especially for the design of multi-degree of freedom micro-manipulator. Hybrid structures combine the advantages of parallel and serial chains, e.g., compact structure, stiffness and manipulability [24, 25]. In [26] a piezoelectric actuatorbased micromanipulator with six degrees of freedom was proposed, which is composed of two 3-DOF parallel mechanisms. Richard et al. [27] presented a 6-DOF piezoelectrically actuated fine motion stage that will be used for three dimensional error compensation of a long-range translation mechanism. A novel 6-DOF precision positioning table was presented in [28], which was assembled by two different 3-DOF precision positioning tables. Another 6-DOF serial-parallel manipulator with two compliant parallel stages was proposed [29]. The upper stage is a 3-RPS mechanism and the lower one is a 3-RRR mechanism.

It is noticed that most research works related to micromanipulators focus on the flexure hinge, compliant structure, serial-parallel hybrid structure. But there are a lot of problems in these proposed systems in terms of large positioning error, worse loading capacity and dynamic property. Therefore, a micromanipulator which can provide multi-DOF motion with high precision and faster response speed is urgently required for 3-D micro/nano scale manipulation. Considering these factors comprehensively, a kind of 6-DOF serial-parallel positioning system is proposed in this paper. Two kind of flexure hinges are adopted as guide mechanism. Meanwhile, the proposed system is designed to provide better loading capacity, higher stability, faster response speed and better dynamic characteristics.

For piezo tracking control, one may choose a nonlinear inverse hysteresis model as the feedforward compensator. Researchers have commonly applied inverse hysteresis model compensation for hysteresis effect reduction. Such as Preisach models [30], Maxwell model
[31], Duhem models [32], Bouc-Wen models [33], and Prandtl-Ishlinskii models [34] are developed to describe the hysteresis effect. In addition, to ensure the stability of the control and the higher positioning accuracy, feedback controllers are also frequently integrated into the control system, such as Proportional-Integral-Derivative controller (PID). Therefore, hybrid control is popular applied for high-precision control of piezo-actuated positioning stages, which combines the advantages of the feedforward and feedback control. With such a control method, many works [35, 36, 37], have been recently reported and confirmed the feasibility of the control method for high-precision control of piezo-actuated positioning stages. However, there hysteresis models have existed some problems, such as complexity, same parameters are difficult to find the optimal solution and the inverse model also can't easily be solved. Therefore, in this work, we have proposed a Discrete-time Model Predictive Controller (DMPC) scheme. The special feature of MPC is that it has a self-integral action which reduces the effect of nonlinearity, and a useful characteristic of MPC is that it can incorporate constraints. The effectiveness of the MPC controller against the compensation of nonlinearity and in the damping of resonant mode is presented in [38], [39], [40]. For example, in [39], an observer-based model-predictive control scheme was presented to achieve accurate tracking control for an AFM system. Xu and Li propose an enhanced modelpredictive discrete-time sliding-mode control in [40] to enable an AFM to track a given trajectory without much chattering problem.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 starts with introduction mechanical design and performance assessment of the 6-DOF stage. In Section 3, the kinematic and dynamic model of the 6-DOF system are established, respectively. In Section 4, the control scheme for the 6 -DOF system is presented. The experimental setup and results have been provided in section 5. Conclusions are presented in Section 6.

## 2. Mechanical design and performance assessment

### 2.1 Conceptual design

Considering the parallel mechanism can improve the ability and performance such as improving dexterity, stability, response speed and enlarging load capability, the main structure of the precision positioner is developed as a system by bolting two parallel stages together, which is constructed as a 6-DOF serial-parallel stage combining an in-plane 3-DOF parallel mechanism with another out-of-plane 3-DOF one. As shown in Fig. 1 the in-plane 3DOF parallel structure provides two translational ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ) and one rotational $\left(\theta_{z}\right)$ motions (see
also the coordinate system from Fig. 5). At the same time, other motions (one translational (Z) and two rotational $\left(\theta_{x}, \theta_{y}\right)$ ) are provided by an out-of-plane 3-DOF parallel structure which can increase the loading capacity and higher stability of the whole system.

In order to implement more DOFs in a single plane, the in-plane 3-DOF $\left(X Y \theta_{z}\right)$ precision positioning stage has been designed, and the translation along X - and Y - axes and rotation about Z- axis movements can be realized. As shown in Fig. 1 (b), the stage is mainly composed of three piezoelectric actuators (PEAs), three T-shape flexible hinges, the moving platform and a base. Three flexible mechanisms based on flexible hinges are arranged in the same circle with the grooves, with the separated angle of $120^{\circ}$ between them and $60^{\circ}$ with grooves, respectively. Each T-type flexible mechanism is composed of three leaf-spring hinges, which is connected to the base and the moving platform at each end of the flexure hinge.


Fig. 1.(a) Schematic diagram of the 6-DOF positioning system, (b) the in-plane parallel stage and (c) the out-ofplane parallel stage

The mechanical design of an out-of-plane 3-DOF precision positioning stage is shown in

Fig. 1(c). It is mainly composed of three piezoelectric actuators (PEAs), three elliptical flexible hinges, a moving circular ring and a base with central column. Three parallel PEAs are secured with axial symmetry around the circumference of a cylindrical base and press against the elliptical flexible hinges through ball tips. The end of each PEA is connected to the base by the bolt preload to ensure that the PEAs are fixed with the base and the hinges is not separated during normal operation. Because of the brittle characteristic of piezoelectric materials, the actuators are strong enough against compressive force, but weak against shear force. The actuators cannot supply lateral stiffness and are liable to be damaged from lateral forces. To solve this problem, drive ball tips mounted onto the actuators push against the hinges under Hertzian contact conditions.

However, based on the current manufacturing process technology of precision positioning in micro/nano operating industry fields, the 6-DOF positioning system doesn't monolithically manufactured like one to three-DOF positioning stages by wire electrical discharge machining (WEDM) technique. It means that manual assembly is needed. From the literature review, it is noted that the 6-DOF precision positioning system can be considered as a system for combinations of in-plane and out-of-plane stages. Therefore, in this paper, the proposed 6-DOF precision positioning system is assembled by two type 3-DOF parallel precision positioning stages, the in-plane stage is mounted on the top of the out-of-plane stage. Both two stages were manufactured monolithically using WEDM technology, Al7076 T6 and structural steel were adopted as the material of the in-plane and out-of-plane 3-DOF stages, respectively. Benefited from this design, the in-plane 3-DOF stage is much lighter than the out-of-plane 3-DOF stage. That mean is the proposed system has lighter moving mass and smaller moving inertia. Therefore, the proposed 6-DOF system have the advantages of light moving mass, low power consumption, small moving inertia and high stability, which can improve the response speed and positioning accuracy. Meanwhile, it has a simple structure and can be assembled easily, which will greatly reduce the assembly errors and improve the positioning accuracy of the system. In such a combination system, the first 3DOF stage be mounted on the second one, the references [26], [28] and [29] had proposed a 6-DOF combination system, respectively, all of them have designed that the out-of-plane 3DOF stage was mounted on the in-plane 3-DOF stage. It means that the in-plane 3-DOF stage has to carry the out-of-plane 3-DOF stage. As we all know that the mass of the out-of-plane 3-DOF stage is much larger than the test specimen, which may cause the moving platform of the in-plane stage deformed under load, and affect the positioning accuracy. This requires the in-plane 3-DOF stage has an excellent carrying capacity. However, to the authors' knowledge,
the present in-plane precision positioning stages have not been satisfactory carrying capacity, and the carrying capacity of the out-of-plane precision positioning stage is better than the inplane in generally. Therefore, this design idea in references [26], [28] and [29] is not suitable from viewpoints of both positioning accuracy and fast positioning response speed. For the above reasons, we proposed a novel 6-DOF precision positioning stages, which is assembled by two type 3-DOF precision positioning stages. The in-plane 3-DOF stage is mounted on the out-of-plane 3-DOF stage. Such kind of design will improve the stability of the system and ensure the good dynamic characteristics, higher stability, faster response speed and highaccuracy positioning.

### 2.2 Performance assessment

Based on the conceptual design, as shown in Fig. 2, the 6-DOF system is designed with the main parameters: $d=150 \mathrm{~mm}, h_{1}=65 \mathrm{~mm}, h_{2}=125 \mathrm{~mm}, h_{3}=143 \mathrm{~mm}$, and $l=80 \mathrm{~mm}$ (The diameter of the work area). The thickness of the T-type and elliptical flexible hinges $t=$ 1 mm .


Fig. 2.Geometric model of the 6-DOF system
In order to assess the performance of the 6-DOF system and verify the accuracy of the established models, Finite element analysis (FEA) simulations are carried out with ANSYS software package. The material for the in-plane stage is chosen as Aluminum 7075-T6 with a density of $2770 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, a Young's modulus of 71 GPa , a yield strength of 503 MPa and a Poisson's ratio of 0.33 . And the material for the out-of-plane stage is chosen as Structural Steel with a density of $7800 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, a Young's modulus of 200 GPa , a yield strength of 430 MPa and a Poisson's ratio of 0.3. In order to improve the computational accuracy, the mapping mesh method is adopted. The mesh is strictly controlled in the areas of flexure hinges, where the large deformation is generally occurred.

To assess loading performance of the stage, the FEA simulation is carried out by applying an external load on the moving platform with a 12 N force, the results are illustrated in Fig. 3, which shows a maximum deformation of the system without PEAs is $0.618 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and a maximum deformation of the system with PEAs is reduced to $0.475 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Additionally, the loading capacity is 19.42 and $25.26 \mathrm{~N} / \mu \mathrm{m}$ in the Z direction also can be obtained, respectively.


Fig. 3.The deformation of the 6-DOF positioning system under external load: (a) without PEAs and (b) with PEAs

The dynamic characteristics of the 6-DOF system are investigated through the modal analysis and the results are shown in Fig. 4. The first, second and third modes shown that the moving platform rotates about the X-, Y- and Z- axis, respectively. The moving platform moves along the Z -axis in the fourth mode shape. The outer ring of the in-plane 3-DOF stage rotates about the Z -axis in the fifth mode. In the sixth and seventh modes the moving platform moves along the X - and Y -axis, it clear shown that the coupling motion in the 6DOF system. In the eighth and ninth modes the moving platform moves along the X - and Y axis, respectively. The corresponding frequencies of the modes are shown in Table I.

Table I. The corresponding frequencies of the mode shapes

| Modal | $1^{\text {st }}$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | 323.31 | 323.33 | 526.4 | 677.75 | 746.57 |
| Modal | $6^{\text {th }}$ | $7^{\text {th }}$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ | $9^{\text {th }}$ |  |
| Frequency $(\mathrm{Hz})$ | 1120.1 | 1120.3 | 1710.3 | 1711.1 |  |


( $\left.1^{\text {st }}\right)$

$\left(3^{\text {rd }}\right)$

( $\left.5^{\text {th }}\right)$

( $\left.7^{\text {th }}\right)$

( $\left.6^{\text {th }}\right)$



Fig. 4.The first nine modes of the 6 -DOF system without PEAs

## 3. Modeling

### 3.1 Kinematic model

In order to describe the kinematic model of the 6-DOF positioning system, the transformation matrix $\boldsymbol{T}$ is presented. The 6-DOF positioning system can achieve only one- or multi-direction positioning by six PEAs with different output displacements [41]. Referring to equation (1), the transformation matrix $\boldsymbol{T}$ between the input displacements matrix $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ and output displacements matrix $\boldsymbol{O}$ can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=\boldsymbol{T} \times \boldsymbol{O} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\boldsymbol{O}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}x & \text { y } \alpha & \theta_{x} & \theta_{y} z\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}\delta_{1} & \delta_{2} & \delta_{3} & \delta_{4}\end{array} \delta_{5} \delta_{6}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} . \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$, and $\delta_{3}$ are the elongation of the PEAs in the in-plane stage, and $\delta_{4}, \delta_{5}$, and $\delta_{6}$ are the elongation of the PEAs in the out-of-plane stage, respectively; $x, y, z$ and $\alpha, \theta_{x}, \theta_{y}$ are the displacement in the $X$-, $Y$-, $Z$-axes and the rotation angle about the $Z-, X-, Y$-axes of the moving platform, respectively.

According to the geometrical relation, as shown in Fig. 5, a reference coordinate $O-X Y Z$ is established. A moving coordinate $O_{d}-X_{d} Y_{d} Z_{d}$ is located at the centre of the moving circular ring on the out-plane 3-DOF stage, which coincides with the fixed reference coordinate $O$ $X Y Z$. The other coordinate frame $O_{s}-X_{s} Y_{s} Z_{s}$ is located at the centre of the moving platform of the 3-DOF in-plane system. Through coordinate transformation, the transfer matrix $\boldsymbol{T}_{s}$ of the moving coordinate $O_{s}-X_{s} Y_{s} Z_{s}$ relative to the reference coordinate $O-X Y Z$ can be obtained as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{T}_{s}=\boldsymbol{T}_{d} \boldsymbol{T}_{s d}  \tag{2}\\
\boldsymbol{T}_{d}=R\left(x, \theta_{x}\right) R\left(y, \theta_{y}\right) \operatorname{Tran}\left(z, P_{z}\right) \\
\boldsymbol{T}_{s d}=\operatorname{Tran}\left(x, P_{x}\right) \operatorname{Tran}\left(y, P_{y}\right) \operatorname{Tran}\left(z, T_{z}\right) R(z, \alpha)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where: the transfer matrix $\boldsymbol{T}_{d}$ of the moving coordinate $O_{d}-X_{d} Y_{d} Z_{d}$ relative to the reference coordinate $O-X Y Z$ has been rotated by $\theta_{x}, \theta_{y}$ around the $X$ - and $Y$-axis, and translated by $P_{z}$ along the $Z$-axis, respectively. And the transformation matrix $\boldsymbol{T}_{s d}$ of the moving coordinate $O_{s}-X_{s} Y_{s} Z_{s}$ relative to the coordinate $O_{d}-X_{d} Y_{d} Z_{d}$ has been translated by $P_{x}, P_{x}$ and $T_{z}$ along the $X$-, $Y$ - and $Z$-axis, respectively, and rotated by $\alpha$ around the $Z$-axis.
Therefore, the transfer matrix $\boldsymbol{T}_{s}$ can be obtained,

$$
\boldsymbol{T}_{s}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
c \theta_{x} c \theta_{y} & -s \theta_{x} c \theta_{y} & s \theta_{y} & P_{x} c \theta_{y}+T_{z} s \theta_{y} \\
s \theta_{x} s \theta_{y} c \alpha+c \theta_{x} s \alpha & -s \theta_{x} s \theta_{y} s \alpha+c \theta_{x} c \alpha & -s \theta_{x} c \theta_{y} & P_{x} s \theta_{x} s \theta_{y}+P_{y} c \theta_{x}-T_{z} s \theta_{x} c \theta_{y} \\
-c \theta_{x} s \theta_{y} c \alpha+s \theta_{x} s \alpha & c \theta_{x} s \theta_{y} s \alpha+s \theta_{x} c \alpha & c \theta_{x} c \theta_{y} & -P_{x} c \theta_{x} s \theta_{y}+P_{y} s \theta_{x}+T_{z} c \theta_{x} c \theta_{y}+P_{z} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $s=\sin , c=\cos$. Then, the displacement of the origin point $O_{s}$ of $O_{s}-X_{s} Y_{s} Z_{s}$ relative to the reference coordinate $O-X Y Z$ can be written as follows:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
x  \tag{3}\\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
P_{x} c \theta_{y}+T_{z} s \theta_{y} \\
P_{x} s \theta_{x} s \theta_{y}+P_{y} c \theta_{x}-T_{z} s \theta_{x} c \theta_{y} \\
-P_{x} c \theta_{x} s \theta_{y}+P_{y} s \theta_{x}+T_{z} c \theta_{x} c \theta_{y}+P_{z}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Owing to small values of $\theta_{x}$ and $\theta_{y}$, it can be deduced that $\sin \theta_{x}\left(\theta_{y}\right) \approx \theta_{x}\left(\theta_{y}\right), \cos \theta_{x}\left(\theta_{y}\right) \approx 1$. Meanwhile, infinitesimal of higher orders are neglected. Therefore, the equation (3) can be written as:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{x}=x-\theta_{y} T_{z}  \tag{4}\\
P_{y}=y+\theta_{x} T_{z} \\
P_{z}=z
\end{array}\right.
$$

According to the kinematic analysis model of the in-plane 3-DOF stage [42] and out-of-plane 3-DOF stage [43]. The (4) can be written as:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
P_{x}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\delta_{2}-\delta_{3}\right)  \tag{5}\\
P_{y}=\frac{1}{3}\left(2 \delta_{1}-\delta_{2}-\delta_{3}\right) \\
P_{z}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\delta_{4}+\delta_{5}+\delta_{6}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$



Fig. 5. Geometric model of the 6-DOF system without PEAs

Therefore, the relation can be obtained:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{6}\\
\frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{3 r} & \frac{1}{3 r} & \frac{1}{3 r} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3 R} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3 R} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3 R} & \frac{1}{3 R} & -\frac{2}{3 R} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\delta_{1} \\
\delta_{2} \\
\delta_{3} \\
\delta_{4} \\
\delta_{5} \\
\delta_{6}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -T_{z} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & T_{z} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x \\
y \\
\alpha \\
\theta_{x} \\
\theta_{y} \\
z
\end{array}\right]
$$

And then, the transformation matrices $\boldsymbol{T}$ be expressed as follows:

$$
\boldsymbol{T}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 1 & r & T_{z} & 0 & 0  \tag{7}\\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & r & -\frac{T_{z}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3} T_{z}}{2} & 0 \\
-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & r & -\frac{T_{z}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3} T_{z}}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3} R}{2} & \frac{R}{2} & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3} R}{2} & \frac{R}{2} & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -R & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

And $\boldsymbol{T}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{T}$ can be given as follow:

$$
\boldsymbol{T}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{T}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
\frac{3}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3 T_{z}}{2} & 0  \tag{8}\\
0 & \frac{3}{2} & 0 & \frac{3 T_{z}}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3 r^{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{3 T_{z}}{2} & 0 & \frac{3\left(T_{z}^{2}+R^{2}\right)}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{3 T_{z}}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3\left(T_{z}^{2}+R^{2}\right)}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3
\end{array}\right]
$$

### 3.2 Dynamic model

In order to establish dynamic model, the 6-DOF system can be modeled as a multi-spring-mass system. $k_{x}$ and $k_{z}$ are considered to be the equivalent linear stiffness in the X and Z-axes of the 6 -DOF system, respectively. $c_{x}$ and $c_{y}$ denote the equivalent damping coefficient in the X and Z -axes of the 6-DOF system, respectively. According to the matrix of $\boldsymbol{T}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{T}$, the stiffness matrix $\boldsymbol{K}$ of the 6-DOF system can be written as:

$$
\boldsymbol{K}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
\frac{3}{2} k_{x} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3 T_{z}}{2} k_{z} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{3}{2} k_{x} & 0 & \frac{3 T_{z}}{2} k_{z} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3 r^{2} k_{x} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{3 T_{z}}{2} k_{x} & 0 & \frac{3\left(T_{z}^{2}+R^{2}\right)}{2} k_{z} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{3 T_{z}}{2} k_{x} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3\left(T_{z}^{2}+R^{2}\right)}{2} k_{z} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 k_{z}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Similarly, the damping matrix $\boldsymbol{C}$ of the 6-DOF system also can be obtained.
Based on the second Newton's second law of motion, the differential equations for dynamic motion of the system are given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{M} \ddot{\boldsymbol{q}}+\frac{3}{2} \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{T}} \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}+\frac{3}{2} \boldsymbol{K}_{\tau} \boldsymbol{q}=\boldsymbol{Q F} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\boldsymbol{M}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\begin{array}{llllll}m & m & I_{z} & I_{x} & I_{y} & m\end{array}\right)$
$\boldsymbol{q}=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}x & y & \alpha & \theta_{x} & \theta_{y} & z\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$
$\boldsymbol{K}_{T}=\operatorname{diag}\left(k_{x}+T_{z} k_{z} \quad k_{x}+T_{z} k_{z} \quad 2 r^{2} k_{x} \quad T_{z} k_{x}+\left(T_{z}^{2}+R^{2}\right) k_{z} \quad T_{z} k_{x}+\left(T_{z}^{2}+R^{2}\right) k_{z} \quad 2 k_{z}\right), \quad$ which
can be obtained by the stiffness matrix $\boldsymbol{K}$ of the system, and the motions can be considered already decoupled.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{C}_{T}=\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{x}+T_{z} c_{z}\right. \\
c_{x}+T_{z} c_{z} \\
2 r^{2} c_{x}
\end{array} T_{z} c_{x}+\left(T_{z}^{2}+R^{2}\right) c_{z} T_{z} c_{x}+\left(T_{z}^{2}+R^{2}\right) c_{z} \quad 2 c_{z}\right) ~\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
f_{p 1} & f_{p 2} & f_{p 3} & f_{p 4} & f_{p 5} & f_{p 6}
\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} .\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
r & r & r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
T_{z} & -\frac{T_{z}}{2} & -\frac{T_{z}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3} R}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3} R}{2} & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3} T_{z}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3} T_{z}}{2} & \frac{R}{2} & \frac{R}{2} & -R \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad .
$$

where: $m$ is the equivalent mass, $I_{x}, I_{y}$ and $I_{z}$ denote the equivalent moment of inertia about the $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ and Z-axes, respectively. $f_{p i}(i=1,2,3,4,5,6)$ represents the equivalent driving force of the PEA. And $r$ is the radius of the moving platform, $R$ is the radius of the moving circular ring.

The equivalent linear stiffness $k_{x}$ and $k_{z}$ can be expressed as:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{x}=k_{j x}+\frac{k_{p z t} \cdot k_{c}}{k_{p z t}+k_{c}}  \tag{10}\\
k_{z}=k_{j z}+\frac{k_{p z t} \cdot k_{c}}{k_{p z t}+k_{c}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where: $k_{j x}$ and $k_{j z}$ are the equivalent linear stiffness in the X and Z -axes of the flexible hinges, respectively. $k_{p z t}$ is the equivalent stiffness of PEA, $k_{c}$ is the equivalent Hertzian contact stiffness.

To ensure that the PEAs and the moving platform are not separated during normal operation, the PEAs are installed in slots and connected to the base by the bolt preload. Therefore, the driving force of PEA can be obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{p i}=\frac{k_{p z t} \cdot k_{c}}{k_{p z t}+k_{c}}\left(k_{a m p} \cdot d_{e} V_{p z t}\right)(i=1,2,3,4,5,6) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $d_{e}$ is a piezoelectric constant, $V_{p z t}$ is the applied voltage, and $k_{a m p}$ is an amplification coefficient.

Thus, the differential equations for dynamic motion of the 6-DOF system are given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\boldsymbol{q}}+\boldsymbol{D} \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}+\boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{q}=\boldsymbol{P F} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{D}=\operatorname{diag}\left(2 \xi_{x} \omega_{n x} \quad 2 \xi_{y} \omega_{n y} \quad 2 \xi_{\alpha} \omega_{n \alpha} \quad 2 \xi_{\theta_{x}} \omega_{n \theta_{x}} \quad 2 \xi_{\theta_{y}} \omega_{n \theta_{y}} \quad 2 \xi_{z} \omega_{n z}\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{G}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
\omega_{n x}^{2} & \omega_{n y}^{2} & \omega_{n \alpha}^{2} & \omega_{n \theta_{x}}^{2} & \omega_{n \theta_{y}}^{2} & \omega_{n z}^{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{P}=\boldsymbol{M}^{-1} \boldsymbol{Q} \\
& \omega_{n x}^{2}==\frac{3}{2 m}\left(k_{x}+T_{z} k_{z}\right), \omega_{n y}^{2}=\frac{3}{2 m}\left(k_{x}+T_{z} k_{z}\right), \omega_{n \alpha}^{2}=\frac{3 k_{x}}{I_{z}} r^{2} \\
& \omega_{n \theta_{x}}^{2}=\frac{3}{2 I_{x}}\left(T_{z} k_{x}+\left(T_{z}^{2}+R^{2}\right) k_{z}\right), \quad \omega_{n \theta_{y}}^{2}=\frac{3}{2 I_{y}}\left(T_{z} k_{x}+\left(T_{z}^{2}+R^{2}\right) k_{z}\right), \quad \omega_{n z}^{2}=\frac{3 k_{z}}{m} \\
& 2 \xi_{x} \omega_{n x}=\frac{3}{2 m}\left(c_{x}+T_{z} c_{z}\right), \quad 2 \xi_{y} \omega_{n y}=\frac{3}{2 m}\left(c_{x}+T_{z} c_{z}\right), \quad 2 \xi_{\alpha} \omega_{n \alpha}^{2}=\frac{3 c_{x}}{I_{z}} r^{2} \\
& 2 \xi_{\theta_{x}} \omega_{n \theta_{x}}=\frac{3}{2 I_{x}}\left(T_{z} c_{x}+\left(T_{z}^{2}+R^{2}\right) c_{z}\right), \quad 2 \xi_{\theta_{y}} \omega_{n \theta_{y}}=\frac{3}{2 I_{y}}\left(T_{z} c_{x}+\left(T_{z}^{2}+R^{2}\right) c_{z}\right), \quad 2 \xi_{n z} \omega_{n z}=\frac{3 c_{z}}{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Design of a Control Scheme

In order to overcome the positioning error caused by the hysteresis and nonlinear behaviour of PEAs [44] this section presents the design of DMPC (Discrete-time Model Predictive Controller) control scheme undertaken in this work. The proposed DMPC control technique is designed to reduce the tracking error problem and improve the positioning accuracy of the 6-DOF system. The schematic diagram of the closed-loop control system is shown in Fig. 6. The inverse kinematic matrix is the matrix $\boldsymbol{T}$ in the section 3. The DMPC scheme is employed to design a tracking controller in which the output tracks a reference desired input. Its basic structure of DMPC is shown in Fig. 7. The DMPC utilizes the system model to predict the system's future outputs based on the current values of the system output and the future values of its inputs. Then, rolling optimization is implemented, a predefined cost function and constraints are took into account, which ensure the predicted output is close enough to the reference trajectory, and simultaneously eliminates the possibility of variables exceeding their allowable range [45].

It has already been verified that the DMPC is a successful control technique to reduce the tracking error problem and improve the positioning accuracy in robot manipulators [46], AFM [47, 48] and microchemical systems [49]. The 6-DOF system model is rewritten into the following state-space form:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x_{m}(k+1)=A_{m} x_{m}(k)+B_{m} u(k)  \tag{13}\\
y(k)=C_{m} x_{m}(k)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A_{m}, B_{m}$ and $C_{m}$ are the discrete state-space system model, which can be extracted from the system differential equations by (12), $u$ is the input variable, $y$ is the predicted output, $x$ is the state variable vector with dimension $n$. From the original dynamic equation (9), the statespace model can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}_{1} \\
\dot{x}_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-\boldsymbol{M}^{-1} \frac{3 \boldsymbol{C}_{T}}{2} & -\boldsymbol{M}^{-1} \frac{3 \boldsymbol{K}_{T}}{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\boldsymbol{M}^{-1} \boldsymbol{Q} \frac{k_{p z t} \cdot k_{c}}{k_{p z t}+k_{c}} k_{a m p} \cdot d_{e}
\end{array}\right] u}  \tag{14}\\
& y=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

In order to restrain the interference and track a reference signal in the DMPC algorithm, it needs to change the model to suit the design purpose in which an integrator is embedded, the system model can be augmented in the following way [37]:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\Delta x_{m}(k+1) \\
y(k+1)
\end{array}\right] } & =A\left[\begin{array}{c}
\Delta x_{m}(k) \\
y(k)
\end{array}\right]+B \Delta u(k)  \tag{15}\\
y(k) & =C\left[\begin{array}{c}
\Delta x_{m}(k) \\
y(k)
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C are the augmented system matrices.

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{m} & 0 \\
C_{m} A_{m} & I
\end{array}\right] B=\left[\begin{array}{c}
B_{m} \\
C_{m} B_{m}
\end{array}\right] C=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & I
\end{array}\right] \\
\Delta u(k)=u(k)-u(k-1), \Delta x_{m}(k+1)=x_{m}(k+1)-x_{m}(k), \\
\Delta x_{m}(k)=x_{m}(k)-x_{m}(k-1)
\end{gathered}
$$

Form (14), matrix A and B can be obtained:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{m} & 0 \\
C_{m} A_{m} & I
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\boldsymbol{M}^{-1} \frac{3 \boldsymbol{C}_{T}}{2} & -\boldsymbol{M}^{-1} \frac{3 \boldsymbol{K}_{T}}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& B=\left[\begin{array}{c}
B_{m} \\
C_{m} B_{m}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\boldsymbol{M}^{-1} \boldsymbol{Q} \frac{k_{p z t} \cdot k_{c}}{k_{p z t}+k_{c}} k_{a m p} \cdot d_{e} \\
0
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on this model the future state variables are obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(k+1 \mid k)=A x(k)+B \Delta u(k) \\
& x(k+2 \mid k)=A^{2} x(k)+A B \Delta u(k)+B \Delta u(k+1) \\
& \quad \vdots \\
& x\left(k+N_{p} \mid k\right)=A^{N_{p}} x(k)+A^{N_{p}-1} B \Delta u(k)+\cdots \\
& \quad+A^{N_{p}-N_{c}} B \Delta u\left(k+N_{c}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $N_{p}$ is the prediction horizon and $N_{c}$ is the control horizon. According to the predicted state variables, the predicted output variables are,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y(k+1 \mid k)=C A x(k)+C B \Delta u(k) \\
& y(k+2 \mid k)=C A^{2} x(k)+C A B \Delta u(k)+C B \Delta u(k+1) \\
& \vdots \\
& y\left(k+N_{p} \mid k\right)=C A^{N_{p}} x(k)+C A^{N_{p}-1} B \Delta u(k)+\cdots \\
& \quad+C A^{N_{p}-N_{c}} B \Delta u\left(k+N_{c}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The output sequence on $N_{p}$, prediction horizon can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{F} x(k)+\boldsymbol{\Phi} \Delta \mathbf{U} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which,


Fig. 6. The schematic diagram of the controller


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{Y}=\left[y(k+1 \mid k), y(k+2 \mid k), \cdots, y\left(k+N_{p} \mid k\right)\right]^{T} \\
& \Delta \mathbf{U}=\left[\Delta u(k), \Delta u(k+1), \cdots, \Delta u\left(k+N_{c}-1\right)\right]^{T} \\
& \mathbf{F}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
C A & C A^{2} & C A^{3} & \cdots & C A^{N_{p}}
\end{array}\right]^{T} \\
& \boldsymbol{\Phi}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
C B & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
C A B & C B & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
C A^{2} B & C A B & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
C A^{N_{p}-1} B & C A^{N_{p}-2} B & \cdots & \cdots & C A^{N_{p}-N_{c}} B
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

To obtain a best control input meeting various conditions, rolling optimization is implemented to ensure that the predicted output is close enough to the reference trajectory, and simultaneously to reduce the vibration of the 6 -DOF system caused by possible severe control changes. The cost function $J$ reflecting the control objective is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\sum_{m=1}^{N_{D}}\left(R_{s}-Y\right)^{T}\left(R_{s}-Y\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{N_{c}} \Delta U^{T} \hat{C} \Delta U \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{R}_{s}=I_{N p \times 1} r_{k}$ is a given reference signal, $\hat{\boldsymbol{C}}$ is a diagonal matrix in the form that $\hat{\boldsymbol{C}}=r_{w} I_{N c \times N c}, r_{w}\left(r_{w} \geq 0\right)$ is used as a tuning parameter for the desired closed-loop performance. The first term is linked to the objective of minimizing the errors between the predicted output and the reference signal while the second term reflects the consideration given to the size of $\Delta U$ when the objective function $J$ is made to be as small as possible [46].

Subject to the linear inequality constraints on the system inputs

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{\min } \leq u(k+i-1) \leq u_{\max }, \quad i=1, \cdots, N_{c} \\
& \Delta u_{\min } \leq \Delta u(k+i-1) \leq \Delta u_{\max }, \quad i=1, \cdots, N_{c} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

To ensure $J$ to reach its minimum, its partial derivative needs to satisfy the following constraint:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial J}{\partial \Delta U}=-2 \Phi^{T}\left(R_{s}-F x(k)\right)+2\left(\Phi^{T} \Phi+\hat{C}\right) \Delta U=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the solution of the optimal input $\Delta U$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta U=\left(\Phi^{T} \Phi+\hat{C}\right)^{-1} \Phi^{T}\left(R_{s}-F x(k)\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. Experiment and Results

The developed prototype of 6-DOF positioning stage is shown in Fig. 8. The top and bottom surfaces of the two 3-DOF stage are fabricated on a milling machine, respectively. Subsequently, artificial aging is utilized to release the residual stress. Computer numerical control (CNC) assisted wire electrical discharge the machining (WEDM) technique is utilized to manufacture all the flexure structures. During the WEDM fabrication process, low-speed feeding is selected to guarantee the machining accuracy, and a fabrication tolerance of $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ is achieved. Both of the stages are bolted together. Three AE0505D18F (THORLABS) and three PI P-844.10 (Physik Instrumente) PEAs were used to actuate the in-plane stage and out-of-plane stage, respectively.

As shown in Fig. 9, three AE0505D18F (THORLABS) and three PI P-844.10 (Physik Instrumente) PEAs were used with the maximum displacement of $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ under the input voltage of 100 V , which were used to actuate the in-plane stage and out-of-plane stage, respectively. The dSPACE DS1103 controller (dSPACE Ltd) was used to generate the controlling signal, the PI E-505.00 amplifier (Physik Instrumente) and 3-Axls Piezo Controller THORLABS MDT693B were used to amplify the signal then drive the PEAs. Three KEYENCE laser displacement sensors LK-H050 and three capacity position sensors D-050.00 (Physik Instrumente) were used to measure the motion of the in-plane and out-ofplane stage, respectively. The amplifier E-509.C3A (Physik Instrumente) for capacity position sensors was installed in a chassis. In order to reduce the external disturbance effects on the testing system, the 6-DOF system is mounted on a Newport RS-4000 optical table.


Fig. 8. Manufactured prototype of 6-DOF positioning stage


Fig. 9.Experimental setup of the system

### 5.1 Step Responses and Motion Range

The step responses of the system are investigated, and the step responses in the $X$-, $Y$ and $Z$ - axes directions are shown in Fig. 10. The result shows that the $2 \%$ settling time of the step responses are 34,41 and 62 ms , respectively. The effective motion range is also validated, the stroke of the movement were $8.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the X -axis, $10.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the Y -axis, $13.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the Z-axis, $225 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ in the $\theta_{\mathrm{z}}$-axis, $107 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ in the $\theta_{\mathrm{x}}$-axis and $100 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ in the $\theta_{\mathrm{y}}$ axis, respectively.


Fig. 10. X -, Y - and Z - axes step responses ( $2 \%$ settling time)

### 5.2 Resolution

Precision motion is tested. As can be seen in Fig. 11, the minimum resolutions are clearly resolved from the multi-step response experiment, which as regards six stability measures are 31 nm in the X -axis, 25 nm in the Y -axis, 7 nm in the Z-axis, $0.8 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ in the $\theta_{z}$-axis, 20 nrad in the $\theta_{\mathrm{x}}$-axis and 25 nrad in the $\theta_{\mathrm{y}}$-axis, respectively. In this test, the movement of the platform is measured in 6-DOF by two type capacitance sensors, the resolution of three KEYENCE laser displacement sensors are lower than three capacity position sensors D-050.00. If the resolution of the displacement sensor can be improved and the external disturbance signal will be further reduced, a higher resolution of the developed positioning system can be achieved.


Fig. 11. The result of resolution test

### 5.3 Controller Verification

In the control experiments, the sampling time interval is assigned as $t=0.0001 \mathrm{~s}$. In order to verify the effectiveness of the DMCP control method, some comparative testes are performed. For a reference sinusoidal trajectory (Y-axis), as shown in Fig. 12(a), the different results of PID and DMCP control are both shown in Fig. 12(a), and the two tracking errors also are clearly depicted. The PID feedback control gives a max-error of $2.16 \%$ with respect to the motion range. By contrast, the control using DMPC produces a max-error of $1.20 \%$. It is seen that DMPC achieves better results than PID. Besides, for a reference bi-axial circular trajectory, as shown in Figs. 12(b), (c) and (d), the results indicate that the PID control scheme leads to a max-errors of $3.96 \%$ in the X -axis and $2.44 \%$ in the Y -axis, and the maxerrors of $1.86 \%$ in the X -axis and $1.62 \%$ in the Y -axis can be achieved by employing DMPC control scheme, respectively. Compared to the PID control scheme, DMPC control reduces the tracking errors in the X -, Y -axes by $53 \%$ and $34.1 \%$, respectively.

For a clear comparison, the effectiveness of DMPC control is evident from the control results, which suppresses the maximum tracking errors by above $34 \%$, as compared with the traditional PID control scheme. It also confirms the effectiveness of DMPC control approach on improving the tracking performance compared to traditional PID. This means that it can be applied to reduce the tracking error and improve the positioning accuracy of the 6-DOF system.



Fig. 12. The result of motion tracking with two diffrent contorl methods. (a) Sinusoidal trajectory. (b) Circular trajectory. (c) Tracking error (DMPC). (D) Tracking error (PID).

### 5.4 Tracking Performance

To test the tracking ability of the 6-DOF system under the control block diagram, six sinusoidal trajectory motion tests are conducted to evaluate the tracking performance of the 6-DOF system. Fig. 13 shows the experimental results on the six sinusoidal trajectories. As shown in Fig. 13, the maximum tracking errors is $\pm 0.06 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, which with respect to the output displacement is as small as $1.2 \%$. For three rotational DOF trajectories, The maximum tracking errors $( \pm 3.71 \mu \mathrm{rad},+2.56 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ and $-3.12 \mu \mathrm{rad})$ are observed in the motion, which with respect to the angular displacement is as large as $2.06 \%, 3.2 \%$ and $3.9 \%$, respectively.

In order to examine the tracking performances of the 6-DOF stage on multi-axis, experimental testing on the following trajectory are presented: a) Two same circular
trajectories centered at point ( $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a radius of $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; b) Two complex trajectories are chosen as the reference trajectories defined by Eq. (21) and pentagon, respectively. c) A three-translational DOF trajectory is chosen as the reference trajectory defined by Eq. (22).


Fig. 13. The result of 1 Hz sinusoidal motion tracking

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
x(t)=3+2 \sin (\pi t-0.5 \pi)+\sin (8 \pi t-0.5 \pi) \\
y(t)=3+2 \sin (\pi t)+\sin (8 \pi t)
\end{array}\right.  \tag{21}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
x(t)=3+3 \sin (2 \pi t+2 \pi) \\
y(t)=3+3 \sin (2 \pi t+1.5 \pi) \\
z(t)=0.8 t
\end{array}\right. \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

From the experimental result of bi-axial circular trajectories tracking, as shown in Fig. 14(a), the maximum tracking error ( $-0.093 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the X axis and $-0.081 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the Y axis) with respect to the output displacement is as large as $1.86 \%$ in the X axis and $1.62 \%$ in the Y axis, respectively. As shown in Fig. 14(b), the maximum tracking error $(-0.078 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the Y axis and $-0.063 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the Z axis) with respect to the output displacement is as large as $1.56 \%$ in the Y axis and $1.26 \%$ in the Z axis, respectively.

However, for the complex trajectories, as shown in Fig. 15(a), compared with the circular trajectories, it is found that the tracking performance is unsatisfactory and obviously increased in tracking error. The maximum tracking error $(0.115 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the X axis and 0.103 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in the Y axis) with respect to the output displacement is as large as $1.92 \%$ in the X axis and $1.72 \%$ in the Y axis, respectively. As shown in Fig. 15(b), the maximum tracking error ($0.112 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the X axis and $-0.099 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the Z axis) with respect to the output displacement is as large as $1.87 \%$ in the X axis and $1.65 \%$ in the Z axis, respectively.

The experimental result is shown in Fig. 16. It provided the discrepancies between the desired and actual trajectory. The tracking errors in the X-, Y- and Z- axis are also recorded, respectively. For the three-translational DOF trajectory (the helical trajectory), the maximum tracking error ( $-0.136 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the X axis, $-0.117 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the Y axis and $-0.093 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in the Z axis) with respect to the output displacement is as large as $2.26 \%$ in the X axis, $1.95 \%$ in the Y axis and $1.55 \%$ in the Z axis, respectively.

Compared with the uniaxial trajectories tracking, the multi-axis tracking performance of the 6-DOF system is slightly reduced. This is mainly due to the cross-axis couplings effects, installation errors, as well as the noise level of the displacement sensors and measurement circuits. Therefore, the future research work will be directed towards the laser interferometry based sensing and measurement and robust controller design to improve the tracking performance of the developed 6-DOF positioning system.


Fig. 14. The result of circular trajectory tracking


Fig. 15. The result of two complex trajectories tracking


Fig. 16. The result of the helical trajectory motion tracking

## 6. Conclusion

This paper presents a 6-DOF serial-parallel precision positioning stage with the study on kinematics and dynamic modeling, and tracking control. It is the integration of two different kinds of 3-DOF parallel positioning stages, an in-plane stage and an out-of-plane stage, both manufactured using the wire electrical discharge machining (WEDM) technology. It has a simple structure and can be assembled easily, which will greatly reduce the assembly errors and improve the positioning accuracy of the system. In addition, the kinematics and dynamic model of the 6-DOF system are established, respectively. Furthermore, in order to improving capability of the tracking performance of the system, the DMPC scheme is employed to design a tracking controller in which the output tracks a reference desired input. Finally, the proposed closed-loop controller designing algorithm is utilized in the system, some comparative testes are performed and it further confirmed the effectiveness of the DMCP
control method. The tracking experiment is performed to verify the tracking performances of the 6-DOF stage. And the collected experimental results show that the proposed 6-DOF stage has a good tracking performance.
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