Defining the latent phase of labour: is it important?

Vanora A Hundley¹ PhD, MSc, BN, RM, RGN. Susan Way² PhD, MSc, RM. Helen Cheyne³ PhD, MSc, RM, RGN. Patricia Janssen⁴ PhD, MPH, BSN. Mechthild Gross⁵ PhD, MSc, BSc, RM, RN. Helen Spiby⁶ MPhil, SRN, SCM.

- 1. Professor of midwifery, Centre for Midwifery Maternal and Perinatal Health, Faculty of Health and Social Sciences, Bournemouth University, Royal London House, Christchurch Road, Bournemouth BU1 3LT England. Email: vhundley@bournemouth.ac.uk
- 2. Associate professor, Centre for Midwifery Maternal and Perinatal Health, Faculty of Health and Social Sciences, Bournemouth University, Royal London House, Christchurch Road, Bournemouth BU1 3LT England. Email: sueway@bournemouth.ac.uk
- 3. RCM (Scotland) professor of midwifery research, Nursing, Midwifery and Allied Health Professions Research Unit, Scion House, University of Stirling, Stirling FK9 4LA Scotland. Email: h.l.chevne@stir.ac.uk
- 4. Professor, School of Population and Public Health, University of British Columbia, 2206 East Mall, Vancouver V6T-1Z3 Canada. Email: patti.janssen@ubc.ca
- 5. Professor, Midwifery Research and Education Unit, Hannover Medical School, Carl-Neuberg-Straße, 1, 30625 Hannover Germany. Email: gross.mechthild@mh-hannover.de
- 6. Professor, Division of Midwifery, School of Health Sciences, 12th Floor Tower Building, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2RD England. Email: helen.spiby@nottingham.ac.uk

Abstract

Background and rationale. The latent phase of labour is recognised as a period of uncertainty for women and midwives. There is evidence from the literature of considerable variation in labour definitions and practice. Stimulated by discussion at an international maternity research conference, the authors set out to explore opinions regarding the need for labour-stage definitions.

Aim. To identify health professionals' views on the need for a definition of the onset and the end of the latent phase of labour. Methods. This was an opportunistic, semi-structured, online survey of attendees at a maternity care research conference, which included midwives, other clinicians, academics, advocates and user representatives. Attendees (approximately 100) were invited to participate through a single email invitation sent by the conference committee and containing a link to the survey. Consent was sought on the landing page. Ethical approval was obtained from Bournemouth University's research ethics committee. Quantitative questions were analysed using simple descriptive statistics using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 24. Open questions were analysed using content analysis and where participants gave a more detailed answer, these were analysed using a thematic approach.

Findings. Participants in the survey (n=21) came from 12 countries. Most of the participants thought that there was a need to define the onset of the latent phase (n=15, 71%). Common characteristics were cited, but the main theme in the open comments referred to the importance of women's perceptions of labour onset. Most participants (n = 18, 86%) thought that there was a need to define the end of the latent phase. This was felt necessary because current practice within facilities is usually dictated by a definition. The characteristics suggested were also not unexpected and there was some consensus; but the degree of cervical dilatation that signified the end of the latent phase varied among participants. There was significant debate about whether a prolonged latent phase was important; for example, was it associated with adverse consequences. Most participants thought it was important (n=15, 71%), but comments indicated that the reasons for this were complex. Themes included the value that women attached to knowing the duration of labour and the need to support women in the latent phase. Implications for practice. The findings from this small, opportunistic survey reflect the current debate within the maternal health community regarding the latent phase of labour. There is a need for more clarity around latent phase labour (in terms of both the definition and the support offered) if midwives are to provide care that is both woman centred and evidence-based. The findings will inform the development of a larger survey to explore attitudes towards labour definitions.

Key words: Latent phase, labour, definition, women, childbirth, midwives, evidence-based midwifery

Introduction

The latent phase of labour is recognised as a period of uncertainty for both women and midwives (Cheyne and Hundley, 2009). Admission to hospital in the latent phase has been shown to result in a cascade of unnecessary intervention (Janssen and Weissinger, 2014; Lundgren et al, 2013; Cheng et al, 2010; Klein et al, 2004; Jackson et al, 2003; Holmes et al, 2001), however, identifying the transition to active labour and when to come into the hospital can be challenging for women (Green et al, 2012; Barnett et al, 2008; Cheyne et al, 2007). A recent systematic review of the literature indicates that there is also uncertainty among professionals regarding the phases of labour, with no clear definition of the latent phase of labour (Hanley et al, 2016). The question is whether prolongation of latent phase labour is important – is it associated with adverse sequelae, or of concern to women?

The concept of discrete phases of labour could be argued

to be relatively new, introduced by Friedman, an American obstetrician in the 1950s (Friedman, 1955). Prior to this, doctors and midwives were rarely involved in caring for a woman until later in her labour (McIntosh, 2013). Friedman suggested the terms 'latent phase' and 'active phase' labour (Friedman and Kroll, 1969; Friedman, 1955) and proposed a rate of labour progression for nulliparous and multiparous women (Friedman, 1972) that has subsequently been challenged (Zhang et al, 2010; Gross et al, 2006; Zhang et al, 2002; Albers et al, 1996). Studies of women's experience indicate that while most women can define what they perceive to be the onset of labour, they view labour as a continuous process, rather than distinct stages (Dixon et al, 2014; Gross et al, 2003). Given that clinicians frequently have difficulty distinguishing between the phases (Lauzon and Hodnett, 2009) and women do not recognise them, the utility of defining distinct phases remains uncertain.

Literature review

There is evidence of considerable variation between international guidelines with regard to the labour phases. For example, the UK guidance issued by NICE defines the latent phase as a period of time, not necessarily continuous, when:

- There are painful contractions
- There is some cervical change, including cervical effacement and dilatation up to 4cm (NICE, 2014).

A similar definition is used by the Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada (SOGC) (Lee et al, 2016). In the SOGC definition dilatation is also the primary criterion but the society acknowledges that dilatation at which active labour starts may vary slightly by parity: 'Presence of uterine activity resulting in progressive effacement and dilatation of the cervix proceeding to active phase. It is complete when a nulliparous woman reaches 4cm dilatation and a parous woman reaches 4cm to 5cm. Cervical length is generally less than 1cm' (Lee et al, 2016: 846).

The American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology (ACOG) changed its definition of labour phases in 2014 in response to evidence that suggests many women do not enter active labour until 5cm to 6cm (ACOG, 2014). There is some indication that various countries in Central Europe are considering following this approach.

The authors' recent systematic review of the literature found only 14 studies that defined the latent phase of labour (Hanley et al, 2016). Of these studies, the majority (n=11, 79%) included cervical dilatation in the definition; however, there was no consistency in definitions with dilatation ranging from 2cm (one study), through 3cm (three studies) to 4cm (seven studies). In one study the end of the latent phase was defined differently for primiparous women (3cm) compared with multiparous women (4cm) (Ayangade, 1984).

The authors found more studies that included a definition of the onset of active labour (n=33) (Hanley et al, 2016). Again, cervical dilatation was the most common defining attribute (n=27, 82%). Similar variation was seen in the definitions with two studies using 2cm as the onset of active labour, 10 studies using 3cm to 4cm, and 15 studies using >4cm. In six of the studies, there was a more flexible definition used, for example, in four studies active labour was identified as being at the point at which the cervix begins to dilate >1cm per hour while two studies stated contractions leading to cervical change (Hanley et al, 2016).

Cervical dilatation remains the predominant attribute for defining both the latent and active phases of labour; other attributes were found in the authors' review (Hanley et al, 2016) and these are discussed later in the paper.

Background

The uncertainty around the definition of the latent phase of labour has been brought into sharp focus by research evidence that suggests that such definitions could be contributing to rising rates of intervention (Zhang et al, 2010). In the US the response to this evidence has been to revise the 'threshold' for active labour and to recommend a more expectant approach in the latent phase (ACOG, 2017). However, recommending that women are 'not admitted' to hospital until 6cm dilatation would

appear to be shifting the problem from hospital to community, and there is evidence that women view being sent home as a professional response, rather than a woman-centred response to their need for early labour care (Nolan and Smith, 2010).

At an international maternity research conference the authors of this paper witnessed a heated debate regarding the issues of assessment and care in early labour. Delegates indicated that there was a need for clarification of definitions, with some questioning whether definitions of latent and active phases were needed at all. Many indicated that a survey of conference attendees would be a valuable step and would set the context for future research. In this paper the authors report the findings of that survey.

Methods

This was an opportunistic, online survey of attendees of a multidisciplinary conference where midwives comprised the majority of delegates. The intention was to build on the conference discussion regarding the latent phase of labour, and to identify views regarding the need for a clear definition of the latent phase of labour. The survey was conducted prospectively after the conference and following ethical approval.

Sample and data collection

Conference attendees were invited to participate in an online survey by a single email invitation sent through the organising committee. Attendees included midwives, clinicians from other fields, academics, advocates and user representatives. The email provided detailed information about the survey and contained a link to the online data collection tool. Participants were asked to consent by clicking either 'agree to participate' or 'don't want to participate' on the landing page.

Ethical approval for the survey was obtained from Bournemouth University's research ethics committee. Approval was obtained by expedited review and prior to approaching the conference committee to request their support. Participation in the survey was voluntary; attendees were reassured that they could withdraw at any time and that non-participation or withdrawal would not affect them in any way. Those who did not consent were directed away from the survey to a page thanking them for their time.

Data were collected using a semi-structured survey tool delivered through SurveyMonkey. It contained four open and three closed questions relating to the latent phase of labour and took approximately 15 minutes to complete. Questions referred to the onset of the latent phase, the end of the latent phase, and the length of the latent phase. Demographic data were collected through questions on the discipline or field of expertise, location, age, gender and experience in maternal health; however, to ensure anonymity, the survey did not contain information that would personally identify participants, such as names, email or IP addresses. Reminder emails were not sent because of the need to distribute the survey invitation through the conference organisers.

Data analysis

Quantitative questions were summarised using simple descriptive statistics using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 24.

Open questions were analysed using content analysis and where participants gave a more detailed answer, these were analysed using a thematic approach. For the more qualitative answers, two researchers (SW and VH) coded all of the transcripts independently. Emergent themes were then discussed and agreed.

Findings

A total of 26 participants consented to the survey on the landing page, but four did not answer any questions and one answered only the first question. These five participants were excluded, leaving 21 completed questionnaires for analysis.

Participants

Participants came from 12 countries: UK (n=6), Ireland (n=3), Australia (n=2), US (n=2), Germany (n=1), Iceland (n=1), Israel (n=1), Netherlands (n=1), New Zealand (n=1), Norway (n=1), Spain (n=1), and Switzerland (n=1). The majority were midwives and researchers, female, aged over 50 and with more than 20 years' experience in the maternal health field (see Table 1).

Defining the onset of the latent phase

More than two-thirds of the participants (n=15) thought there was a need to define the onset of the latent phase (see Table 2, overleaf). Those in favour of a definition offered a wide range of characteristics to define onset with the most commonly cited one being contractions. Other physical characteristics cited included show, pain and cervical change (length, consistency and position). More detailed analysis of the proposed characteristics identified differing opinions about whether contractions should be regular and progressive, or irregular. Some participants' comments suggested more woman-centred definitions, which moved away from focusing on time, contractions and dilatation. For example:

"In the same philosophy as 'pain is what the patient says it is', latent phase should be defined by the woman's perception."

One response warned against measurement:

"Attaching a time to the onset so that length of latent labour can be measured is not important and may add to women and professionals' concerns."

Defining the end of the latent phase

The majority of participants (n=18) thought that there was a need to define the end of the latent phase (see Table 2). Characteristics defining the end of the latent phase most commonly included contractions and cervical changes. All participants who cited contractions mentioned that they should be regular and there were a number that included time-related measurements:

"Contractions that are at least three in 10 and have become longer and more intense."

"When the active phase is reached (4cm, regular contractions two/10 minutes)."

Participants frequently mentioned the progressive nature of labour in relation to both contractions and cervical dilatation. The participants who cited cervical change focused on changes in dilatation, but could not agree on the degree of dilatation

Table 1. Characteristics of participants (n=21)

	n (%)
Geographical location	
Europe Asia/Pacific North America	16 (76) 3 (14) 2 (10)
How would you define yourself? (could choose more than one)	
Midwife Researcher Academic Service user Other – not specified	18 (86) 13 (62) 4 (19) 2 (10) 1 (5)
Age group	
<20 years old 20-29 30-39 40-49 50-59 >60	0 1 (5) 4 (19) 5 (24) 10 (48) 1 (5)
Gender	
Female Male	19 (90) 2 (10)
Time working in the maternal health field	
<5 years 5-10 11-20 21-30 31-40	1 (5) 6 (29) 2 (9) 7 (33) 5 (24)

expected in order to diagnose the end of the latent phase. Six participants stated a dilatation at which the latent phase ended: one said 2cm to 3cm, one said 3cm, three said 4cm, while the sixth participant said 4cm to 5cm.

Several participants gave reasons for why they felt that a definition is necessary. These related to the demands of current practice (particularly when working in hospital or a medical model of care) and avoiding unnecessary intervention:

"If we are to work within the current medical model where women's length of labour is timed and progress made is measured on the partogram, we need to ensure that active labour is not incorrectly 'diagnosed'. This inevitably will lead to unnecessary interventions when 'appropriate progress' as per the partogram is not made."

"I think it matters for the women and for us as midwives, especially when working in a hospital and being the one to decide when it's time to move the women in labour."

As with responses regarding labour onset, the importance of recognising progress through behavioural cues was also thought to be important:

"Visible and audible change in maternal behaviour, such as cannot talk freely through a contraction, breathing is more laboured and requires concentration."

Interestingly one participant thought that a definition was important for teaching purposes:

"A demarcation from latent to active phase may be necessary for teaching purposes."

It was evident from a number of comments that the definition of latent phase was poorly understood and required further research.

Length of the latent phase

Participants were asked whether a prolonged latent phase mattered. The majority of participants answered 'yes' to this question (n=15), while a smaller number answered 'no' (n=6). However, comments indicated that the answer was anything but straightforward.

Most participants who responded positively clarified their answer by identifying that it mattered to the women. Comments included that a prolonged latent phase meant women became fed-up, exhausted, as well as irritated with the midwife when not progressing well:

"Only if it matters to the mother. For some women, prolonged latent phase is associated with decreased confidence, increased anxiety about 'something being wrong' with their body."

Some suggested that defining the end of the latent phase of labour would enable strategies to support women through this stage if it became prolonged and so reduce the likelihood of any intervention:

Table 2. Defining the latent phase

Table 2. Defining the latent phase	
	n (%)
Do we need to define the onset of the latent of labour?	t phase
Yes No	15 (71) 6 (29)
If yes, what characteristics should we use to onset of the latent phase? (n=15)	define the
Contractions - Regular - Irregular Cervical change Show Pain Rupture of membranes Woman's perceptions Woman's behaviour (nesting, disturbed activities of daily living)	13 (87) 5 (33) 7 (47) 5 (33) 4 (27) 4 (27) 2 (13) 3 (20) 2 (13)
Do we need to define the end of the latent p of labour?	phase
Yes No	18 (86) 3 (14)
If yes, what characteristics should we use to end of the latent phase? (n=18)	define the
Contractions Cervical change Pain Rupture of membranes Woman's perceptions Woman's behaviour (coping)	13 (72) 14 (78) 1 (6) 1 (6) 1 (6) 3 (17)

"I think we should rather try to define a latent phase and find a way to help the women cope with it, help them to rest, sleep and try to find a solution if there is a problem."

"It matters because women need to know to prepare themselves for energy conservation during a (potential) long latent phase. It matters because if women are not supported to see a long latent phase as a variation of normal, then they are likely to present for intervention before they actually need it."

A number of participants who said 'no' indicated that lack of understanding regarding the latent phase made identifying 'prolonged' difficult:

"We do not know what is prolonged."

"Feel that it is too subjective to define onset and end."

Additional thoughts on the latent phase

An opportunity was given to the participants to add any additional comments about the latent phase. A number of participants mentioned that women should be encouraged to stay at home during the latent phase, with some responding more forcefully than others:

"Keep them out of the labour ward! Stay at home, with telephone support, or in an ante-room of an MLU, or in a dedicated antenatal ward in hospital, without any fuss or pressure to move them into the labour ward too early."

One participant indicated that in his/her opinion the media was responsible for early admission:

"I think media coverage of labour is affecting this where women feel they need to go straight to the hospital at the first sign of a contraction."

One participant summed up the situation well:

"Latent labour is currently very poorly understood and a challenge that midwives face daily in the context of busy maternity units."

Discussion

This was an opportunistic survey with a self-selected sample from an international maternity research conference and, as such, it is limited in terms of its generalisability. The finding that two-thirds of participants consider a definition of the latent phase to be important may simply reflect the fact that those who did not consider it important did not respond to the survey. That said, the responses indicate the complex and confused state of our current knowledge and beliefs around the latent phase of labour, with the need for a definition being driven by a desire for evidence to inform current practice rather than being about woman-centred care.

The characteristics identified as defining the onset of labour are consistent with those reported by women (Gross et al, 2009; 2003). Regular painful contractions were a consistent criterion in all definitions of the latent phase in the literature (Hanley et al, 2016), but not all survey participants listed contractions as a criterion for either onset or end of the latent phase.

Greater controversy surrounded the end of the latent phase. Cervical dilatation was identified as being the key criterion for transition from latent labour to active labour. However, there was disagreement on the degree of cervical dilatation at which active labour started, with responses ranging from 2cm to 5cm. This uncertainty reflects that noted in the authors' recent literature review of labour definitions (Hanley et al, 2016). There is growing recognition of the impact that early hospital admission can have on women, with some professional organisations changing their guidance regarding the latent phase of labour. For example, the ACOG now recommend expectant management for women prior to 6cm dilatation and suggest that for most women this is best managed outside of the labour unit (ACOG, 2017). This may require new approaches to providing women with information and support.

The problem is that cervical dilatation, as a defining characteristic of active labour, is difficult for most women to determine. Dixon et al (2013) found that women valued vaginal examinations to determine labour progress, but in most cases requesting a vaginal examination necessitates a hospital visit. Downe et al (2013) found that there had been little research into the relationship between routine vaginal examinations and outcomes for women and babies. They recommended that alternative ways of assessing labour progress, such as behavioural cues, should be explored. Indeed these were mentioned by participants in the authors' survey; however, again such assessments are likely to be conducted by midwives or other health professionals and in many countries this takes place in a hospital setting. Providing support outside of the labour unit was something that a number of participants in this study agreed with and that has been a feature of some models of UK midwifery care and investigated in randomised controlled trials in Canada and UK (Janssen and Desmarais, 2013; Spiby et al, 2008; Janssen et al, 2003).

The majority of participants felt that the length of the latent phase of labour mattered, but they rationalised this by highlighting that it really only mattered to women. Indeed recent research suggests that women's perceptions of the length of labour may be an important predictive factor in determining the risk of caesarean section (Janssen et al, 2016; Janssen and Weissinger, 2014). This suggests a need for some form of definition for latent phase labour and an ability to identify those women who need additional support during this phase of labour. Indeed, the recent Cochrane review on early labour has highlighted the limited nature of the evidence around labour assessment and the need for further research in this area (Kobayashi et al, 2017).

Limitations

This was a small opportunistic survey and the response was limited by the method of distribution. Gatekeepers, that is those who stand between the researcher and the participant (Lavrakas, 2008), are important to the conduct of studies, but are also known to have a significant effect on recruitment rates (McFadyen and Rankin, 2016). In this study the gatekeepers (conference organisers) agreed to a single email contact, so as not to inconvenience conference attendees. It is understood that the committee sent the email invitation to all attendees (approximately 100), but this could not be verified. The method of distribution also meant that a pre-notice to the survey link could not be sent or a follow-up with a reminder notification, both of which have been shown to generate a good response to internet-based surveys (Kaplowitz et al, 2004).

The survey was conducted in English as the opportunistic nature of the study did not allow the research team time to develop and test multiple versions of the survey tool. However, this was an English language conference so the authors do not envisage language to have limited participation. The survey was kept short, but there were a number of open questions to avoid leading participants. The study could, therefore, be considered a pilot and will enable the research team to develop a more sophisticated tool for future use. This would need to be tested to ensure that it is valid for use in different contexts. Cross-cultural research has been shown to be complicated by linguistic and contextual factors (Symon et al, 2013), and these would need to be considered in a future study.

Since participants came from across the globe, different terminology was used in the responses. Comparing pregnant women's experiences of pain to those of 'patients' may be uncomfortable to the UK-based reader, but to maintain authenticity we have retained the language used by participants in the quotes (Corden and Sainsbury, 2006).

Conclusion

Despite the limitations, the findings of this survey reflect the continuing debate within the maternal health community on the latent phase of labour. There is a need for more clarity around the latent phase (in terms of the definition and the support offered) if midwives are to provide care that is woman-centred and evidence-based. The findings will inform the development of a larger survey to explore attitudes towards labour definitions.

References

Albers L, Schiff M, Gordowa J. (1996) The length of active labor in normal pregnancies. Obstetrics and Gynecology 87(3): 355-9.

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. (2014) Safe prevention of the primary cesarean delivery. Obstetric Care Consensus No 1.

Obstetrics and Gynecology 123(3): 693-711.

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. (2017) Approaches to limit intervention during labor and birth. Committee Opinion No 687. Obstetrics and Gynecology 129(2): e20-8.

Ayangade O. (1984) Characteristics and significance of the latent phase in the outcome of labor among Nigerian parturients. *Journal of the National*

Medical Association 76(6): 609-13.

Barnett C, Hundley V, Cheyne H, Kane F. (2008) 'Not in labour' – the impact of being sent home in the latent phase. *British Journal of Midwifery* **16**(3): 144-53.

Cheng YW, Shaffer BL, Bryant AS, Caughey AB. (2010) Length of the first stage of labor and associated perinatal outcomes in nulliparous women. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 116(5): 1127-35.

Cheyne H, Hundley V. (2009) Has labor started? A judgment made in uncertainty. Birth 36(4): 336-7.

Cheyne H, Terry R, Niven C, Dowding D, Hundley V, McNamme P. (2007)

References continued

- 'Should I come in now?' A qualitative investigation of how women's experiences in early labour influence their decision of when to go to hospital. *British Journal of Midwifery* 15(10): 604-9.
- Corden C, Sainsbury R. (2006) Using verbatim quotations in reporting qualitative social research: the views of research users. Social Policy Research Unit, University of York: York.
- Dixon L, Skinner J, Foureur M. (2013) Women's perspectives of the stages and phases of labour. Midwifery 29(1): 10-7.
- Dixon L, Skinner J, Foureur M. (2014) The emotional journey of labour women's perspectives of the experience of labour moving towards birth. *Midwifery* 30(3): 371-7.
- Downe S, Gyte G, Dahlen H, Singata M. (2013) Routine vaginal examinations for assessing progress of labour to improve outcomes for women and babies at term. *Cochrane Data Syst Rev* 7: CD010088.
- Friedman EA. (1955) Primigravid labor: a graphicostatistical analysis. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 6(6): 567-89.
- Friedman EA, Kroll BH. (1969) Computer analysis of labour progression. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of the British Commonwealth 76(12): 1075-9.
- Friedman EA. (1972) An objective approach to the diagnosis and management of abnormal labor. Bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine 48(6): 842-58.
- Green JM, Spiby H, Hucknall C, Richardson Foster H. (2012) Converting policy into care: women's satisfaction with the early labour telephone component of the All Wales Clinical Pathway for Normal Labour. *Journal* of Advanced Nursing 68(10): 2218-28.
- Gross MM, Haunschild T, Stoexen T, Methner V, Guenter HH. (2003) Women's recognition of the spontaneous onset of labor. *Birth* 30(4):
- Gross M, Hecker H, Matterne A, Guenter HH, Keirse MJ. (2006) Does the way women experience the onset of labour influence the duration of labour? *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 113(3): 289-94.
- Gross MM, Burian RA, Frömke C, Hecker H, Schippert C, Hillemanns P. (2009) Onset of labour: women's experiences and midwives' assessments in relation to first stage duration. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics 280(6): 899-905.
- Hanley GE, Munro S, Greyson D, Gross MM, Hundley V, Spiby H, Janssen PA. (2016) Diagnosing onset of labor: a systematic review of definitions in the research literature. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* **16**: 71.
- Holmes P, Oppenheimer LW, Wen SW. (2001) The relationship between cervical dilatation at initial presentation in labour and subsequent intervention. *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 108(11): 1120-4.
- Jackson DJ, Lang JM, Ecker J, Swartz WH, Heeren T. (2003) Impact of collaborative management and early admission in labour on method of delivery. *Journal of Obstetric and Gynecologic and Neonatal Nursing* 32(2): 147-57.
- Janssen PA, Iker CE, Carty EA. (2003) Early labour assessment and support at home: a randomised controlled trial. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada* 25(9): 734-41.
- Janssen PA, Desmarais SL. (2013) Women's experience with early labour management at home vs in hospital: a randomised controlled trial. *Midwifery* 29(3): 190-4.
- Janssen PA, Weissinger S. (2014) Women's perception of pre-hospital labour

- duration and obstetrical outcomes: a prospective cohort study. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth 14: 182.
- Janssen PA, Stienen JJC, Brant R, Hanley GE. (2016) A predictive model for cesarean among low-risk nulliparous women in spontaneous labor at hospital admission. *Birth* 44(1): 21-8.
- Kaplowitz MD, Hadlock TD, Levine R. (2004) A comparison of web and mail survey response rates. Public Opinion Quarterly 68(1): 94-101.
- Klein MC, Kelly A, Kaczorowski J, Grzybowski S. (2004) The effect of family physician timing of maternal admission on procedures in labour and maternal and infant morbidity. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada* 26(7): 641-5.
- Kobayashi S, Hanada N, Matsuzaki M, Takehara K, Ota E, Sasaki H, Nagata C, Mori R. (2017) Assessment and support during early labour for improving birth outcomes. *Cochrane Data Syst Rev* 4: CD011516.
- Lauzon L, Hodnett ED. (2009) Labour assessment programs to delay admission to labour wards. Cochrane Data Syst Rev 3: CD000936.
- Lavrakas P. (2008) Gatekeeper: In: Encyclopedia of survey research methods. See: methods.sagepub.com/Reference/encyclopedia-of-survey-research-methods (accessed 29 August 2017).
- Lee L, Dy J, Azzam H. (2016) Management of spontaneous labour at term in healthy women. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada* 38(9): 843-65.
- Lundgren I, Andren K, Nissen E, Berg M. (2013) Care seeking during the latent phase of labour frequencies and birth outcomes in two delivery wards in Sweden. *Sexual and Reproductive Healthcare* 4(4): 141-6.
- McFadyen J, Rankin J. (2016) The role of gatekeepers in research: learning from reflexivity and reflection. *Journal of Nursing and Health Care* 4(1): 82-8.
- McIntosh T. (2013) The concept of early labour in the experience of maternity in 20th century Britain. *Midwifery* **29**(1): 3-9.
- NICE. (2014) Intrapartum care for healthy women and babies (CG190). See: nice.org.uk/guidance/cg190 (accessed 29 August 2017).
- Nolan M, Smith J. (2010) Women's experiences of following advice to stay at home in early labour. *British Journal of Midwifery* **18**(5): 286-91.
- Spiby H, Green JMG, Renfrew MJ, Crawshaw S, Stewart P, Lishman J, Ayers S, Brocklehurst P, Quigley M, Sculpher M, Weatherly H, Bojke L. (2008) Improving care at the primary/secondary interface: a trial of community-based support in early labour. The ELSA trial. See: netscc. ac.uk/hsdr/files/project/SDO_FR_08-1304-040_V01.pdf (accessed 28 September 2017)
- Symon A, Nagpal J, Maniecka-Bryła I, Nowakowska-Głąb A, Rashidian A, Khabiri R, Mendes I, Pinheiro AK, de Oliveira MF, Wu L. (2013) Cross-cultural adaptation and translation of a quality of life tool for new mothers: a methodological and experiential account from six countries. Journal of Advanced Nursing 69(4): 970-80.
- Zhang J, Troendle JF, Yancey MK. (2002) Reassessing the labor curve in nulliparous women. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology* 187(4): 824-8.
- Zhang J, Troendle J, Reddy UM, Laughon SK, Branch DW, Burkman R, Landy HJ, Hibbard JU, Haberman S, Ramirez MM, Bailit JL, Hoffman MK, Gregory KD, Gonzalez-Quintero VH, Kominiarek M, Learman LA, Hatjis CG, van Veldhuisen P, for the Consortium on Safe Labor. (2010) Contemporary cesarean delivery practice in the United States. American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology 203(4): 326.e1-10.