

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

CD8 T cell responses against the immunodominant Theileria parva peptide Tp249-59 are composed of two distinct populations specific for overlapping 11-mer and 10-mer epitopes

Citation for published version:

Connelley, TK, Li, X, MacHugh, N, Colau, D, Graham, SP, van der Bruggen, P, Taracha, EL, Gill, A & Morrison, IW 2016, 'CD8 T cell responses against the immunodominant Theileria parva peptide Tp249-59 are composed of two distinct populations specific for overlapping 11-mer and 10-mer epitopes' Immunology ., 10.1111/imm.12637

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1111/imm.12637

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: Immunology

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



IMMUNOLOGY ORIGINAL ARTICLE

CD8 T-cell responses against the immunodominant *Theileria parva* peptide Tp2_{49–59} are composed of two distinct populations specific for overlapping 11-mer and 10-mer epitopes

Timothy K. Connelley,^{1,*} Xiaoying Li,^{1,*,‡} Niall MacHugh,^{1,*} Didier Colau,² Simon P. Graham,^{3,§} Pierre van der Bruggen,² Evans L. Taracha,^{3,¶} Andy Gill⁴ and William Ivan Morrison¹ ¹Division of Immunity and Infection, The

Division of Immunity and Infection, The Roslin Institute, The University of Edinburgh, Midlothian, UK, ²Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research and de Duve Institute, Universite catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium, ³The International Livestock Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya, and ⁴Division of Neurobiology, The Roslin Institute, The University of Edinburgh, Midlothian, UK

doi:10.1111/imm.12637

Received 20 April 2016; revised 7 June 2016; accepted 7 June 2016.

*These authors contributed equally to the work.

[‡]Present address: School of Life Sciences and Technology, Xinxiang Medical University, Laboratory Building Room 232, Xinxiang, Henan, CN 453003, China

[§]Present address: The Pirbright Institute, Ash Road, Pirbright, GU24 0NF, UK [¶]Present address: Institute of Primate Research, PO Box 24481-00502, Karen, Kenya

Correspondence: Timothy K. Connelley, Division of Immunity and Infection, The Roslin Institute, The University of Edinburgh, Easter Bush, Midlothian EH25 9RG, UK. Email: timothy.connelley@ed.ac.uk Senior author: William Ivan Morrison

Summary

Immunity against Theileria parva is associated with CD8 T-cell responses that exhibit immunodominance, focusing the response against limited numbers of epitopes. As candidates for inclusion in vaccines, characterization of responses against immunodominant epitopes is a key component in novel vaccine development. We have previously demonstrated that the Tp249-59 and Tp1214-224 epitopes dominate CD8 T-cell responses in BoLA-A10 and BoLA-18 MHC I homozygous animals, respectively. In this study, peptide-MHC I tetramers for these epitopes, and a subdominant BoLA-A10-restricted epitope (Tp2₉₈₋₁₀₆), were generated to facilitate accurate and rapid enumeration of epitope-specific CD8 T cells. During validation of these tetramers a substantial proportion of Tp249-59-reactive T cells failed to bind the tetramer, suggesting that this population was heterogeneous with respect to the recognized epitope. We demonstrate that Tp2₅₀₋₅₉ represents a distinct epitope and that tetramers produced with Tp₅₀₋₅₉ and Tp₄₉₋₅₉ show no cross-reactivity. The Tp₂₄₉₋₅₉ and Tp2₅₀₋₅₉ epitopes use different serine residues as the N-terminal anchor for binding to the presenting MHC I molecule. Molecular dynamic modelling predicts that the two peptide-MHC I complexes adopt structurally different conformations and Tcell receptor β sequence analysis showed that Tp249-59 and Tp250-59 are recognized by non-overlapping T-cell receptor repertoires. Together these data demonstrate that although differing by only a single residue, Tp249-59 and Tp250-59 epitopes form distinct ligands for T-cell receptor recognition. Tetramer analysis of T. parva-specific CD8 T-cell lines confirmed the immunodominance of Tp1214-224 in BoLA-A18 animals and showed in BoLA-A10 animals that the Tp2₄₉₋₅₉ epitope response was generally more dominant than the Tp2₅₀₋₅₉ response and confirmed that the Tp2₉₈₋₁₀₆ response was subdominant.

Keywords: cattle; CD8 T cell; epitope; tetramer; Theileria parva.

Introduction

CD8 T cells play a key role in immunity against a range of intracellular pathogens. The antigenic specificity of individual T cells is determined by clonally distributed Tcell receptors (TCR) that recognize short pathogenderived peptide fragments (generally 8–12 amino acids in length) presented in the context of the host's MHC class I (MHC I) molecules on the surface of infected cells. Although the proteome of most pathogens will contain a multitude of peptides that have the potential to act as MHC I-associated epitopes, the CD8 T-cell response tends to be focused on a limited number of dominant epitopes. Due to their inherent immunogenicity, such 'immunodominant' epitopes are considered to be good candidates for inclusion in subunit vaccines and, as such, identification and characterization of the immune responses against these epitopes is a critical component of vaccine development.

Mature MHC I proteins are hetero-trimeric complexes, composed of a peptide bound to a highly polymorphic MHC I α heavy chain, non-covalently associated with invariant β_2 -microglobulin. In vivo assembly of the peptide-MHC I (pMHC I) is a complex and multi-staged process that is tightly regulated by a number of chaperones. The repertoire of peptides that can bind to any MHC I is constrained, first by the dimensions of the peptide-binding groove, which is closed at both ends, so limiting the size of the peptide fragments, and second by the presence of 'pockets' within the groove that preferentially accommodate certain amino acids at particular positions in the peptide. Sequence polymorphisms between MHC I molecules result in morphologically distinct 'pockets' and consequently variation of the repertoire of peptides that can bind stably. For each MHC I allele, the majority of peptides that have the capacity to bind share a 'peptide motif, which reflects preferential usage of certain amino acids in residues involved in 'anchoring' the peptide to the MHC I molecule.

East Coast Fever is an economically important disease of cattle caused by the tick-borne protozoan parasite Theileria parva, which is prevalent in large areas of eastern and southern sub-Saharan Africa. East Coast Fever is estimated to kill over 1 million animals per year and is a major socio-economic constraint on the livelihoods of pastoralists in the affected regions. Although East Coast Fever is often lethal, cattle that recover naturally, or are immunized by an 'infection and treatment method' of vaccination, develop long-lasting strain-specific immunity.¹ Immune animals exhibit potent CD8 T-cell responses specific for lymphocytes infected with the schizont stage of the parasite and there is strong evidence that these CD8 T cells play a key role in immunity.²⁻⁶ The identification of a number of antigens and epitopes recognized by parasite-specific CD8 T cells in animals of defined MHC I genotypes has facilitated studies of the fine antigenic specificity of these responses.7,8 Using in vitro cytotoxicity assays to analyse large sets of CD8 Tcell clones, we have demonstrated that two of these epitopes - Tp1212-224 and Tp249-59 - are highly immunodominant, accounting for > 60% of the CD8 T-cell response, in animals homozygous for BoLA-A10 (A10) and BoLA-A18 (A18) MHC I haplotypes, respectively.⁹

The advent of pMHC I tetramer reagents, composed of fluorescently labelled tetrameric complexes of recombinant MHC I proteins loaded with defined peptides,¹⁰ has provided a more rapid and precise method for identifying, quantifying and characterizing epitope-specific T cells, which is independent of the functional properties of the cells. Herein, we describe studies using pMHC I tetramers to quantify the CD8 T cells specific for three *T. parva* epitopes in the Tp1 and Tp2 antigens – Tp1_{212–224}, Tp2_{49–59} and Tp2_{98–106} – in both *in vitro* cultured CD8 T-cell lines and *ex vivo* T-cell populations. During these studies we demonstrate that the previously defined Tp2_{49–59}-specific T-cell response in A10 animals is composed of two distinct populations specific for Tp2_{49–59} and Tp2_{50–59}. These two epitopes use alternative N-terminal serine residues (S₅₀ and S₅₁, respectively) to bind to the presenting MHC I molecule (2*1201); molecular dynamics simulations indicate that as a result of this the Tp2_{49–59} and Tp2_{50–59} peptides assume distinct conformations when bound to 2*1201, leading to differential TCR recognition and CD8 T cells with distinct specificities for either Tp2_{49–59} or Tp2_{50–59}.

Materials and methods

Animals, immunization and challenge

Holstein Friesian cattle homozygous for either the A10 or A18 MHC I haplotypes were selected for the study by a combination of serological typing with MHC I-specific monoclonal antibodies¹¹ and MHC I allele-specific PCR.¹² Cattle were immunized against the Muguga stock of *T. parva* by infection with cryopreserved sporozoites and simultaneous administration of a long-acting formulation of oxytetracycline, as described previously.¹ Some of the animals were challenged with a lethal dose of sporozoites at specified time periods after immunization. All experimental animal work was completed in accordance with the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986.

Generation of T. parva-specific CD8 T-cell lines and clones

Theileria parva-specific CD8 T-cell lines were generated as described previously.¹³ In brief, peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) harvested from immunized animals by density gradient centrifugation were stimulated three times at weekly intervals by co-culture with γ irradiated (60 Gy) autologous T. parva-infected cells. Before the third stimulation, cell lines were depleted of CD4 T cells and $\gamma\delta$ T cells by complement-mediated lysis with lineage-specific antibodies (CD4: IL-A12, IgG2a,14 and TCR-γδ: GB21A, IgG2b, VMRD, Washington State University, WA). During the third stimulation, the culture medium was supplemented with 100 U/ml of recombinant human interleukin-2 (IL-2; Chiron, Emeryville, CA). Clones were generated from the CD8 T-cellenriched cell lines by limiting dilution 7 days after the third stimulation and expanded by re-stimulation as detailed elsewhere.¹³ All tissue culture was conducted in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS), 20 mM HEPES buffer, 50 μ M β - mercaptoethanol, 2 mM $_L\text{-glutamine},$ 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ streptomycin.

Purified populations of CD8 T cells specific for Tp2_{49–59} and Tp2_{50–59} used for TCR- β (TRB) chain sequence analysis were derived from CD8 T cells purified from PBMC of immunized animals by MACS separation (Miltentyi Biotec, Bisley, UK) using a CD8-specific monoclonal antibody (IL-A51–IgG1¹⁵), and cultured for 10–11 days in the presence of autologous *T. parva*-infected cells. Epitope-specific T cells were purified from these cultures by tetramer staining followed by cell sorting as described below and then re-stimulated for an additional 7 days. Purity of tetramer-sorted populations was verified as being > 98% before mRNA extraction.

Tetramer production

The cDNA clones of the BoLA class I alleles 2*01201 and 6*01301 were used as templates to amplify by PCR the sequence coding for the extracellular domain (amino acids G₂₂-P₃₀₇) of the heavy chains. The PCR products were cloned into a derivative of plasmid pET3D (Novagen, Merck, Nottingham, UK) containing a BirA biotinylation site in-frame with the 3' end of the heavy chain sequences. The sequence coding for the mature bovine β_2 -microglobulin (amino acids I21-L118) was cloned into a derivative of pET9 (Novagen). The proteins were expressed in Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) as inclusion bodies. The cell cultures were lysed by high-pressure cell disruption, inclusion bodies collected by centrifugation and washed extensively with 50 mm Tris-HCl, 100 mm NaCl, 1 mm EDTA, 1 mm dithiothreitol, and 0.5% (w/v) sodium deoxycholate (pH 8). Washed inclusion bodies were solubilized, for the heavy chains, in 6 M guanidine hydrochloride, 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8), and for the β_2 -microglobulin, in 8 M urea, 25 mM sodium acetate (pH 4).

Recombinant MHC I/peptide complexes were folded by direct dilution of the denatured heavy chain (1 μ M), β_2 microglobulin (2 μ M) and the peptide (10 μ M) in the following refolding mixture: 50 mM Tris–HCl, 400 mM L-arginine hydrochloride, 2 mM EDTA, 5 mM reduced glutathione, 0.5 mM oxidized glutathione and 0.1 mM PMSF (pH8·5). The mixture was incubated for 72 hr at 4° and then concentrated by ultrafiltration. The soluble MHC/peptide complexes were purified by gel filtration and biotinylated using the BirA enzyme (Avidity LCC, Denver, CO). Fluorescent multimers were obtained by mixing biotinylated MHC/peptide complexes with streptavidin–phycoerythrin conjugate (BD Pharmingen, Franklin Lakes, NJ).

Tetramer staining and purification of tetramer-positive cells

Tetramer-positive populations within PBMC were analysed using multi-colour flow cytometry. In brief, PBMC $(1 \times 10^7/\text{ml})$ were stained with phycoerythrin-labelled tetramers at a final concentration of 20 nm for 30 min at room temperature, washed twice in PBS containing 0.5% FBS before staining with a mixture of monoclonal antibodies against CD4 (IL-A12), TCR-γδ (GB21A), CD21 (CC21, IgG1¹⁶), NKp46 (EC1.1 IgG1¹⁷) and CD172a (IL-A24, IgG1¹⁸) for 30 min at 4°. After three washes, cells were incubated for 30 min at 4° with Alexa Fluor 647conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Life Technologies, Paisley, UK), washed three times, and then SYTOX Red Dead Cell Stain (Life Technologies) was added. Flow cytometric analysis was then conducted on a FACSCalibur or cellsorting on a FACSAria (both BD Biosciences, Oxford, UK). All monoclonal antibodies had been titrated to determine optimal dilutions before the experiment and the combination of antibodies and stains had been demonstrated to leave viable CD8 $\alpha\beta$ T cells as the only unstained population (data not shown), which could therefore be gated as the Alexfluor647/SYTOX Red-negative subset. For tetramer staining of cultured CD8 T cells staining with lineage-specific antibodies was excluded.

Cytotoxicity and MHC I-binding competition assays

Standard 4-hr [¹¹¹In]-release cytotoxicity assays were used to examine the epitope-specificity of CD8 T-cell clones, using autologous *Theileria annulata*-infected cells that had been incubated with peptides (100 ng/ml) for 1 hr before the assay as target cells. All assays were conducted in duplicate, and controls included *Theileria annulata*infected target cells without added peptide. Percentage specific-lysis was calculated as: [(sample release – spontaneous release) × 100%/(maximal release – spontaneous release)] and expressed as the mean of the duplicated assays. Maximal and spontaneous release were derived from triplicates of target cells incubated with 0.2% (v/v) Tween-20 and RPMI-1640 medium containing 5% (v/v) FBS, respectively. All peptides in this study were supplied by Pepscan Systems (Lelystad, the Netherlands).

The capacity of variants of the $Tp2_{49-59}$ and $Tp2_{50-59}$ peptides to bind MHC I was determined by their ability to compete with Tp2₉₈₋₁₀₆, another epitope also presented by the 2*01201 MHC I allele.7 Autologous T. annulatainfected target cells were pre-incubated with individual peptides at serial threefold dilutions ranging either from 3 μ g/ml to 30 ng/ml or from 5 μ g/ml to 10 ng/ml for 1 hr before the addition of Tp2₉₈₋₁₀₆ at 100 ng/ml, so that the competitor/target peptide ratio ranged from either 30:1 to 0.3: 1 or 50: 1 to 0.1: 1 respectively. After incubation for a further hour, 4 hr [¹¹¹In]-release cytotoxicity assays were performed using a Tp298-106-specific CD8 T-cell clone at an effector to target ratio of 10 : 1. The MHC-binding capacity of the Tp249-59 and Tp250-59 peptide variants was reflected in the inhibition of cytotoxicity as a consequence of competitive blocking of MHC binding and presentation of the Tp298-106 peptide.

TRB chain sequencing

For sequencing of TRB chains expressed by CD8 T-cell clones, total RNA was extracted using Tri-reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK) and cDNA synthesized using the Reverse Transcription System (Promega, Madison, WI) with priming by the Oligo $(dT)_{15}$ primer, according to the manufacturer's instructions. TRB chains were PCR amplified using either V β -subgroup-specific or pan-V β primers as described previously^{19,20} and the products were sequenced. For sequencing of tetramer-sorted polyclonal populations TRB chains were amplified using a SMART PCR protocol, modified from that described in Quigley et al.,²¹ that allows unbiased TRB chain sequencing. In brief, mRNA was extracted from tetramer-sorted CD8 T cells using Oligotex Direct mRNA kit (Qiagen, Manchester, UK) and cDNA was synthesized with the SMARTer RACE cDNA Kit (Clontech, Paris, France). The cDNA was then cleaned using NucleoSpin Extract II (Clontech) before PCR amplification with a bovine TRB constant gene-specific primer (5'-GGA-GATCTCTGCTTCCGAGGGTTC-3'). PCR products were subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis and extracted, purified using NucleoSpin Extract II, ligated into pGEM-T Easy (Promega) and sub-cloned into JM109 E. coli. The inserts in individual colonies were PCR amplified and the products were sequenced. Sequence analysis was performed using the DNASIS MAX v2.0 software package under default conditions (Miriabio, Alameda, CA). Throughout the manuscript, nomenclature based on the World Health Organization-IUIS TCR nomenclature system as used by Arden *et al.*²² has been employed for V β genes, whereas the J β gene nomenclature is based upon their organization in the bovine TRB locus.²³

Molecular dynamics simulations

The coordinates for the bovine MHC class I allele 6*01301 bound to both β_2 -microglobulin and to the 11mer peptide from T. parva, Tp1214-224 were obtained (pdb code 2XFX²⁴). By using the DEEPVIEW Swiss PDB Viewer, the sequence of MHC I allele 2*1201 was threaded into the structure of the 6*01301 allele and the sequence of the Tp249-59 peptide was threaded into the structure of the Tp1₂₁₄₋₂₂₄ peptide; the β_2 -microglobulin structure was left unchanged. The new model was energy minimized in DEEPVIEW and coordinates were saved. A replicate model with the 10-mer peptide Tp250-59 was created by removing a central amino acid from the peptide structure, re-ligating the peptide backbone, re-creating the correct amino acid sequence and then energy minimizing in DEEPVIEW. This process yielded two starting structures for simulations.

All molecular dynamics simulations were performed by use of the AMBER 7 suite of programmes and essentially

followed previously published methods for estimating the structures of peptides bound to MHC molecules.²⁵ In all simulations the Gibbs approximation of implicit water was used with a salt concentration of 0.1 M, a nonbonded cut-off of 12.0 Å and a step length of 1 fs. Briefly, structures were extensively energy minimized to remove local hotspots introduced by the threading/mutagenesis procedures and were then subjected to a simulated annealing process followed by constant temperature molecular dynamics at 283 K. During the annealing phase the temperature was raised to 1500 K over 80 ps, held at 1500 K for a further 80 ps and then reduced to 283 K over 800 ps before a final hold at 283 K for 40 ps (total annealing time 1 ns). During the initial energy minimization an energy constraint of 5 kcal/mol was applied to both the MHC I molecule and β_2 -microglobulin, whereas during the simulated annealing these restraints were raised to 20 kcal/mol. Also, during the annealing phase, three distance constraints were applied to ensure that the peptide remained in the binding groove - these constraints limited hydrogen bond lengths between: (i) OG1 atom of Thr 148 of MHC I and the C-terminal O atom of the peptide; (ii) OH atom of Tyr 164 of MHC I and the carbonyl oxygen of peptide residue 1; and (iii) the OH atom of Tyr 176 of MHC I and the N-terminal N atom of peptide residue 1. In all simulations the 'shake' algorithm was applied to limit bond lengths involving hydrogen atoms. The final structures after simulated annealing were energy minimized without restraints and structures were subjected to 4 ns of molecular dynamics at 283 K in the presence of the above three distance constraints and with 20 kcal/mol positional restraints applied to the MHC I and β_2 -microglobulin molecules. Coordinates were saved at regular intervals throughout the molecular dynamics simulations and analysed using VMD; VMD is developed with NIH support by the Theoretical and Computational Biophysics group at the Beckman Institute, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA.²⁶

Results

A subset of $Tp2_{49-59}$ peptide-reactive CD8 T cells do not bind the $Tp2_{49-59}/2*01201$ tetramer

Previous analyses of panels of cloned CD8 T-cell lines demonstrated that the responses of A10 and A18 homozygous cattle against *T. parva* Muguga were dominated by T cells specific for the Tp2_{49–59} (KSSHGMGKVGK) and Tp1_{214–224} (VGYPKVKEEML) epitopes respectively.⁹ In these analyses Tp2_{98–106} (QSLVCVLMK) was found to be a subdominant epitope in homozygous A10 responses. As part of efforts to extend our studies to include *ex vivo* analyses, pMHC I tetrameric complexes were generated with the relevant MHC I heavy chains (6*01301 for $Tp1_{214-224}$ and 2*01201 for both $Tp2_{49-59}$ and $Tp2_{98-106}$).

In initial experiments to validate these tetramers, epitope-specific CD8 T-cell clones and polyclonal T-cell lines (from which defined percentages of epitope-specific T-cell clones had been determined by cytotoxicity assays against peptide-loaded autologous targets), were stained with the tetramers (Table 1). The percentage of Tp1₂₁₄₋₂₂₄-tetramer-positive cells in polyclonal T. parva Muguga-specific CD8 T-cell lines from two A18 homozygous animals corresponded well with results from clonal analysis. Similarly, the percentage of Tp298-106-tetramer-reactive cells in polyclonal T. parva Muguga-specific CD8 T-cell lines from two A10 homozygous animals approximated to that determined by epitope-specific cytotoxicity results. In contrast, the percentage of Tp2₄₉₋₅₉-tetramer-positive cells in the A10 homozygous lines was considerably lower than that indicated from the clonal analyses of the lines. Examination of individual CD8 T-cell clones (n = 29) demonstrated that half of those shown to react with the $Tp2_{49-}$ 59 peptide did not stain with the Tp249-59-tetramer (Table 2). These data suggested that the $Tp2_{49-59}$ -specific populations defined by cytotoxicity assays were in fact heterogeneous and included a component for which Tp2₄₉₋₅₉ was not the epitope.

Tp2₅₀₋₅₉ is a novel 2*01201-restricted *T. parva* epitope

A potential reason for some $Tp2_{49-59}$ peptide-reactive Tcell clones failing to bind the $Tp2_{49-59}$ -tetramer is that they recognize a truncated version of the $Tp2_{49-59}$ peptide. This could have been missed in the initial minimal epitope mapping of the $Tp2_{49-59}$ epitope⁷ if the polyclonal CD8 T-cell line used had a low frequency of T cells specific for a shorter epitope. We therefore performed minimal-length peptide screening with synthetic peptides using three CD8 T-cell clones that recognized Tp2₄₉₋₅₉-pulsed targets but failed to react with the Tp2₄₉₋ 59-tetramer (Fig. 1). For all three clones the N-terminal truncated 10-mer Tp250-59 (SSHGMGKVGK) was found to be the preferred epitope (Fig. 1a-c), maintaining the ability to elicit cytotoxicity at approximately a log lower peptide concentration (EC₅₀ = 1×10^{-9} to 1×10^{-10} M) than the Tp2_{49-59} 11-mer (EC₅₀ = 1 × 10⁻⁸ to 1×10^{-9} M). Recognition of the 9-mer peptide Tp2₅₁₋₅₉ was substantially weaker, failing to elicit cytotoxicity at concentrations below 3×10^{-8} M. Analysis of a Tp2₄₉₋₅₉tetramer-reactive clone demonstrated that, as anticipated, lysis was observed only with cells incubated with the Tp2₄₉₋₅₉ 11-mer (Fig. 1d). Removal of one residue at the C-terminus (Tp249-58 10-mer) resulted in loss of T-cell recognition by all four clones examined (Fig. 1a-d).

$Tp2_{49-59}$ - and $Tp2_{50-59}$ -specific CD8 T cells are distinct populations

Based on these data, a Tp2₅₀₋₅₉/2*01201 tetramer was generated and shown to positively stain all CD8 T-cell clones that recognized Tp2₄₉₋₅₉-pulsed cells but failed to react with the Tp2₄₉₋₅₉-tetramer (Table 2). Conversely, those clones that stained with the Tp2₄₉₋₅₉-tetramer did not stain with the Tp2₅₀₋₅₉-tetramer, demonstrating that Tp2₄₉₋₅₉- and Tp2₅₀₋₅₉-specificity is mutually exclusive (e.g. Fig. 2) Furthermore they indicated that the Tp2₄₉₋₅₉- and Tp2₅₀₋₅₉-tetramer staining populations together constitute the total of the previously defined Tp2₄₉₋₅₉-specific populations; within the

Table 1. Frequency of epitope-specific populations in polyclonal *Theileria parva*-specific CD8 T-cell lines from BoLA-A10 and BoLA-18 homozy-gous animals identified by clonal analysis and peptide–MHC I-tetramer staining

Animal	Immune status	BoLA-A18 Tp1 ₂₁₄₋₂₂₄		BoLA-A10						
				Tp2 ₉₈₋₁₀₆		Tp2 ₄₉₋₅₉				
		Clonal analysis	Tetramer	Clonal analysis	Tetramer	Clonal analysis	Tp2 _{49–59} Tetramer	Tp2 _{50–59} Tetramer	Tp2 _{49–59 +} Tp2 _{50–59} Tetramer	
592 (A10)	PI			3%	3.5%	60%	23.8%	20.0%	43.8%	
	PC			10%	11.6%	80%	32.2%	32.5%	64.7%	
1011 (A10)	PI			2%	3.1%	74%	56.0%	10.5%	66.5%	
	PC			2%	1.0%	61%	40.9%	27.7%	68.6%	
641 (A18)	PI	78%	70.0%							
468 (A18)	PI	81%	76.4%							

The percentage of epitope-specific T cells in polyclonal *T. parva*-specific CD8 T-cell lines obtained from BoLA-A10 (592 and 1011) and BoLA-A18 homozygous animals (641 and 468) as defined by analysis of cytotoxic activity of panels of cloned CD8 T cells against peptide-loaded autologous targets (clonal analysis) and direct pMHC I tetramer staining. Post-immunization (PI) Post-challenge (PC). IFNG analysis on subsets of clones indicated that the frequency of epitope-specific (i.e. IFNG⁺) but non-cytotoxic cells in the lines examined was negligible (data not shown) and therefore cytotoxicity has been taken as a proxy for epitope-specificity.

	TRB sequence			Tetramer staining	
Clone	TRBV	CDR3	TRBJ	Tp2 ₄₉₋₅₉	Tp2 ₅₀₋₅₉
1011.1-1	1.6	SQVGGIYGEL	3s1	_	+
592.54-1	1.7	SPNSYEQ	3s7	_	+
592.56-1	1.7	SPNSYEÕ	3s7	_	+
592.77-1	2.4	QWGGSYÊEQ	2S1	_	+
1011.37-1	2.4	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	357	_	+
592.15-1	3.1	SRKGGGLØSTÕ	2\$3	+	_
592.43-1	10.2	SQADSGAYEQ	357	+	_
592.43-3	12.1	HIRGGLDTOPL	352	_	+
1011.68-3	12.2	SYSPGGGSPL	353	+	_
1011.12-1	12.2	SYSPGGGSPL	3\$3	+	_
592.9-1	13.2	SHAGYEQ	357	+	_
1011.13-1	14.1	SVGNSNYEQ	3s7	+	_
1011.21-1	14.1	SVGNSNYEÕ	3s7	+	_
1011.25-1	14.1	SVGNSNYEÕ	3s7	+	_
1011.16-3	14.1	SVGNSNYEÕ	3s7	+	_
1011.22-3	14.1	SVGNSNYEÕ	3s7	+	_
1011.21-3	14.1	SVGNSNYEÕ	3s7	+	_
1011.29-3	14.1	SVGNSNYEÕ	3s7	+	_
1011.31-3	14.1	SVGNSNYEÕ	3s7	+	_
1011.6-3	28.1	AEYGGENTÕPL	3s2	_	+
1011.15-3	28.1	AEYGGENTÕPL	3s2	_	+
1011.35-3	28.1	AEYGGENTÕPL	3s2	_	+
592.35-1	28.1	AEYGGENTÕPL	3s2	_	+
592.50-1	28.1	AEYGGENTÕPL	3s2	_	+
592.59-1	28.1	AEYGGENTÕPL	3s2	_	+
592.18-1	28.1	AEYGGENTÕPL	3s2	_	+
592.26-1	28.1	AEYGGENTÕPL	3s2	_	+
592.55-1	28.1	GGRDSIYDŸ	3s2	_	+
1011.5-1	Х	SKAAAEDGYEO	357	+	_

Table 2. Peptide–MHC I tetramer staining of *Theileria parva-specific* CD8 T-cell clones derived from BoLA-A10 homozygous animals that cyto-toxic analysis had identified as $Tp2_{49-59}$ -specific

polyclonal lines the combined frequency of the Tp2_{49–} $_{59}$ - and Tp2_{50–59}-tetramer stained cells were similar to that of Tp_{49–59}-specific cells obtained from cytotoxicity analysis (Table 1).

To further investigate the distinct nature of these populations, the TCR repertoires of sets of $Tp2_{49-59}$ - and $Tp2_{50-59}$ -specific CD8 T cells were examined by sequencing of the expressed TRB chains. The CD8 T-cell clones derived from the two A10 animals (592 and 1011) exhibited a broad sequence repertoire although there were dominant clonotypes present in both animals (Table 2). The TRB repertoires of the $Tp2_{49-59}$ - and $Tp2_{50-59}$ -specific populations were largely 'private' (i.e. not shared between animals); however, there was one TRB sequence (VB28.1-AEYGGENTQPL-JB3s2) which was shared by a proportion of the $Tp2_{50-59}$ -specific clones of the two animals. In contrast, there was no evident commonality of

TRB sequences between the $Tp2_{49-59}$ - and $Tp2_{50-59}$ -specific populations within the individual animals.

To provide a higher resolution analysis, larger numbers (> 70) of TRB chains were sequenced from $Tp2_{49-59}$ - and $Tp2_{50-59}$ -tetramer-sorted cell lines established from two additional A10-homozygous animals (302186 and 403992), using a SMART-PCR protocol that allows unbiased TRB chain sequencing in multi-clonal populations. The $Tp2_{49-59}$ - and $Tp2_{50-59}$ -specific populations were oligoclonal, being dominated by one or two large clono-types, and the TRB repertoires were largely 'private' (Fig. 3), although the VB28.1-AEYGGENTQPL-JB3s2 TRB chain observed in animals 592 and 1011 was also identified in animal 302186. Critically, across the four animals no TRB sequence was shared between $Tp2_{49-59}$ - and $Tp2_{50-59}$ -specific T cells. The disparity between the TRB chain repertoires of CD8 T cells specific for the two

For each clone the designated name (animal number, clone number and a -1' and -3' suffix referring to clones derived from lines established post-immunization and post-challenge respectively), sequence of the expressed TRB chains (composed of the TRBV gene, amino acid sequence of the CDR3 region and the TRBJ gene) and the result of staining with the Tp2₄₉₋₅₉ and Tp2₅₀₋₅₉ pMHC I tetramers are shown.



Figure 1. Minimal length peptide screening identifies $Tp_{2_{50-59}}$ as a novel epitope. Cytotoxic activity of $Tp_{4_{9-59}}$ -lytic clones against titrated quantities of $Tp_{4_{9-59}}$ and truncations of this peptide. Three clones – 592.11/1 (a), 592.38/1 (b) and 592.57/1 (c) – which lysed $Tp_{4_{9-59}}$ -loaded autologous targets but did not stain with the $Tp_{4_{9-59}}$ -tetramer all efficiently lysed $Tp_{5_{0-59}}$ - but not $Tp_{5_{1-59}}$ or $Tp_{4_{9-59}}$ -loaded targets. 592.78/1 (d) which stained with the $Tp_{4_{9-59}}$ -loaded autologous targets but not targets loaded with any of the truncated peptides.



Figure 2. Tetramer staining of $Tp2_{49-59}$ - and $Tp2_{50-59}$ -specific clones. Tetramer staining of representative $Tp2_{49-59}$ - (1011.13-1) and $Tp2_{50-59}$ - (592.43-1) specific clones demonstrates specificity of staining, with an absence of cross-reactivity.

epitopes, indicate that they represent biophysically discrete pMHC I ligands for TCR recognition.

$Tp2_{49-59}$ and $Tp2_{50-59}$ epitopes use different N-terminal MHC I binding anchor residues

In a previous study, alanine scanning mutagenesis demonstrated that Ly_{59} was the C-terminus anchor residue of the Tp_{249-59} epitope but an N-terminal anchor residue was not identified.²⁷ MHCcluster 2.0 predictions indicating that a serine (Ser), alanine (Ala) or threonine

(Thr) at P2 is the preferred N-anchor residue for $2*01201^{28}$ suggests that single-alanine mutagenesis may not have affected MHC I binding either as a consequence of the similar biophysical characteristics of Ser and Ala (small and neutral) and/or the capacity of the tandem Ser₅₀ and Ser₅₁ residues to serve as alternative anchor residues. Further MHC I-binding competition assays using peptides in which either or both Ser₅₀ and Ser₅₁ residues of both the Tp2_{49–59} (Fig. 4a) and Tp2_{50–59} (Fig. 4b) peptides were substituted with Ala demonstrated that all permutations of Ser and Ala at these positions



Figure 3. T-cell receptor- β (TRB) chain sequencing of Tp2₄₉₋₅₉ and Tp2₅₀₋₅₉-sorted populations. TRB chains were sequenced from Tp2₄₉₋₅₉ and Tp2₅₀₋₅₉-tetramer sorted populations from 2 BoLA-A10 homozygous animals – (a) 302186 and (b) 403992 – using an unbiased SMART-PCR protocol. The number of sequences generated from each population was > 70.

maintained equivalent capacity to bind 2*01201, indicating that Ser to Ala substitution was insufficient to disrupt MHC I binding.

We therefore generated a series of mutant peptides in which the Ser50 and Ser51 residues were replaced with glutamic acid (Glu – large and negatively charged), leucine (Leu - large and neutral) and lysine (Lys - large and positively charged) residues at P2 and evaluated their performance, along with the peptides containing either a P2 serine or alanine substitution, in the MHC I-binding competition assay (Fig. 4c). Consistent with our previous observations, the peptides with the Ser or Ala at P2 were able to bind to 2*01201, but the mutant peptides with Glu, Leu or Lys residues at P2 all exhibited no or only very limited binding to 2*01201. Based on these data, we repeated the MHC I-binding competition assay with positions 50 and 51 of Tp2₄₉₋₅₉ and Tp2₅₀₋₅₉ substituted with all the different permutations of Ser and Lys. For both Tp2₄₉₋₅₉ (Fig. 4d) and Tp2₅₀₋₅₉ (Fig. 4e) the double lysine substitutions at positions 50 and 51 (Lys₅₀Lys₅₁) abrogated MHC I binding, as anticipated. For the Tp2₄₉₋ 59 peptide, the Lys50Ser51 but not the Ser50Lys51 substitution abrogated MHC I binding, whereas the reciprocal was true for Tp2₅₀₋₅₉. These results indicate that Ser₅₀

and Ser₅₁ serve as the N' terminal anchors for Tp2_{49–59} and Tp2_{50–59}, respectively. A corollary of this is that for Tp2_{49–59} an extra amino acid has to be accommodated within the 2*01201 binding groove when compared with Tp2_{50–59}, suggesting that the former must adopt a more 'bulged' conformation.

Molecular dynamics simulations of $Tp2_{49-59}$ and $Tp2_{50-59}$ MHC I complexes predicts different peptide presentation to TCR

To model the structural differences between the pMHC I complexes formed by $Tp2_{49-59}$ and $Tp2_{50-59}$ with 2*01201 at the atomic level we adopted a simulated annealing approach. The predicted structures of the pMHC complexes at the end of the simulated annealing procedure are shown in Fig. 5. As predicted, when bound to 2*01201 $Tp2_{49-59}$ has a more 'bulged' conformation than $Tp2_{50-59}$, with a greater component of the peptide protruding above the surface of the binding groove. However, more striking is the overall structural disparity between the two pMHC I complexes. First, at the N-terminus the side chains of both Lys₄₉ and His₅₂ form prominent features in the $Tp2_{49-59}$ complex that could





Figure 4. $Tp2_{49-59}$ and $Tp2_{50-59}$ bind to 2*01201 using different N-terminal anchors. (a and b) An MHC I-binding competition assay in which all permutations of serine and alanine at $Tp2_{50}$ and $Tp2_{51}$ were analysed demonstrates that the serine to alanine mutation does not abrogate MHC I binding of either the (a) $Tp2_{49-59}$ or (b) $Tp2_{50-59}$ epitopes, whereas lysine to alanine substitution of $Tp2_{59}$ or removal of S_{50} does. (c) Mutant 10-mer peptides in which S_{50} and S_{51} are replaced with single alanine (A), serine (S), glutamic acid (E), leucine (L) or lysine (K) residues demonstrate that the E, L and K substitutions all abrogate MHC I binding. MHC I competition assays in which all permutations of serine and lysine at $Tp2_{50}$ and $Tp2_{51}$ were analysed demonstrate that S_{50} and S_{51} are the N-terminal anchor residues of $Tp2_{49-59}$ (d) and $Tp2_{50-59}$ (e) respectively. In each panel the index peptide (i.e. unmodified or minimally modified) sequence and data are shown in grey.

form potential positively-charged recognition sites for TCR. In contrast, in the model of $Tp2_{50-59}$, Lys_{49} is obviously absent and the side-chain of His_{52} is solvent-protected, largely because the side chain of residue Arg_{160} of the MHC I chain, located on the lip of the binding groove, overlaps the His_{52} side-chain. Second, although the side chains of Met_{54} and Lys_{56} in the central region of the pMHC I structures are surface-exposed in both $Tp2_{49-59}$ and $Tp2_{50-59}$ and likely to be part of the pMHC I topography recognized by cognate TCR, the orientation of these two residues is markedly different. We performed further constant-temperature molecular dynamics on these structures over 4 ns and analysed peptide conformations over 1 ps. The differences between the

complexes were maintained throughout the simulations, demonstrating that the conformations depicted in Fig. 5 are representative of the entire data set. Hence, the two pMHC I complexes are predicted to present different structural motifs to the TCR, providing the mechanistic basis for why $Tp2_{49-59}$ and $Tp2_{50-59}$ are recognized by distinct TCR repertoires and consequently discrete CD8 T-cell populations.

The kinetics and relative immunodominance of Tp2 epitope-specific responses *ex vivo*

A primary purpose of developing the tetramers was to facilitate analysis and quantification of epitope-specific

T. K. Connelley et al.



Figure 5. Modelling of the $Tp2_{49-59}$ and $Tp2_{50-59}$ -2*01201 structures. The conformation of $Tp2_{50-59}$ (a) and (c) and $Tp2_{49-59}$ (b) and (d) after simulated annealing. (a) and (b) show the peptide structures in isolation, whereas (c) and (d) show the same peptide structures *in situ* in the peptide binding groove of 2*01201. In each case, the peptide backbone is displayed as a continuous spline, whereas side chains are displayed as ball and stick representations. The MHC chain is displayed as a space-filled representation. Figures were prepared using VMD.

CD8 T-cell responses without the need for sustained in vitro culture and clonal analysis. Two A10 homozygous animals (403992 and 302186), previously immunized by the 'infection and treatment method' vaccination protocol, were challenged with a lethal dose of T. parva sporozoites and the CD8 T-cell responses against Tp249-59, Tp250-59 and Tp298-106 were monitored directly ex vivo using tetramers (Fig. 6a,b). In both animals a Tp2₄₉₋₅₉specific response was evident, peaking at day 13/14 postchallenge at 7.5% and 0.5% of CD8 T cells in 302186 and 403992, respectively. A response against Tp2₅₀₋₅₉ was also observed in 302186, with a maximum of 0.28% of tetramer-positive CD8 T cells on day 13 post-challenge (data not shown). No Tp250-59 response was detected in 403992 and no Tp298-106-tetramer-positive population was seen in either animal.

To determine if the relative frequency of tetramer-specific CD8 T cells observed following *in vitro* stimulation reflects that observed directly *ex vivo*, purified CD8 T-cell cultures were established from these two animals by coculture with autologous *T. parva*-infected cells and analysed over 13 days (Fig. 6c). Although the enrichment resulting from the *in vitro* stimulation enabled the detection of the subdominant $Tp2_{50-59}$ and $Tp2_{98-106}$ responses in these cultures, the dominance hierarchy of the $Tp2_{49-}$ $_{59}$ -specific responses corresponded with the results obtained *ex vivo* during challenge.

Discussion

Although pMHC I tetramers are widely used in humans and mice to analyse CD8 T-cell responses, there is only one report of their use to examine responses in cattle.²⁹ As part of studies to analyse the antigenic specificity of bovine CD8 T-cell responses to *T. parva*, the present study set out to use pMHC I tetramers to quantify CD8 T-cell responses to defined epitopes presented by two MHC I alleles. In addition to providing data on the relative abundance of T-cell specificities, both *in vivo* and *in vitro*, the studies revealed that the response to one of the epitopes comprised two distinct CD8 T-cell populations specific for 10-mer and 11-mer peptides within the same 11 amino acid sequence.

All three tetramers examined in the initial experiments stained discrete populations of cells in polyclonal CD8 Tcell lines, in which the proportion of epitope-specific T cells had been estimated previously by clonal analysis based on detection of cytolysis of peptide-loaded target cells.9 The percentages of positive cells detected by two of the tetramers, Tp1₂₁₄₋₂₂₄/6*01301 and Tp2₉₈₋₁₀₆/2*01201, were consistent with the results obtained using cytotoxicity assays. In contrast, the proportion of Tp249-59/ 2*01201 tetramer-positive cells was substantially lower than expected based on the clonal analysis of the CD8 Tcell lines and a number of clones shown to recognize the Tp2₄₉₋₅₉ peptide failed to stain with the tetramer. Based on these observations, we tested the ability of three CD8 T-cell clones, which reacted with the Tp249-59 peptide but were not stained by the tetramer, to recognize a series of truncated peptides. The results of these experiments clearly identified Tp2₅₀₋₅₉ as the minimal epitope for all three clones. We subsequently showed that all of the Tp2₄₉₋₅₉-reactive but Tp2₄₉₋₅₉/2*01201 tetramer-negative clones examined stained with a Tp250-59/2*01201 tetramer, demonstrating that Tp249-59 peptide-reactive CD8 T-cell populations were composed of two distinct subpopulations specific for overlapping epitopes of different lengths. The failure to identify the $Tp2_{50-59}$ epitope in the



Figure 6. Use of tetramers to monitor the Tp2₄₉₋₅₉, Tp2₅₀₋₅₉ and Tp2₉₈₋₁₀₆ responses in *Theileria parva*-immunized BoLA-A10 homozygous animals. (a) The gating strategy used to monitor the Tp2₄₉₋₅₉, Tp2₅₀₋₅₉ and Tp2₉₈₋₁₀₆-specific populations *ex vivo*. (b) *Ex vivo* frequency of tetramer-specific CD8 T-cell populations following an *in vivo* challenge with *T. parva* Muguga sporozoite stabilate and (c) following a single *in vitro* stimulation with autologous *T. parva* Muguga infected cell lines. (a) For gating (i) peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were gated based on FSC/SSC parameters, (ii) $\alpha\beta$ CD8 T cells were identified as being negative for the expression of CD4, TCR- $\gamma\delta$, CD21, NKp46 and CD172a and (iii–v) epitope-specific responses were identified by tetramer staining (b) Tp2₄₉₋₅₉-tetramer staining identified epitope-specific populations in both 302186 and 403992. A Tp2₅₀₋₅₉-tetramer positive population was identified in 302186 (data not shown) but not 403992 whereas in neither animal was a Tp2₉₈₋₁₀₆-tetramer positive population identified. (c) Tetramer staining of CD8 T cells from 302186 and 403992 following a single *in vitro* stimulation with autologous *T. parva*-infected cells identified Tp2₄₉₋₅₉ (white bars), Tp2₅₀₋₅₉ (grey bars) and Tp2₉₈₋₁₀₆ (black bars) responses in both animals.

initial epitope mapping studies⁷ probably reflects the absence or low frequency of $Tp2_{50-59}$ -specific T cells in the polyclonal CD8 T-cell line used in the analyses. Recently, another of the identified Tp2 epitopes, restricted by a different MHC allele, has been shown to be smaller than initially described.²⁹ Together these data illustrate how tetramers can be used to verify and refine the epitopes identified by traditional epitope mapping techniques.

Few models analogous to the $Tp2_{49-59}/Tp2_{50-59}$ overlapping epitope scenario have been documented. One example that has been studied in detail is the HLA-A2restricted response against the human melanoma antigen Melan-A^{MART-1}, where both a nonamer (Melan-A₂₇₋ and decamer ₃₅ – AAGIGILTV) (Melan-A₂₆₋ 35 - EAAGIGILTV) are CD8 T-cell epitopes. Crystallographic studies have shown that the Melan-A27-35 and Melan-A₂₆₋₃₅ epitopes use A₂₈ and A₂₇ residues as the Nterminal anchors³⁰ for MHC I binding respectively - the use of alternative tandem residues at the N-terminus directly imitating the Tp249-59/Tp250-59 scenario. Similarly, the adoption of strikingly different conformations by Melan-A₂₇₋₃₅ and Melan-A₂₆₋₃₅ when bound to HLA-A2 is emulated in the modelling results we present here for Tp2₄₉₋₅₉ and Tp2₅₀₋₅₉. Despite these similarities, there is a marked difference between the Melan-A27-35/MelanA26-35 and Tp249-59/Tp250-59 systems with regard to tetramer binding. In the Melan-A27-35/Melan-A26-35 system, in addition to CD8 T-cell subsets specific for either Melan-A27-35 or Melan-A26-35 there is also a subset that binds tetramers generated with both peptides.³¹ Detailed crystallographic analysis of the ternary TCR-pMHC structures formed by two cross-reactive TCR (DMF4 and DMF5) with both Melan-A27-35/HLA-A2 and Melan-A26-35/HLA-A2 have provided insights into the molecular basis of this cross-reactive capacity of Melan-A-specific T cells.³² Although DMF4 and DMF5 use different mechanisms to achieve cross-recognition, for both TCRs, a critical common feature is that upon TCR ligation the nonameric peptide is lifted out of the MHC I groove and adopts a 'bulged' conformation that is more similar to that of the decamer. This 'induced molecular mimicry' has been described in a variety of pMHC I/TCR structures,^{33,34} and in the case of Melan-A27-35 the elevation of the peptide may be permitted by the sub-optimal binding of the A28 residue with the N-terminal pocket of the HLA-A2 (which has a preference for a large residue such as leucine). In contrast, our data indicate that the Tp249-59and Tp2₅₀₋₅₉-tetramers are not cross-reactively bound by T cells, suggesting that they effectively constitute two separate pMHC I entities, which are recognized by distinct repertoires of TCR. TRB chain sequence analysis confirmed that Tp249-59- and Tp250-59-specific CD8 T cells use distinct, non-overlapping TCR repertoires. Molecular modelling demonstrated that the differences between the Tp2₅₀₋₅₉/2*01201 and Tp2₄₉₋₅₉/2*01201 structures spanned much of the pMHC I-surface with prominent N-terminal features in Tp249-59 formed by Lys49 and His52 being absent from Tp250-59, and different orientations of the protruding Lys56 residue side chain towards the C-terminus. Consequently there is limited commonality between the pMHC I structures available for binding by cognate TCRs and unlike the Melan-A^{MART-1} system, Tp249-59 and Tp250-59 use optimal N-terminal (and Cterminal) anchors, which may limit the capacity of TCR binding to alter the conformation of the pMHC I structures and so reduce the capacity for homogenization by 'induced molecular' mechanisms.

The Tp2 antigen is highly polymorphic, showing amino acid substitutions in 74% of the amino acid residues among 41 alleles that have been identified to date.³⁵ We have shown that CD8 T cells directed against the Tp2_{49– 59} and Tp2_{50–59} epitopes are parasite-strain-restricted and have proposed that the highly dominant nature of responses to these and other polymorphic epitopes is a major factor in the failure of different parasite strains to cross-protect. The ability to generate two distinct pMHC I ligands from the same peptide sequence for Tcell recognition, by eliciting separate CD8 T-cell responses, has the potential to produce greater TCR diversity than either ligand alone, a feature that has been proposed to have benefits to host immunity by increasing the likelihood of cross-reactivity between mutational epitope variants.^{36–39} However, retrospective analysis of our previously published data²⁷ demonstrates that Lys₅₆ is critical for T-cell recognition of both the Tp249-59 and $Tp2_{50-59}$ epitopes and it is notable that this residue is the most frequently substituted in natural allelic variants of the epitopes.^{27,35} The protrusion of the Lys₅₆ residue side chain above the pMHC I surface in models of both Tp249-59/2*01201 and Tp250-59/2*01201 suggests that regardless of their structural differences this is a critical TCR contact residue for both epitopes. Consequently, although this diversification strategy may confer some benefit with regard to greater probability of eliciting higher avidity T cells, the abrogation of both epitope-specific responses through a single substitution (of residue Lys₅₆) suggests the benefit to the host immune response may be limited. Instead, the focusing of two CD8 T-cell responses on a single, highly polymorphic peptide,²⁷ both of which are abrogated by the same single amino acid substitution, could benefit the pathogen by augmenting the strain-restricted nature of the T-cell response.

An initial objective in generating tetramers was to facilitate the tracking of epitope-specific CD8 T-cell responses to T. parva in vivo. Tetramer-positive CD8 T cells were detected for the most dominant epitopes following parasite challenge of two previously immunized A10-homozygous animals; cells positive for Tp249-59 were first detected 9 days after challenge and reached peak levels (up to 7.5% of the CD8 T cells) at 12-13 days. The magnitude of these responses is similar to that reported recently by Svitek et al.,²⁹ who used tetramers to monitor the Tp1₂₁₄₋₂₂₄-specific responses in A18+ animals following initial immunization with T. parva. The failure to detect tetramer-positive cells ex vivo for the less dominant epitopes in our study reflects limitations in the sensitivity of the one-step staining method we employed, which requires further refinement⁴⁰ to improve sensitivity. The kinetics of the responses to the dominant epitopes following parasite challenge are similar to that observed in previous studies using limiting dilution analyses to monitor CD8 T-cell responses to parasitized cells,³⁹ although the latter assay detected a much lower frequency of responding cells than tetramers. It is of note that Taracha et al.⁴¹ observed that the peak of the response detected by measuring cytototoxicity occurs approximately 2 days earlier compared with detection by limiting dilution analyses, probably reflecting changes in the proportion of the specific cells that express effector function over time. These observations are consistent with evidence that tetramers provide the most accurate means of quantifying epitope-specific T cells.

In conclusion, our data have demonstrated that previously characterized bovine CD8 T-cell responses against the $Tp2_{49-59}$ peptide sequence presented by 2*01201 are composed of non-cross-reactive T-cell subsets specific for Tp2_{49–59}/2*01201 and Tp2_{50–59}/2*01201. Analysis of TRB genes expressed by responding T cells and structural modelling of the peptide–MHC complexes have shown that these populations express distinct TCR repertoires and that the Tp2_{49–59}/2*01201 and Tp2_{50–59}/2*01201 pMHC I complexes adopt different surface topographies that account for lack of cross-reactivity of the respective T-cell specificities.

Acknowledgements

TC, XL and NM conducted the laboratory work, AG performed the molecular modelling, DC and PvdB generated the pMHC I tetramers, TC, SG, ET and IM designed the study, TC and IM prepared the manuscript. The authors would like to acknowledge the expertise of the farm staff at The Roslin Institute for the care of the animals used in this study. This work was supported by a grant awarded jointly by the UK Department for International Development (DfiD) and the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC), UK (grant number BB/ H009515/1), a BBSRC Institute ISP grant (grant number BB/J004227/1) and a grant jointly awarded by DfiD and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (OPP1078791) and the CGIAR Research Programme on Livestock and Fish. Acknowledgement is also given to funding from the Animal Health Programme of the UK Department for International Development (DFiD; project number R8042).

Disclosures

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Radley DE, Brown CGD, Burridge MJ, Cunningham MP, Kirimi IM, Purnell RE et al. East Coast Fever. 1. Chemoprophylactic immunisation of cattle against *Theileria parva* (Muguga) and five theilerial strains. *Vet Parasitol* 1975; 1:35–41.
- 2 Goddeeris BM, Morrison WI, Teale AJ. Generation of bovine cytotoxic cell lines, specific for cells infected with the protozoan parasite *Theileria parva* and restricted by products of the major histocompatibility complex. *Eur J Immunol* 1986; 16:1243–9.
- 3 Goddeeris BM, Morrison WI, Toye PG, Bishop R. Strain specificity of bovine *Theileria* parva-specific cytotoxic T cells is determined by the phenotype of the restricting class I MHC. *Immunology* 1990; 69:38–44.
- 4 McKeever DJ, Taracha EL, Innes EL, MacHugh ND, Awino E, Goddeeris BM et al. Adoptive transfer of immunity to *Theileria parva* in the CD8⁺ fraction of responding efferent lymph. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1994; **91**:1959–63.
- 5 Morrison WI, Goddeeris BM, Teale AJ, Groocock CM, Kemp SJ, Stagg DA. Cytotoxic T-cells elicited in cattle challenged with *Theileria parva* (Muguga): evidence for restriction by class I MHC determinants and parasite strain specificity. *Parasite Immunol* 1987; 9:563–78.
- 6 Taracha EL, Goddeeris BM, Morzaria SP, Morrison WI. Parasite strain specificity of precursor cytotoxic T cells in individual animals correlates with cross-protection in cattle challenged with *Theileria parva. Infect Immun* 1995; 63:1258–62.
- 7 Graham SP, Pelle R, Yamage M, Mwangi DM, Honda Y, Mwakubambanya RS et al. Characterization of the fine specificity of bovine CD8 T-cell responses to defined antigens from the protozoan parasite *Theileria parva*. *Infect Immun* 2008; **76**:685–94.
- 8 Graham SP, Pelle R, Honda Y, Mwangi DM, Tonukari NJ, Yamage M et al. Theileria parva candidate vaccine antigens recognized by immune bovine cytotoxic T lymphocytes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006; 103:3286–91.

Overlapping 11- and 10-mer CD8 T-cell epitopes

- 9 MacHugh ND, Connelley T, Graham SP, Pelle R, Formisano P, Taracha EL et al. CD8⁺ Tcell responses to *Theileria parva* are preferentially directed to a single dominant antigen: implications for parasite strain-specific immunity. *Eur J Immunol* 2009; **39**:2459–69.
- 10 Altman JD, Moss PA, Goulder PJ, Barouch DH, McHeyzer-Williams MG, Bell JI et al. Phenotypic analysis of antigen-specific T lymphocytes. Science 1996; 274:94–6.
- 11 Ellis SA, Morrison WI, MacHugh ND, Birch J, Burrells A, Stear MJ. Serological and molecular diversity in the cattle MHC class I region. *Immunogenetics* 2005; 57:601–6.
- 12 Ellis SA, Staines KA, Stear MJ, Hensen EJ, Morrison WI. DNA typing for BoLA class I using sequence-specific primers (PCR-SSP). Eur J Immunogenet 1998; 25:365–70.
- 13 Goddeeris BM, Morrison WI. Techniques for the generation, cloning and characterisation of bovine cytotoxic T cells specific for the protozoan *Theileria parva*. *Methods Cell Sci* 1988; 11:101–10.
- 14 Bensaid A, Hadam M. Individual antigens of cattle. Bovine CD4 (BoCD4). Vet Immunol Immunopathol 1991; 27:51–4.
- 15 MacHugh ND, Sopp P. Individual antigens of cattle. Bovine CD8 (BoCD8). Vet Immunol Immunopathol 1991; 27:65–9.
- 16 Naessens J, Newson J, McHugh N, Howard CJ, Parsons K, Jones B. Characterization of a bovine leucocyte differentiation antigen of 145,000 MW restricted to B lymphocytes. *Immunology* 1990; 69:525–30.
- 17 Connelley T, Storset AK, Pemberton A, Machugh N, Brown J, Lund H et al. NKp46 defines ovine cells that have characteristics corresponding to NK cells. Vet Res 2011; 42:37.
- 18 Ellis JA, Davis WC, MacHugh ND, Emery DL, Kaushal A, Morrison WI. Differentiation antigens on bovine mononuclear phagocytes identified by monoclonal antibodies. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol* 1988; 19:325–40.
- 19 Connelley T, Burrells A, Machugh ND, Morrison WI. Use of a Pan-Vβ primer permits the amplification and sequencing of TCRβ chains expressed by bovine T-cell clones following a single semi-nested PCR reaction. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 2008; 126:156–62.
- 20 Connelley T, MacHugh ND, Burrells A, Morrison WI. Dissection of the clonal composition of bovine αβ T cell responses using T cell receptor Vβ subfamily-specific PCR and heteroduplex analysis. J Immunol Methods 2008; 335:28–40.
- 21 Quigley MF, Almeida JR, Price DA, Douek DC. Unbiased molecular analysis of T cell receptor expression using template-switch anchored RT-PCR. *Curr Protoc Immunol* 2011; Chapter 10:Unit10 33.
- 22 Arden B, Clark SP, Kabelitz D, Mak TW. Human T-cell receptor variable gene segment families. *Immunogenetics* 1995; 42:455–500.
- 23 Connelley T, Aerts J, Law A, Morrison WI. Genomic analysis reveals extensive gene duplication within the bovine TRB locus. *BMC Genom* 2009; 10:192.
- 24 Macdonald IK, Harkiolaki M, Hunt L, Connelley T, Carroll AV, MacHugh ND et al. MHC class I bound to an immunodominant *Theileria parva* epitope demonstrates unconventional presentation to T cell receptors. *PLoS Pathog* 2010; 6:e1001149.
- 25 Park MS, Park SY, Miller KR, Collins EJ, Lee HY. Accurate structure prediction of peptide-MHC complexes for identifying highly immunogenic antigens. *Mol Immunol* 2013; 56:81–90.
- 26 Humphrey W, Dalke A, Schulten K. VMD: visual molecular dynamics. J Mol Graph 1996; 14:33–8, 27–38.
- 27 Connelley TK, MacHugh ND, Pelle R, Weir W, Morrison WI. Escape from CD8⁺ T cell response by natural variants of an immunodominant epitope from *Theileria parva* is predominantly due to loss of TCR recognition. *J Immunol* 2011; 187:5910–20.
- 28 Thomsen M, Lundegaard C, Buus S, Lund O, Nielsen M. MHCcluster, a method for functional clustering of MHC molecules. *Immunogenetics* 2013; 65:655–65.
- 29 Svitek N, Hansen AM, Steinaa L, Saya R, Awino E, Nielsen M et al. Use of "one-pot, mix-and-read" peptide–MHC class I tetramers and predictive algorithms to improve detection of cytotoxic T lymphocyte responses in cattle. Vet Res 2014; 45:50.
- 30 Borbulevych OY, Insaidoo FK, Baxter TK, Powell DJ Jr, Johnson LA, Restifo NP et al. Structures of MART-126/27-35 Peptide/HLA-A2 complexes reveal a remarkable disconnect between antigen structural homology and T cell recognition. J Mol Biol 2007; 372:1123–36.
- 31 Derre L, Ferber M, Touvrey C, Devevre E, Zoete V, Leimgruber A et al. A novel population of human melanoma-specific CD8 T cells recognizes Melan-AMART-1 immunodominant nonapeptide but not the corresponding decapeptide. J Immunol 2007; 179:7635–45.
- 32 Borbulevych OY, Santhanagopolan SM, Hossain M, Baker BM. TCRs used in cancer gene therapy cross-react with MART-1/Melan-A tumor antigens via distinct mechanisms. J Immunol 2011; 187:2453–63.
- 33 Lee JK, Stewart-Jones G, Dong T, Harlos K, Di Gleria K, Dorrell L *et al.* T cell crossreactivity and conformational changes during TCR engagement. J Exp Med 2004; 200:1455–66.
- 34 Tynan FE, Reid HH, Kjer-Nielsen L, Miles JJ, Wilce MC, Kostenko L et al. A T cell receptor flattens a bulged antigenic peptide presented by a major histocompatibility complex class I molecule. Nat Immunol 2007; 8:268–76.
- 35 Pelle R, Graham SP, Njahira MN, Osaso J, Saya RM, Odongo DO et al. Two Theileria parva CD8 T cell antigen genes are more variable in buffalo than cattle parasites, but differ in pattern of sequence diversity. PLoS One 2011; 6:e19015.

T. K. Connelley et al.

- 36 Messaoudi I, Guevara Patino JA, Dyall R, LeMaoult J, Nikolich-Zugich J. Direct link between mhc polymorphism, T cell avidity, and diversity in immune defense. *Science* 2002; **298**:1797–800.
- 37 Meyer-Olson D, Shoukry NH, Brady KW, Kim H, Olson DP, Hartman K et al. Limited T cell receptor diversity of HCV-specific T cell responses is associated with CTL escape. J Exp Med 2004; 200:307–19.
- 38 Price DA, West SM, Betts MR, Ruff LE, Brenchley JM, Ambrozak DR et al. T cell receptor recognition motifs govern immune escape patterns in acute SIV infection. *Immunity* 2004; 21:793–803.
- 39 Li X, Liu J, Qi J, Gao F, Li Q, Li X et al. Two distinct conformations of a rinderpest virus epitope presented by bovine major histocompatibility complex class I N*01801: a host strategy to present featured peptides. J Virol 2011; 85:6038–48.
- 40 Alanio C, Lemaitre F, Law HK, Hasan M, Albert ML. Enumeration of human antigenspecific naive CD8+ T cells reveals conserved precursor frequencies. *Blood* 2010; 115:3718–3725.
- 41 Taracha ELN. Investigation of cytotoxic T-lymphocyte responses of cattle to Theileria parva by limiting dilution analyses. PhD Thesis, University of Brunel, UK, 1991:89–120.