



THE AGA KHAN UNIVERSITY

## Pathology, East Africa

## eCommons@AKU

Medical College, East Africa

January 2017

# High resolution melting: a useful field-deployable method to measure dhfr and dhps drug resistance in both highly and lowly endemic Plasmodium populations

Yaye Dié Ndiaye Cheikh Anta Diop University

Cyrille K. Diédhiou *Hospital Aristide Le Dantec* 

Amy K. Bei Cheikh Anta Diop University

Baba Dieye Cheikh Anta Diop University

Aminata Mbaye Cheikh Anta Diop University

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://ecommons.aku.edu/eastafrica\_fhs\_mc\_pathol

Part of the <u>Pathology Commons</u>

## **Recommended** Citation

Ndiaye, Y. D., Diédhiou, C. K., Bei, A. K., Dieye, B., Mbaye, A., Mze, N. P., Daniels, R. F., Ndiaye, I. M., Déme, A. B., Gaye, A., Sy, M., Ndiaye, T., Badiane, A. S., Ndiaye, M., Premji, Z., Wirth, D. F., Mboup, S., Krogstad, D., Volkman, S. K., Ahouidi, A. D., Ndiaye, D. (2017). High resolution melting: a useful field-deployable method to measure dhfr and dhps drug resistance in both highly and lowly endemic Plasmodium populations. *Malaria Journal*, *16*(153), 1-10. **Available at:** http://ecommons.aku.edu/eastafrica\_fhs\_mc\_pathol/150

#### Authors

Yaye Dié Ndiaye, Cyrille K. Diédhiou, Amy K. Bei, Baba Dieye, Aminata Mbaye, Nasserdine Papa Mze, Rachel F. Daniels, Ibrahima M. Ndiaye, Awa B. Déme, Amy Gaye, Mouhamad Sy, Tolla Ndiaye, Aida S. Badiane, Mouhamadou Ndiaye, Zul Premji, Dyann F. Wirth, Souleymane Mboup, Donald Krogstad, Sarah K. Volkman, Ambroise D. Ahouidi, and Daouda Ndiaye

## RESEARCH





# High resolution melting: a useful field-deployable method to measure *dhfr* and *dhps* drug resistance in both highly and lowly endemic *Plasmodium* populations

Yaye Dié Ndiaye<sup>1,5\*†</sup>, Cyrille K. Diédhiou<sup>2†</sup>, Amy K. Bei<sup>1,2,3,5,6</sup>, Baba Dieye<sup>1,5</sup>, Aminata Mbaye<sup>1</sup>, Nasserdine Papa Mze<sup>2</sup>, Rachel F. Daniels<sup>6,8</sup>, Ibrahima M. Ndiaye<sup>1</sup>, Awa B. Déme<sup>1,5</sup>, Amy Gaye<sup>1</sup>, Mouhamad Sy<sup>1</sup>, Tolla Ndiaye<sup>1</sup>, Aida S. Badiane<sup>1,5</sup>, Mouhamadou Ndiaye<sup>1,5</sup>, Zul Premji<sup>3,7</sup>, Dyann F. Wirth<sup>6,8</sup>, Souleymane Mboup<sup>2</sup>, Donald Krogstad<sup>4,5</sup>, Sarah K. Volkman<sup>5,6,8,9</sup>, Ambroise D. Ahouidi<sup>2,5†</sup> and Daouda Ndiaye<sup>1,5†</sup>

### Abstract

**Background:** Emergence and spread of drug resistance to every anti-malarial used to date, creates an urgent need for development of sensitive, specific and field-deployable molecular tools for detection and surveillance of validated drug resistance markers. Such tools would allow early detection of mutations in resistance loci. The aim of this study was to compare common population signatures and drug resistance marker frequencies between two populations with different levels of malaria endemicity and history of anti-malarial drug use: Tanzania and Sénégal. This was accomplished by implementing a high resolution melting assay to study molecular markers of drug resistance as compared to polymerase chain reaction–restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR/RFLP) methodology.

**Methods:** Fifty blood samples were collected each from a lowly malaria endemic site (Sénégal), and a highly malaria endemic site (Tanzania) from patients presenting with uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria at clinic. Data representing the DHFR were derived using both PCR–RFLP and HRM assay; while genotyping data representing the DHPS were evaluated in Senegal and Tanzania using HRM. Msp genotyping analysis was used to characterize the multiplicity of infection in both countries.

**Results:** A high prevalence of samples harbouring mutant DHFR alleles was observed in both population using both genotyping techniques. HRM was better able to detect mixed alleles compared to PCR/RFLP for DHFR codon 51 in Tanzania; and only HRM was able to detect mixed infections from Senegal. A high prevalence of mutant alleles in DHFR (codons 51, 59, 108) and DHPS (codon 437) were found among samples from Sénégal while no mutations were observed at DHPS codons 540 and 581, from both countries. Overall, the frequency of samples harbouring either a single DHFR mutation (S108N) or double mutation in DHFR (C59R/S108N) was greater in Sénégal compared to Tanzania.

 $^{\rm T}$ Yaye Dié Ndiaye, Cyrille K. Diédhiou, Ambroise D. Ahouidi and Daouda Ndiaye contributed equally to this work

Senegal

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2017. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: ydndiaye@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Parasitology Mycology, Aristide le Dantec Hospital, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Cheikh Anta Diop University, 5005 Dakar,

**Conclusion:** Here the results demonstrate that HRM is a rapid, sensitive, and field-deployable alternative technique to PCR–RFLP genotyping that is useful in populations harbouring more than one parasite genome (polygenomic infections). In this study, a high levels of resistance polymorphisms was observed in both *dhfr* and *dhps*, among samples from Tanzania and Sénégal. A routine monitoring by molecular markers can be a way to detect emergence of resistance involving a change in the treatment policy.

Keywords: Plasmodium falciparum, dhfr, dhps, HRM, PCR/RFLP, Senegal, Tanzania

#### Background

Plasmodium falciparum, the most deadly species of Plasmodium parasites that infect humans, remains a public health problem with the majority of cases and deaths occurring in sub-Saharan Africa [1]. Anti-malarial drug resistance is a major public health problem that hinders the control of malaria. P. falciparum resistance has been observed for all anti-malarial drugs used to date, including the artemisinin derivatives, where resistance has emerged in Asia [2-4]. Continuous monitoring of the effectiveness of anti-malarial drugs both in vivo and in vitro plays a critical role in guiding treatment policy. Monitoring molecular markers of resistance is a quick and effective way to identify changes in drug resistance in real time. Malaria remains an important public health issue generally in Africa, and specifically in Sénégal and Tanzania, causing significant morbidity and mortality in infants and pregnant women [2]. In Sénégal, the epidemiological profile is characterized by a stable endemic malaria, marked by a seasonal increase, with parasite prevalence trends having declined overall from 5.9% in 2008 to 1.2% in 2014 [5]. However, malaria incidence remains elevated, especially in parts of the country where deaths attributable to malaria persist [6]. In contrast, malaria transmission in Mlandizi, Tanzania is perennial [7], with a high burden of malaria infection and clinical disease as indicated by the 678,207 reported cases of malaria in 2014 that resulted in 5368 deaths from malaria [2].

Chloroquine (CQ) was the treatment of choice against the uncomplicated malaria in both Tanzania and Sénégal for decades. However, rising rates of CQ resistance led Tanzania to change its first-line treatment from CQ to sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine (SP) in 2001 and then to artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT) in 2006 [8]. Sénégal changed from CQ to SP-amodiaquine (AQ) in 2003 for use in seasonal malaria chemoprevention defined as the intermittent administration of full treatment courses of an anti-malarial medicine to children during the malaria season in areas of highly seasonal transmission (SMC) and then to ACT as first-line treatment of uncomplicated *P. falciparum* in 2006. SP remains in use for intermittent pregnancy treatment (IPT) in both countries [9–12].

SP is a combination of two antifolate compounds sulfadoxine that inhibits dihydropteroate synthetase (DHPS) and pyrimethamine that targets dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR). This combination acts synergistically against P. falciparum, and SP resistance mutations have been well documented. Mutations resulting in the following amino acid changes N51I, C59R, S108N and I164L have been identified in the *dhfr* gene associated with resistance to pyrimethamine [13–19]. Mutations resulting in the following amino acid changes S436A, A437G, K540E and A613T/S in the dhps locus have similarly been linked to sulfadoxine resistance [20-25]. Despite high levels of resistance to SP in many countries, this drug combination is still widely used for treatment of uncomplicated malaria, for preventing malaria in pregnant women in the context of IPT [2, 26], or in combination with artemisinin derivatives for SMC as recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO). Routine monitoring of genetic resistance mutations affecting SP efficacy is useful in determining whether the drugs should continue to be used for treatment of uncomplicated malaria or malaria pregnancy.

Different methods have been developed to evaluate the association of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and specific phenotypes. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP), Taqman real-time PCR with allele-specific probes, and denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) are the most commonly used techniques that are suitable for these types of studies [27, 28]. PCR/RFLP is time consuming and needs specific restriction enzymes for each SNP, as well as the ability to resolve and visualize the products using gel electrophoresis. DGGE requires extensive expertise that is not always available in disease endemic settings. Furthermore, the Taqman fluorescent probes are expensive and reagents expire rapidly. Highresolution melting (HRM) analysis is a post-PCR analysis method designed to investigate variance in nucleic acid sequences [29]. Many studies have already published the accuracy, specificity and sensitivity of this technique, and its ability to detect minor alleles [30-32], and identify new genetic variants that can be confirmed by sequencing [29, 31]. HRM is a powerful analysis tool for largescale genotyping as it is rapid, low cost and easy to deploy in the field.

The goals of this study was to: (1) compare the results of HRM to those using PCR–RFLP in the context of drug resistance marker surveillance in a malaria endemic country; and, (2) to determine the prevalence of mutations N51I, C59R, S108N in the *dhfr* gene and A437G, K540E, A581G, A613T/S in the *dhps* gene, across two malaria endemic settings with distinct frequencies of polyclonal infections (infections harbouring more than 1 parasite genome), as determined by MSP 1 and 2 genotyping.

#### Methods

#### Study population

This study was conducted using samples from two African countries: Sénégal and Tanzania, with distinct malaria endemicity profiles. Samples from Senegal were collected in Thiès, an urban area located 70 km from capital city of Dakar, at the Service de Lutte Antiparasitaire (SLAP) clinic. In this region, malaria is hypoendemic with average of 0–20 infective bites per person per year (0 < EIR < 20) [33].

Samples from Tanzania, were collected from the Mlandizi Health Centre in the Kibaha coastal region 40 km north–west of Dar es Salaam. In this area, malaria transmission is perennial, with peaks incidence occurring toward the end of the long (May–July) and short (December–January) rains [7, 34]. Individuals seeking treatment for uncomplicated *P. falciparum* malaria at the SLAP clinic in Thies in 2011 and the Mlandizi Health Centre in 2003–2004 were tested for malaria infection by microscopy. Patients between the ages of two and twenty who presented with only *P. falciparum* confirmed by positive blood slide were offered enrollment into this study.

These studies were approved by the Tanzanian Commission for Science and Technology (Permit No. 2003-207-CC-2003-102) together the Ethics Committee of the Ministry of Health in Sénégal (0127MSAS/DPRS/ CNRES). Ethical review and approval was then provided by both the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health Human Subjects Committee (P11778-101), and the Human Subjects Committee of Tulane University, New Orleans.

#### Sample collection

After informed consent, blood samples from fingerpricks were collected and stored on Whatman FTA filter papers prior to treatment with SP in Tanzania (2003) and ACT (artemether–lumefantrine) in Sénégal (2011), according to the directives of the WHO and Ministry of Health in both countries, at the time of collection. Fifty samples from each country were randomly selected for DNA extraction and further genetic analyses.

#### **DNA** extraction

Genomic DNA was extracted from filter paper using the QIAamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen) method. The extraction protocol for filter paper samples was performed and all samples were processed in the same way. Extracted DNA was stored at -20 °C until tested by PCR–RFLP and HRM.

#### Genotyping methods

## Polymerase chain reaction restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP)

Sample analysis was based on the standardized polymerase chain reaction and restriction fragment length polymorphism method, as previously described [35]. After PCR amplification, 0.5 unit of site specific restriction enzymes were used to digest the PCR amplicons overnight as described previously by Jelinek et al. [35]. Positive (3D7 and Dd2) and negative non-template controls were included in all amplification and restriction digest procedures.

#### High resolution melting (HRM)

The reaction was performed on a LightScanner-32 carousel platform using primers and probes as previously described [29]. Glass capillaries were used with a 10 µl final volume. Combining both mutant allele amplification bias (MAAB) [29] and glass capillaries are ideal for measuring low minor allele frequencies (0.01%) in mixed genomic samples, which was one of the goals of this analysis. All PCR reactions were performed using  $2.5 \times$ LightScanner master mix (Biofire), with forward primers at a final concentration of 0.05 µM, reverse primers at a final concentration of 0.2 µM (asymmetric PCR), and allele specific probes at a final concentration of 0.2 µM, and 1  $\mu$ l of genomic DNA, as previously described [29]. Standard software included with the instruments was used for unlabeled probe analysis to visualize melting peaks based on different melting temperatures, indicative of different base pairs, and compared with controls to call alleles for a given assay.

#### msp genotyping

Block 2 of *msp1* [36] and block 3 of *msp2* [37] were amplified by nested PCR. The sequence of the primers and the protocol of PCR are described in detail by Snounou et al. [38]. Briefly, PCR was carried out in a total volume of 20  $\mu$ l that contained 6  $\mu$ l Gotaq (Taq DNA polymerase, dNTPs, MgCl<sub>2</sub> and reaction buffer (pH 8.5), 0.05  $\mu$ M of each primer and 11  $\mu$ l of reagent grade water. For the first round of amplification, 1  $\mu$ l of genomic DNA was added as a template and for the second round 1  $\mu$ l of the PCR product from the first round was added. Reference strain 3D7 (*msp1*-K1 and *msp2*-IC); Dd2 (*msp1*-MAD20 and

*msp2*-FC) and 7G8 (*msp1*-RO) were used as positive controls. Reagent grade water was used as negative control. Products were analysed based on size differences on a 2% agarose gel. The multiplicity of infection (MOI) was defined as the greater number of alleles for either *msp1* or *msp2* from a single sample.

The number of patients with more than one amplified PCR fragment within the total population is defined as the frequency of polyclonal infections. The parasite genome number was also estimated to approximate the number of distinct genotypes present in each sample. Thus, *msp* genotyping data were used to estimate genotypes per patient and after that the prevalence of each allele was determined in both countries. However, if the patient presents with a mixed infection with 4 clones, the result was called 'undetermined' since it could be 1 wildtype (WT) and 3 mutant (Mut); 2 WT and 2 Mut; or, 3 WT and 1 Mut.

#### Statistical analysis

Analysis data was performed using Epi Info7. Fischer's exact test was used to determine the concordance between PCR–RFLP and HRM and the z-test for two population proportions was used to compare the allele prevalence in each country. The Mann–Whitney U test was used to compare the MOI in the sample populations of each country. The test is significant if the p value is less than 0.05.

#### Results

#### Comparison to PCR/RFLP and HRM

Data from the *dhfr* gene corresponding to codons 51, 59 and 108 were used to compare the concordance between the PCR/RFLP and HRM assays. Previous studies have specifically compared the sensitivity and accuracy of this HRM method to the gold-standard of sequencing amplicons, and have found HRM results to

be 100% correspondent [29]. However, here the goal was to assess concordance and sensitivity, in situations in which discrepancies were observed in the concordance, the method in which more alleles was detected was considered to be more sensitive. A total of 100 samples: 50 samples from Sénégal and 50 from Tanzania were genotyped using both techniques. Sénégal and Tanzania were selected for the comparison as the two countries have different frequencies of mixed infections and potentially different minor alleles and frequencies. Both techniques were performed in a laboratory in a malaria-endemic country (Sénégal) to assess their performance. In this study, a high prevalence of mutant alleles was observed at codons 51, 59 and 108, with some notable differences.

In Sénégal, a high proportion of monoallelic infections was detected using both PCR/RFLP and HRM methods, but only HRM detected a low level of mixed allelic infections. In Tanzania, a country with more polygenomic infections, HRM was better able to detect the mixed alleles among samples, and the frequency of mixed allelic infections detected by HRM were higher than those obtained by PCR/RFLP at codon 51 (p = 0.005) and 59 in Tanzania (Table 1).

# Prevalence of mutations in Senegal and Tanzania in *dhfr/ dhps* genes by HRM

Mutation analysis was successful at each codon analysed from the *dhfr* and *dhps* genes, that included the three codons (N51I, C59R and S108N) in *dhfr* and four codons (A437G, K540E, A581G and A613T/S) in *dhps*. The prevalence of mutations at each codon in monogenomic, polygenomic, and combined infections (as defined by MSP-typing) is shown in Table 2.

In this study, mutant alleles at codons A581G and K540E in *dhps* gene were not found in among the samples analysed from Tanzania and Sénégal, and all samples tested carried the wild type alleles A581 and K540, respectively.

Table 1 Percent prevalence of *dhfr* alleles at codons 51, 59 and 108 from isolates collected in Senegal and Tanzania using nested polymerase chain reaction/restriction fragment length polymerase (PCR/RFLP) and high resolution melting (HRM)

		Senegal (N: 50)			Tanzania (N: 50	))	
		PCR/RFLP	HRM	p value	PCR/RFLP	HRM	p value
DHFR 51	N51	1/50 (2%)	0	1	09/50 (18%)	08/50 (16%)	1
	511	49/50 (98%)	47/50 (94%)	0.6173	37/50 (74%)	(74%)         26/50 (52%)         0.0365           (08%)         16/50 (32%)         0.005           (2000)         12/50 (22%)         0.0121	0.0365
	N51 + 511	0	3/50 (6%)	0.2424	04/50 (08%)	16/50 (32%)	0.005
DHFR 59	C59	2/50 (4%)	1/50 (2%)	1	19/50 (38%)	12/50 (24%)	0.1941
	59R	48/50 (96%)	46/50 (92%)	0.6777	24/50 (48%)	(38%)         12/50 (24%)         0.1           (48%)         22/50 (44%)         0.8	0.8411
	C59 + 59R	0	3/50 (6%)	0.2424	07/50 (14%)	16/50 (32%)	0.0558
DHFR 108	S108	2/50 (4%)	0	0.4949	07/50 (14%)	07/50 (14%)	1
	108N	48/50 (96%)	49/50 (98%)	1	35/50 (70%)	38/50 (76%)	0.6528
	S108 + 108N	0	1/50 (2%)	1	08/50 (16%)	05/50 (10%)	0.5535

N total number of patient

DHPS 437         A437         I0/23 (43.47)           DHPS 437         A437         10/23 (52.17)           G437         12/23 (52.17)         12/23 (52.17)           DHPS 540         K540         23/23 (100%)           K540         E540         0/23 (0%)           K540<+E540         0/23 (0%)           DHPS 581         A581         0/23 (0%)           A581         A581         0/23 (0%)	Tanzania         Tanzania           6/14 (42.86%)         6/14 (42.86%)           6/14 (14.29%)         2/14 (14.29%)           14/14 (100%)         0/14 (00%)           0/14 (00%)         0/14 (00%)           14/14 (100%)         0/14 (00%)	o value 0.9681 0.58 0.28	Senegal		-			
DHPS 437 A437 10/23 (43.47 G437 G437 12/23 (52.17 A437 + G437 1/23 (4.34%) DHPS 540 K540 23/23 (100%) E540 0/23 (0%) K540 + E540 0/23 (0%) CHPS 581 A581 23/23 (100%) G581 0/23 (0%)	6/14 (42.86%) 6/14 (42.86%) 2/14 (14.29%) 14/14 (100%) 0/14 (0%) 0/14 (0%) 14/14 (100%)	0.9681 0.58 0.28		lanzania	p value	Senegal	Tanzania	p value
G437     12/23 (52.17       A437 + G437     12/23 (52.17       A437 + G437     1/23 (4.34%       CHPS 540     K540     23/23 (100%)       K540     E540     0/23 (0%)       K540 + E540     0/23 (0%)       DHPS 581     A581     0/23 (0%)       A581 + G581     0/23 (0%)	6/14 (42.86%) 2/14 (14.29%) 14/14 (100%) 0/14 (0%) 0/14 (0%) 14/14 (100%)	0.58 0.28	8/27 (29.62%)	12/36 (33.33%)	0.75656	18/50 (36%)	18/50 (36%)	-
A437 + G437 1/23 (4.34%) DHPS 540 K540 23/23 (100%) E540 0/23 (0%) K540 + E540 0/23 (0%) K541 A581 23/23 (100%) G581 A581 0/23 (0%) A581 + G581 0/23 (0%)	2/14 (14.29%) 14/14 (100%) 0/14 (0%) 0/14 (0%) 14/14 (100%)	0.28	16/27 (59.25%)	11/36 (30.56%)	0.0226	28/50 (56%)	17/50 (34%)	0.0271
DHPS 540 K540 23/23 (100% E540 0/23 (096) K540 + E540 0/23 (0%) DHPS 581 A581 23/23 (100% G581 0/23 (96) A581 + G581 0/23 (0%)	14/14 (100%) 0/14 (0%) 0/14 (0%) 14/14 (100%)		3/27 (11.11%)	13/36 (36.11%)	0.02382	4/50 (8%)	15/50 (30%)	0.00512
E540 0/23 (0%) K540 + E540 0/23 (0%) DHPS 581 A581 23/23 (100%) G581 0/23 (0%)	0/14 (0%) 0/14 (0%) 14/14 (100%)	<0.U_	27/27 (100%)	36/36 (100%)	≥0.05	50/50 (100%)	50/50 (100%)	≥0.05
K540 + E540 0/23 (0%) DHPS 581 A581 23/23 (100% G581 0/23 (0%) A581 + G581 0/23 (0%)	0/14 (0%) 14/14 (100%)	≥0.05	0/27 (0%)	0/36 (0%)	≥0:05	0	0	≥0.05
DHP5 581 A581 23/23 (100%) G581 0/23 (096) A581 + G581 0/23 (096)	14/14 (100%)	20.05	0/27 (0%)	0/36 (0%)	≥0.05	0	0	≥0.05
G581 0/23 (0%) A581 + G581 0/23 (0%)		20.05	27/27 (100%)	36/36 (100%)	≥0:05	50/50 (100%)	50/50 (100%)	≥0:05
A581 + G581 0/23 (0%)	0/14 (0%)	20.05	0/27 (0%)	0/36 (0%)	≥0:05	0	0	≥0:05
	0/14 (0%)	20.05	0/27 (0%)	0/36 (0%)	≥0.05	0	0	≥0:05
DHPS 613 A613 21/23 (91.30	14/14 (100%)	0.25848	26/27 (96.29%)	36/36 (100%)	≥0.05	46/50 (92%)	49/50 (98%)	0.16758
T/S613 1/23 (3.33%	0/14 (0%)	0.42952	0/27 (0%)	0/36 (0%)	≥0.05	2/50 (4%)	0	0.15272
A613 + T/S613 1/23 (3.33%	0/14 (0%)	0.42952	1/27 (3.7%)	0/36 (0%)	0.24604	2/50 (4%)	1/50 (2%)	0.5552
DHFR 51 N51 0/23 (0%)	4/14 (28.57%)	0.00672	0/27 (0%)	4/36 (11.12%)	0.07346	0	09/50 (18%)	0.00168
511 20/23 (86.95	4/14 (28.57%)	0.0003	27/27 (100%)	22/36 (61.12%)	0.00024	47/50 (94%)	37/50 (74%)	0.00634
N51 + 511 3/23 (13.049	6/14 (42.86%)	0.04036	0/27 (0%)	10/36 (27.78%)	0.00278	3/50 (6%)	04/50 (08%)	0.69654
DHFR 59 C59 0/23 (0%)	4/14 (22.57%)	0.00672	1/27 (3.70%)	8/36 (22.22%)	0.03752	1/50 (2%)	19/50 (38%)	0
59R 20/23 (86.95	4/14 (22.57%)	0.0003	26/27 (96.29%)	18/36 (50%)	0.0001	46/50 (92%)	24/50 (48%)	0
C59 + 59R 3/23 (13.049	6/14 (42.86%)	0.04036	0/27 (0%)	10/36 (27.78%)	0.0088	3/50 (6%)	07/50 (14%)	0.18352
DHFR 108 S108 0/23 (0%)	5/14 (35.71%)	0.00208	0/27 (0%)	2/36 (5.55%)	0.21498	0	07/50 (14%)	0.00614
N108 22/23 (95.65	9/14 (64.29%)	0.01208	27/27 (100%)	29/36 (80.56%)	0.0151	49/50 (98%)	35/50 (70%)	0.00014
S108 + N108 1/23 (4.35%	0/14 (0%)	0.42952	0/27 (0%)	5/36 (13.89%)	0.04338	1/50 (2%)	08/50 (16%)	0.01428

The analyses showed that, monoclonal infections were more common in Sénégal, with a high frequency of single mutant alleles at codons 437 in *dhps* and codons 51 (p = 0.0003), 59 (p = 0.0003) and 108 (p = 0.012) in *dhfr*. However, the vast majority of infections are polyclonal in Tanzania, and the frequency of mixed allele calls was also higher compared to Sénégal just as mixed allele was more represented in monogenomic infections (codons 51 and 59 (p = 0.04)) and polygenomic infections (codons 437 (p = 0.02) and 51 (p = 0.002), codons 59 (p = 0.008) and 108 (p = 0.04) (Table 2).

Typing resistance alleles by either PCR–RFLP or HRM yields a result for all parasite genomes in a given patient sample. In an attempt to tease out the number of resistant "genomes" in the patient population, drug resistant allele typing was combined with MSP typing data to determine the number of wild-type or mutant genomes present at each locus (Table 3). Overall, there were more polygenomic infections in Tanzania (72%) compared to Sénégal (54%) (Table 3), although the difference was not statistically significant. However, when considering the average

multiplicity of infection for each site, Tanzania had a significantly higher MOI compared to Sénégal (MOI Tanzania = 2.6, compared to MOI Sénégal = 1.56; p = 0.011). The overall results remained unchanged whether the data was analysed as the resistance profile for the sample population (Table 2) or weighted based on the number of parasite genomes (Table 3).

When combining the mutant alleles into haplotypes, the single mutation S108 N (p = 0.01) and double mutation C59R/S108N (p = 0.005) in the *dhfr* gene were higher in Senegal than in Tanzania but the triple N51I/C59R/S108N mutation on *dhfr* gene and the quadruple N51I/C59R/S108N *dhfr* and A437G *dhps* gene mutation were more represented in Tanzania, albeit not significantly different (Table 4). The quintuple mutation was not observed in either site.

#### Discussion

This study assessed the accuracy of HRM in comparison with PCR–RFLP for detecting infections of *P. falciparum* in two areas Mlandizi, Tanzania and Thiès, Sénégal

	Alleles	Parasite genome				
		Senegal	Tanzania	p value		
DHPS 437	A437	27/80 (33.75%)	42/103 (40.78%)	0.9729		
	G437	45/80 (56.25%)	39/103 (37.86%)	0.0131		
	Undetermined	8/80 (10%)	22/103 (21.36%)			
DHPS 540	K540	80/80 (100%)	103/103 (100%)	>0.05		
	E540	0/80 (0%)	0/103 (0%)	>0.05		
	Undetermined	0/80 (0%)	0/103 (0%)			
DHPS 581	A581	80/80 (100%)	103/103 (100%)	>0.05		
	G581	0/80 (0%)	0/103 (0%)	>0.05		
	Undetermined	0/80 (0%)	0/103 (0%)			
DHPS 613	A613	75/80 (3.75%)	100/103 (97.09%)	0.2713		
	T/S613	2/80 (2.5%)	0/103 (97.09%)	0.1074		
	Undetermined	3/80 (3.75%)	3/103 (2.91%)			
DHFR 51	N51	0/80 (0%)	21/103 (20.39%)	0		
	511	77/80 (96.25%)	67/103 (65.05%)	0		
	Undetermined	3/80 (3.75%)	15/103 (14.56%)			
DHFR 59	C59	1/80 (1.25%)	32/103 (31.07%)	0		
	59R	76/80 (95%)	56/103 (54.37%)	0		
	Undetermined	3/80 (3.75%)	15/103 (14.56%)			
DHFR 108	S108	0/80 (0%)	16/103 (15.5%)	0.0002		
	N108	79/80 (98.75%)	87/103 (84.5%)	0.0096		
	Undetermined	1/80 (1.25%)	0/103 (0%)			
	Polyclonal infections	27/50 (54%)	36/50 (72%)	0.0628		
	Multiplicity of infection	78/50 (1.56)	103/50 (2.06)	0.011		

Table 3 Prevalence of mutations in *dhfr* and *dhps* in Senegal and Tanzania when accounting for number of parasite genomes per sample

The number of parasite genotypes per patient was calculated to estimate the wild-type and mutant allele frequencies in mixed infections. Undetermined represents samples in which the number of genotypes cannot be precisely classified due to uncertainty (for example, if there are 4 genomes, the call could be 1 WT and 3 Mut, 2 WT and 2 Mut, or 3 WT and 1 Mut)

 Table 4 Prevalence of single, double, triple, quadruple

 and quintuple mutation in Tanzania and Senegal

	Single mutation (%)	Double mutation (%)	Triple mutation (%)	Quad- ruple mutation (%)	Quintuple mutation (%)
Senegal	20	22	44	52	0
Tanzania	2	2	48	58	0
p value	0.01	0.005	0.84	0.7	0

Mutant alleles from *dhfr*, *dhps* genes were combined to make the single mutation (S108N), double mutation (*dhfr* C59R/S108N), triple mutation (*dhfr* N51I/C59R/S108N N), quadruple mutation (*dhfr* N51I/C59R/S108N dhps A437G) and quintuple mutation (N51I/C59R/S108N *dhfr* and A437G/K540E *dhps*)

two regions with variable endemicity and transmission intensity.

HRM analysis is comparable to PCR-RFLP for classifying SNPs; however, PCR-RFLP is laborious, time consuming, and requires a specific restriction enzyme for each SNP. This method also requires the separation of PCR products on a gel, which often takes hours to perform and increases the risk of contamination, making it difficult to genotype a large number of samples. Furthermore, interpretation of the digestion profiles can be subjective in cases of suboptimal digestion, low DNA yields, faint PCR products. Here, the results demonstrate that even when performed in a malaria-endemic laboratory setting, HRM is a rapid, accurate, powerful, economic, and a "closed-tube" mutation typing method that detects sequence variation within the PCR products, and can detect minor alleles in a mixed genotype population of parasite DNA. As described by previous studies, HRM can identify known and novel polymorphisms, detect multiple genotypes, and is both sensitive and specific [29, 30, 38-41]. This study applied the HRM technology to type polymorphisms in mixed genotype infections in two African countries.

In Tanzania, more mixed genotypes were identified by HRM than PCR/RFLP at codon 51 (p = 0.005), 59 and 108. In Sénégal, a country with fewer polygenomic infections, no mixed infections was observed by PCR/ RFLP, however several were detected by HRM, although the small number resulted in non-significant p-values (Table 1). These results demonstrate that HRM is more sensitive than PCR/RFLP and can easily detect mixed alleles. Since PCR-RFLP may not detect clones which are at low frequency in a mixed population, due to the qualitative nature of the assay, a minor allele could easily pass unnoticed. In contrast, HRM detected mixed infections at a higher frequency in both populations, suggesting that the technology of HRM to detect minor subpopulations is more sensitive than PCR-RFLP. While in countries like Senegal with few polygenomic infections and a low multiplicity of infection, there may not be a significant difference in the techniques; whereas, the improved sensitivity and ability to detect minor alleles is more pronounced in sample populations such as Tanzania with a high prevalence of polygenomic infections and a higher multiplicity of infection. This makes HRM a more attractive and accurate method for typing samples from both countries, but especially in countries like Tanzania, which are characterized by a high frequency of mixed infections. Furthermore, the ability to detect rare, lowfrequency drug resistance alleles is likely important for surveillance of these markers as drug pressure is applied

As HRM was the most sensitive method evaluated, it was used exclusively for determining the genotype of *dhfr* and *dhps* genes to look at the drug resistance profiles in both countries. The frequency of mutant alleles at codon 437 in *dhps* gene and at codons 51, 59 and 108 on *dhfr* gene associated with in vivo and in vitro to SP resistance [23, 42] was higher in Sénégal and Tanzania (Table 2). These high frequencies of mutation were observed in a study conducted in Dakar, Senegal [43] and in Tanzania [44]. The presence of mutations at codons 540, 581 on *dhps* gene was not detected in either country.

and likely to select for such variants.

In both countries, the high prevalence of mutations in dhfr and dhps could be explained by the use of SP as a second line treatment for malaria in Senegal and first line in Tanzania at the time of sample collection. In Sénégal, SP has been used since 2003 in combination with amodiaquine for use as SMC for children; whereas, in Tanzania, SP was introduced in 2001 as first line treatment for uncomplicated malaria but removed in 2006 due to the high level of resistance observed in vivo and in vitro. SP remains the mainstay drug regime for intermittent preventative treatment of pregnant women (IPTp) in both countries. It is very possible that the continued use of SP may favour stepwise selection of mutations in these areas, contributing to the high prevalence of mutant alleles observed in this study. The mutation A437G in the dhps gene and N51I, C59R and S108N in dhfr gene were more prevalent in Senegal than in Tanzania (Tables 2 and 3), which is interesting given that there has been longer term SP pressure in Tanzania compared to Sénégal. It has been observed in some studies that SP resistance emerges more rapidly in low-transmission compared to high-transmission areas [45], and this is consistent with the results of this study.

One potential confounder to the more frequent resistant alleles in Sénégal compared to Tanzania is the difference in the MOI between the two sites. As many infections in Tanzania are polygenomic and contain a high MOI, it is possible that the number of mutant alleles circulating in the population is underestimated as both PCR-RFLP and HRM can classify alleles as mutant or wild-type, but cannot determine the number of alleles of each (just the total population profile: all wild-type, all mutant, or mixed). To address this challenge, *msp-1* and *msp-2* typing data was combined with drug resistance allele typing to determine the number of wild-type or mutant parasite genomes (Table 3). When accounting for the frequency on a parasite genome basis (rather than a per human basis), the results do not significantly change as mutant alleles are still higher in Sénégal than Tanzania.

Often, studies report combinations of mutations in both *dhfr* and *dhps* as a way to compare with WHO guidelines for continued SP use. When combining mutant alleles, the single mutation (dhfr S108N) and the double mutation (dhfr C59R/S108N) was more represented in Sénégal than in Tanzania with p = 0.01 and p = 0.005 respectively (Table 4). Triple and quadruple mutations were not significantly different between the two sites, although they were high for both sample sets. Encouragingly, the quintuple mutation N51I/C59R/ S108N dhfr and A437G/K540E dhps gene, which is strongly associated with in vivo and in vitro SP resistance in East and Southern Africa [46, 47] was not observed, consistent with findings from previous studies in Sénégal by Ndiaye et al. [48, 49] and Wurtz et al. [43]. However, a recent study conducted in Sénégal found a single sample with the quintuple mutation [50], resulting in an overall population prevalence of 1.1%. In light of this result, continued and constant monitoring of drug resistance molecular markers is essential.

#### Conclusion

These results demonstrate the enhanced sensitivity of HRM assays to detect minor mutant alleles compared to PCR/RFLP strategies in samples derived from two endemic countries with different levels of malaria burden. Notably, Tanzania exhibited a higher MOI compared to Sénégal; and DHFR mutations were more common among samples from Senegal, as compared to Tanzania. Based upon the mutant allele frequencies and the absence of quintuple mutations predictive for SP resistance these populations, these data indicate that SP likely remains efficacious for IPTp and SMC per WHO recommendations. However, as very recently a sample with the quintuple mutation was observed in Sénégal, continued and constant monitoring of drug resistance molecular markers by robust, sensitive, and field-deployable methods like HRM is a high priority.

#### Abbreviations

CQ: chloroquine; SP: sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine; ACT: artemisinin-based combination therapy; AQ: amodiaquine; SMC: seasonal malaria chemoprevention; IPT: intermittent pregnancy treatment; DHPS: dihydropteroate synthetase; DHFR: dihydrofolate reductase; WHO: World Health Organization; SNPs: single nucleotide polymorphisms; PCR–RFLP: polymerase chain reaction–restriction fragment length polymorphism; DGGE: denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis; HRM: high-resolution melting; MSP: mérozoide surface protein; SLAP: Service de Lutte Antiparasitaire; EIR: entomological inoculation rates; DNA: deoxyribonucleic acid; dNTPs: désoxyribonucléotides triphosphates; Mgcl<sub>2</sub>: chlorure de magnesium; pH: potentiel hydrogene; MOI: multiplicity of infection; WT: wild type; Mut: mutant; p: p value.

#### Authors' contributions

YDN and CKD performed experiments and wrote the manuscript. ADA and DN conceived and designed the study. AKB conceived and designed the study, supervised the research, assisted with analysis, edited and reviewed the manuscript. BD, AM, NPM, RFD contributed materials and analysis tools and offered experimental advice. AG, MS, TN performed DNA extractions. ABD, ASB, MN and MD reviewed the manuscript. ZP, NF, DW, SM, SKV, ADA and DN supervised the research, wrote, read, and approved the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Parasitology Mycology, Aristide le Dantec Hospital, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Cheikh Anta Diop University, 5005 Dakar, Senegal. <sup>2</sup> Laboratory of Bacteriology and Virology, Hospital Aristide Le Dantec, 7325 Dakar, Senegal. <sup>3</sup> Department of Parasitology and Medical Entomology, Muhimbili University College of Health Sciences, Dar-es-Salaam, Tanzania. <sup>4</sup> Tulane University, New Orleans, LA, USA. <sup>5</sup> The International Centers of Excellence for Malaria Research (ICEMR) Program, Dakar, Senegal. <sup>6</sup> Department of Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Harvard TH Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA 02115, USA. <sup>7</sup> Department of Pathology, Aga Khan University Hospital, Nairobi, Kenya. <sup>8</sup> Infectious Disease Initiative, The Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA. <sup>9</sup> School of Nursing and Health Sciences, Simmons College, Boston, MA 02115, USA.

#### Acknowledgements

We would wish to acknowledge Christopher D. Membi, Marycelina Mubi, and Billy Ngasala for assistance with sample collection and the staff at the Mlandizi Health Center and Muhimbili University College of Health Sciences for their support in seeing this work through to completion. We thank also NHF for funding the ICEMRWAF project, the population of Medina fall in Thies, the patients, the data manager team of ICEMR including Jule f. Gomis, Daba Zoumarou, Mame Fama Ndiaye, Ndéye Astou keita, the sample collection team in Sénégal, including Younouss Diedhiou, Lamine Ndiaye, Amadou Moctar Mbaye, Moussa Dieng Sarr, and Ngayo Sy.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Availability of data and materials

The datasets supporting the conclusions of this article are included within the article.

#### **Consent for publication**

Written informed consent was obtained from all patient for publication of this study.

#### **Ethics** approval

These studies were approved by the Tanzanian Commission for Science and Technology (Permit No. 2003-207-CC-2003-102) together the Ethics Committee of the Ministry of Health in Senegal (0127MSAS/DPRS/CNRES). Ethical review and approval was then provided by both the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health Human Subjects Committee (P11778-101), and the Human Subjects Committee of Tulane University, New Orleans.

#### Funding

This work was supported by a U.S. Department of State Fulbright Fellowship to A. K. B, a Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation grant to D. F. W, and the NIH/ ICEMRWAF (International Centers of Excellence for Malaria Research, West Africa U19Al089696).

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. Received: 16 September 2016 Accepted: 8 April 2017 Published online: 19 April 2017

#### References

- 1. Bhatt S, Weiss DJ, Cameron E, Bisanzio D, Mappin B, Dalrymple U, et al. The effect of malaria control on *Plasmodium falciparum* in Africa between 2000 and 2015. Nature. 2015;526:207–11.
- WHO. World Malaria Report 2015. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstr eam/10665/200018/1/9789241565158\_eng.pdf.
- Ariey F, Witkowski B, Amaratunga C, Beghain J, Langlois A-C, Khim N, et al. A molecular marker of artemisinin-resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. Nature. 2014;505:50–5.
- Dondorp AM, Nosten F, Yi P, Das D, Phyo AP, Tarning J, et al. Artemisinin resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. N Engl J Med. 2009;361:455–67.
- PNLP. Plan Stratégique National de Lutte contre le Paludisme au Sénégal 2016–2020; 2016.
- PNLP. Cadre Stratégique National de Lutte contre le Paludisme au Sénégal 2014–2018; 2014.
- Mubi M, Kakoko D, Ngasala B, Premji Z, Peterson S, Bjorkman A, et al. Malaria diagnosis and treatment practices following introduction of rapid diagnostic tests in Kibaha District, Coast Region, Tanzania. Malar J. 2013;12:293.
- 8. NMCP. National guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of malaria; 2006. http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/documents/s19271e.
- Ndiaye S. Enquête Nationale sur le Paludisme au Sénégal 2008–2009; 2009. https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/MIS5/MIS5[revised3.
- Menendez C, Bardaji A, Sigauque B, Sanz S, Aponte JJ, Mabunda S, et al. Malaria prevention with IPTp during pregnancy reduces neonatal mortality. PLoS ONE. 2010;5:9438.
- WHO-MPACS. Malaria Policy Advisory Committee to the WHO: conclusions and recommendations of September 2012 meeting. Malar J. 2012;2012(1):424.
- 12. Matondo SI, Temba GS, Kavishe AA, Kauki JS, Kalinga A, van Zwetselaar M, et al. High levels of sulphadoxine-pyrimethamine resistance Pfdhfr– Pfdhps quintuple mutations: a cross sectional survey of six regions in Tanzania. Malar J. 2014;13:152.
- Bzik DJ, Li WB, Horii T, Inselburg J. Molecular cloning and sequence analysis of the *Plasmodium falciparum* dihydrofolate reductase-thymidylate synthase gene. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1987;84:8360–4.
- Cowman AF, Morry MJ, Biggs BA, Cross GA, Foote SJ. Amino acid changes linked to pyrimethamine resistance in the dihydrofolate reductase-thymidylate synthase gene of *Plasmodium falciparum*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1988;85:9109–13.
- Peterson DS, Walliker D, Wellems TE. Evidence that a point mutation in dihydrofolate reductase-thymidylate synthase confers resistance to pyrimethamine in falciparum malaria. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1988;85:9114–8.
- Peterson DS, Milhous WK, Wellems TE. Molecular basis of differential resistance to cycloguanil and pyrimethamine in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1990;87:3018–22.
- Foote SJ, Galatis D, Cowman AF. Amino acids in the dihydrofolate reductase-thymidylate synthase gene of *Plasmodium falciparum* involved in cycloguanil resistance differ from those involved in pyrimethamine resistance. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1990;87:3014–7.
- Basco LK, Eldin de Pecoulas P, Wilson CM, Le Bras J, Mazabraud A. Point mutations in the dihydrofolate reductase-thymidylate synthase gene and pyrimethamine and cycloguanil resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum*. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 1995;69:135–8.
- Reeder JC, Rieckmann KH, Genton B, Lorry K, Wines B, Cowman A. Point mutations in the dihydrofolate reductase and dihydropteroate synthetase genes and in vitro susceptibility to pyrimethamine and cycloguanil of *Plasmodium falciparum* isolates from Papua New Guinea. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1996;55:209–13.
- Brooks DR, Wang P, Read M, Watkins WM, Slims PF, Hyde JE. Sequence variation of the hydroxymethyldihydropterin pyrophosphokinase: dihydropteroate synthase gene in lines of the human malaria parasite,

*Plasmodium falciparum*, with differing resistance to sulfadoxine. Eur J Biochem. 1994;224:397–405.

- Triglia T, Cowman AF. Primary structure and expression of the dihydropteroate synthetase gene of *Plasmodium falciparum*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1994;91:7149–53.
- Bickii J, Basco LK, Ringwald P. Assessment of three in vitro tests and an in vivo test for chloroquine resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* clinical isolates. J Clin Microbiol. 1998;36:243–7.
- 23. Wang P, Read M, Sims PFHJ. Sulfadoxine resistance in the human malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum* is determined by mutations in dihydropteroate synthetase and an additional factor associated with folate utilisation. Mol Microbiol. 1997;23:979–86.
- 24. Warhurst DC. A molecular marker for chloroquine-resistant falciparum malaria. N Engl J Med. 2001;344:299–302.
- 25. Warsame M, Wernsdorfer WH, Payne D, Björkman A. Susceptibility of *Plasmodium falciparum* in vitro to chloroquine mefloquine, quinine and sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine in Somalia: relationships between the responses to the different drugs. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2001;85:565–9.
- Andriantsoanirina V, Durant R, Ménard D. Mutations Pfdhfr 164L chez *P. falciparum*: dynamique d'émergence et diffusion des haplotypes circulants à Madagascar, évaluation de leur résistance in vitro à la pyriméthamine. Rapport d'activite IMEA. 2009.
- Durasingh MT, Curtis J, Warhurst DC. Plasmodium falciparum: detection of polymorphisms in the dihydrofolate reductase and dihydropteroate synthetase genes by PCR and restriction digestion. Exp Parasitol. 1998;1998(89):1–8.
- O'Meara D. Molecular tools for nucleic acid analysis. Stockholm: Dep. Biotechnology, Royal Institute of Technology; 2001. https://www.diva-portal. org/smash/get/diva2:8999/FULLTEXT01.pdf.
- Daniels R, Ndiaye D, Wall M, McKinney J, Séne PD, Sabeti PC, et al. Rapid, field-deployable method for genotyping and discovery of single-nucleotide polymorphisms associated with drug resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012;56:2976–86.
- Andriantsoanirina V, Lascombes V, Ratsimbasoa A, Bouchier C, Hoffman J, Tichit M, et al. Rapid detection of point mutations in *Plasmodium falciparum* genes associated with antimalarial drugs resistance by using high-resolution melting analysis. J Microbiol Methods. 2009;78:165–70.
- Gan LS, Loh JP. Rapid identification of chloroquine and atovaquone drug resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* using high-resolution melt polymerase chain reaction. Malar J. 2010;9:134.
- Reed GH, Kent JO, Wittwer CH. High-resolution DNA melting analysis for simple and efficient molecular diagnostics. Pharmacogenetics. 2007;8:597–608.
- PNLP. Plan Strategique National 2011–2015. Dakar: PNLP; 2010. http://www.africanchildforum.org/clr/policypercountry/senegal/ senegal\_malaria\_2011-2015\_fr.pdf.
- 34. Nsimba SED. Assessing the impact of education intervention for improving management of malaria and otherchildhood illness in kibaha District-Tanzania. East Afr J Public Health. 2007;4:5–11.
- Jelinek T, Rønn AM, Lemnge MM, Curtis J, Mhina J, Duraisingh MT, et al. Polymorphisms in the dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) and dihydropteroate synthetase (DHPS) genes of *Plasmodium falciparum* and in vivo resistance to sulphadoxine/pyrimethamine in isolates from Tanzania. Trop Med Int Health. 1998;3:605–9.
- Miller LH, Robens T, Shahabuddin M, McCutchan T. Analysis of sequence diversity in the *Plasmodium falciparum* merozoite surface protein-I (MSP-1). Mol Biochem Parasitol. 1993;59:1–14.
- Smythe JA, Peterson MG, Coppel RL, Saul AJ, Kemp DT, Anders RF. Structural diversity in the 45 kilodalton merozoite surface antigen of *Plasmodium falciparum*. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 1990;39:227–34.
- Snounou G, Zhu X, Siripoon N, Jarra W, Thaithong S, Brown KN, et al. Biased distribution of msp1 and msp2 allelic variants in *Plasmodium falciparum* populations in Thailand. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1993;93:369–74.
- Mze NP, Ndiaye YD, Diedhiou CK, Rahamatou S, Dieye B, Daniels RF, et al. RDTs as a source of DNA to study *Plasmodium falciparum* drug resistance in isolates from Senegal and the Comoros Islands. Malar J. 2015;14:373.
- Cruz RE, Shokoples SE, Manage DP, Yanow SK. High-throughput genotyping of single nucleotide polymorphisms in the *Plasmodium falciparum* dhfr gene by asymmetric PCR and melt-curve analysis. J Clin Microbiol. 2010;48:3081–7.

- Reed GH, Wittwer CT. Sensitivity and specificity of single-nucleotide polymorphism scanning by high-resolution melting analysis. Clin Chem. 2004;50:1748–54.
- Traore K, Lavoignat A, Bonnot G, Sow F, Bess GC, Chavant M, et al. Drying anti-malarial drugs in vitro tests to outsource SYBR green assays. Malar J. 2015;14:90.
- Wurtz N, Fall B, Pascual A, Diawara S, Sow K, Baret E, et al. Prevalence of molecular markers of *Plasmodium falciparum* drug resistance in Dakar, Senegal. Malar J. 2012;11:197.
- 44. Baraka V, Ishengoma DS, Fransis F, Minja DT, Madebe RA, Ngatunga D, et al. High-level *Plasmodium falciparum* sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine resistance with the concomitant occurrence of septuple haplotype in Tanzania. Malar J. 2015;14:439.
- 45. White NJ. Antimalarial drug resistance. J Clin Invest. 2004;113:1084–92.
- Bwijo B, Kaneko A, Takechi M, Zungu IL, Moriyama Y, Lum JK, et al. High prevalence of quintuple mutant dhps/dhfr genes in *Plasmodium*

*falciparum* infections seven years after introduction of sulfadoxine and pyrimethamine as first line treatment in Malawi. Acta Trop. 2003;85:363–73.

- Roper C, Pearce R, Bredenkamp B, Gumede J, Drakeley C, Mosha F, et al. Antifolate antimalarial resistance in southeast Africa: a population-based analysis. Lancet. 2003;361:1174–81.
- Ndiaye D, Daily JP, Sarr O, Ndir O, Gaye O, Mboup S, et al. Mutations in *Plasmodium falciparum* dihydrofolate reductase and dihydropteroate synthase genes in Senegal. Trop Med Int Health. 2005;4:1176–9.
- Ndiaye D, Dieye B, Ndiaye YD, Van Tyne D, Daniels R, Bei AK, et al. Polymorphism in dhfr/dhps genes, parasite density and ex vivo response to pyrimethamine in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria parasites in Thies, Senegal. Int J Parasitol Drugs Drug Resist. 2013;3:135–42.
- Boussaroque A, Fall B, Madamet M, Wade KA, Fall M, Nakoulima A, et al. Prevalence of anti-malarial resistance genes in Dakar, Senegal from 2013 to 2014. Malar J. 2016;15:347.

# Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

