



eCommons@AKU

Section of General Surgery

Department of Surgery

January 2006

# Gastric stromal tumors: clinical presentations, diagnosis and outcome

Dildar Hussain

Hasnain Zafar Aga Khan University, hasnain.zafar@aku.edu

Asad Jamil Raja

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan\_fhs\_mc\_surg\_gen



Part of the <u>Surgery Commons</u>

#### Recommended Citation

Hussain, D., Zafar, H., Raja, A. (2006). Gastric stromal tumors: clinical presentations, diagnosis and outcome. Journal of College of Physicians and Surgeons Pakistan, 16(11), 696-699.

Available at: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan\_fhs\_mc\_surg\_gen/50

#### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# GASTRIC STROMAL TUMORS: CLINICAL PRESENTATIONS, DIAGNOSIS AND OUTCOME

Dildar Hussain, Hasnain Zafar and Asad Jamil Raja

#### **ABSTRACT**

Objective: To determine the clinical presentations, of gastric stromal tumors with diagnostic methods, pathology and outcome after surgery.

Study Design: A case series.

Duration and Setting: From January 1988 to December 2002 at The Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi.

Patients and Methods: All patients of age 14 years and above, diagnosed histopathologically to have gastric stromal tumors were included. The data of these patients was collected retrospectively from January 1988 to December 1998, and prospectively from January 1999 to December 2002. All the patients were studied as a single group.

Results: There were 11 patients. Their mean age was 54 years, with 8 males and 3 females. Five patients presented with upper gastrointestinal bleeding, and 4 with lower gastrointestinal bleeding. Eight patients had pain in epigastrium and 2 had vomiting. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy was done in all patients, and ultrasound was done in 4 patients. CT scan was done in 7 patients. Pre-operative diagnosis could be made in 6 patients. Only one patient had liver metastasis. Wedge resection was performed in 5 proximal gastrectomy with gastroesophageal anastomosis in 3, and partial gastrectomy with gastrojejunostomy in another 3 patients. The mean tumor size was 8.0 centimeters. Two patients had benign, 2 had intermediate and 7 had malignant tumors. The mean duration of follow-up was 41 months. Follow-up was completed in 8 patients, out of whom 6 were alive, and 2 patients expired due to other causes at the time of completion of this study. Conclusion: Gastric stromal tumors are uncommon. Larger gastric stromal tumors are usually symptomatic with gastrointestinal bleeding as a common presentation. Immunohistochemical techniques are required for the diagnosis. Complete surgical resection is the curative therapy.

**KEY WORDS:** 

Gastric stromal fumors. Diagnosis.

Treatment. Outcome.

### INTRODUCTION

Gastric stromal tumors are uncommon.<sup>1</sup> Many of such tumors may not originate from smooth muscles<sup>2</sup>, therefore, these tumors may be classified as GIST i.e. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors and in relation to the stomach as gastric stromal tumors.<sup>3</sup>

These tumors were classified depending on the type of tissue from which they arise, that is smooth muscles, nervous tissue or both of them.<sup>4</sup> With the advent of the electron microscopy and immunohistochemical techniques these tumors are now classified as benign, intermediate and malignant, depending upon the tumor size and mitotic count.<sup>4</sup> Immunohistochemical techniques analyse the positivity of vimentin, desmin, anti smooth muscle antibodies and S-100 to differentiate between different tumors.<sup>5</sup> Although prediction of the biological behaviour of these tumors is often difficult, but in general malignant stromal tumors are mitotically more active, more cellular, larger in size, and having adjacent organ invasion.<sup>6</sup> The majority of gastric stromal tumors are grossly well circumscribed, even those that prove to be malignant.<sup>7</sup>

Department of Surgery, The Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan.

Correspondence: Dr. Dildar Hussain, Surgery Department, Level 5 West, Dubai Hospital, P.O. Box. 7272, Dubai, United Arab Emirates. E-mail: docdildar@yahoo.com

Received July 26, 2004; accepted September 3, 2006.

Most of the gastric stromal tumors are asymptomatic, but slowly growing tumors may present as symptomatic masses.8

Despite advances in technology, the pre-operative diagnosis of gastric stromal tumors is often difficult. Helpful investigations include: upper gastrointestinal endoscopy, ultrasonography, CT scan and endoscopic ultrasound. As gastric stromal tumors are usually radioresistant and insensitive to chemotherapeutic agents, the only curative therapy is adequate surgical excision. Metastatic disease is most common in liver and peritoneum. Metastasis to local lymph nodes does not occur, thus there does not appear to be a need for lymph node dissection.

The objectives of the study were to determine the clinical presentations, diagnostic methods and outcome after surgery of these rare tumors at The Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi.

#### Patients and methods

It was a case series with combined retrospective and prospective review conducted at The Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi from January 1988 to December 2002. The data was collected retrospectively from 1988 to 1998, and prospectively from 1999 to December 2002. All adult patients of age 14 years and above, diagnosed by histopathology, to have gastric stromal tumors were included in the study. Patients less than 14 years of age, and patients with incomplete medical record were excluded.

demographic data, presentations, diagnostic methods be demographic data, presentations, diagnostic methods be demographic data, presentations, diagnostic methods were lossified according to the pathologist. The were classified according to the new classification as benign, intermediate and malignant. The reasons for dmission after the discharge from the hospital, and follow of these patients were also reviewed. There were 4 patients were studied prospectively. Since all their features were as in the retrospective group, therefore, all patients were afted as a single group. TGM (Tumor, Grade, Metastasis) tem was used to stage the disease.

#### RESULTS

pere were 11 patients. The mean age was 54 years. There mean and 3 female patients. Ischemic heart disease was immorbidity in 4 patients, hypertension in 3, liver cirrhosis in 1, rheumatoid arthritis in 1, smoking in 2, and goiter in 1 patient. The clinical features and the duration of these features shown in Table I.

the I: Clinical features and duration.					
No. of patients (%)	Mean duration (days)				
9	42				
5	32 55				
4					
_ 8	85				
- 3	200				
2	75				
2	270				
22	270				
2	105				
1 .	360				
11	720				
11	540				
	No. of patients (%)  9 5 4 8 3 2 2 2				

The hemoglobin was less than 10 gm/dl in 3 patients, and 8 patients had hemoglobin of more than 10gm/dl at the time of mission. The liver function tests were normal in all the patients. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy was used as a agnostic modality in all of these patients. The yield of the pper gastrointestinal endoscopy was small and only 2 patients could be diagnosed to have gastric stromal tumors, mendoscopic biopsy. The endoscopy showed ulcer in somach in 4 patients, nodular lesions in 2, gastritis in 3, plypoid lesion in 1, and gastric outlet obstruction in 1 patient. Ultrasonography of abdomen was done in 4 patients. It showed a mass anterior to the pancreas in one patient. the pre-operative C.T scan was done in 7 patients. In 6 patients, it revealed a mass in stomach. In one patient, it showed a mass inferior to the antral part of the stomach. No liver metastasis or ascites was detected pre-operatively in hese patients.

With the help of these diagnostic modalities, the pre-operative provisional diagnosis of a gastric stromal tumor was made in 6 patients. Wedge resection with negative tumor margin was Performed in 5 patients. In 3 patients, proximal gastrectomy and gastroesophageal anastomosis was performed. A partial gastrectomy and gastrojejunostomy was performed in 3 patients because the tumor was in the pylorus of the stomach. One patient was found to have liver metastasis and 3 patients had tumor rupture, detected per-operatively.

The size of the tumor ranged from 3.5cm to 21cm, with a mean diameter of 8.0cm. The slides of all these patients were reviewed by the histopathologist, and these tumors were reclassified according to a new classification system of Amin et al. Two patients had benign tumors, 2 had intermediate, and 7 patients had malignant tumors. One patient had stage I disease, 3 patients had stage III, 3 patients had stage III, one patient had stage IV A, and 3 patients had stage IV B disease.

Injury to splenic vessels occurred in one patient, during surgery, leading to hemorrhage and splenectomy was done. He was shifted to ICU, where he developed pneumonia and ARDS, leading to death. One patient developed urinary tract infection postoperatively, and he was treated with antibiotics. The mean hospital stay was 11 days.

Four patients were re-admitted with pain epigastrium due to acid peptic disease, 2 patients with upper gastrointestinal bleeding, one patient with ischemic heart disease and one patient with hepatic coma. One patient who had liver metastasis was admitted for chemotherapy.

The mean duration of follow up was 41 months. Two patients were lost to follow up, one of them was the patient with liver metastasis, and he lost to follow up after 20 months of his surgery, and another was lost to follow up 4 months after the surgery. Among the remaining 8 patients, 6 patients were alive at the time of completion of this study. One patient expired 8 years after surgery due to ischemic heart disease, and another patient expired 3 years and 9 months after the surgery, due to liver cirrhosis.

The stage of the disease, operative procedure and duration of survival is shown in Table II.

Table II Stage of disease, operative procedure and survival.				
S.No.	Stage of disease	Operative procedure		Survival in months
1	1	Wedge resection	45	(expired)
2	11	Wedge resection	66	
3	11 _	Wedge resection	04	(lost to follow-up)
4	111	Prox. gastrectomy with		
		gastroesophag.		
		anastomosis	96	(expired)
5	111	Wedge resection	54	
6	111	Partial gastrectomy and		
		gastrojejunal anastomosis	26	
7	1VA	Proximal gastrectomy with	20	(lost to follow-up
		gastroesophag.anastomosis_		after 20 months)
8	1VB	Partial gastrectomy with gastro		
		jejunal anastomosis	35	
9	1VB	Proximal gastrectomy with		
		gastroesophag.anastomosis	49	
10	IVB	Partial gastrectomy and		
		gastrojejunal anastomosis	21	

## Discussion

Tumors of smooth muscle origin account for 0.3% of gastric lesions.<sup>11</sup> Many of such tumors may not originate from smooth muscles<sup>2</sup>, and therefore, these tumors are called gastric stromal tumors. A significant number of patients have their tumors discovered during operation when they present with bleeding, perforation, or obstruction.<sup>7</sup> The surgeon is required to formulate adequate therapy usually in an acute condition for a rare disease. Most of these tumors are

asymptomatic. Symptomatic tumors have varied presentations. Gastro-intestinal bleeding was the common presentation in this study, occurring in 9 patients. This was followed by pain in epigastrium, vomiting, dysphagia and anorexia. The incidence of gastrointestinal bleeding as reported in the literature is up to 70%.<sup>12</sup> However, these are usually nonspecific and depend upon the size and location of lesion.<sup>13</sup>

As pre-operative diagnosis of these tumors is often difficult, in the literature pre-operative diagnosis could be made in upto 15% of the cases. 12 In our series the pre-operative provisional diagnosis of gastric stromal tumors was made in 6 patients. Endoluminal ultrasonography has sensitivity of 80% to 100% in detecting gastric stromal tumors and it also differentiates benign from the malignant stromal tumors, as decribed in the literature. A demarcated hypoechoic mass that is contiguous with the muscularis propria layer of the stomach is characteristic of a stromal tumor. Fine needle aspiration and core biopsy are also described as pre operative diagnostic methods. 14 These modalities were not used in our patients.

Surgical resection of localized gastric stromal tumors is the preferred treatment modality. Historically a 1 to 2 cm margin was thought to be necessary for adequate resection. Recently it is demonstrated that the surgical goal should be complete resection with negative resection margins, without lymphadenectomy.14 In some cases, tumor size and location may require extensive surgery, including partial or total gastrectomy. Removal of all the gross disease improves the outcome even in patients with advanced disease. The regional lymphadenectomy would not result in beneficial effects<sup>10</sup> because majority of these patients present with systemic metastasis.<sup>15</sup> In the present series, removal of all the gross disease was done in 10 patients and one patient had liver metastasis. Tumor rupture is said to have an adverse influence on the survival of the patients.7 In this study, 3 patients had tumor rupture, because the tumor had already ulcerated prior to surgery.

Currently a laparoscopic resection of gastric stromal tumors is performed in some centres. A study done by Novitsky *et al.* showed a laparoscopic approach to surgical resection of gastric stromal tumors was associated with low morbidity and short hospital stay. The long-term follow-up (mean 36 months) in this study showed a disease-free survival of 92%.

The mean diameter of the gastric stromal tumors as described in the literature is upto 7.3 centimeters. In this study, the mean diameter is 8.0 centimeters. This seems that patients presented in the advanced stage of the disease. The mean follow-up was 41 months, which is better than the study of Ludwig. 12 The local recurrence has been reported upto 10% to 12% in the literature, but in our study no patient had local recurrence. The rates of curative resection for gastric stromal tumors ranges from 68% to 90%, and 5 years survival ranges from 32% to 63%. 14

A case reported by Shiwani showed 4 years postsurgery follow-up in a patient operated for gastric stromal tumor, without chemo-radiotherapy that did not show any evidence of disease. <sup>17</sup> In this study one patient expired 8 years after the surgery due to ischemic heart disease, but having no evidence of the tumor recurrence.

The role of radiotherapy has yet to be determined. Presently, radiotherapy to these patients. Currently, it is described that C-kit receptor tyrosine kinase is expressed in these turnors. The tyrosine kinase inhibitor Glivac is the first rationally tumors. Blivac is indicated in patients with unresectable tumors or with metastatic disease. In one study, after surgical resection, Glivac caused stabilization or regression of the tumor in 84% of patients. True efficacy is unknown und the results of the ongoing prospective trials. Glivac was not given to patients in this study.

#### Conclusion

Gastric stromal tumors are uncommon. Larger tumors are usually symptomatic. Immunohistochemical techniques are required for the diagnosis. Complete surgical resection can lead to prolongation of life, and may be a potential cure for patients with gastric stromal tumors.

#### References

- Rossi CR, Mocellin S, Mauceralli R, Foletto M, Pilati P, Nitti D, et al. Gastrointestinal stomal tumours: from a surgical to a molecular approach. Int J Cancer 2003; 107: 171-6.
- Mazur MT, Clark HB. Gastric stromal tumours. Re-appraisal of histogenisis. Am J Surg Pathol 1983; 7: 507-19.
- Appleman HD. Smooth muscle tumours of the gastrointestinal tract. Am J Surg 1986: 83-9.
- Morgan B. Campton C, Talbert M, Gallgher WJ, Wood WC. Benign smooth muscle tumours of the gastrointestinal tract: a 24 years experience. Ann Surg 1990; 24: 63-6.
- Ackerman, Vedder L, Rosai J, (edi). Ackerman's surgical pathology. 7th ed. St Louis: Mosby, 1989.
- He LJ. Wang BS, Chen CC. Smooth muscle tumours of the digestive tract: report of 160 cases. Br J Surg. 1988; 75:184-6.
- Ng EH, Pollock RE, Romsdahl MM. Prognostic implications of patterns of failure for gastrointestinal leiomyosarcomas. Contra 1992; 69: 1334-41.
- Grahams, Batlanty G. Gastric epithelioid leiomyomatous tumors. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1992; 175: 477-89.
- Chack A, Canto MI, Rosch T, Dittlar HJ, Hawes RH, Tio TL Endosonographic differentiation of benign and malignant stromd cell tumours. Gastrointest Endosc 1997; 45: 468-73.
- Evans HL, Smooth muscle tumours of the gastrointestinal tract. a study of 56 cases followed for a minimum of 10 years. Cancer 1985; 56: 2242-50.
- Abramson DJ. Leiomyoblastomas of the stomach. Surg Gynaed Obstet 1973;136:118-25.
- Ludwig DJ. Gut stromal tumours and their clinical behavious. Am J Surg 1997; 173: 390-4.
- Reichardt P. Practical aspects of managing gastrointestinal strongl tumours. Monograph in Gastrointestinal Stronal Tumours 2003; 1: 3-8.
- Lev D, Kariv O, Lssakov J, Merhav H, Berger E, Merinsky <sup>Q</sup> Gastrointestinal stromal sarcomas. Br J Surg. 1999; 86: 545-9.
- Lindsay PC, Ordoniz N, Raaf JH. Gastric leiomyosarcoma: clinical and pathological review of 50 patinets. J Surg Oncol 1981;18 399-421.

W, Kercher KW, Sing RF, Heniford BT. Long-term light of laparoscopic resection of gastric gastrointestinal lumors. *Ann Surg* 2006; **243**:738-45.

Giwani MH. Gastric stromal tumour. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 305,15: 731-2.

M, Lasota J. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors-definition, field in histological, immunohistochemical and molecular genetic

features and differential diagnosis. Virchows Arch 2001; 438:1-12.

- Glivac. Summary of product characteristics. Novartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland, 2002.
- Wu PC, Langerman A, Ryan CW, Hart J, Sweiger S, Posner MC. Surgical treatment of the gastrointestinal stromal tumors in the imatinib (STI-571) era. Surgery 2003; 134: 665-6.

....★....