

Beat-by-beat assessment of cardiac afterload using descending aortic velocity–pressure loop during general anesthesia: a pilot study

Fabrice Vallée, Arthur Le Gall, Jona Joachim, Olivier Passouant, Joaquim Mateo, Arnaud Mari, Sandrine Millasseau, Alexandre Mebazaa, Etienne Gayat

► To cite this version:

Fabrice Vallée, Arthur Le Gall, Jona Joachim, Olivier Passouant, Joaquim Mateo, et al.. Beat-bybeat assessment of cardiac afterload using descending aortic velocity–pressure loop during general anesthesia: a pilot study. Journal of Clinical Monitoring and Computing, Springer Verlag, 2017, 113 (5), pp.727 - 735. 10.1007/s10877-017-9982-5. hal-01629079

HAL Id: hal-01629079 https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01629079

Submitted on 6 Nov 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Beat-by-Beat Assessment of Cardiac Afterload			
2	Using Descending Aortic Velocity-Pressure Loop			
3	During General Anesthesia			
4	- A Pilot Study -			
5				
6	Fabrice Vallée* ^{1,2,3,4} , MD; Arthur Le Gall ^{1,2,3} , MD; Jona Joachim ^{1,2,3,4} , MD; Olivier Passouant ^{1,2,3} , MD;			
7	Joaquim Matéo ^{1,3} , MD; Arnaud Mari ⁵ , MD; Sandrine Millasseau ⁶ , PhD; Alexandre Mebazaa ^{1,2,3} , PhD;			
8	Etienne Gayat ^{1,2,3} , PhD			
9				
10	¹ Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, St-Louis-Lariboisière-Fernand Widal University Hospitals, Assistance Publique -			
11	Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris, France; ² INSERM UMR-942, Paris, France; ³ Paris Diderot University, Paris, France; ⁴ LMS Polytechnique and			
12	M3DISIM, Inria, Saclay, France; ⁵ Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care, Toulouse University Hospitals, Toulouse, France; ⁶			
13	Pulse Wave Consulting, St Leu la Foret, France; * F.V and A.L.G equally contributed to this present study			
14				
1 Г				
15 16				
10				
18	Running title: Aortic PU Loop and Cardiac afterload			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23	Corresponding author: Fabrice Vallée, vallee.fabrice@gmail.com, Tel: +33 1 49 95 80 71			
24	Anesthesiology – Intensive care – SMUR department, Saint Louis – Lariboisière- Fernand Widal			
25	University hospitals, 2 rue Ambroise Pare, 75010 Paris			
∠0 27				
_/				

28 Authors' contribution

29	F.V., A.L.G., E.G., and A.Me. conception and design of research; F.V., A.L.G., O.P., J.M.
30	and J.J. performed experiments; E.G. and A.L.G. analyzed data; A.L.G. and E.G. interpreted
31	results of experiments; E.G., A.LG. and SM prepared figures; F.V., A.L.G., E.G. and A.Me.
32	drafted manuscript; F.V., A.L.G, E.G., A.Ma and S.M edited and revised manuscript; F.V.,

A.L.G., J.J., O.P., J.M., A.Ma., S.M., A.Me. and E.G. approved final version of manuscript.
FV., A.L.G. equally contributed to this present study.

35 Acknowledgments

We thank Marie-Céline Fournier, research nurse in our department, for her support in the conduct of the present study. We also thank anesthetic nurses for their support in the conduct of the present study.

39 Fundings

40 Fabrice Vallée received research grant from Assistance Publique – Hôpitaux de Paris

41 **Disclosures**

Fabrice Vallée, Arthur Le Gall, Etienne Gayat and Alexandre Mebazaa co-own the patent
describing the PU Loop (WO 2015173785 A1 "Method for the continuous evaluation of the
ventriculo-aortic coupling of at-risk patients by analysis of pressure-flow loops")

45 Alexandre Mebazaa has received speaker honoraria from Abbott, Novartis, Orion, Roche and

46 Servier and fee as member of advisory board and/or steering committee from Cardiorentis,

47 Adrenomed, MyCartis, ZS Pharma and Critical Diagnostics

48 Etienne Gayat has received consulting fee from Magnisense, research support from49 Sphingotec, Deltex Medical and Retia Medical.

51 Abstract

52 Introduction: Continuous cardiac afterload evaluation could represent a useful tool during 53 general anesthesia (GA) to titrate vasopressor effect. Using beat to beat descending aortic 54 pressure(P)/flow velocity(U) loop obtained from esophageal Doppler and femoral pressure 55 signals might allow to track afterload changes.

Methods: We defined 3 angles characterizing the PU loop (alpha, beta and Global After-Load Angle (GALA)). Augmentation index (AIx) and total arterial compliance (Ctot) were measured via radial tonometry. Peripheral Vascular Resistances (PVR) were also calculated. Twenty patients were recruited and classified into low and high cardiovascular (CV) risk group. Vasopressors were administered, when baseline mean arterial pressure (MAP) fell by 20%.

Results: We studied 118 pairs of pre/post bolus measurements. At baseline, patients in the 62 lower CV risk group had higher cardiac output (6.1 \pm 1.7 vs 4.2 \pm 0.6 L/min; p = 0.005), higher 63 Ctot (2.7 \pm 1.0 vs 2.0 \pm 0.4 ml/mmHg, p = 0.033), lower AIx and PVR (13 \pm 10 vs 32 \pm 11 % and 64 1011 ± 318 vs 1390 ± 327 dyn.s.cm⁻⁵; p<0.001 and p=0.016, respectively) and lower GALA 65 (41±15 vs 68±6°; p<0.001). GALA was the only PU Loop parameter associated with Ctot, 66 AIx and PVR. After vasopressors, MAP increase was associated with a decrease in Ctot, an 67 increase in AIx and PVR and an increase in alpha, beta and GALA (p<0.001 for all). Changes 68 in GALA and Ctot after vasopressors were strongly associated (p=0.004). 69

Conclusions: PU Loop assessment from routine invasive hemodynamic optimization
 management during GA and especially GALA parameter could monitor cardiac afterload
 continuously in anesthetized patients, and may help clinicians to titrate vasopressor therapy.

- 73 Keywords :
- 74 Blood Flow Velocity; Pressure; Ventricular Function, Left; Arteries; Compliance; Pulse

75

76 Introduction

During General Anaesthesia (GA), prolonged hypotensive episodes have been 77 associated with negative postoperative outcomes 1,2 , such as myocardial infarctions 3,4 , acute 78 kidney injuries ⁵, or strokes ⁶. To this concern, American and European societies of 79 anaesthesiology and intensive care have highlighted the importance of perioperative 80 hemodynamic optimization strategies ^{7,8}. This management requires understanding of 81 hypotension's aetiologies through hemodynamic monitoring, in order to titrate fluid and/or 82 83 vasopressor therapies. Although it has been clearly established that fluid therapy should be titrated according to preload or stroke volume (SV) 9-11, monitoring of vasopressor effects is 84 more challenging. Indeed, vasopressors (direct or indirect alpha-1 agonists) restore mean 85 arterial pressure (MAP) by vasoconstriction but also increase cardiac afterload and wave 86 reflections by reducing elastic properties of medium and small arteries. This could lead to 87 undesirable side-effects in failing hearts ¹². Vasopressors might increase oxygen cardiac 88 consumption, reduce coronary perfusion pressure, and hence, be deleterious in cardiac 89 diseases, in case of exaggerated increase of cardiac afterload ¹³. In such tight therapeutic 90 context, it could be wise to continuously assess cardiac afterload in order to determine the 91 best balance between beneficial and detrimental effects of vasopressors. 92

Cardiac afterload evaluation remains complex during daily clinical practice. Indeed, as described by O Rourke et al.¹⁴, it includes the combination of three components: arterial compliance, aortic wave reflections and vascular resistances, all of which should be assessed separately using specific usually invasive tools. Analysis of central pressure waveforms has been used to monitor arterial function and properties during various vasodilatation states ^{15,16}. Augmentation index (AIx), a parameter related to the amount of wave reflections occurring during systole and SEVR (subendocardial viability ratio), a measure of coronary perfusion,

have been related to cardiac workload and afterload. However, this type of analysis requires 100 high fidelity ascending aortic pressure waveforms which are usually obtained via intra-aortic 101 catheters or non-invasive tonometry. During routine GA, these technics are not practical: the 102 invasive line usually rests on brachial or iliac artery where waveform morphology is altered 103 and more difficult to interpret in terms of waveform analysis and cardiac afterload ^{17,18}. We 104 hypothesized that abdominal aortic pressure (P) coupled with flow waveform (U) into 105 pressure-flow velocity (PU) loop diagram could allows a beat to beat assessment of cardiac 106 afterload. We have conducted a pilot study to compare cardiac afterload parameters obtained 107 from PU loops, with parameters obtained from central pressure analysis estimated by non-108 invasive arterial tonometry. To this concern, we have assessed the changes of these 109 parameters during GA, in high or low cardiovascular risks patients as well as before and after 110 vasopressor administration. 111

Material and Methods

This prospective observational study was performed on patients undergoing GA for 114 neurosurgery. Between November 2013 and April 2014, the patients admitted at Lariboisiere 115 University Hospital (Paris, France) for elective removal of intra-cranial tumours, or for 116 117 intracranial aneurysm surgery, were screened for inclusion. Only patients in whom preoperative anesthesia's consultation had indicated continuous arterial pressure through 118 femoral puncture and cardiac output monitoring during the procedure, were eligible for the 119 study. What is more, only patients who medically required vasopressors to maintain their 120 MAP during the intervention were included in the study. Exclusion criteria were age < 18121 year, pregnancy or contraindication for the use of transesophageal Doppler. This study was 122 approved by the Institutional Review Board of the « Société de Réanimation de Langue 123 Française » (CE SRLF 11-356), that exempted signed informed consent. Every subject was 124 125 orally informed for its inclusion in this study.

126 *Procedure*

GA was induced with total intravenous anesthesia using propofol (75-150 mg/kg/min) and 127 remifentanil (0.2-0.5 µg/kg/min). Patients were intubated after administration of atracurium 128 (0.5 mg/kg), and ventilation was set up until End Tidal CO₂ reached 35 to 38 mmHg, with 129 Tidal Volume of 6 and PEEP of 4 cmH₂O. The arterial line was inserted via the femoral 130 artery, using 4 French, 20 cm, catheter (Seldicath®, Prodimed, France). Pressure signals were 131 recorded 20cm far from the puncture point so approximatively in the abdominal aorta above 132 the iliac bifurcation. Signals were processed through a Philips MP60 monitor (Philips, NL) 133 and a CombiQ monitor (Deltex Medical®, Chichester, UK). A trans-esophageal Doppler 134 probe was used according to manufacturer recommendations (Deltex Medical®) to record 135 flow velocity (U) at the level of the thoracic aorta. The CombiQ monitor was a specific 136

prototype allowing to record simultaneously and continuously arterial pressure and aorticvelocity signals at a sampling frequency of 180Hz

Ascending arterial pressure signal was estimated non-invasively using radial applanation 139 tonometry (ShygmoCor, Atcor Medical, Sydney, Australia). A specific wristband 140 Millartonometer was installed on the radial artery after the induction of anesthesia and kept in 141 position during the whole procedure. Waveforms were calibrated using mean and diastolic 142 iliac pressures obtained by invasive femoral catheterization. The standard commercial well-143 validated generalized transfer function of SphygmoCor was used to estimate central 144 waveforms. Only recordings with a quality index above 90% were used. The SphygmoCor 145 system then estimates cardiac afterload parameters such as central pulse pressure (CPP) and 146 147 augmentation index (AIx) which represents the excess pressure due to the reflected waves. Total arterial compliance was calculated as Ctot = SV/CPP, where SV was the stroke volume 148 given by the trans-esophageal Doppler monitor ^{19–21}. Peripheral Vascular Resistance (PVR) 149 was calculated using the modified Poiseuille equation: PVR (dyn.s.cm-5) = MAP (mmHg) / 150 CO (l/min) * 80²². 151

152 *Intervention*

Hypotensive episodes were defined the when MAP fell at least 20% under the pre anesthesia MAP ²³. Following standard care protocol of our anesthesia department, when hypotension was identified as a consequence of sedative drugs, as a first line treatment, patients received 250 ml sodium isochloride that could be followed by vasopressor as a second line therapy: a bolus dose for Ephedrine (9 mg), Norepinephrine (5 μ g), or Phenylephrine (50 μ g). For all other etiologic diagnoses, patients were treated according to physician's choice.

159 As each patient may have received several boluses of vasoconstrictors, only boluses 160 administered to treat general anesthesia-induced arterial hypotension with following characteristics were analyzed: (1) stable hemodynamic state with no acute change of MAP or CO 1 minute before bolus (2) no clear evidence of hypovolemia or acute hemorrhage, (3) no concomitant rapid fluid administration, (4) no change in respiratory or ventilator parameters or anesthesia infusion rate 3 minutes before or during bolus and (4) in case of multiple boluses in a short interval were administered, we analyzed only the first bolus if the delay between the first and the second boluses was more than 5 minutes to try to eliminate the confounding factors such as synergism between the drugs and repetitive boluses.

168

169 Hemodynamic measurements:

Hemodynamic recordings, including standard measurements, tonometer derived parameters, and PU loop assessments were started a few seconds before the anesthetist administered vasopressor and run for a few seconds after the mean arterial pressure started to decrease. The investigator identified the baseline as the period corresponding to the few second before the administration of the treatment. The peak effect sample was defined as the heart beat with the maximal mean arterial pressure following vasopressor administration. Each vasopressor administration was thus associated with a couple of baseline and peak assessment.

177 *PU Loop (Fig. 1)*

In order to plot PU loops: one pressure pulse and its simultaneous flow velocity pulse were manually selected. Only good visual quality pulses were used in the off-line analysis (Matlab, Mathworks, US). Due to equipment filtering and processing, there was a systematic delay between the 2 signals which could go up to 20ms. Pressure and flow velocity pulses were hence visually aligned using the upstroke of the pressure pulse (maximum of the 2nd derivative) and the point when flow becomes different from 0. PU loop were plotted for each subject before and at maximal vasopressor effect.

- 185 In order to characterize PU loops, we defined 4 characteristic points (Fig. 1):
- 186 A: End Diastole: corresponding to the last point when flow in the aorta equals zero
- 187 B point: corresponding to maximal velocity in the aorta
- 188 C point: corresponding to maximal pressure in the aorta
- 189 D point: End Systole when the flow in the aorta goes back to zero

190

Fig. 2 and 3 present some examples of PU loops. The area covered by the loop did not properly described its shape as an "elongated" loop (such as in low risk patient) could have the same area than a more "rounded" loop (such as in high risk patient). Hence, to quantify the tilt and the opening of the loop, we defined 3 angles (Fig. 1B):

195- The Alpha angle representing the angle between the horizontal line and the AB line

196- The Beta angle representing the angle between the AB and AC lines.

197- The Global AfterLoad Angle (GALA) representing the angle between the horizontal and theAC lines (equal to the sum of alpha and beta angle).

199

200 *Statistical analysis*

Results are expressed as mean and standard deviation for continuous variables. Discontinuous
variables are expressed in number and percentage.

As arterial properties are known to differ according to patient cardiovascular (CV) risk, patients were separated into two groups depending on their number of CV risk factors. Risk factors taken into account were: age> 55 years old, arterial hypertension, current smoking, history of previous cardiovascular event, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia or congestive heart failure. Patients with 0 or 1 CV risk factor constituted the "Low CV Risk" group, while the "High CV Risk" group was composed with patients with 2 or more CV risk factors.

Patients' characteristics were compared 1) between Low CV Risk and High CV Risk groups and 2) between Baseline and Peak effect of vasopressor. During GA, several vasopressor boluses might be administered. To take into account multiple measures per patients, hemodynamic measurement analysis was conducted using mixed effect models for repeated measures where the weight corresponded to the number of measurements per patients. Comparisons were performed using a weighted student test (for paired or unpaired variables).

Meta regression was performed at baseline for static association assessment between parameters. Absolute difference between baseline and maximal effect of vasopressor was used for dynamic association assessment between parameters. Meta regression results were expressed as slope and 95% confidence interval.

Microsoft Excel (Microsoft, US) and Matlab software (Mathworks, US) were used to plot and
analyse PU loops. The Metafor package from the R project software (The R Foundation for
Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) was used for meta regression analysis.

223

224 **Results**

Patients' main characteristics are presented in table 1 (n = 20). Eleven patients were in the low CV risk group and 9 in the high CV risk group. Low CV risk patients were younger and presented a lower ASA score compared to the high CV risk group. No patients suffered for heart failure.

229 *Hemodynamic profile of patients at baseline*

One hundred and eighteen PU loops were performed at baseline in the whole population, before any vasopressor administration. As expected, at baseline, high risk patients had higher Aix and PVR; and lower Ctot and CO (table 1) but, there was no statistical significant difference in MAP. Fig. 2A and 2B present examples of low and high risk patients' PU loops.

- All defined angles Alpha, Beta and GALA were greater in the high risk group compared to
- the low risk group $(56 \pm 11^{\circ} \text{ vs } 36 \pm 16^{\circ}; p=0.004, 7 \pm 5^{\circ} \text{ vs. } 2 \pm 3^{\circ}; p=0.017, \text{ and } 68 \pm 6^{\circ}$

vs. 41 \pm 15 °; p<0.001, for alpha, beta and GALA angles respectively, table 1).

- 237 When comparing PU Loop parameters, a negative association has been found between Ctot
- and GALA (Fig. 4). Indeed, GALA increased by 11.9 $[3.8 20]^{\circ}$, for 1 ml/mmHg decrease in
- 239 Ctot (p = 0.004). Furthermore, a positive association has been found between both GALA and
- 240 Beta, and Aix: GALA increased by 8.8 [3.8 13.7] °, and Beta increased by 2 [0.7 3.2] °, for
- 10 % increase in AIx (p < 0.001 and p = 0.002, respectively). We also found a positive
- association between PVR and both GALA and Beta: GALA increased by 2.2 [0.2 4.2] °, and
- Beta increased by 0.9 $[0.2 1.5]^\circ$, for 100 dyn.s.cm-5 increase in PVR (p = 0.033 and p =
- 244 0.006 respectively).
- 245

Assessment of dynamic alteration of cardiac afterload during vasopressors administration
(table 2):

One hundred and eighteen boluses of vasopressors were studied. In our population, 248 vasopressor administration led to an increase in MAP, in Aix and in PVR (+18 \pm 6 mmHg ; 249 $+4 \pm 4\%$ and ; $+715 \pm 357$ dyn.s.cm⁻⁵; respectively ; p < 0.001 for each), and to a decrease in 250 CO and Ctot (-1.0 \pm 0.9 L/min ; -0.8 \pm 0.5 ml/mmHg, p<0.001 ; respectively). Fig. 3 shows 251 changes in pressure, flow velocity and PU loop after vasopressor bolus. These changes 252 occurred within a few heart beats (15 heart beats on patient presented on Fig. 3B). 253 Vasopressors significantly increased GALA ($+8 \pm 4^\circ$, p < 0.001), as well as Alpha and Beta 254 angles (p < 0.001). 255

Vasopressor-induced increases in GALA were negatively associated with changes in Ctot (-5.2 [-8.7 - -1.7] $^{\circ}$ for 1ml/mmHg increase in Ctot, p = 0.004, Fig. 4), whereas no association was observed between changes in GALA, Alpha or Beta, and changes in Aix or PVR.

259 **Discussion**

This study describes a method to define cardiac afterload parameters derived from aortic pressure – flow velocity (PU) loop plotted with standard hemodynamic signals, recorded during general anesthesia. These parameters: alpha, beta and the Global AfterLoad Angle (GALA) angles quantify the tilt and shape of the PU loop.

Our study showed that those angles 1) varied adequately according to the presence of cardiovascular risk factors and 2) allowed us to track changes in afterload after vasopressor administration.

Afterload is described as a combination of 3 constitutive components ¹⁷, acting 267 together to counteract heart's ejection forces: Arterial Stiffness, Aortic Reflection Waves and 268 Arterial Resistances. In our study, we used AIx, Ctot and PVR calculation as estimates of 269 these 3 cardiac afterload components. Indeed, even if general monitoring parameters such as 270 MAP, CO and HR are of course available, their interpretation in terms of cardiac afterload is 271 tricky, as they are fully interlinked and dependent on CV risk. The novelty of our approach is 272 to propose a quantification of afterload during general anesthesia through a combined analysis 273 of Pressure and flow using the angles of the PU loops. Our work aimed to describe a 274 continuous and reactive method which could offer visual assessment of cardiac afterload, and 275 guide anesthetist to dose vasoactive drugs. 276

At a physiological point of view, a small GALA angle reflects a low afterload: cardiac ejection produces a high flow velocity for a relatively low pressure. On the opposite, a high GALA angle implies that a relatively low ejected volume ends up creating a high pressure pulse. Alpha angle could be more related to local wave velocity through the water hammer equation ²⁴ and beta angle to wave reflections.

These interpretations corroborate the differences observed between low and high CV 282 risk groups as regard to Alpha, Beta and GALA angles (table 1) as well as with the 283 correlations found with Ctot, AIx and PVR (Fig. 4). Indeed, as expected and previously 284 reported ^{20,25–27}, AIx and PVR were higher and Ctot lower with high CV risk patients. They 285 also have higher Alpha, Beta and GALA angles indicating higher cardiac afterload (table 1). 286 Interestingly, GALA was the only parameter significantly associated with the 3 components 287 of cardiac afterload (Fig. 4) while Beta showed a strong relationship with AIx. Those results, 288 while encouraging, should be tempered by the classification used to separate population. 289 Indeed, we used a non-validated classification based on the number of CV risks the patients 290 291 expressed. While a stratification according to the surgical risk should be more intuitive in terms of post-operative outcomes, to our knowledge, no statistical score is especially designed 292 to evaluate the arterial stiffness or the cardiac afterload. While ASA Classification or Revised 293 Cardiac Index ^{28,29} could fit our clinical purposes, those score doesn't integrate the age that is 294 known to be the most influent factor in terms of cardiac afterload ³⁰. 295

After rapid pharmacological vasopressor bolus, AIx, Ctot and PVR were altered. As 296 were the 3 novel angle parameters of the PU loop. However, the association between changes 297 in AIx or PVR and changes in GALA did not reach statistical significance. This surprising 298 result might be explained by the potential inaccuracy of the comparators. Indeed, while AIx 299 has been shown to be a reliable marker during vasoactive challenges ^{15,16}, those results have 300 only been observed in a young population, free of CV risk factors. In older population, AIx 301 might not be such a sensitive parameter ³¹. During physical exercise, a strong vasoactive 302 stimulus, Thiebaud et al.³² have shown that AIx has only been linked to alteration of cardiac 303 afterload in the youngest population. Unfortunately, our study is underpowered to analyze the 304 effect of age on vasopressor agents' effect. Another limitation could arise because 305

306 Sphygmocor system used to estimate central pressure has only been validated in awaken 307 patients, under the scope of hypertension pathology, and not during general anesthesia.

Interestingly, PVR did not show any association with PU loops angles during vasopressor agent administration. However, as discussed expansively by Nichols and O'Rourke ¹⁷, PVR can find a physiological meaning in terms of cardiac afterload only in steady flow conditions, ie at a distal level of the arterial tree, and not at the aortic level. Thus, our PU loop which is a dynamic, and beat by beat analysis of Pressure and Flow in Aorta, is probably not the most adequate algorithm to track changes in PVR.

In our data, only decrease in Ctot has remained strongly associated with increase in 314 GALA in response to vasopressor agents. Several comments can be addressed about this 315 finding. First, in literature, data relating effects of vasopressors on total arterial compliance 316 are very scarce. However, the SV on PP ratio has been shown to be reliably linked to decrease 317 in cardiac afterload in a population of hypertensive patients taking daily calcium channel 318 blocker ³³.We hence used the SV on PP algorithm as an estimator of Total Arterial 319 320 Compliance. Even if such a method has expressed poor agreement with the area method (more accurate measurement of Ctot) in dogs³⁴, the correlation coefficient between the two 321 algorithm were 0.78. Chemla et al. have also observed this finding in humans²⁰. Finally, Ctot 322 is thought to represent Windkessel model of arterial circulation and which is known to have 323 some imperfection, but at a global arterial system point of view, this model is sufficient to 324 explain arterial circulation observations $^{35-37}$. 325

As mentioned above, central pressure analysis can be used as a surrogate of afterload ³⁸, in particular to quantify vasoactive drug effects ¹⁵. However high quality invasive central pressure recordings or estimated central waveforms from carotid or transformed radial applanation tonometry are not easily available during GA. We wanted a method to quantify

afterload based on GA routine care in order to be easily applicable. For this reason, we used 330 331 flow velocity obtained by a trans-esophageal Doppler probe, and pressure waveforms recorded through fluid-catheters. One limitation of this approach could be the remoteness of 332 the pressure measurement, at an arterial location slightly different from flow velocity point of 333 measurement. Indeed, pressure wave shape and amplitude are greatly dependent on 334 measurement site ^{17,18}. This is the reason why we decided to select only patients with invasive 335 336 femoral line in order to use pressures recorded as close as possible to flow recording point. However, femoral access for pressure measurement isn't out of risk of complication, and 337 should be used only for selected patients. This aspect limits the clinical application of our 338 339 method. Nevertheless, improvement in technical aspect of PU loop could probably be done and work on the design of a specific transfer function from iliac and/or radial to aortic arch is 340 currently in progress in our research unit. 341

Another potential source of inaccuracy of our PU loops relates to the re-alignment of pressure and velocity waveforms. In our pilot study, this process was performed manually. An error of a few sample during the re-alignment might be possible. Swalen et al have studied the influence of the re-alignment on the PU loop³⁹. While it can greatly modify the onset of PU loop and hence calculation of local wave speed, it however has little influence on the position of B and C and hence on the angles made by these points from the horizontal.

Thiele et al. described a similar setting to ours but they used radial arterial catheter pressures that have been averaged to plot velocity-pressure loop. While their loop is inverted compared to the PU loop usually referred in the literature ^{37,40}, their proposed setting brings, to our standpoint, additional drawbacks: 1) the use of radial pressure waveforms will alter the overall loop shape, 2) the use of average flow and pressure waveforms precludes analysis of acute afterload changes and, 3) to our experience, area of the PU loop does not rendered correctly loop characteristics. Indeed, same surfaces can be found for PU loops of different shapes. The novelty of our approach resides in the definition of alpha, beta and GALA angles
which are simple sensitive parameters to describe the PU loop and its changes across CV risk
and vasopressor drugs.

358 This study was designed on a pragmatic approach based on routine procedures of standard neurosurgical cares including the use of vasopressors in non-hypovolemic patient to 359 360 maintain cerebral perfusion. While our results support the feasibility of PU loop as a tool to monitor cardiac afterload, this pilot study only included 20 subjects preventing us to further 361 evaluate the specific effect of the various vasopressors effects. Thus, further studies are 362 required to confirm that GALA and GALA changes after vasopressor could be used to 363 optimized perioperative hemodynamic strategies during GA during different volemic 364 conditions. 365

Conclusion

While our analysis was performed off-line, PU Loop assessment could potentially allow beat-to-beat quantitative analysis of cardiac afterload in clinical settings. This is achieved without any supplementary material, using signals already requested for hemodynamic optimization management in operating room and may help to better understand hemodynamics of high risk surgical patients during GA. Further work on the use of the alpha, beta and GALA angle during GA in particular in patients with failing heart are however required.

381 Figures Legend

382 **Fig.1:** Definition of analysis parameters

Panel A: Example of synchronized arterial pressure and aortic flow velocity with the definition of augmentation index AIx and the A, B, C and D points as described in the methods section.

Panel B: Schematic representation of PU Loop with the 4 characteristics points and definition
of the alpha, beta and Global AfterLoad Angles (GALA)

388

- 389 Fig.2: Examples of pressure, flow and PU Loops at baseline (A and B) in low (A and B) and
 390 high (C and D) Cardio Vascular (CV) risk patients.
- 391
- 392 **Fig.3:** Example of vasopressor effect of aortic pressure, aortic flow velocity and PU loop.
- *Panel A:* Example of aortic pressure (in black) and aortic flow velocity (in gray) before and at
 peak vasopressor effect.
- 395 *Panel B:* beat to beat PU loops evolution from baseline (gray) to peak vasopressor effect396 (black)

397

Fig.4: Association of GALA with AIx, Ctot and Peripheral Vascular Resistances (PVR)
(Panel A.1-3, respectively), and changes of GALA after bolus versus change of AIx, change
of Ctot and change of PVR (Panel B.1-3, respectively) 118 measurements were performed in
20 patients. Each circle represents the weighted mean of repeated measure for one patient.
The radius of the circle represents the number of measurements perform for each patient.
(Slopes are expressed in ° per 1 ml/mmHg increase in Ctot, in ° per 10 % increase in AIx or in
° per 100 dyn.s.cm-5 increase with their respective 95% interval confidence)

405

406

408 **References:**

- Monk TG, Saini V, Weldon BC, Sigl JC: Anesthetic Management and One-Year Mortality After
 Noncardiac Surgery: Anesthesia & Analgesia 2005; 100:4–10
- Sessler DI, Sigl JC, Kelley SD, Chamoun NG, Manberg PJ, Saager L, Kurz A, Greenwald S: Hospital Stay
 and Mortality Are Increased in Patients Having a "Triple Low" of Low Blood Pressure, Low Bispectral
 Index, and Low Minimum Alveolar Concentration of Volatile Anesthesia: Anesthesiology 2012;
 116:1195–203
- Waes JAR van, Klei WA van, Wijeysundera DN, Wolfswinkel L van, Lindsay TF, Beattie WS: Association
 between Intraoperative Hypotension and Myocardial Injury after Vascular Surgery: Anesthesiology
 2016; 124:35–44
- Kheterpal S, O'Reilly M, Englesbe MJ, Rosenberg AL, Shanks AM, Zhang L, Rothman ED, Campbell DA,
 Tremper KK: Preoperative and Intraoperative Predictors of Cardiac Adverse Events after General,
 Vascular, and Urological Surgery: Anesthesiology 2009; 110:58–66
- Sun LY, Wijeysundera DN, Tait GA, Beattie WS: Association of intraoperative hypotension with acute
 kidney injury after elective noncardiac surgery. Anesthesiology 2015; 123:515–23
- 423 6. Bijker JB, Persoon S, Peelen LM, Moons KGM, Kalkman CJ, Kappelle LJ, Klei WA van: Intraoperative
 424 hypotension and perioperative ischemic stroke after general surgery: a nested case-control study.
 425 Anesthesiology 2012; 116:658–64
- Fleisher LA, Beckman JA, Brown KA, Calkins H, Chaikof EL, Fleischmann KE, Freeman WK, Froehlich JB, Kasper EK, Kersten JR, Riegel B, Robb JF, Smith SC, Jacobs AK, Adams CD, Anderson JL, Antman EM, Buller CE, Creager MA, Ettinger SM, Faxon DP, Fuster V, Halperin JL, Hiratzka LF, Hunt SA, Lytle BW, Nishimura R, Ornato JP, Page RL, Riegel B, et al.: ACC/AHA 2007 Guidelines on Perioperative Cardiovascular Evaluation and Care for Noncardiac Surgery. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 2007; 50:e159–242
- 432 8. Vallet B, Blanloeil Y, Cholley B, Orliaguet G, Pierre S, Tavernier B: Guidelines for perioperative
 433 haemodynamic optimization. Annales Françaises d'Anesthésie et de Réanimation 2013; 32:e151–8
- 434 9. Hamilton MA, Cecconi M, Rhodes A: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis on the Use of
 435 Preemptive Hemodynamic Intervention to Improve Postoperative Outcomes in Moderate and High436 Risk Surgical Patients: Anesthesia & Analgesia 2011; 112:1392–402
- 437 10. Navarro LHC, Bloomstone JA, Auler JOC, Cannesson M, Rocca GD, Gan TJ, Kinsky M, Magder S, Miller
 438 TE, Mythen M, Perel A, Reuter DA, Pinsky MR, Kramer GC: Perioperative fluid therapy: a statement
 439 from the international Fluid Optimization Group. Perioperative Medicine 2015; 4
- 440 11. Grocott MPW, Dushianthan A, Hamilton MA, Mythen MG, Harrison D, Rowan K, Optimisation
 441 Systematic Review Steering Group: Perioperative increase in global blood flow to explicit defined
 442 goals and outcomes after surgery: a Cochrane Systematic Review. British Journal of Anaesthesia
 443 2013; 111:535–48
- 12. Singer M: Catecholamine treatment for shock—equally good or bad? The Lancet 2007; 370:636–637
- 445 13. Mebazaa A, Parissis J, Porcher R, Gayat E, Nikolaou M, Boas FV, Delgado JF, Follath F: Short-term
 446 survival by treatment among patients hospitalized with acute heart failure: the global ALARM-HF
 447 registry using propensity scoring methods. Intensive Care Medicine 2011; 37:290–301
- 448
 448 14. Nichols WW, O'ROURKE M, Vlachopoulos C: Aortic Imput Impedance as ventricular load, McDonald's
 449 blood flow in arteries: theoretic, experimental, and clinical principles. pp 311–20
- 450 15. Kelly RP, Millasseau SC, Ritter JM, Chowienczyk PJ: Vasoactive drugs influence aortic augmentation
 451 index independently of pulse-wave velocity in healthy men. Hypertension 2001; 37:1429–33
- 452 16. Kelly RP, Gibbs HH, O'Rourke MF, Daley JE, Mang K, Morgan JJ, Avolio a P: Nitroglycerin has more
 453 favourable effects on left ventricular afterload than apparent from measurement of pressure in a
 454 peripheral artery. European heart journal 1990; 11:138–44
- 455 17. Nichols WW, Nichols WW, McDonald DA: McDonald's blood flow in arteries: theoretic, experimental,
 456 and clinical principles, 6th ed. London, Hodder Arnold, 2011
- 18. Temmar M, Jankowski P, Peltier M, Mouquet V, Debicka-Dabrowska D, Hamida F, Kawecka-Jaszcz K,
 Safar ME: Intraaortic Pulse Pressure Amplification in Subjects at High Coronary Risk. Hypertension
 2010; 55:327–32

- 460 19. Chemla D, Lamia B: Monitorage hémodynamique non-invasif en réanimation: intérêt potentiel de la tonométrie artérielle. Réanimation 2009; 18:197–200
- Chemla D, Hébert J-L, Coirault C, Zamani K, Suard I, Colin P, Lecarpentier Y: Total arterial compliance
 estimated by stroke volume-to-aortic pulse pressure ratio in humans. American Journal of Physiology Heart and Circulatory Physiology 1998; 43:H500
- Laurent S, Cockcroft J, Van Bortel L, Boutouyrie P, Giannattasio C, Hayoz D, Pannier B, Vlachopoulos C,
 Wilkinson I, Struijker-Boudier H, on behalf of the European Network for Non-invasive Investigation of
 Large Arteries: Expert consensus document on arterial stiffness: methodological issues and clinical
 applications. European Heart Journal 2006; 27:2588–605
- 469 22. KUHN LA, TURNER JK: Alterations in pulmonary and peripheral vascular resistance in immersion
 470 hypothermia. Circulation research 1959; 7:366–374
- 471 23. Bijker JB, Klei WA van, Kappen TH, Wolfswinkel L van, Moons KGM, Kalkman CJ: Incidence of
 472 Intraoperative Hypotension as a Function of the Chosen Definition: Literature Definitions Applied to a
 473 Retrospective Cohort Using Automated Data Collection. Anesthesiology 2007; 107:213–20
- 474 24. Khir AW, O'Brien A, Gibbs JS, Parker KH: Determination of wave speed and wave separation in the
 475 arteries. JBiomech 2001; 34:1145–55
- 476 25. McVeigh GE, Bratteli CW, Morgan DJ, Alinder CM, Glasser SP, Finkelstein SM, Cohn JN: Age-related
 477 abnormalities in arterial compliance identified by pressure pulse contour analysis aging and arterial
 478 compliance. Hypertension 1999; 33:1392–1398
- 479 26. Kelly R, Hayward C, Avolio A, O'Rourke M: Noninvasive determination of age-related changes in the
 480 human arterial pulse. Circulation 1989; 80:1652–9
- 481 27. McEniery CM, Yasmin null, Maki-Petaja KM, McDonnell BJ, Munnery M, Hickson SS, Franklin SS,
 482 Cockcroft JR, Wilkinson IB, Anglo-Cardiff Collaboration Trial Investigators: The impact of
 483 cardiovascular risk factors on aortic stiffness and wave reflections depends on age: the Anglo-Cardiff
 484 Collaborative Trial (ACCT III). Hypertension 2010; 56:591–7
- 485 28. Lee TH, Marcantonio ER, Mangione CM, Thomas EJ, Polanczyk CA, Cook EF, Sugarbaker DJ, Donaldson
 486 MC, Poss R, Ho KK, others: Derivation and prospective validation of a simple index for prediction of
 487 cardiac risk of major noncardiac surgery. Circulation 1999; 100:1043–1049
- 488 29. Wolters U, Wolf T, Stutzer H, Schroder T: ASA classification and perioperative variables as predictors
 489 of postoperative outcome. British Journal of Anaesthesia 1996; 77:217–22
- 490 30. Nilsson PM: Early vascular aging (EVA): consequences and prevention. Vasc Health Risk Manag 2008;
 491 4:547–52
- 492 31. McEniery CM, Yasmin, Hall IR, Qasem A, Wilkinson IB, Cockcroft JR: Normal vascular aging:
 493 differential effects on wave reflection and aortic pulse wave velocity: the Anglo-Cardiff Collaborative
 494 Trial (ACCT). JAmCollCardiol 2005; 46:1753–60
- 495 32. Thiebaud RS, Fahs CA, Rossow LM, Loenneke JP, Kim D, Mouser JG, Beck TW, Bemben DA, Larson RD,
 496 Bemben MG: Effects of age on arterial stiffness and central blood pressure after an acute bout of
 497 resistance exercise. European Journal of Applied Physiology 2016; 116:39–48
- 33. Schmieder RE, Messerli FH: Compliance großer elastischer Arterien nach blutdrucksenkender
 Therapie mit einem Calciumantagonisten. DMW Deutsche Medizinische Wochenschrift 1995;
 120:907–11
- Segers P, Verdonck P, Deryck Y, Brimioulle S, Naeije R, Carlier S, Stergiopulos N: Pulse pressure
 method and the area method for the estimation of total arterial compliance in dogs: sensitivity to
 wave reflection intensity. Ann Biomed Eng 1999; 27:480–5
- Schirinos JA, Segers P, Raina A, Saif H, Swillens A, Gupta AK, Townsend R, Emmi AG, Kirkpatrick JN,
 Keane MG, Ferrari VA, Wiegers SE, St. John Sutton MG: Arterial pulsatile hemodynamic load induced
 by isometric exercise strongly predicts left ventricular mass in hypertension. AJP: Heart and
 Circulatory Physiology 2010; 298:H320–30
- 508 36. Chirinos JA: Arterial Stiffness: Basic Concepts and Measurement Techniques. Journal of
 509 Cardiovascular Translational Research 2012; 5:243–55
- 510 37. Chirinos JA, Segers P: Noninvasive Evaluation of Left Ventricular Afterload: Part 2: Arterial Pressure-
- 511 Flow and Pressure-Volume Relations in Humans. Hypertension 2010; 56:563–70

- 38. Chirinos JA, Segers P: Noninvasive evaluation of left ventricular afterload: part 1: pressure and flow measurements and basic principles of wave conduction and reflection. Hypertension 2010; 56:555-
- 39. Swalen MJP, Khir AW: Resolving the time lag between pressure and flow for the determination of local wave speed in elastic tubes and arteries. J Biomech 2009; 42:1574–7
- 40. Borlotti A, Khir AW, Rietzschel ER, De Buyzere ML, Vermeersch S, Segers P: Noninvasive
- determination of local pulse wave velocity and wave intensity: changes with age and gender in the
- carotid and femoral arteries of healthy human. J Appl Physiol 2012; 113:727-35

521 Abbreviations

522	AIx	:	Augmentation Index
523	CPP	:	central pulse pressure
524	Ctot	:	Total Arterial Compliance = SV/CPP
525	CV ris	k:	Cardiovascular risk
526	GA	:	general anesthesia
527	GALA	.:	Global After-Load Angle
528	MAP	:	Mean arterial pressure
529	Р	:	Aortic pressure
530	PU loc	op:	pressure/flow velocity loop
531	PVR	:	Peripheral Vascular Resistances
532	SV	:	Stroke Volume
533	U	:	Flow velocity
534			