

Behrouz, Emamizadeh and Amin, Farjudian (2016) Existence and uniqueness of solutions for nonlocal p-Laplacian problems. Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, 2016 (274). pp. 1-9. ISSN 1550-6150

Access from the University of Nottingham repository:

http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/47389/1/EXISTENCE%20AND%20UNIQUENESS%20OF %20SOLUTIONS%20FOR%20NONLOCAL%20p-LAPLACIAN%20PROBLEMS.pdf

Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution licence and may be reused according to the conditions of the licence. For more details see: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk



This is the published version of a paper published in *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*.

Citation for the original published paper (version of record):

Emamizadeh, B., Farjudian, A. (2016) Existence and uniqueness of solutions for nonlocal *p*-Laplacian problems. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, 2016(274): 1-9

Access to the published version may require subscription.

N.B. When citing this work, cite the original published paper.

Permanent link to this version: http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:hh:diva-32212 *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, Vol. 2016 (2016), No. 274, pp. 1–9. ISSN: 1072-6691. URL: http://ejde.math.txstate.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu

EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS FOR NONLOCAL *p*-LAPLACIAN PROBLEMS

BEHROUZ EMAMIZADEH, AMIN FARJUDIAN

ABSTRACT. We study the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions to a class of nonlocal boundary-value problems involving the p-Laplacian. Our main tools are a variant of the Schaefer's fixed point theorem, an inequality which suitably handles the p-Laplacian operator, and a Sobolev embedding which is applicable to the bounded domain.

1. INTRODUCTION

We study the boundary-value problem

$$-M(||u||^p)\Delta_p u = f(x, u) \quad \text{in } D,$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D.$$
 (1.1)

in which Δ_p denotes the *p*-Laplacian

$$\Delta_p u = \nabla \cdot (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$$

and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm in $W_0^{1,p}(D)$, $\|u\| = \left(\int_D |\nabla u|^p dx\right)^{1/p}$. As for the functions $M: [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ and $f: D \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, we shall refer to the following assumptions:

(A1) M is continuous, and $M(t) \ge m_0 > 0$, where m_0 is a constant. Moreover, the function:

$$\xi(t) := M(t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} t^{1/p}$$

is invertible, and henceforth we let $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$.

(A2) Let $\hat{M}(t) := M(t^p)$. Then for a constant κ (to be defined by (2.4)), the function \hat{M} is uniformly Hölder continuous with exponent p - 1 in the interval $[0, \kappa)$. In other words

$$L := \sup_{t_1, t_2 \in [0, \kappa), \, t_1 \neq t_2} \frac{|\dot{M}(t_1) - \dot{M}(t_2)|}{|t_1 - t_2|^{p-1}} < \infty$$

The principal eigenvalue of $-\Delta_p$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions on ∂D is defined as

$$\Lambda := \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p}(D), \ u \neq 0} \frac{\int_D |\nabla u|^p \, dx}{\int_D |u|^p \, dx}.$$
(1.2)

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35D05, 35J20, 35J92.

Key words and phrases. Nonlocal problems; existence; uniqueness;

Schaefer's fixed point theorem.

^{©2016} Texas State University.

Submitted June 12, 2016. Published October 12, 2016.

The eigenvalue Λ is positive, isolated, and simple [11]. We impose the following minimum condition on Λ :

- (A3) $\hat{m} := m_0 a/\Lambda > 0$, in which m_0 comes from (A1), the constant a is introduced in (A5), and Λ is the principal eigenvalue from (1.2).
- (A4) The function f is a Carathéodory function, and for some $r \in (1, p^* 1)$

$$|f(x,s)| \le A(x)|s|^r + B(x), \quad \forall x \in D, \ \forall s \in \mathbb{R},$$
(1.3)

in which:

 $-A \in L^{\infty}(D) \text{ is a non-negative function}$ $-B \in L^{1+1/r}(D)$ $-p^* = \begin{cases} \frac{np}{n-p} & \text{if } 1$

(A5) For some positive constants a and b,

$$sf(x,s) \le a|s|^p + b|s|$$
, a.e. $x \in D, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (A6) $f(x,s) \ge 0$ a.e. $x \in D$, for all $s \ge 0$ and f(x,0) > 0, a.e. $x \in D$.
- (A7) For a positive constant A,

$$(f(x,u) - f(x,v))(u-v) \le A|u-v|^2, \quad \forall x \in D, \ u,v \in \mathbb{R}$$

Remark 1.1. Note that when $p \ge 2$, the condition (A2) is satisfied when M is a constant function, hence the boundary value problem (1.1) is no longer a nonlocal. Whence, even though the arguments to follow will hold for $p \ge 2$ but it is the case 1 which is of interest.

Remark 1.2. Let us mention that a function M that satisfies the conditions (A1) and (A2) (these are the main conditions on M), for the case $p \in (1,2)$ is $M(t) = m_0 + t^{\beta}$, where $\beta \geq \frac{1}{q}$. On the other hand, any function f(x,s) which is bounded and $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}f(x,s)$ is uniformly bounded in x satisfies (A4)–(A7).

The main results of this article are the following theorems.

Theorem 1.3. Under assumptions (A1)–(A7), the boundary value problem (1.1) has a positive solution.

Theorem 1.4. Suppose the conditions (A1)–(A7) are satisfied. Then (1.1) has a unique positive solution, provided that L is sufficiently small and m_0 is sufficiently large.

The special case of problem (1.1) when p = 2 has been considered in [1], and [14]. In the former, the authors impose conditions on the functions M and f so that it is possible to settle the issue of existence of solutions via the Mountain Pass Theorem. However, in the latter the authors use a different set of conditions, and apply the Galerkin method to obtain their results (see also [2]).

Our paper is motivated by [14]. For the result of Theorem 1.3 regarding the existence of positive solutions, we apply a variant of the Schaefer's fixed point theorem coupled with a well known maximum principle. For the uniqueness result of Theorem 1.4, we use the ideas of [14]. In proving both existence and uniqueness of solutions we shall use an inequality which is particularly useful in dealing with the *p*-Laplace operator. See inequality (2.6) in Lemma 2.5.

EJDE-2016/274

Nonlocal problems have been used in modeling various physical phenomena, and the problem (1.1) which we have considered in this note is related to the steady state version of the Kirchhoff equation [12]

$$u_{tt} - M\left(\int_D |\nabla u|^2 \, dx\right) \Delta u = f(x, t), \tag{1.4}$$

where the coefficient of the diffusion term depends on the unknown function u(x,t)globally. It was the paper [13] by Lions that introduced an abstract setting for (1.4). Other relevant work are [3, 7, 9]. Some nonlocal problems in statistical mechanics are studied in [4, 5].

2. Preliminaries

This section contains the basic material that we need for proving Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. We begin with the following definition.

Definition 2.1. We say that $u \in W_0^{1,p}(D)$ is a solution of (1.1) if the following integral equation is satisfied:

$$M(||u||^p) \int_D |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx - \int_D v f(x, u) \, dx = 0, \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1, p}(D).$$
(2.1)

The convergence of the second integral in (2.1) follows from the following general result regarding Nemytskii mappings.

Lemma 2.2. Let $g: D \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Carathéodory function and suppose that there is a constant c > 0, a function $l(x) \in L^{\gamma}(D)$ (where $1 \leq \gamma \leq \infty$) and $\tau > 0$ such that

$$|g(x,s)| \le c|s|^{\tau} + l(x), \quad \forall x \in D, \ \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then $N_g : L^{\gamma \tau}(D) \to L^{\gamma}(D)$ defined by $N_g(u)(x) = g(x, u(x))$ is continuous and bounded, i.e. it maps bounded sets into bounded sets.

For a proof of the above lemma, see [10, Theorem 2.3]. Let us review some basic facts regarding the problem

$$-\Delta_p u = h(x) \quad \text{in } D$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D, \qquad (2.2)$$

where $h(x) \in L^{1+1/r}(D)$. It is well known, see for example [15], that (2.2) has a unique solution $u \in W_0^{1,p}(D)$ which is the unique minimizer of the strictly convex functional

$$\Phi(w) = \frac{1}{p} \int_D |\nabla w|^p \, dx - \int_D hw \, dx$$

relative to $w \in W_0^{1,p}(D)$. Therefore, the inverse mapping

$$(-\Delta_p)^{-1}: L^{1+1/r}(D) \to W_0^{1,p}(D)$$

which takes every $h \in L^{1+1/r}(D)$ to the unique solution of (2.2) is well-defined. It is straightforward to verify that

$$(-\Delta_p)^{-1}(\eta h) = \eta^{1/(p-1)}(-\Delta_p)^{-1}(h), \quad \forall h \in L^{1+1/r}(D), \eta > 0$$

and that the following inequality holds:

$$\|(-\Delta_p)^{-1}(h)\| \le C \|h\|_{1+1/r}^{1/(p-1)}, \quad \forall h \in L^{1+1/r}(D)$$
(2.3)

where C is a positive constant. Henceforth we shall use C as a generic symbol for the several constants which appear in various places in this document, whose values could be different.

Lemma 2.3. Assume that $u \in W_0^{1,p}(D)$ is a solution of (1.1), and that (A1)–(A6) hold. Then

$$||u|| \le \left(\frac{b|D|^{1/q}}{\hat{m}\Lambda^{1/p}}\right)^{q/p} =: \kappa,$$
 (2.4)

where $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$. Here |D| denotes the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure of D.

Proof. Setting v = u in (2.1), assumption (A1) implies

$$m_{0}\|u\|^{p} \leq M(\|u\|^{p})\|u\|^{p} = \int_{D} f(x, u)u \, dx$$

$$\leq a \int_{D} |u|^{p} \, dx + b \int_{D} |u| \, dx \quad \text{(by assumption (A5))}$$

$$\leq a \frac{\|u\|^{p}}{\Lambda} + b|D|^{1/q}\|u\|_{p} \quad \text{(by (1.2) and Hölder)}$$

$$\leq a \frac{\|u\|^{p}}{\Lambda} + \frac{b|D|^{1/q}\|u\|}{\Lambda^{1/p}} \quad \text{(again by (1.2))}$$

$$(2.5)$$

From these inequalities, we infer that

$$\hat{m} \|u\|^p \le \frac{b|D|^{1/q} \|u\|}{\Lambda^{1/p}},$$

which in turn implies the desired estimate (2.4).

We also need the following variant of the Schaefer's fixed point theorem, see for example [16], but we include the proof for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 2.4. Let X be a Banach space and assume that:

- (a) $\mathcal{P} \subseteq X$ is a non-empty, closed, and convex subset of X.
- (b) $T: \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}$ is a strongly continuous mapping, i.e. T is continuous and for every bounded sequence $(u_n) \subseteq \mathcal{P}$, the image (Tu_n) has a strongly convergent subsequence.
- (c) The set $S = \{x \in \mathcal{P} \mid x = \lambda Tx, \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0,1]\}$ is bounded.

Then T has a fixed point, i.e. there exists $x \in \mathcal{P}$ such that Tx = x.

Proof. Consider the orthogonal projection $P: X \to \mathcal{P}$ of X on \mathcal{P} . This projection satisfies:

$$\forall x \in X: \quad \|Px - x\| = \inf_{m \in \mathcal{P}} \|x - m\|,$$

The mapping $T \circ P : X \to \mathcal{P} \subseteq X$ is clearly strongly continuous. Define $S' = \{x \in X : x = \lambda(T \circ P)x, \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$. Hence, $S' \subseteq S$ and S' is bounded.

Now we can invoke the classical Schaefer's fixed point theorem, applied to $T \circ P$, and deduce that $T \circ P$ has a fixed point, say $x_0 \in X$. Thus:

$$x_0 = T(Px_0) \Rightarrow x_0 \in \operatorname{range}(T)$$
$$\Rightarrow x_0 \in \mathcal{P}$$
$$\Rightarrow x_0 = Px_0$$
$$\Rightarrow x_0 = Tx_0$$

Thus, x_0 is a desired fixed point of T.

EJDE-2016/274

 $\mathbf{5}$

We shall also need the following result, see for example [8] and [6]

Lemma 2.5. For any vectors $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the following inequalities hold:

$$C_p \langle |X|^{p-2} X - |Y|^{p-2} Y, X - Y \rangle \ge \begin{cases} |X - Y|^p & \text{if } p \ge 2\\ \frac{|X - Y|^2}{(|X| + |Y|)^{2-p}} & \text{if } 1 \le p \le 2, \end{cases}$$
(2.6)

in which $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the usual dot product in \mathbb{R}^n , and C_p is a constant depending on p.

The following lemma is elementary, so we omit its proof.

Lemma 2.6. Let $t_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{R}} t$ and $f_n \xrightarrow{L^p} f$. Then $t_n f_n \xrightarrow{L^p} t f$. Lemma 2.7. If $f_n \xrightarrow{L^{1+1/r}} f$, then $(-\Delta_p)^{-1}(f_n) \xrightarrow{W_0^{1,p}} (-\Delta_p)^{-1}(f)$. Proof. Set $v_n = (-\Delta_p)^{-1}(f_n)$ and $v = (-\Delta_p)^{-1}(f)$. Thus: $-\Delta_p v_n = f_n$ in D $v_n = 0$ on ∂D

and

$$-\Delta_p v = f \quad \text{in } D$$
$$v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D$$

The derivation of the following equation is then straightforward.

$$\int_{D} (|\nabla v_n|^{p-2} \nabla v_n - |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v) \cdot (\nabla v_n - \nabla v) \, dx$$

=
$$\int_{D} (f_n - f)(v_n - v) \, dx.$$
 (2.7)

Applying the Hölder's inequality and the embedding $W_0^{1,p}(D) \to L^{1+1/r}(D)$, a bound on the integral on the right hand side of (2.7) is obtained as follows:

$$\int_{D} (f_n - f)(v_n - v) \, dx \le \|f_n - f\|_{1+1/r} \|v_n - v\|_{r+1} \le C \|f_n - f\|_{1+1/r} \|v_n - v\|.$$
(2.8)

Now we consider two cases:

Case $p \ge 2$. From Lemma 2.5 (setting $X = \nabla v_n$, $Y = \nabla v$), (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain

$$\|\nabla v_n - \nabla v\|_p^p \le C \|f_n - f\|_{1+1/r} \|v_n - v\|,$$

hence $||v_n - v|| \le C ||f_n - f||_{1+1/r}^{1/(p-1)}$. Thus, $v_n \to v$ in $W_0^{1,p}(D)$.

Case $1 \le p \le 2$. This case requires more work. We begin with the observation

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla v_{n} - \nabla v\|_{p}^{p} &= \int_{D} \frac{|\nabla v_{n} - \nabla v|^{p}}{(|\nabla v_{n}| + |\nabla v|)^{\frac{p(2-p)}{2}}} \left(|\nabla v| + |\nabla v|\right)^{\frac{p(2-p)}{2}} dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{D} \frac{|\nabla v_{n} - \nabla v|^{2}}{(|\nabla v_{n}| + |\nabla v|)^{2-p}} dx\right)^{p/2} \left(\int_{D} (|\nabla v_{n}| + |\nabla v|)^{p} dx\right)^{(2-p)/2} \end{aligned}$$
(2.9)

This follows from Hölder's inequality which is applicable since $\frac{2}{p} \geq 1$. Applying inequality (2.3), we obtain $||v_n|| \leq C ||f_n||_{1+1/r}^{1/(p-1)}$ and $||v|| \leq C ||f||_{1+1/r}^{1/(p-1)}$. Since (f_n) is bounded in $L^{1+1/r}(D)$, we infer that $\max(||v_n||, ||v||) \leq C$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, from (2.9) we obtain

$$\|\nabla v_n - \nabla v\|_p^p \le C \Big(\int_D \frac{|\nabla v_n - \nabla v|^2}{(|\nabla v_n| + |\nabla v|)^{2-p}} \Big)^{p/2}$$
(2.10)

Now, by setting $X = \nabla v_n$ and $Y = \nabla v$ in Lemma 2.5, together with (2.7), (2.8), and (2.10) we find that

$$||v_n - v||^p = ||\nabla v_n - \nabla ||_p^p \le C ||f_n - f||_{1+1/r} ||v_n - v||,$$

This implies that $||v_n - v|| \leq C ||f_n - f||_{1+1/r}^{1/(p-1)}$. So, $v_n \to v$ in $W_0^{1,p}(D)$, as desired. The proof is complete.

3. Proofs of main theorems

To prove Theorem 1.3 we shall apply Lemma 2.4. To this end, we set $\mathcal{P} = L_{+}^{r+1}(D)$. Note that by Lemma 2.2 we have $\forall u \in \mathcal{P} : N_f(u) \in L^{1+1/r}(D)$. From assumption (A6) we infer that $N_f(u)$ is non-negative. For every $u \in \mathcal{P}$, we define:

$$Tu = t^{1/p} \frac{v}{\|v\|},$$

in which $v := (-\Delta_p)^{-1}(N_f(u))$ and $t := \xi^{-1}(||v||)$. Observe that w = Tu satisfies

$$-M(||w||^p)\Delta_p w = f(x, u) \quad \text{in } D$$

$$w = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D.$$
(3.1)

Since $Tu \in W_0^{1,p}(D)$, the embedding $W_0^{1,p}(D) \to L^{r+1}(D)$ implies $Tu \in L^{r+1}(D)$. Thus, by applying a classical maximum principle (see for example [17]) to (3.1), we deduce that w is positive, i.e. $Tu \in L_+^{r+1}(D)$.

The above discussion ensures that the mapping $T : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}$ is well defined. Note that if u is a fixed point of T, then u will be a solution of (1.1). The existence of such a fixed point will confirm the assertion of Theorem 1.3.

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3. We just need to verify that the mapping T satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2.4.

Continuity. Let $(u_n) \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ be a sequence such that $u_n \to u$ in $L^{r+1}(D)$. Note that since \mathcal{P} is closed then u must be non-negative. We need to show that $Tu_n \to Tu$ in $L^{r+1}(D)$. In view of the embedding $W_0^{1,p}(D) \to L^{r+1}(D)$, it suffices to show $Tu_n \to Tu$ in $W_0^{1,p}(D)$. To this end, we first recall Lemma 2.2 which ensures that $N_f(u_n) \to N_f(u)$ in $L^{1+1/r}(D)$. Whence, by Lemma 2.7:

$$(-\Delta_p)^{-1}(N_f(u_n)) \to (-\Delta_p)^{-1}(N_f(u))$$
 in $W_0^{1,p}(D)$.

By the continuity of the norm we also have

$$\|(-\Delta_p)^{-1}(N_f(u_n))\| \to \|(-\Delta_p)^{-1}(N_f(u))\|.$$

On the other hand,

$$Tu_n = t_n^{1/p} \frac{(-\Delta_p)^{-1}(N_f(u_n))}{\|(-\Delta_p)^{-1}(N_f(u_n))\|},$$

EJDE-2016/274

in which $t_n = \xi^{-1}(\|(-\Delta_p)^{-1}(N_f(u_n))\|)$. Since ξ is continuous, we obtain

$$t_n \to t := \xi^{-1}(\|(-\Delta_p)^{-1}(N_f(u))\|).$$

Now we apply Lemma 2.6 to conclude that $Tu_n \to Tu$ in $W_0^{1,p}(D)$, as desired.

Compactness. Consider a bounded sequence $(u_n) \subseteq \mathcal{P}$. Setting $w_n = Tu_n$, we will have

$$-M(\|w_n\|^p)\Delta_p w_n = f(x, u_n) \quad \text{in } D$$

$$w_n = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D.$$
(3.2)

From (3.2) we obtain

$$M(||w_n||^p)||w_n||^p = \int_D f(x, u_n)w_n \, dx.$$

An application of Hölder's inequality then gives

$$M(\|w_n\|^p) \|w_n\|^p \le \|N_f(u_n)\|_{1+1/r} \|w_n\|_{r+1}.$$
(3.3)

The inequality (3.3), the embedding $W_0^{1,p}(D) \to L^{r+1}(D)$, and the assumption (A1) together lead to

$$m_0 ||w_n||^p \le C ||N_f(u_n)||_{1+1/r} ||w_n||,$$

Hence, we get $||w_n|| \leq C ||N_f(u_n)||_{1+1/r}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$. This, coupled with the boundedness of the operator N_f (see Lemma 2.2), implies that (w_n) is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(D)$. So, there exists a subsequence $(w_{n_j}) \subseteq (w_n)$ such that $w_{n_j} \rightharpoonup w$ in $W_0^{1,p}(D)$, for some $w \in W_0^{1,p}(D)$. Since the embedding $W_0^{1,p}(D) \rightarrow L^{r+1}(D)$ is compact, we deduce that $w_{n_j} \rightarrow w$ in $L^{r+1}(D)$. This means that (Tu_{n_j}) is strongly convergent in $L^{r+1}(D)$ and as a result $T: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is compact.

Boundedness of S. The final step is to prove the boundedness of the set

 $S = \{ u \in \mathcal{P} : u = \lambda T u, \text{ for some } \lambda \in [0, 1] \}.$

To that end, let us fix a $u \in S$ and assume that $u = \lambda T u$ for some $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Thus, we must have

$$u = \lambda t^{1/p} \frac{(-\Delta_p)^{-1}(N_f(u))}{\|(-\Delta_p)^{-1}(N_f(u))\|}$$

where $t = \xi^{-1}(\|(-\Delta_p)^{-1}(N_f(u))\|)$. Since $\|u\| = \lambda t^{1/p}$ and assuming that $\lambda \neq 0$, then $t = \|u\|^p / \lambda^p$ and $M(\frac{\|u\|^p}{\lambda^p}) = M(t)$. So, we obtain

$$-M\left(\frac{\|u\|^{p}}{\lambda^{p}}\right)\Delta_{p}u = \frac{M(t)\lambda^{p-1}t^{1/q}}{\|(-\Delta_{p})^{-1}(N_{f}(u))\|^{p-1}} f(x,u)$$

= $\lambda^{p-1}f(x,u),$ (3.4)

where $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$. Since $u \in W_0^{1,p}(D)$, from (3.4), (A1), and (A5) one gets

$$m_{0} \|u\|^{p} \leq M\left(\frac{\|u\|^{p}}{\lambda^{p}}\right) \|u\|^{p} = \lambda^{p-1} \int_{D} f(x, u) u \, dx$$

$$\leq \lambda^{p-1} \left(a \int_{D} |u|^{p} \, dx + b \int_{D} |u| \, dx\right)$$

$$\leq a \frac{\|u\|^{p}}{\Lambda} + \frac{b|D|^{1/q} \|u\|}{\Lambda^{1/p}}.$$
(3.5)

From (3.5) and (A3) we obtain $||u|| \leq \kappa$ (which was defined in (2.4)). Note that in case $\lambda = 0$, this last inequality trivially holds.

Finally, by invoking the embedding $W_0^{1,p}(D) \to L^{r+1}(D)$, we infer that $||u||_{r+1} \leq C$. Whence, S is bounded, as desired. This completes the proof.

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.4.** The existence of solutions is guaranteed by Theorem 1.3. We prove uniqueness by contradiction. Let us assume that u_1 and u_2 are two solutions of (1.1), satisfying

$$-M(||u_i||^p)\Delta_p u_i = f(x, u_i) \quad \text{in } D$$

$$u_i = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D, \qquad (3.6)$$

for i = 1, 2. From (3.6) we obtain

$$\int_{D} (M(\|u_{1}\|^{p})\|\nabla u_{1}\|^{p-2}\nabla u_{1} - M(\|u_{2}\|^{p})\|\nabla u_{2}\|^{p-2}\nabla u_{2}) \cdot \nabla w \, dx$$

=
$$\int_{D} (f(x, u_{1}) - f(x, u_{2}))w \, dx.$$
 (3.7)

By rearranging terms, we obtain

$$M(||u_2||^p) \int_D (|\nabla u_1|^{p-2} \nabla u_1 - |\nabla u_2|^{p-2} \nabla u_2) \cdot \nabla w \, dx$$

= $(M(||u_2||^p) - M(||u_1||^p)) \int_D |\nabla u_1|^{p-2} \nabla u_1 \cdot \nabla w \, dx$
+ $\int_D (f(x, u_1) - f(x, u_2)) w \, dx$
 $\leq L ||u_2|| - ||u_1|| |^{p-1} ||u_1||^{p-1} ||w|| + A ||w||_p^p,$ (3.8)

where we have used (A2) and (A7) in the last inequality. Note that

$$L\|\|u_2\| - \|u_1\|\|^{p-1} \|\|u_1\|^{p-1}\|\|w\| + A\|w\|_p^p \le \left(L\kappa^{p-1} + \frac{A}{\Lambda}\right)\|w\|^p.$$
(3.9)

On the other hand, using similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 2.7, we obtain the estimate

$$\int_{D} (|\nabla u_1|^{p-2} \nabla u_1 - |\nabla u_2|^{p-2} \nabla u_2) \cdot \nabla w \, dx \ge C ||w||^p, \tag{3.10}$$

in which the constant C depends on κ if p < 2, otherwise it does not. From (3.8), (3.9), and (3.10) we obtain

$$m_0 C \|w\|^p \le \left(L\kappa^{p-1} + \frac{A}{\Lambda}\right) \|w\|^p.$$
(3.11)

Since $u_1 \neq u_2$, (3.11) implies

$$m_0 C - A \Lambda^{-p} \le L \kappa^{p-1}. \tag{3.12}$$

Now, if m_0 is large enough, and L is small enough as

$$m_0 > AC^{-1}\Lambda^{-p}$$
 and $L < \frac{m_0C - A\Lambda^{-p}}{\kappa^{p-1}}.$

then we obtain the desired contradiction, and the proof is complete.

References

- C. O. Alves, F. J. S. A. Corrêa; On existence of solutions for a class of problem involving a nonlinear operator. *Comm. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.*, 8(2):43–56, 2001.
- [2] C. O. Alves, F. J. S. A. Corrêa, T.F. Ma; Positive solutions for a quasilinear elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 49(1):85–93, 2005.
- [3] A. Arosio, S. Panizzi; On the well-posedness of the Kirchhoff string. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 348(1):305–330, 1996.
- [4] Piotr Biler, Waldemar Hebisch, Tadeusz Nadzieja; The Debye system: existence and large time behavior of solutions. Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, 23(9):1189– 1209, 1994.
- [5] Piotr Biler, Tadeusz Nadzieja; Nonlocal parabolic problems in statistical mechanics. Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, 30(8):5343–5350, 1997. Proceedings of the Second World Congress of Nonlinear Analysts.
- [6] Corrêa, Francisco Julio S. A.; Corrêa, Amanda Suellen S.; Santos Junior, Joo R. Multiple ordered positive solutions of an elliptic problem involving the pq-Laplacian. J. Convex Anal. 21 (2014), no. 4, 1023–1042.
- [7] A. T. Cousin, C. L. Frota, N. A. Larkin, L. A. Medeiros; On the abstract model of the Kirchhoff-Carrier equation. *Commun. Appl. Anal.*, 1(3):389–404, 1997.
- [8] Damascelli, Lucio; Comparison theorems for some quasilinear degenerate elliptic operators and applications to symmetry and monotonicity results. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linaire, 15 (1998), no. 4, 493–516.
- [9] P. D'Ancona, S. Spagnolo; Global solvability for the degenerate Kirchhoff equation with real analytic data. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 108(1):247–262, 1992.
- [10] D. G. de Figueiredo; Lectures on the Ekeland variational principle with applications and detours, volume 81 of Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics and Physics. Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [11] Antoine Henrot; Extremum Problems for Eigenvalues of Elliptic Operators. Birkhäuser, 2006.
- [12] Gustav Kirchhoff; *Mechanik*. Teubner, Leipzig, 1883.
- [13] J. L. Lions; On some questions in boundary value problems of mathematical physics. In Contemporary developments in continuum mechanics and partial differential equations, volume 30 of North-Holland Mathematics Studies, pages 284–346. North-Holland, 1978. Proc. Internat. Sympos., Inst. Mat., Univ. Fed. Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, 1977.
- [14] T. F. Ma; Remarks on an elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type. Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, 63(5–7):e1967–e1977, 2005. Invited Talks from the Fourth World Congress of Nonlinear Analysts (WCNA 2004).
- [15] Michael Struwe; Variational methods. Applications to nonlinear partial differential equations and Hamiltonian systems. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [16] D. R. Smart; Fixed Point Theorems, Cambridge, 1980.
- [17] J. L. Vázquez; A strong maximum principle for some quasilinear elliptic equations. Applied Mathematics and Optimization, 12(1):191–202, 1984.

Behrouz Emamizadeh (corresponding author)

School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Nottingham Ningbo, Ningbo, 315100, China

$E\text{-}mail\ address:$ Behrouz.Emamizadeh@nottingham.edu.cn

Amin Farjudian

CENTER FOR RESEARCH ON EMBEDDED SYSTEMS, HALMSTAD UNIVERSITY, HALMSTAD, SWEDEN *E-mail address:* Amin.Farjudian@gmail.com