

1
2
3
4
5
6 **Comparative Analysis of Facial Morphology between Okinawa Islanders and**
7 **Mainland Japanese using Three-dimensional Images**
8
9

10
11 Eri MIYAZATO¹, Kyoko YAMAGUCHI¹, Hitoshi FUKASE², Hajime ISHIDA¹,
12
13 Ryosuke KIMURA¹
14
15

16
17
18 ¹Department of Human Biology and Anatomy, Graduate School of Medicine,
19 University of the Ryukyus, Okinawa 903-0215, Japan.
20
21

22 ²Division of Human Evolution Studies, Graduate School of Medicine, Hokkaido
23 University, Hokkaido 060-8638, Japan.
24
25
26

27
28
29 **Abbreviated title:** Facial morphology of Okinawa Islanders
30
31

32
33 **Corresponding author:**
34

35 Ryosuke KIMURA, Associate Professor, PhD
36

37 Department of Human Biology and Anatomy, Graduate School of Medicine,
38 University of the Ryukyus, Uehara 207, Nishihara, Okinawa 903-0215, Japan.
39
40

41 Phone: +81-98-895-1102
42

43 Fax: +81-98-895-1400
44

45 E-mail: rkimura@med.u-ryukyu.ac.jp
46
47

48
49
50 **Contract grant sponsors:** The Rising Star Program for Subtropical Island Sciences at
51 the University of the Ryukyus [to RK]; KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists
52 [to RK]; KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research [to HI].
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Objectives: Differences in facial height and breadth between Okinawa Islanders and mainland Japanese have been reported in previous craniometric and somatometric studies. This study using three-dimensional (3D) images aimed to identify more detailed characteristics of facial morphology in each population.

Methods: Using a hand-held 3D scanner, we obtained 60 facial surface images each from Okinawa Islanders and mainland Japanese. Twenty-one landmarks were plotted on a computer and 27 measurements of distances and angles between the landmarks were taken. Statistical analyses such as *t* test, principal component analysis (PCA), regression analysis, and discriminant analysis were performed to identify sex and regional differences, the patterns of facial features, factors explaining the facial patterns, and other features.

Results: Okinawa Islanders showed lower facial and nasal heights than mainland Japanese. Furthermore, we identified larger protrusions of the glabella and nasal root in Okinawa Islanders than in mainland Japanese. In the PCA, we observed components of facial shape patterns. These components mainly represented facial size (PC1), facial depth (PC2), the prominence of the glabella and nasal root (PC3), and facial breadth (PC4). We identified that the population difference is strongly associated with PC3.

Conclusions: This study quantitatively identified differences in the facial morphology between Okinawa Islanders and mainland Japanese using 3D digital images, with special emphases on the differences in the nasal height and the prominence of the glabella and nasal root.

Key words: facial morphology, three-dimensional images, Okinawa Islanders, mainland Japanese

Introduction

Human facial morphology is a subject that attracts general interest. We identify individuals predominantly by face and recognize even small facial differences. Furthermore, we primarily judge the attractiveness of an individual by their face. Facial variation and its recognition are important for understanding human social behaviors.

We also find regional/population differences in facial features. It has classically been considered that the differentiation of facial morphology among populations was caused by adaptations to the environment such as climate (Hylander, 1977; Steegmann et al., 2002), while the adaptive significance of facial variation is not fully understood. Alternatively, it has been hypothesized that assortative mating triggered the facial differentiation among populations (Penton-Voak et al., 1999; DeBruine et al., 2010; Little et al., 2011). However, the possibility remains that facial shapes diversified under neutrality.

A number of studies have investigated the craniofacial morphology of Japanese. In studies on ancient bones, researchers have found many morphological differences between prehistoric Japanese populations. Indigenous hunter-gatherers of the Japanese archipelago in the Jomon period (approximately from 16,000 to 2,500 BP) (Habu, 2011) retained cranial characteristics of the Paleolithic Asians even in the Neolithic age (Hanihara, 1991; Kamminga, 1992; Brace et al., 2001). In contrast, rice-farming people who migrated from the Asian continent, probably via the Korean peninsula, to the Japanese archipelago at the beginning of the Yayoi period had similar features as the Neolithic Chinese. Crania of Jomon people displayed low facial height, square orbit, and small teeth, whereas crania of Yayoi migrants possessed wholly large and

1
2
3
4
5
6 flat features with large facial height, round orbits, and large teeth (Suzuki, 1981; Brace
7 and Nagai, 1982; Yamaguchi, 1982; Brown, 1999; Ishida, 1992; Doi, 2003; Hanihara
8 and Ishida, 2009).
9

10
11
12 Regional differences in craniofacial morphology have also been observed within
13 present-day people of the Japanese archipelago. People in mainland Japan have similar
14 cranial characteristics to Yayoi migrants, whereas the Ainu living in Hokkaido, the
15 northernmost part of Japan, and people in the Ryukyu Islands, the southernmost part of
16 Japan, relatively retain cranial features of the Jomon people (Hanihara, 1991;
17 Watanabe et al., 2004), although there were some differences between the two present
18 populations (Miyake, 1940; Suda, 1950; Pietrusewsky, 1999, 2004; Dodo et al., 2000).
19 These facts have provided supporting evidence for the “Ainu-Ryukyu common origin”
20 (von Baelz, 1911) and “dual structure model” (Hanihara, 1991) hypotheses regarding
21 the population history of the Japanese. These hypotheses argue that regional
22 differences in physical characteristics were caused by the varying rates of intermixture
23 between the Jomon people and the Yayoi migrants, which have also been supported by
24 genetic studies (Omoto and Saitou, 1997; Yamaguchi-Kabata et al., 2008; HUGO
25 Pan-Asian SNP Consortium, 2009; Koganebuchi et al., 2012; Japanese Archipelago
26 Human Population Genetics Consortium, 2012).
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 Supposing that the characteristics of the Ainu and the Ryukyans are related with
45 those of the Jomon people, studies on these present populations can further our
46 knowledge about the Jomon people. Studies on living people will qualitatively and
47 quantitatively compensate for the limited information obtained from excavated ancient
48 bones, especially on characteristics in soft tissues. Based on somatometric
49 measurements, it has been revealed, for example, that the Ryukyans have shorter
50 stature, lower facial height, lower nasal height, and broader face than mainland
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 Japanese (Suda, 1940; Naito, 1976). However, such classic morphological studies
7
8 examined only a limited number of measurements since they relied on direct
9
10 measurements of distances and angles between physical landmarks using an
11
12 anthropometer and a goniometer (Knussmann, 1988; Farkas et al., 2005).
13

14 Recent advances in imaging technology allow three-dimensional (3D) images to
15
16 be captured from an object and analyzed on a computer (Mori et al., 2003).
17
18 Morphological analyses based on 3D images can easily increase the number of
19
20 landmarks and measurements without inconveniencing the subjects (Ferrario et al.,
21
22 2005; Toma et al., 2012; Vezzetti and Marcolin, 2012). In this study, to identify
23
24 respective facial characteristics of mainland Japanese and Okinawa Islanders, we
25
26 captured facial surfaces using a 3D digital scanner and analyzed in detail the facial
27
28 morphology of these populations.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 **Materials and Methods**

38 **Subjects**

39
40
41 We collected facial data from 120 adult volunteers living on Okinawa Island, one
42
43 of the main Ryukyu Islands. For each sex, 30 individuals of mainland Japanese origin
44
45 and 30 individuals of Okinawa Island origin were selected. In this study, mainland
46
47 Japanese and Okinawa Islanders are defined as individuals whose four grandparents
48
49 were all born in mainland Japan and on Okinawa Island, respectively (Table 1). The
50
51 ages of the subjects ranged from 19 to 37 years, and the mean ages of the four groups
52
53 ranged from 22 to 24 years. Mainland Japanese were significantly taller than Okinawa
54
55 Islanders. This study was approved by the ethics committee of the University of the
56
57
58
59
60

Ryukyus. All subjects provided written informed consent to participate in this research project.

Scanning facial 3D images

Facial surface 3D images were acquired using a hand-held 3D digital scanner (Z-Scanner® 700CX, Z Corporation). The scanning resolution is 0.1 mm in height, width, and depth, and the accuracy of scanned data is up to 0.05 mm. During scanning, the subjects wore a board with reflective targets while in a sitting posture, and were asked to display a neutral facial expression with the eyes closed and the teeth occluded. The scanning of the facial surface took approximately 2 min.

Image data processing

We used image analysis software (Geomagic Qualify11, Geomagic) to process the 3D image data. After filtering noise and filling holes in the surface image data, 21 landmarks were manually plotted on the facial surface, as shown in Table 2 and Figure 1. These landmarks followed those defined by Martin (Knussmann, 1988). The 14 bilateral landmarks include the entocanthion (En) and ectocanthion (Ex) on the eyelids, the zygion (Zy) and condylion laterale (Cdl) on the cheeks and near the ears, the alar curvature (Ac) on the nose, the chellion (Chl) on the mouth, and the gonion (Go) on the jaw. The seven landmarks were the glabella (G), sellion (Se), pronasale (Prn), subnasale (Sn), labrale superius (Ls), labrale inferius (Li), and supragathion (Sgn) on the mid-sagittal plane. We also obtained the midpoint of bilateral Ens, Acs, and Cdl as En(m), Ac(m), and Cdl(m), respectively.

After the coordinates of the landmarks were obtained, distances between two and angles between three representative points were measured (Figure 2). To observe the

1
2
3
4
5
6 ruggedness of landmarks on the mid-sagittal plane, we defined a reference plane (RP)
7
8 using three points, the right Ac, left Ac, and En(m), and calculated distances between
9
10 the RP and each mid-sagittal landmark (Figure 2f). A total of 27 measurements were
11
12 obtained (Table 3).
13

14 15 16 Statistical analyses

17
18 Sex and population differences were examined by Student's *t* test and Welch's *t*
19
20 test after equality of variances were confirmed. To combine the results of separate tests
21
22 for each sex, meta-analysis using the inverse variance method was performed. The
23
24 level of statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$. In addition, correction for multiple
25
26 testing was done using the Benjamini–Hochberg method with a false discovery rate
27
28 (FDR) < 0.1 or < 0.05 . Principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out using the
29
30 standardized 27 measurements as variables to characterize the pattern of facial features.
31
32 Multiple regression analysis was also used to understand which variables (sex, region,
33
34 body height, and body mass index [BMI]) explain facial features. Furthermore,
35
36 discriminant analysis based on the 27 variables or variables selected by the stepwise
37
38 method was performed, and the discrimination accuracy was evaluated with and
39
40 without leave-one-out cross-validation. Statistical analyses were conducted using Excel
41
42 2007 (Microsoft Office for Windows®) and IBM SPSS statistics 19 (SPSS Inc.).
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 Results

51 52 53 54 Sex differences

55
56 Table 3 summarizes the results of measurements for each region for each sex. All
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 the distance measurements except for the Ls-RP, Li-RP, and Sgn-RP were larger in
7
8 males than in females at $P < 0.05$. Meanwhile, the angles of G-Se-Prn and En-Se-En
9
10 were larger in females than in males.

11 12 13 14 Differences between Okinawa Islanders and mainland Japanese

15
16 In both sexes, Okinawa Islanders had a smaller facial height (G-Sgn) than
17
18 mainland Japanese at $P < 0.05$ (Table 3). Especially, the nasal height (Se-Sn) showed a
19
20 remarkable difference. The height of the lower face (Sn-Sgn) was smaller in Okinawa
21
22 Islander females than in mainland Japanese females. Okinawa Islander females also
23
24 had a smaller depth at En(m)-Cdl(m). In contrast, Okinawa Islanders had greater G-RP
25
26 and Se-RP distances than mainland Japanese in both sexes. The distances from RP to
27
28 mid-sagittal landmarks below Prn (Prn-RP, Sn-RP, Ls-RP, Li-RP, and Sgn-RP) were
29
30 not different between Okinawa Islanders and mainland Japanese.

31
32
33 The meta-analysis combining the results for different sexes showed that, in
34
35 addition to the aforementioned measurements, population differences at $P < 0.05$ were
36
37 observed in Ex-Ex, Go-Go, G-Se-Prn, En-Se-En, and Ac(m)-Se-Prn (Table 3). After
38
39 the correction for multiple testing by the Benjamini–Hochberg method with $FDR < 0.1$,
40
41 eight measurements, G-Sgn, Se-Sn, Sn-Sgn, Ex-Ex, En(m)-Cdl(m), G-RP, Se-RP, and
42
43 En-Se-En, were significantly different between the populations (Table 3). With $FDR <$
44
45 0.05 , however, only four measurements, G-Sgn, Se-Sn, G-RP, and Se-RP, showed
46
47 statistically significant differences.

48 49 50 Facial patterns observed by PCA

51
52
53 The results of PCA are shown in Table 4. The eigenvalues from the first to the
54
55 seventh principal components (PCs) exceeded 1.0, and the seven PCs accounted for
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 79.6% of the total variance.

7
8 PC1 (eigenvalue, 7.8; contribution, 28.9%) was positively associated with facial
9 heights, breadths, and depths (Figure 3), and thus can be interpreted as a size-related
10 component. Since males have a larger face than females, PC1 also represented sex
11 differences (Figure 4a). The angles of the G-Se-Prn and En-Se-En, which were larger
12 in females than males, were negatively associated with PC1.
13
14
15
16
17

18 PC2 (eigenvalue, 3.8; contribution, 14.0%) was associated positively with the
19 relative protrusion of the lower face that was indicated by Sn-RP, Ls-RP, Li-RP, and
20 Sgn-RP, and negatively with the depth of the upper face, En(m)-Cdl(m) and
21 Ac(m)-Cdl(m) (Figure 3). This result suggests that PC2 represents the variation in the
22 upper and lower facial depths. Individuals with relatively small Ac(m)-Cdl(m)
23 essentially have a protruded lower face and also have an anteverted RP because of the
24 position of Acs (Figures 5a and b); these factors together will yield large values of
25 Sn-RP, Ls-RP, Li-RP, and Sgn-RP. Moreover, PC2 was related to the shape of the nasal
26 root; PC2 was correlated with a lower position of Se (i.e., larger G-Se and smaller
27 Se-Sn) as well as with a greater concavity of the nasal root (smaller G-Se-Prn). Using
28 all the individuals, we confirmed that G-Se/G-Sn is negatively correlated with
29 Se-RP/G-RP ($r = 0.361$, $p = 5.05E-05$) (Figure 5c).
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 PC3 (eigenvalue, 3.1; contribution, 11.4%) was associated with a smaller
45 protrusion of the upper face, especially at G-RP and Se-RP, and a larger angle of
46 En-Se-En (Figure 3). As the univariate comparisons indicated (Table 3), these
47 measurements were largely different between the populations. Figure 4b shows that
48 Okinawa Islanders had, on average, small PC3 scores compared with mainland
49 Japanese.
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 PC4 (eigenvalue, 2.5; contribution, 9.4%) was associated with the facial breadth
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 (Table 4). As for PC5 and higher PCs, however, it is difficult to give a concise
7 interpretation since these PCs can include statistical artifacts generated after the
8 extraction of lower PCs.
9

14 Factors associated with facial patterns

16 Multiple regression analysis for each of PC scores was performed to identify
17 factors associated with PCs. We took into account sex (male = 0; female = 1),
18 population (mainland Japanese = 0; Okinawa Islander = 1), body height, and BMI as
19 explanatory variables. The results are summarized in Table 5. The PC1 score showed a
20 negative correlation with sex and positive correlations with body height and BMI. The
21 PC2 score was not related with any variables. The PC3 score was significantly
22 associated with being female and mainland Japanese and higher BMI, while PC4 and
23 PC5 were sex-related components. The population was also associated with the PC6
24 and PC7 scores.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 Prediction of population membership by facial morphology

41 Discriminant analyses using the 27 measurements were performed to see whether
42 these data can predict the population membership of individuals. The analyses were
43 done for three types of data sets: all (males and females combined), males, and females.
44 When all 27 measurements were included as variables, we obtained 80.0–91.7%
45 accuracies without cross-validation (Table 6). However, the cross-validation accuracies
46 decreased to 55.0–70.8%. This suggests that the increased accuracies without
47 cross-validation were due to overfitting. When discriminant analyses were done using
48 the stepwise method, only two variables, Se-Sn and G-RP, were selected in all three
49 data sets, and the accuracies without cross-validation (70.0–80.0%) were comparable
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 to the cross-validation accuracies (66.7–80.0%). Under cross-validation, the prediction
7
8 using the two variables was more accurate than that using the 27 variables. These
9
10 results indicated that Se-Sn and G-RP are good indicators for discriminating Okinawa
11
12 Islanders from mainland Japanese.
13
14
15
16
17

18 **Discussion**

19
20
21
22
23 Our 3D facial measurements clearly demonstrated that Okinawa Islanders have
24
25 lower facial and nasal heights (G-Sgn and Se-Sn) than mainland Japanese, as reported
26
27 in previous somatometric studies (Suda, 1940; Naito, 1976). These characteristics have
28
29 also been emphasized in several craniometric studies (Hsu, 1948; Ikeda, 1974; Fukase
30
31 et al., 2012). We observed that the angle of Ac(m)-Se-Prn is larger in Okinawa
32
33 Islanders than in mainland Japanese but that the nasal prominence (Prn-RP) is not
34
35 much different between the populations. Therefore, the difference in Ac(m)-Se-Prn is
36
37 likely to be due to the difference in the nasal height.
38
39

40
41 A previous somatometric study has shown that Okinawa Islanders have a broader
42
43 bizygomatic breadth than mainland Japanese from Kyusyu (Naito, 1976). In studies of
44
45 early modern crania, it has also been reported that the bizygomatic, biorbital, and
46
47 mandibular breadths are broader in crania from the Okinawa Islands than those from
48
49 mainland Japanese (Hsu, 1948; Fukase et al., 2012). Therefore, a broad face has
50
51 generally been considered to be a characteristic of Okinawa Islanders. However, the
52
53 present study demonstrated that Okinawa Islanders have significantly narrower Ex-Ex
54
55 and Go-Go than mainland Japanese. We also observed a similar tendency at Zy-Zy,
56
57 although the difference is not significant. We are currently unable to specify the reason
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 for this discrepancy between previous and present studies. Variations in facial breadth
7
8 have been observed within the population on Okinawa Island; people from the
9
10 southern part of the island have a narrower face than people from the northern and
11
12 middle parts (Naito, 1976). Such a regional difference on the island and/or a secular
13
14 change may be involved.

15
16 The present study indicated that notable characteristics of Okinawa Islanders are
17
18 prominent glabella and nasal root (G-RP, Se-RP, and En-Se-En). The prominent
19
20 glabella and nasal root can be caused by the receding medial angles of the eyes since
21
22 Okinawa Islanders have a smaller En(m)-Cdl(m). It has been reported that the
23
24 Ryukyuan tend to have smaller eyeballs (Tomoyose et al., 2010), which would shift
25
26 the medial angles of the eyes backwards. Considering these points, Okinawa Islanders
27
28 can be regarded as having a well-defined and less flat upper face compared with
29
30 mainland Japanese.
31
32

33 Dodo et al. (2000) argued that the Ryukyuan including the Amami, Okinawa, and
34
35 Sakishima Islanders have a flatter facial skeleton than the Jomon, modern mainland
36
37 Japanese, and the Ainu, based on three indices (i.e., subtense/chord) at the frontal,
38
39 simotic, and zygomaxillary regions used to evaluate transverse flatness (Yamaguchi,
40
41 1973). However, Fukase et al. (2012) recently pointed out that Ryukyuan faces are not
42
43 flat from the lateral view when compared with mainland Japanese faces. Although the
44
45 Jomon and the Ainu have a larger simotic subtense, i.e., more prominent nasal root,
46
47 than mainland Japanese and the Ryukyuan, the difference in the simotic index
48
49 between mainland Japanese and the Ryukyuan is likely to result from the larger
50
51 simotic chord in the Ryukyuan than in mainland Japanese (Yamaguchi, 1973; Dodo et
52
53 al., 2000; Hanihara, 2000; Fukase et al., 2012). Consequently, Okinawa Islanders have
54
55 a broader nasal bone and simultaneously larger depth from the eye to the nasal root
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 than mainland Japanese.

7
8 In the PCA, we observed components of facial shape patterns. These components
9
10 mainly represented facial size (PC1), facial depth (PC2), the prominence of the
11
12 glabella and nasal root (PC3), facial breadth (PC4), and so on. As a facial shape pattern,
13
14 we also found a correlation between the vertical and horizontal positions of Se; as the
15
16 concavity of the nasal root becomes greater, the position of Se becomes lower. We
17
18 identified that population difference is associated with PC3, PC6, and PC7, and that
19
20 other factors such as sex, height, and BMI also influence facial patterns. Interestingly,
21
22 PC2 did not have any relation with sex and body size. This may imply that the
23
24 morphological variation represented by this component may be predominantly
25
26 determined by genetic factors.
27
28

29
30 Recent rapid development of genomic technology has enabled the identification of
31
32 genetic factors that contribute to physical traits. However, despite high heritability of
33
34 facial characteristics (Nakata et al., 1974, Kau et al., 2005), only a few genetic variants
35
36 have been identified to be associated with the facial morphology in genome-wide
37
38 association studies (Paternoster et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2012). In these studies, one of
39
40 the reliable associations has been observed at single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)
41
42 on the *paired box 3 (PAX3)* gene, which plays critical roles during fetal development.
43
44 These SNPs on *PAX3* are associated with the position of the nasion (sellion) relative to
45
46 the midentocanthion. The present study also found a large variation in the position of
47
48 the sellion. In the Japanese populations, the same genetic variants may be associated
49
50 with this trait.
51

52
53 Meanwhile, a number of studies have demonstrated genetic differentiation
54
55 between mainland Japanese and the Ryukyans (Horai et al., 1996; Yamaguchi-Kabata
56
57 et al., 2008; HUGO Pan-Asian SNP Consortium, 2009; Matsukusa et al., 2010;
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 Koganebuchi et al., 2012; Japanese Archipelago Human Population Genetics
7 Consortium, 2012). The differences in craniofacial characteristics between the
8 populations are likely to be caused by the differences in the frequencies of causal
9 genetic variants. It has been revealed that a nonsynonymous variant in the
10 *ectodysplasin A receptor (EDAR)* gene, which is associated with several traits
11 including hair and tooth morphologies (Fujimoto et al., 2008a, b; Kimura et al., 2009;
12 Park et al., 2012; Kamberov et al., 2013; Tan et al., 2013), shows highly different
13 frequencies between mainland Japanese and the Ryukyans (Yamaguchi-Kabata et al.,
14 2008). Therefore, the *EDAR* variant is one of the candidates possibly associated with
15 facial characteristics differentiated between the two populations. Further genetic
16 analyses are needed to elucidate genotype–phenotype associations and genetic factors
17 explaining facial characteristics in each population.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 This study quantitatively identified differences in the facial morphology between
32 Okinawa Islanders and mainland Japanese using 3D digital images, with special
33 emphases on the differences in the nasal height and the prominence of the glabella and
34 nasal root. These measurements can efficiently distinguish between the two
35 populations. However, the present study was based only on landmarks and discarded a
36 lot of other information contained in facial surface images. Therefore, more detailed
37 3D morphological analyses utilizing a large number of surface points are required to
38 fully grasp the differences in facial features of individuals and populations. One of the
39 solutions will be to apply homologous modeling in which semi-landmarks homologous
40 among different faces are generated (Cheung et al., 2011; Hammond and Suttie 2012;
41 Luximon et al., 2012). Such analysis of complicated 3D facial shapes will contribute to
42 future studies on facial variation not only in anthropology but also in other scientific
43 fields such as genetics, developmental biology, cognitive science, and human
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

engineering.

Acknowledgements

We are deeply grateful to the people that participated in this study. We have no conflict of interest to declare.

For Peer Review

Literature cited

- von Baelz E. 1911. Die Riu-Kiu-Insulaner, die Aino und andere kaukasier-ahnliche Reste in Ostasien. *Korrespondenz-Blatt der Deutschen Gesellschaft fur Anthropologie. Ethnologie und Urgeschichte.* 42:187-191.
- Brace CL, Nagai M. 1982. Japanese tooth size: past and present. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 59(4):399-411.
- Brace CL, Nelson AR, Seguchi N, Oe H, Sering L, Pan QF, Li YY, Tumen D. 2001. Old World sources of the first New World human inhabitants: A comparative craniofacial view. *PNAS* 98(17):10017-10022.
- Brown P. 1999. The First Modern East Asians?: Another Look at Upper Cave 101, Liujiang and Minatogawa 1. *Interdisciplinary Perspectives on the Origins of the Japanese: International Research Center for Japanese Studies.* p 105-130.
- Cheung LK, Chan YM, Jayaratne YS, Lo J. 2011. Three-dimensional cephalometric norms of Chinese adults in Hong Kong with balanced facial profile. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 112(2):e56- e73.
- DeBruine LM, Jones BC, Smith FG, Little AC. 2010. Are attractive men's faces masculine or feminine? The importance of controlling confounds in face stimuli. *J Exp Psychol Hum Percept Perform* 36(3):751-758.
- Dodo Y, Doi N, Kondo O. 2000. Flatness of facial skeletons of Ryukyans. *Anthropol Sci* 108(2):183-198.
- Doi N. 2003. Population history of the Ryukyans as vied from skeletal morphology. In: Okinawa Prefectural Culture Promotion Foundation, editor. *Okinawa Prefecture History, Archaeology.* Okinawa: Okinawa Prefectural Board of Education. p 575-610.
- Farkas LG, Katic MJ, Forrest CR, Alt KW, Bagic I, Baltadjiev G, Cunha E, Cvicelová M, Davies S, Erasmus I, Gillett-Netting R, Hajnis K, Kemkes-Grottenthaler A, Khomyakova I, Kumi A, Kgamphe JS, Kayo-daigo N, Le T, Malinowski A, Negasheva M, Manolis S, Ogetürk M, Parvizrad R, Rösing F, Sahu P, Sforza C, Sivkov S, Sultanova N, Tomazo-Ravnik T, Tóth G, Uzun A, Yahia E. 2005. International anthropometric study of facial morphology in various ethnic groups/races. *J Craniofac Surg* 16(4):615-646.
- Ferrario VF, Dellavia C, Serrao G, Sforza C. 2005. Soft tissue facial angles in Down's syndrome subjects: a three-dimensional non-invasive study. *Eur J Orthod* 27(4):355-362.
- Fujimoto A, Kimura R, Ohashi J, Omi K, Yuliwulandari R, Batubara L, Mustofa MS, Samakkarn U, Settheetham-Ishida W, Ishida T, Morishita Y, Furusawa T,

- 1
2
3
4
5 Nakazawa M, Ohtsuka R, Tokunaga K. 2008a. A scan for genetic determinants
6 of human hair morphology: EDAR is associated with Asian hair thickness. *Hum*
7 *Mol Genet* 17(6):835-843.
8
9
10 Fujimoto A, Ohashi J, Nishida N, Miyagawa T, Morishita Y, Tsunoda T, Kimura R,
11 Tokunaga K. 2008b. A replication study confirmed the EDAR gene to be a
12 major contributor to population differentiation regarding head hair thickness in
13 Asia. *Hum Genet* 124(2):179-185.
14
15 Fukase H, Wakebe T, Tsurumoto T, Saiki K, Fujita M, Ishida H. 2012. Facial
16 characteristics of the prehistoric and early-modern inhabitants of the Okinawa
17 islands in comparison to the contemporary people of Honshu. *Anthropol Sci*
18 120(1):23-32.
19
20
21 Hammond P, Suttie M. 2012. Large-scale objective phenotyping of 3D facial
22 morphology. *Hum Mutat* 33(5):817-825.
23
24 Hanihara K. 1991. Dual structure model for the population history of the Japanese.
25 *Japan Review* 2:1-33.
26
27 Hanihara T. 2000. Frontal and facial flatness of major human populations. *Am J Phys*
28 *Anthropol* 111(1):105-134.
29
30 Hanihara T, Ishida H. 2009. Regional Differences in Craniofacial Diversity and the
31 Population History of Jomon Japan. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 139(3):311-322.
32
33 Horai S, Murayama K, Hayasaka K, Matsubayashi S, Hattori Y, Fuchareon G, Harihara
34 S, Park K, Omoto K, Pan I. 1996. mtDNA polymorphism in East Asian
35 populations, with special reference to the peopling of Japan. *Am J Hum Genet*
36 59(3):579-590.
37
38 Hsu H. 1948. Anthropologische Untersuchungen uber die Riukiu-Islander. *Bulletin of*
39 *the Anatomical Department of the National Taiwan University* 2:227-330.
40
41 HUGO Pan-Asian SNP Consortium, Abdulla MA, Ahmed I, Assawamakin A, Bhak J,
42 Brahmachari SK, Calacal GC, Chaurasia A, Chen CH, Chen J, Chen YT, Chu J,
43 Cutiongco-de la Paz EM, De Ungria MCA, Delfin FC, Edo J, Fuchareon S,
44 Ghang H, Gojobori T, Han J, Ho SF, Hoh BP, Huang W, Inoko H, Jha P, Jinam
45 TA, Jin L, Jung J, Kangwanpong D, Kampuansai J, Kennedy GC, Khurana P,
46 Kim HL, Kim K, Kim S, Kim WY, Kimm K, Kimura R, Koike T,
47 Kulawonganunchai S, Kumar V, Lai PS, Lee JY, Lee S, Liu ET, Majumder PP,
48 Mandapati KK, Marzuki S, Mitchell W, Mukerji M, Naritomi K, Ngamphiw C,
49 Niikawa N, Nishida N, Oh B, Oh S, Ohashi J, Oka A, Ong R, Padilla CD,
50 Palittapongarnpim P, Perdigon HB, Phipps ME, Png E, Sakaki Y, Salvador JM,
51 Sandraling Y, Scaria V, Seielstad M, Sidek MR, Sinha A, Srikummool M,
52 Sudoyo H, Sugano S, Suryadi H, Suzuki Y, Tabbada KA, Tan A, Tokunaga K,
53 Tongshima S, Villamor LP, Wang E, Wang Y, Wang H, Wu JY, Xiao H, Xu S,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5 Yang JO, Shugart YY, Yoo HS, Yuan W, Zhao G, Zilfalil BA, Indian Genome
6 Variation Consortium. 2009. Mapping human genetic diversity in Asia. *Science*
7 326:1541-1545
8
9
10 Hylander WL. 1977. The adaptive significance of Eskimo craniofacial morphology. In:
11 Dahlberg A A, Graber T M, editor. *Orofacial growth and development*. Paris:
12 Mouton Publishers. 129-169 p.
13
14 Ishida H. 1992. Flatness of facial skeletons in Siberian and other circum-Pacific
15 populations. *Z Morphol Anthropol* 79(1):53-67.
16
17 Japanese Archipelago Human Population Genetics Consortium, Jinam T, Nishida N,
18 Hirai M, Kawamura S, Oota H, Umetsu K, Kimura R, Ohashi J, Tajima A,
19 Yamamoto T, Tanabe H, Mano S, Suto Y, Kaname T, Naritomi K, Yanagi K,
20 Niikawa N, Omoto K, Tokunaga K, Saitou N. 2012. The history of human
21 populations in the Japanese Archipelago inferred from genome-wide SNP data
22 with a special reference to the Ainu and the Ryukyuan populations *J Hum Genet*
23 57(12):787-795.
24
25
26 Kamberov YG, Wang S, Tan J, Gerbault P, Wark A, Tan L, Yang Y, Li S, Tang K,
27 Chen H, Powell A, Itan Y, Fuller D, Lohmueller J, Mao J, Schachar A, Paymer
28 M, Hostetter E, Byrne E, Burnett M, McMahon AP, Thomas MG, Lieberman
29 DE, Jin L, Tabin CJ, Morgan BA, Sabeti PC. 2013. Modeling recent human
30 evolution in mice by expression of a selected EDAR variant. *Cell*
31 152(4):691-702.
32
33
34 Kamminga J. 1992. New interpretations of the Upper Cave, Zhoukoudian. In: Akazawa
35 T, Aoki K, Kimura T, editor. Tokyo: Hokusen-sha. 379-400 p.
36
37 Kau CH, Zhurov A, Bibb R, Hunter L, Richmond S. 2005. The investigation of the
38 changing facial appearance of identical twins employing a three-dimensional
39 laser imaging system. *Orthod Craniofac Res* 8(2):85-90.
40
41
42 Kimura R, Yamaguchi T, Takeda M, Kondo O, Toma T, Haneji K, Hanihara T,
43 Matsukusa H, Kawamura S, Maki K, Osawa M, Ishida H, Oota H. 2009. A
44 common variation in EDAR is a genetic determinant of shovel-shaped incisors.
45 *Am J Hum Genet* 85(4):528-535.
46
47
48 Knussmann R. 1988. *Handbuch der vergleichenden Biologie des Menschen I*.
49 *Anthropologie*. Stuttgart: G. Fisher Verlag.
50
51 Koganebuchi K, Matsumura T, Nakagome S, Ishida H, Kawamura S, Oota H, The
52 Asian Archival DNA Repository Consortium. 2012. Autosomal and
53 Y-chromosomal STR markers reveal a close relationship between Hokkaido
54 Ainu and Ryukyu islanders. *Anthropol Sci* 120(3):199-208.
55
56 Little AC, Jones BC, DeBruine LM. 2011. Facial attractiveness: evolutionary based
57 research. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 366:1638-1659.
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5 Liu F, van der Lijn F, Schurmann C, Zhu G, Chakravarty MM, Hysi PG, Wollstein A,
6 Lao O, de Bruijne M, Ikram MA van der Lugt A, Rivadeneira F, Uitterlinden A
7 G, Hofman A, Niessen W J, Homuth G, de Zubicaray G, McMahon K L,
8 Thompson P M, Daboul A, Puls R, Hegenscheid K, Bevan L, Pausova Z,
9 Medland S E, Montgomery G W, Wright M J, Wicking C, Boehringer S,
10 Spector T D, Paus T, Martin N G, Biffar R, Kayser M. 2012. A genome-wide
11 association study identifies five loci influencing facial morphology in Europeans.
12 PLoS Genet 8(9):e1002932.
13
14 Luximon Y, Ball R, Justice L. 2012. The 3D Chinese head and face modeling.
15 Computer-Aided Design 44(1):40-47.
16
17 Matsukusa H, Oota H, Haneji K, Toma T, Kawamura S, Ishida H. 2010. A genetic
18 analysis of the Sakishima Islanders reveals no relationship with Taiwan
19 Aborigines but shared ancestry with Ainu and Main-Island Japanese. Am J Phys
20 Anthropol 142(2):211-223.
21
22 Miyake M. 1940. Anthropometry of the Japanese. Anthropological and Prehistoric
23 courses. Tokyo: Yuzankaku. p1-69
24
25 Mori N, Terashima M, Tokumori K, Nakashima A, Aoki Y, Hashimoto S. 2003. Head
26 bioinstrumentation and construction of face standard three-dimensional physical
27 model of modern Japanese adults of both sexes using three-dimensional CT
28 images. Anthropol Sci Jap Series 111(1):35-49.
29
30 Naito Y. 1976. The Okinawa Islanders viewed from anthropometric measurements.
31 In: Kyugakkai-Rengo, editor. Okinawa: Nature, Culture, and Society. Tokyo:
32 Kobundo. p 77-86.
33
34 Nakata M, Yu PL, Davis B, Nance WE. 1974. Genetic determinants of cranio-facial
35 morphology: a twin study. Ann Hum Genet 37(4):431-443.
36
37 Omoto K, Saitou N. 1997. Genetic origins of the Japanese: A partial support for the
38 dual structure hypothesis. Am J Phys Anthropol 102(4):437-446.
39
40 Park JH, Yamaguchi T, Watanabe C, Kawaguchi A, Haneji K, Takeda M, Kim YI,
41 Tomoyasu Y, Watanabe M, Oota H, Hanihara T, Ishida H, Maki K, Park SB,
42 Kimura R. 2012. Effects of an Asian-specific nonsynonymous EDAR variant on
43 multiple dental traits. J Hum Genet 57(8):508-514.
44
45 Paternoster L, Zhurov AI, Toma AM, Kemp JP, St Pourcain B, Timpson NJ, McMahon
46 G, McArdle W, Ring SM, Smith GD, Richmond S, Evans DM. 2012.
47 Genome-wide association study of three-dimensional facial morphology
48 identifies a variant in PAX3 associated with nasion position. Am J Hum Genet
49 90(3):478-485.
50
51 Penton-Voak IS, Perrett DI, Castles DL, Kobayashi T, Burt DM, Murray LK,
52 Minamisawa R. 1999. Menstrual cycle alters face preference. Nature
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5 399(6738):741-742.
6
7 Pietrusewsky M. 1999. A multivariate craniometric study of the Ryukyu Islanders and
8 their relationship with the prehistoric and modern peoples of Japan, Asia, and
9 the Pacific. *Anthropol Sci* 107(4):255-281.
10
11 Pietrusewsky M. 2004. Multivariate comparisons of female cranial series from the
12 Ryukyu Islands and Japan. *Anthropol Sci* 112(3):199-211.
13
14 Steegmann AT, Cerny FJ, Holliday TW. 2002. Neandertal cold adaptation:
15 physiological and energetic factors. *Am J Hum Biol* 14(5):566-583.
16
17 Suda A. 1940. Anthropometric measurements of the Ryukyu Islanders. *J Anthropol Soc*
18 *Nippon* 55(2):39-63.
19
20 Suda A. 1950. The physical anthropology of the Ryukyuan. *Minzokugaku-Kenkyu.*
21 109-116.
22
23 Suzuki H. 1981. Racial history of the Japanese. In: Schwidetzky I, editor.
24 *Rassengeschichte der menschheit*. Munchen: R. Oldenbourg Verlag. p 7-69.
25
26 Tan J, Yang Y, Tang K, Sabeti PC, Jin L, Wang S. 2013. The adaptive variant
27 EDARV370A is associated with straight hair in East Asians. *Hum Genet*
28 132(10):1187-1191.
29
30 Toma A, Zhurov A, Playle R, Marshall D, Rosin P, Richmond S. 2012. The assessment
31 of facial variation in 4747 British school children. *Eur J Orthod* 34(6):655-664.
32
33 Tomoyose E, Higa A, Sakai H, Sawaguchi S, Iwase A, Tomidokoro A, Amano S, Araie
34 M. 2010. Intraocular pressure and related systemic and ocular biometric factors
35 in a population-based study in Japan: The Kumejima study. *Am J Ophthalmol*
36 150(2):279-286.
37
38 Vezzetti E, Marcolin F. 2012. 3D human face description: landmarks measures and
39 geometrical features. *Image Vis Comput* 30(10):698-712.
40
41 Watanabe T, Saiki K, Okamoto K, Wakebe T. 2004. Metrical and nonmetrical analyses
42 of modern female crania in the northwestern Kyushu area. *Anthropol Sci*
43 112(2):147-159.
44
45 Yamaguchi B. 1973. Facial flatness measurements of the Ainu and Japanese crania.
46 *Bull Natn Sci Mus* 16:161-171.
47
48 Yamaguchi B. 1982. A review of the osteological characteristics of the Jomon
49 population in prehistoric Japan: *J Anthropol Soc Nippon* 90(Suppl):77-90.
50
51 Yamaguchi-Kabata Y, Nakazono K, Takahashi A, Saito S, Hosono N, Kubo M,
52 Nakamura Y, Kamatani N. 2008. Japanese population structure, based on SNP
53 genotypes from 7003 individuals compared to other ethnic groups: effects on
54 population-based association studies. *Am J Hum Genet* 83(4):445-456.
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Characteristics of the study participants.

| | Male | | | Female | | |
|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Mainland Japanese | Okinawa Islanders | <i>P</i> -value | Mainland Japanese | Okinawa Islanders | <i>P</i> -value |
| N | 30 | 30 | | 30 | 30 | |
| Age | 23.7 (20~32) | 22.3 (19~28) | - | 24.4 (20~37) | 22.4 (19~36) | - |
| Body Height±SD (cm) | 171.4 ± 5.1 (158.6~182.4) | 168.6 ± 4.3 (160.0~176.1) | 2.5E-02 | 159.4 ± 4.8 (148.5~169.5) | 156.2 ± 4.3 (145.7~164.5) | 8.5E-03 |
| Body Weight±SD (kg) | 65.8 ± 7.1 (51.0~83.6) | 63.6 ± 6.8 (44.5~77.0) | 0.23 | 53.2 ± 8.5 (42.6~85.3) | 51.9 ± 5.9 (39.2~62.1) | 0.48 |
| BMI±SD | 22.4 ± 2.3 (18.6~29.4) | 22.4 ± 2.3 (17.4~27.8) | 0.95 | 20.9 ± 2.6 (17.1~30.8) | 21.3 ± 2.3 (16.9~25.1) | 0.50 |

Ranges are shown in the parentheses. **Bold:** $P < 0.05$.

Table 2. Abbreviations of landmarks.

| Part | Abb. | Landmarks | M or R/L |
|----------|------|--------------------|----------|
| Forehead | G | Glabella | M |
| | Se | Sellion | M |
| Eyes | En | Entocanthion | R/L |
| | Ex | Ectocanthion | R/L |
| Nose | Prn | Pronasale | M |
| | Sn | Subnasale | M |
| | Ac | Alar curvature | R/L |
| Cheeks | Zy | Zygion | R/L |
| | Cdl | Condylion laterale | R/L |
| Mouth | Chl | Chellion | R/L |
| | Ls | Labrale superius | M |
| | Li | Lablare inferius | M |
| Chin | Sgn | Supragnathion | M |
| Jaw | Go | Gonion | R/L |

M: Midline; R: Right; L: Left.

Table 3. Regional differences of facial measurements.

| Measurements | Male | | | | | | | Female | | | | | Combined |
|--------------|----------|--------------|---------|-----|----------|-----|-----------------|----------|-----------|---------|-----|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Mainland | | Okinawa | | Regional | | | Mainland | | Okinawa | | Regional | Regional |
| | Japanese | Islanders | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | <i>P</i> -value | Japanese | Islanders | Mean | SD | <i>P</i> -value | <i>P</i> -value |
| Height | 1 | G-Se | 21.0 | 3.6 | 21.2 | 2.3 | 0.84 | 20.1 | 3.6 | 19.6 | 2.9 | 0.57 | 0.80 |
| | 2 | G-Sgn | 140.0 | 7.9 | 135.9 | 5.4 | 2.4E-02 | 133.5 | 6.6 | 128.3 | 5.7 | 1.9E-03 | 1.5E-04 |
| | 3 | Se-Sn | 53.7 | 2.2 | 50.0 | 3.5 | 1.0E-05 | 50.3 | 2.6 | 47.8 | 3.1 | 1.2E-03 | 6.0E-08 |
| Breadth | 4 | Sn-Sgn | 67.7 | 5.8 | 66.7 | 4.2 | 0.46 | 65.2 | 4.5 | 62.7 | 3.9 | 2.6E-02 | 3.6E-02 |
| | 5 | En-En | 40.4 | 3.4 | 40.3 | 3.1 | 0.91 | 39.1 | 2.2 | 39.4 | 2.6 | 0.55 | 0.74 |
| | 6 | Ex-Ex | 99.7 | 4.3 | 97.8 | 4.5 | 0.09 | 97.9 | 4.5 | 95.8 | 4.3 | 0.06 | 1.2E-02 |
| | 7 | Zy-Zy | 149.4 | 5.6 | 147.9 | 6.7 | 0.34 | 143.4 | 5.3 | 143.0 | 5.0 | 0.75 | 0.37 |
| | 8 | Cdl-Cdl | 151.9 | 5.4 | 151.1 | 6.8 | 0.62 | 143.4 | 5.0 | 142.1 | 5.5 | 0.36 | 0.32 |
| | 9 | Ac-Ac | 39.1 | 2.2 | 38.2 | 2.3 | 0.14 | 36.2 | 2.1 | 36.2 | 2.1 | 0.90 | 0.26 |
| | 10 | Chl-Chl | 47.2 | 3.0 | 47.3 | 2.9 | 0.90 | 43.7 | 3.4 | 44.0 | 3.8 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Depth | 11 | Go-Go | 123.8 | 8.8 | 120.9 | 7.7 | 0.18 | 115.0 | 5.5 | 111.9 | 7.7 | 0.08 | 2.8E-02 |
| | 12 | En(m)-Cdl(m) | 81.4 | 4.5 | 80.6 | 3.7 | 0.45 | 80.1 | 3.2 | 77.8 | 4.1 | 2.3E-02 | 3.3E-02 |
| | 13 | Ac(m)-Cdl(m) | 84.8 | 4.4 | 84.8 | 5.0 | 1.00 | 81.0 | 4.4 | 79.6 | 3.9 | 0.20 | 0.37 |
| | 14 | Ls-Cdl(m) | 104.8 | 4.7 | 104.6 | 5.2 | 0.86 | 98.0 | 5.8 | 96.9 | 4.2 | 0.40 | 0.47 |
| | 15 | Sgn-Cdl(m) | 118.2 | 5.6 | 117.7 | 6.6 | 0.75 | 110.8 | 7.9 | 109.4 | 5.8 | 0.43 | 0.43 |
| | 16 | G-RP | 14.8 | 2.3 | 17.8 | 1.7 | 5.0E-07 | 11.0 | 2.1 | 12.7 | 2.1 | 2.0E-03 | 1.2E-08 |
| | 17 | Se-RP | 9.3 | 1.7 | 10.8 | 1.8 | 1.2E-03 | 6.6 | 1.6 | 7.6 | 1.7 | 2.7E-02 | 1.2E-04 |
| | 18 | Prn-RP | 25.7 | 2.1 | 25.0 | 2.2 | 0.21 | 22.1 | 1.9 | 22.3 | 1.9 | 0.70 | 0.54 |
| | 19 | Sn-RP | 9.7 | 2.2 | 9.4 | 1.6 | 0.56 | 8.0 | 1.7 | 8.0 | 1.4 | 0.99 | 0.69 |
| | 20 | Ls-RP | 11.2 | 2.9 | 11.0 | 2.5 | 0.72 | 10.2 | 2.4 | 10.6 | 2.2 | 0.48 | 0.80 |
| | 21 | Li-RP | 5.2 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 0.73 | 5.9 | 2.9 | 5.6 | 3.6 | 0.66 | 0.58 |
| | 22 | Sgn-RP | -14.5 | 7.4 | -14.4 | 5.2 | 0.92 | -13.1 | 6.2 | -11.9 | 6.6 | 0.48 | 0.57 |
| Angle | 23 | G-Se-Prn | 143.4 | 6.1 | 141.2 | 6.2 | 0.16 | 145.4 | 5.0 | 143.5 | 4.9 | 0.15 | 4.5E-02 |
| | 24 | En-Se-En | 125.4 | 8.7 | 121.1 | 8.2 | 5.6E-02 | 134.8 | 8.6 | 132.2 | 8.4 | 0.24 | 2.9E-02 |
| | 25 | Gonial angle | 128.0 | 4.6 | 128.1 | 5.9 | 0.90 | 127.7 | 4.9 | 127.2 | 4.2 | 0.68 | 0.84 |
| | 26 | Ac(m)-Se-Prn | 32.5 | 2.8 | 33.6 | 3.5 | 0.20 | 30.2 | 2.6 | 31.7 | 3.1 | 5.3E-02 | 2.3E-02 |
| | 27 | Cdl-Se-Cdl | 79.6 | 3.0 | 79.0 | 2.9 | 0.44 | 78.7 | 2.5 | 79.1 | 3.5 | 0.55 | 0.89 |

(m): middle point between right and left of the landmarks. Protrusion of X from Reference Plane (RP), which can take negative values (16-22). **Bold:** $P < 0.05$. Abbreviations are listed in Table 2.

Measurements are shown in Figure 2.

Table 4. Principal components and loadings of measurements.

| Measurements | | PC1 | PC2 | PC3 | PC4 | PC5 | PC6 | PC7 |
|------------------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Height | G-Se | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.35 | 0.03 | 0.56 | 0.12 | 0.30 |
| | G-Sgn | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.29 | -0.43 | 0.46 | -0.19 | -0.07 |
| | Se-Sn | 0.52 | -0.27 | 0.06 | -0.25 | -0.10 | -0.62 | -0.26 |
| | Sn-Sgn | 0.46 | 0.02 | 0.17 | -0.53 | 0.46 | 0.05 | -0.24 |
| Breadth | En-En | 0.37 | -0.12 | 0.40 | 0.05 | 0.36 | 0.23 | 0.14 |
| | Ex-Ex | 0.61 | 0.08 | 0.52 | 0.03 | -0.04 | -0.05 | -0.11 |
| | Zy-Zy | 0.71 | 0.03 | 0.33 | 0.46 | -0.07 | -0.13 | 0.12 |
| | Cdl-Cdl | 0.77 | -0.02 | 0.17 | 0.46 | 0.01 | -0.15 | 0.12 |
| | Ac-Ac | 0.63 | -0.08 | 0.10 | 0.28 | -0.04 | 0.07 | -0.24 |
| | Chl-Chl | 0.63 | -0.05 | -0.10 | 0.26 | 0.07 | -0.02 | -0.05 |
| | Go-Go | 0.70 | -0.12 | 0.18 | 0.40 | -0.04 | -0.05 | -0.03 |
| | En(m)-Cdl(m) | 0.63 | -0.31 | 0.31 | -0.35 | -0.38 | 0.22 | 0.05 |
| Depth | Ac(m)-Cdl(m) | 0.74 | -0.45 | 0.03 | -0.14 | -0.36 | 0.23 | 0.05 |
| | Ls-Cdl(m) | 0.87 | -0.17 | -0.05 | -0.16 | -0.28 | 0.17 | 0.03 |
| | Sgn-Cdl(m) | 0.83 | 0.09 | 0.02 | -0.12 | -0.23 | 0.06 | 0.17 |
| | G-RP | 0.54 | -0.16 | -0.58 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.09 |
| | Se-RP | 0.53 | -0.22 | -0.70 | 0.05 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.28 |
| | Prn-RP | 0.66 | 0.40 | -0.44 | -0.13 | 0.05 | -0.21 | -0.23 |
| | Sn-RP | 0.42 | 0.65 | -0.33 | -0.22 | -0.05 | -0.18 | -0.09 |
| | Ls-RP | 0.33 | 0.81 | 0.08 | -0.29 | -0.06 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| | Li-RP | 0.07 | 0.84 | 0.20 | -0.27 | -0.11 | 0.04 | 0.16 |
| | Sgn-RP | 0.00 | 0.71 | 0.13 | 0.12 | -0.42 | -0.06 | 0.39 |
| Angle | G-Se-Prn | -0.13 | -0.37 | 0.12 | -0.34 | 0.12 | -0.54 | 0.55 |
| | En-Se-En | -0.37 | 0.18 | 0.81 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.25 | -0.18 |
| | Gonial angle | 0.06 | -0.04 | 0.07 | -0.32 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.18 |
| | Ac(m)-Se-Prn | 0.30 | 0.70 | -0.43 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.21 | -0.08 |
| | Cdl-Se-Cdl | -0.07 | 0.36 | 0.21 | 0.75 | 0.26 | -0.28 | -0.05 |
| Eigenvalue | 7.79 | 3.80 | 3.07 | 2.54 | 1.78 | 1.43 | 1.08 | |
| Contribution (%) | 28.9 | 14.1 | 11.4 | 9.4 | 6.6 | 5.3 | 4.0 | |

(m): middle point between right and left of the landmarks. RP: Reference Plane.

Table 5. Multiple regression analyses for principal component scores.

| | Sex | | | Region | | | Body Height (cm) | | | Body Mass Index | | | Constant |
|-----|----------|---------|----------------|----------|---------|----------------|------------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|---------|----------------|----------|
| | <i>B</i> | β | <i>P</i> | <i>B</i> | β | <i>P</i> | <i>B</i> | β | <i>P</i> | <i>B</i> | β | <i>P</i> | |
| PC1 | -2.20 | -0.39 | 1.5E-05 | -0.24 | -0.043 | 0.43 | 0.11 | 0.32 | 4.7E-04 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 4.0E-09 | -25.97 |
| PC2 | 0.11 | 0.028 | 0.86 | 0.34 | 0.090 | 0.36 | 0.012 | 0.049 | 0.76 | -0.071 | -0.090 | 0.36 | -0.71 |
| PC3 | 1.49 | 0.43 | 2.2E-03 | -1.12 | -0.32 | 2.9E-04 | 0.017 | 0.075 | 0.59 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 8.7E-03 | -6.43 |
| PC4 | -1.04 | -0.33 | 3.1E-02 | 0.42 | 0.13 | 0.17 | -0.060 | -0.29 | 0.056 | 0.080 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 8.34 |
| PC5 | -1.09 | -0.41 | 7.6E-03 | 0.077 | 0.029 | 0.76 | -0.032 | -0.19 | 0.22 | -0.11 | -0.20 | 3.5E-02 | 8.17 |
| PC6 | -0.52 | -0.22 | 0.13 | 0.71 | 0.30 | 1.3E-03 | -0.048 | -0.31 | 3.4E-02 | -0.014 | -0.028 | 0.75 | 8.05 |
| PC7 | 0.42 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.48 | 0.23 | 1.6E-02 | 0.015 | 0.11 | 0.45 | 0.076 | 0.18 | 0.060 | -4.61 |

Sex: Male=0, Female=1. Region: Mainland Japan=0, Okinawa Island=1. *B*: partial regression coefficient.

β : standardized partial regression coefficient. **Bold**: $P < 0.05$.

Table 6. Discriminant analysis of the measurements.

| | 27 measurements | | Stepwise | | Remaining independent variables |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Accuracy (%) | Accuracy (%) (Cross-validated) | Accuracy (%) | Accuracy (%) (Cross-validated) | |
| Male& Female | 80.0 | 70.8 | 77.5 | 77.5 | Se-Sn G-RP |
| Male | 91.7 | 60.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 | Se-Sn G-RP |
| Female | 85.0 | 55.0 | 70.0 | 66.7 | Se-Sn G-RP |

Figure legends

Figure 1. Position of the 21 landmarks. Front view (a) and lateral view (b). Fourteen bilateral landmarks and seven landmarks on the midline were plotted according to those defined by Martin (Knussmann, 1988).

Figure 2. Definition of the 27 measurements used in this study. Heights (a), breadths (b and c), and angles (c and d). The reference plane (RP) was defined by the three landmarks: the right Ac, the left Ac, and the midentocanthion, En(m) (e). The depths and the protrusions of landmarks on the mid-sagittal plane from RP (f). The measured values are listed in Table 3.

Figure 3. Loadings of PC1, PC2, and PC3.

Figure 4. Scatter diagrams of the PC scores. PC1 vs PC2 (a) and PC1 vs PC3 (b). A cross symbol represents the centroid for each group. Extracted facial images are extreme examples for each PC.

Figure 5. Variation in the position of the sellion. Facial features of representative individuals with a high PC2 score (a) and a low PC2 score (b). A negative correlation was observed between G-Se/G-Sn and Se-RP/G-RP (c).




Figure 1. Position of the 21 landmarks. Front view (a) and lateral view (b). Fourteen bilateral landmarks and seven landmarks on the midline were plotted according to those defined by Martin (Knussmann, 1988).
139x72mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 2. Definition of the 27 measurements used in this study. Heights (a), breadths (b and c), and angles (c and d). The reference plane (RP) was defined by the three landmarks: the right Ac, the left Ac, and the midentocanthion, En(m) (e). The depths and protrusion of each landmark on the mid-sagittal plane from RP (f). The measured values are listed in Table 3.
139x193mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 3. Loadings of PC1, PC2, and PC3.
139x124mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 4. Scatter diagrams of the PC scores. PC1 vs PC2 (a) and PC1 vs PC3 (b). A cross symbol represents the centroid for each group. Extracted facial images are extreme examples for each PC.
139x194mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 5. Variation in the position of the sellion. Facial features of representative individuals with a high PC2 score (a) and a low PC2 score (b). A negative correlation was observed between G-Se/G-Sn and Se-RP/G-RP (c).

139x160mm (300 x 300 DPI)