

Massad, E; Amaku, M; Coutinho, FA; Kittayapong, P; Wilder-Smith, A (2013) Theoretical impact of insecticide-impregnated school uniforms on dengue incidence in Thai children. Global health action, 6. pp. 1-6. ISSN 1654-9716 DOI: https://doi.org/10.3402/gha.v6i0.20473

Downloaded from: http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/748753/

DOI: 10.3402/gha.v6i0.20473

Usage Guidelines

 $Please \ refer \ to \ usage \ guidelines \ at \ http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html \ or \ alternatively \ contact \ researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.$ 

Available under license: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/

# Theoretical impact of insecticideimpregnated school uniforms on dengue incidence in Thai children

# Eduardo Massad<sup>1,2</sup>, Marcos Amaku<sup>3</sup>, Francisco Antonio Bezerra Coutinho<sup>1</sup>, Pattamaporn Kittayapong<sup>4</sup> and Annelies Wilder-Smith<sup>5,6,7</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>School of Medicine, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; <sup>2</sup>London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK; <sup>3</sup>School of Veterinary Medicine, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; <sup>4</sup>Center of Excellence for Vectors and Vector-Borne Diseases, Faculty of Science, Mahidol University at Salaya, Nakhon Phatom, Thailand; <sup>5</sup>Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Centre for Global Health Research, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden; <sup>6</sup>Department of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore; <sup>7</sup>Emerging Infectious Diseases Program, Duke-NUS Graduate Medical School, Singapore

**Background**: Children carry the main burden of morbidity and mortality caused by dengue. Children spend a considerable amount of their day at school; hence strategies that reduce human-mosquito contact to protect against the day-biting habits of *Aedes* mosquitoes at schools, such as insecticide-impregnated uniforms, could be an effective prevention strategy.

*Methodology*: We used mathematical models to calculate the risk of dengue infection based on force of infection taking into account the estimated proportion of mosquito bites that occur in school and the proportion of school time that children wear the impregnated uniforms.

*Principal findings*: The use of insecticide-impregnated uniforms has efficacy varying from around 6% in the most pessimistic estimations, to 55% in the most optimistic scenarios simulated.

**Conclusions:** Reducing contact between mosquito bites and human hosts via insecticide-treated uniforms during school time is theoretically effective in reducing dengue incidence and may be a valuable additional tool for dengue control in school-aged children. The efficacy of this strategy, however, is dependent on the compliance of the target population in terms of proper and consistent wearing of uniforms and, perhaps more importantly, the proportion of bites inflicted by the *Aedes* population during school time.

Keywords: dengue; school children; impregnated clothes; insecticide-treated uniforms; force of infection; mathematical models; Thailand

Received: 21 January 2013; Revised: 23 February 2013; Accepted: 24 February 2013; Published: 28 March 2013

engue is the most important mosquito-borne disease of humans that has not only increased in numbers but also expanded geographically (1–3). Global reports of dengue haemorrhagic fever (DHF) have increased five-fold in the past 20 years (4). Some 3.6 billion people, half of the world's population, are now at risk (1). The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that there are 50–100 million infections worldwide every year, of which 21,000 are fatal (3). The disease is now endemic in more than 100 countries in Asia, Africa, and the Americas with south-east Asia being the most seriously affected. Children carry the main burden of morbidity and mortality (5). Dengue infections in

children cause large disruptions in schooling, and loss in parental wage earning (6). According to the WHO, the number of disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) worldwide is estimated to range between 528 and 621 per million population (7). Preliminary adjustment for under-reporting could raise this total to \$1.8 billion, and incorporating costs of dengue surveillance and vector control would raise the amount further (8, 9).

Dengue viruses are transmitted by mosquitoes of the genus *Aedes*, subgenus *Stegomyia*. The principal vector, *Aedes aegypti (Stegomyiaaegypti)*, is now well established in much of the tropical and subtropical world, and as a

peri-domestic species, it is particularly well established in urban and semi-urban areas, thriving on proximity with humans. It typically breeds in clean stagnant water, particularly in artificial containers, and is therefore well adapted to urban life. *Aedes* is a daytime feeder (3), which means that strategies need to be employed to prevent mosquito-human contact during the daytime.

Current control strategies are clearly insufficient to combat dengue in endemic countries. Vector control (both adulticidal and larvicidal) has shown some impact but for various reasons has not resulted in the significant reduction of dengue (10, 11). There is an urgent need to evaluate additional control strategies, in particular, for children.

We previously hypothesized that impregnated school uniforms could be a potential strategy to reduce dengue infections in school-aged children (12). Because children of this age group spend a considerable amount of their day at school, the rationale is to develop strategies that reduce human-mosquito contact to protect against the day-biting habits of *Aedes* mosquitoes at schools. School uniforms are a cultural norm in many developing countries and are worn throughout the day on an almost daily basis. Insecticide-treated school uniforms may therefore result in a reduction of dengue infections in school-aged children.

To test this hypothesis, school-based intervention trials at various settings are necessary. Such a large communitybased trial is currently in preparation in Thailand (13, 14). However, such trials are costly and difficult to implement and it will take some years before the results will be available. We set out to estimate the potential impact of impregnated school uniforms on the incidence of dengue in school-aged children using mathematical modelling.

# Methods

#### SI models

We first deduced an approximate risk for dengue acquisition in a stochastic framework.

Assuming a closed population of size N in the absence of competitive risks, the risk of dengue can be stochastically calculated by a two-state model without recovery, that is, a SI type model, in which 'S' individuals are susceptible to dengue and 'T individuals are those who have acquired the infection once in the past, remaining protected for life.

We calculated the probability that y individuals are in the state I in the period of time between t and  $t+\Delta t$  using the following equation (in preparation):

$$P_{y}^{I}(t+\Delta t) = P_{y}^{I}(t)(1-\lambda x\Delta t) + P_{y-1}^{I}\lambda(x+1)\Delta t \qquad (1)$$

In eq. (1), the first term refers to the probability that there were y individuals at time t in the I condition and that no susceptible individuals x acquired the infection in the period. The second term refers to the probability that there were (y-1) individuals at time t in the I condition and that one susceptible individual x acquired the infection in the period.

From eq. (1), it is possible to obtain:

$$\frac{dP_{y}^{I}(t)}{dt} = -\lambda(N-y)P_{y}^{I}(t) + \lambda(N-y+1)P_{y-1}^{I}$$
(2)

Note that in eq. (2) we are assuming the closed population N = x + y.

The general expression for the probability generation function (PGF), G(z,t), is given by:

$$G(z,t) = \sum_{y=0}^{N} z^{y} P_{y}^{I}(t)$$
(3)

For the particular model expressed in eq. (2), it is possible to calculate the PGF such that:

$$G(z,t) = [z - (z - 1)\exp(-\lambda t)]^N$$
(4)

Now the average number of y individuals at time t can be calculated by taking the first partial derivative of the PGF with respect to z at z = 1:

$$\left. \frac{\partial G(z,t)}{\partial z} \right|_{z=1} = N[1 - \exp(-\lambda t)]$$
(5)

Hence, the average probability  $\pi_d(t)$  of at least one infection with the dengue virus at time t is given by:

$$\pi_d(t) = [1 - \exp(-\lambda t)] \tag{6}$$

The variance of the probability distribution for the number of infected individuals at time t is given by:

$$N\sigma^{2} = \frac{\partial^{2}G(z,t)}{\partial z^{2}}\Big|_{z=1} + \frac{\partial G(z,t)}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=1} - \left[\frac{\partial G(z,t)}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=1}\right]^{2}$$
(7)

which results in:

$$\sigma^{2}(t) = \exp(-\lambda t)[1 - \exp(-\lambda t)]$$
(8)

Therefore, the probability of dengue acquisition at time *t* is given by:

$$\pi_d(t) = [1 - \exp(-\lambda t)]$$

$$\pm 1.96 \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{\exp(-\lambda t)[1 - \exp(-\lambda t)]}{N}} \right\}$$
(9)

where the second term is the 95% confidence interval.

### Force of infection

The force of infection,  $\lambda$ , is the per capita incidence rate and is defined as (15–17):

$$\lambda = ab \frac{I_{\rm M}}{N_{\rm H}} \tag{10}$$

where, a is the mosquitoes' biting rate, b is vector competence (the probability that a bite from an infected mosquito results in a new case),  $I_{\rm M}$  is the number of infected mosquitoes and  $N_{\rm H}$  is the total number of humans hosts.

Actually, dengue infection can be caused by any of the four known serotypes. Cross-immunity (if any) is short-lived such that each dengue infection can be considered independently, although the phenomenon of antibody enhancement is known to be involved in haemorrhagic dengue. Therefore, the total force of infection  $\lambda_T$  can be expressed as:

$$\lambda_T = \frac{a}{N_{\rm H}} \sum_{i=1}^4 b_i I_{{\rm M}_i} \tag{11}$$

where  $b_i$  and  $I_{M_i}$  are the serotype-specific transmissibility and number of infected mosquitoes, respectively (note that the biting rate is considered to be equal for all serotypes). Hence, the probability of infection by any serotype is now

$$\pi_d(t) = [1 - \exp(-\lambda_T t)] \tag{12}$$

Since our hypothesis is that the use of impregnated school uniforms reduces the contact between the hosts and the mosquitoes' bites, it suffices to estimate the sensitivity of the force of infection,  $\lambda$  to the mosquitoes' biting rate, *a*, which, as mentioned above, is assumed to be the same for all the four serotypes.

To estimate the sensitivity of a model variable in steady state,  $V_i$ , to a parameter  $\theta_j$ , we consider the relative variation in the parameter,  $\frac{\Delta \theta_j}{\theta_j}$ . This variation will correspond to a variation  $\frac{\Delta V_i}{V_i}$  in the model variable  $V_i$ 

given by (18):

$$\frac{\Delta V_i}{V_i} = \frac{\theta_j}{V_i} \frac{\partial V_i}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{\Delta \theta_j}{\theta_j}$$
(13)

Hence, the sensitivity of  $\lambda$  to the biting rate *a* is given by:

$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} = \frac{a}{\lambda} \frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial a} \frac{\Delta a}{a}$$
(14)

and

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial a} = b \frac{I_{\rm M}}{N_{\rm H}} + ab \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left[ \frac{I_{\rm M}}{N_{\rm H}} \right] \tag{15}$$

The second term of eq. (15) refers to the influence of biting rate on the infected mosquito density as related to human hosts. Since the proposed strategy is aimed

only at a small sample of school children, this term can be neglected, such that eq. (15) is reduced to  $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial a} = b \frac{I_M}{N_H}$ . Now, assuming a typical biting rate for *Aedes aegypti* as  $a = 0.164 \text{ days}^{-1}$  (19); an average probability of infection from mosquitoes to humans as b = 0.6 (20); an average infected mosquitoes' density as  $\frac{I_M}{N_H} = 0.00143$  [calculated from the estimations for Thailand by Massad, Roklov and Wilder-Smith (21)]; and an estimated total force of infection (see below) as  $\lambda = 0.000141$  cases per personyears, we end up with a sensitivity of one percentage point reduction in  $\lambda$  for each percentage point reduction in a.

In order to test the hypothesis that the use of insecticide-impregnated school uniforms will reduce the dengue's force of infection, we consider the probability of dengue acquisition as equal to the proportion of seropositive hosts at time t,  $s_H^+(t)$ . Therefore, in the absence of competitive risks this has the approximate dynamics as in eq. (9):

$$s_{H}^{+}(t) = 1 - \exp(-\lambda t)$$

$$\pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{\exp(-\lambda t)[1 - \exp(-\lambda t)]}{N}}$$
(16)

The efficacy of the strategy at time t can be calculated according to (22):

$$Eff(t) = 1 - \frac{\pi_d(t)|_1}{\pi_d(t)|_0}$$
(17)

where the subscripts 0 and 1 denote the probabilities of infection before and after the introduction of the control strategy, respectively.

# Results

Calculating the partial derivative of  $\lambda$  with respect to the biting rate *a* with eq. (4) has the following results: for each 1% variation in the biting rate *a* results in a variation of about 5% in the force of infection  $\lambda$ . Therefore, reducing the contact between mosquitoes' bites and the human hosts is theoretically very effective in reducing the infection's incidence.

Using eq. (14), if we assume the annual incidence of dengue infection is 5% in Thai children (14, 23), the force of infection at this moment is  $1.41 \times 10^{-4}$  cases per person-years. Assuming that a given proportion of children are expected to wear the insecticide-impregnated clothes during their school period, and the proportion of school time (that is the school time in hours divided by 24 hours) children wear the uniform, we can estimate the impact of this strategy as dependent on the proportion of

all the mosquitoes' bites that occur during that period. Then, the expected proportion of seropositive some year after the intervention is calculated as: Note that the use of insecticide-impregnated uniforms has efficacy varying from around 6% in the most pessimistic, up to 55% in the most optimistic scenarios simulated.

$$s_{H}^{+}(365 \ days) = 1 - e^{[1 - (1.41 \times 10^{-4}(1 - (A*B)))365]} \pm 1.96\sqrt{\frac{e^{[-(1.41 \times 10^{-4}(1 - (A^*B)))365]}[1 - e^{[-(1.41 \times 10^{-4}(1 - (A^*B)))365]}}{2,012}}$$
(18)

where 2,012 is the sample size of school children in the randomized controlled trial that is currently being conducted in eastern Thailand (14), A refers to a combination of the proportion of children that wear the uniform, the probability that the mosquitoes will get into contact with the insecticide-impregnated uniform and the proportion of school time children wear the uniform, while B is the proportion of mosquito bites that occur during school time.

Fig. 1 shows the impact of the strategy on the proportion of seropositive children after 1 year of the intervention as a function of B.

In Fig. 1, we consider various realities that combine the facts that efficacy is never 100%, as the knock down effect is around 80% in ideal laboratory conditions, but will theoretically be much lower in real world conditions where uniforms do not cover the full body, uniforms are not worn the whole day, and uniforms are not worn during the weekends. We therefore simulated five scenarios varying parameter in eq. (18) from 0.3 to 0.7.

Another way to see the impact of the proposed strategy is shown in Table 1. In the table we show the result of the simulation of eq. (18) for some selected values of parameters B and A. Efficacy was calculated according to eq. (17).



*Fig. 1.* Projected scenarios from the numerical simulations of eq. (18). The figure shows only the mean annual incidence as a function of the proportion of bites during school time [parameter B in eq. (18)].

# Discussion

The mass distribution of insecticide-treated bed nets as part of the Roll Back Malaria (RBM) partnership is expected to contribute majorly towards successful malaria control (24). The current target of universal access to long-lasting, insecticide-treated nets is 80% coverage which aims to reduce malaria deaths by 75% by 2015 (25). The cost-effectiveness and general applicability of insecticide-treated bed nets to control malaria has turned this strategy into a sort of gold-standard of malaria control (26). The protective efficacy of insecticide-treated bed nets, however, varies according to the coverage achieved: protective efficacy was demonstrated to be 68% in communities with an average insecticide-treated bed nets coverage of 50%; 31% in communities with an insecticide-treated bed nets coverage of 16-30%; and no protective efficacy in communities with insecticidetreated bed nets coverage below 16% (27).

In another study, Vanlerberghe et al. (28) deployed insecticide-treated window curtains in households and showed that this strategy can result in significant reductions in *Aedes aegypti* levels when dengue vector infestations are moderate.

Hence, the use of insecticide-impregnated fabric as a repeller/barrier between mosquitoes and human hosts is already established as an effective tool against vectorborne infections. Using mathematical models, it is possible to define rational expectations about insecticideimpregnated clothes to control dengue, in the same way these models were used to quantify the impact of the use of bed nets to control malaria (24).

Table 1. Efficacy of impregnated uniforms for selected values of parameters B and A

В	A = 0.3	A = 0.4	A = 0.5	A = 0.6	A = 0.7
20%	5.9%	7.8%	9.8%	11.7%	13.7%
40%	11.7%	15.7%	19.6%	23.5%	27.5%
60%	17.6%	23.5%	29.5%	35.4%	41.4%
80%	23.5%	31.4%	39.4%	47.4%	55.4%

Calculated according to eq. (17).

Our findings are consistent with those described by Amaku et al. (2012, Biosystems, available at: http:// arxiv.org/abs/1302.1166) where they presented a sensitivity analysis of the impact of four vector-control strategies on the basic reproduction number,  $R_0$ , and on the force of infection,  $\lambda$ , of dengue. They conclude that of the available vector-control strategies adulticide application is the most effective, followed by reduction of contact with the mosquito's bites, search and destroying breeding places, and, finally, larvicides.

Here we have shown that reducing the contact between mosquitoes' bites and the human hosts via insecticidetreated uniforms during the daytime is theoretically very effective in reducing the infection's incidence. Of course the efficacy of this strategy is very much dependent on the compliance of the target population in properly and consistently wearing the uniforms, and, perhaps more importantly, the proportion of bites the Aedes population inflicts during school time [parameters A and B in eq. (15)]. The value of such a strategy also depends on whether such impregnated uniforms are culturally acceptable and that no skin irritations or other adverse events will occur. We will therefore need to wait for the results of a school-based randomized control trial that is currently being conducted in Thailand to know the effectiveness of such a strategy under field conditions (14). Also of concern is the possibility of evolution of resistance against the insecticide, a phenomenon already observed in the impregnated bed nets used against the malaria mosquitoes (29, 30). This highlights the need to sustain research on discovering new insecticides (31) and to test insecticide combinations in the same fashion as is applied to avoid the evolution of antibiotic and/or antiviral resistance. Waning of efficacy over time after repeated washing is another issue that would need to be studied under field conditions.

One important point to comment is that at first sight it may seem that the sensitivity of the force of infection is dependent on the ratio of infected mosquitoes to the total human population,  $\frac{I_{\rm M}}{N_{\rm H}}$ . This ratio, however, is almost linearly related to the human prevalence of dengue  $\frac{I_{\rm M}}{N_{\rm H}}$ (Amaku et al., 2012, Biosystems, submitted), which in turn is almost linearly related to the force of infection. Therefore, when the ratio  $\frac{I_{\rm M}}{N_{\rm H}}$  increases, the human prevalence and the force of infection increase by almost the same amount. Hence, the term  $\frac{a}{\lambda}$  in eq. (12) decreases by practically the same amount as the term  $\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial a}$  increases and the effect is cancelled out. Finally, an apparent limitation of the model is the linearity in eqs. (1) and (2) with respect to the force of infection  $\lambda$ . This is justified by the assumption that the small cohort of children in the experiment is subjected to the force of infection of their original population but that this number is too small to influence it.

In summary, based on mathematical modeling, the use of insecticide-impregnated uniforms has an efficacy varying from around 6% in the most pessimistic scenarios, to 55% in the most optimistic scenarios simulated. If such a strategy is shown to be safe and culturally acceptable in field conditions, then even a theoretical reduction of dengue incidence by only 6 to 55% may justify implementing this approach to control dengue in school aged children.

# Acknowledgements

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013) under grant agreement no. 282589, from LIM01 HCFMUSP, FAPESP, and CNPq.

#### Conflict of interest and funding

The authors have not received any funding or benefits from industry or elsewhere to conduct this study.

### References

- 1. Gubler DJ. Epidemic dengue/dengue hemorrhagic fever as a public health, social and economic problem in the 21st century. Trends Microbiol 2002; 10: 100–3.
- Wilder-Smith A, Gubler DJ. Geographic expansion of dengue: the impact of international travel. Med Clin North Am 2008; 92: 1377–90, x.
- Wilder-Smith A, Ooi EE, Vasudevan SG, Gubler DJ. Update on dengue: epidemiology, virus evolution, antiviral drugs, and vaccine development. Curr Infect Dis Rep 2010; 12: 157–64.
- Gubler DJ. The global emergence/resurgence of arboviral diseases as public health problems. Arch Med Res 2002; 33: 330–42.
- 5. Guzman MG, Kouri G. Dengue: an update. Lancet Infect Dis 2002; 2: 33–42.
- Clark DV, Mammen MP, Jr., Nisalak A, Puthimethee V, Endy TP. Economic impact of dengue fever/dengue hemorrhagic fever in Thailand at the family and population levels. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2005; 72: 786–91.
- 7. WHO (2009). Dengue: guidelines for diagnosis, treatment, prevention and control. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Shepard DS, Coudeville L, Halasa YA, Zambrano B, Dayan GH. Economic impact of dengue illness in the Americas. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2011; 84: 200–7.
- Suaya JA, Shepard DS, Siqueira JB, Martelli CT, Lum LC, Tan LH, et al. Cost of dengue cases in eight countries in the Americas and Asia: a prospective study. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2009; 80: 846–55.
- Horstick O, Runge-Ranzinger S, Nathan MB, Kroeger A. Dengue vector-control services: how do they work? A systematic literature review and country case studies. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2010; 104: 379–86.

- Esu E, Lenhart A, Smith L, Horstick O. Effectiveness of peridomestic space spraying with insecticide on dengue transmission; systematic review. Trop Med Int Health 2010; 15: 619–31.
- Wilder-Smith A, Lover A, Kittayapong P, Burnham G. Hypothesis: impregnated school uniforms reduce the incidence of dengue infections in school children. Med Hypotheses 2011; 76: 861–2.
- Wilder-Smith A, Renhorn KE, Tissera H, Abu Bakar S, Alphey L, Kittayapong P, et al. Denguetools: innovative tools and strategies for the surveillance and control of dengue. Glob Health Action 2012; 5. DOI: 10.3402/gha.v5i0.17273.
- 14. Wilder-Smith A, Byass P, Olanratmanee P, Maskhao P, Sringernyuang L, Logan JG, et al. The impact of insecticide-treated school uniforms on dengue infections in school-aged children: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial in Thailand. Trials 2012; 13: 212.
- 15. Massad E, Coutinho FAB, Lopez LF, da Silva DR. Modeling the impact of global warming on vector-borne infections. Phys Life Rev 2011; 8: 169–99.
- Coutinho FAB, Burattini MN, Lopez LF, Massad E. Threshold conditions for a non-autonomous epidemic system describing the population dynamics of dengue. Bull Math Biol 2006; 68: 2263–82.
- 17. Coutinho FAB, Burattini MN, Lopez LF, Massad E. An approximate threshold condition for non-autonomous system: an application to a vector-borne infection. Math Comp Simul 2005; 70: 149–58.
- Massad E, Behrens BC, Coutinho FAB, Behrens RH, da Silva DR. Cost risk benefit analysis to support chemoprophylaxis policy for travellers to malaria endemic countries. Malar J 2011; 10: 130.
- Yasuno M, Tonn RJ. A study of biting habits of *Aedes aegypti* in Bangkok, Thailand. Bull WHO 1970; 43: 319–25.
- Ocampo CB, Wesson DM. Population dynamics of *Aedes* aegypti from a dengue hyperendemic urban setting in Colombia. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2004; 71: 506–13.
- Massad E, Rocklov J, Wilder-Smith A. Dengue infections in non-immune travellers to Thailand. Epidemiol Infect 2012; 24: 1–6.
- 22. Halloran ME, Longini Jr., IM, Struchiner CJ. Design and analysis of vaccine studies (statistics for biology and health). Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag; 2012.

- 23. Strickman D, Sithiprasasna R, Kittayapong P, Innis BL. Distribution of dengue and Japanese encephalitis among children in rural and suburban Thai villages. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2000; 63: 27–35.
- Smith DL, Hay SI, Noor AM, Snow RW. Predicting changing malaria risk after expanded insecticide-treated net coverage in Africa. Trends Parasitol 2009; 25: 511–6.
- 25. Okell LC, Paintain LS, Webster J, Hanson K, Lines J. From intervention to impact: modelling the potential mortality impact achievable by different long lasting, insecticide-treated net delivery strategies. Malar J 2012; 11: 327.
- Lengeler C. Insecticide-treated bednets and curtains for preventing malaria *Cochrane*. Database Syst Rev 2004; 2: CD000363.
- Kroeger A, González M, Ordóñez-González J. Insecticidetreated materials for malaria control in Latin America: to use or not to use? Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1999; 93: 565–70.
- Vanlerberghe V, Villegas E, Oviedo M, Baly A, Lenhart A, et al. Evaluation of the effectiveness of insecticide treated materials for household level dengue vector control. PLoS Negl Trop Dis; 5: e994. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0000994.
- Enayati AA, Hemingway J. Pyrethroid insecticide resistance and treated bednets efficacy in malaria control. Pestic Biochem Physiol 2006; 84: 116–26.
- Asisi A, N'Guessan R, Akogbeto M, Curtis C, Rowland M. Loss of household protection from use of insecticide-treated nets against pyrethroid-resistant mosquitoes, Benin. Emerg Infect Dis 2012; 18: 1101–6.
- Greenwood B, Owusu-Agyei S. Malaria in the post-genome era. Science 2012; 338: 49–50.

#### \*Annelies Wilder-Smith

Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine Centre for Global Health Research Umeå University SE-901 85 Umeå, Sweden Email: anneliesws@gmail.com