SCIENTIFIC **Reports**

Received: 16 November 2016 Accepted: 14 August 2017 Published online: 05 September 2017

OPEN Brain grey matter volume alterations associated with antidepressant response in major depressive disorder

Jia Liu¹, Xin Xu², Qiang Luo¹, Ya Luo², Ying Chen², Su Lui¹, Min Wu¹, Hongyan Zhu³, Graham J. Kemp^{4,5} & Qiyong Gong¹

Not all patients with major depressive disorder respond to adequate pharmacological therapy. Psychoradiological studies have reported that antidepressant responders and nonresponders show different alterations in brain grey matter, but the findings are inconsistent. The present study reports a meta-analysis of voxel-based morphometric studies of patients with major depressive disorder, both antidepressant responders and nonresponders, using the anisotropic effect size version of Seed-based D Mapping to identify brain regions correlated to clinical response. A systematic search was conducted up to June 2016 to identify studies focussing on antidepressant response. In responders across 9 datasets grey matter volume (GMV) was significantly higher in the left inferior frontal gyrus and insula, while GMV was significantly lower in the bilateral anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and the right superior frontal gyrus (SFG). In nonresponders across 5 datasets GMV was significantly lower in the bilateral ACC, median cingulate cortex (MCC) and right SFG. Conjunction analysis confirmed significant differences in the bilateral ACC and right SFG, where GMV was significantly lower in nonresponders but higher in responders. The current study adds to psychoradiology, an evolving subspecialty of radiology mainly for psychiatry and clinical psychology.

Major depressive disorder (MDD) accounts for a large burden of disease, and is a leading cause of years lived with disability¹. Antidepressant medication is a first-line treatment for severe MDD², and has been shown to ameliorate functional impairment, with changes in neural activation and brain structure^{3, 4}. However, ~30% of patients do not respond to adequate pharmacological therapy, and the pathophysiological mechanisms linking depression, structural change and treatment response remain unclear⁵⁻⁷.

Although antidepressant responders and nonresponders show grey matter volume (GMV) alterations by structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)⁸⁻¹⁰, reports relating to antidepressant effects are inconsistent. For example, higher GMV in the right superior temporal gyrus was reported in one study of responders¹¹, while lower GMV in the right superior frontal gyrus of nonresponders has been observed in some studies^{8, 9, 12}, but not others¹³. Variations in sample sizes, imaging protocols, and the demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients may underlie much of this inconsistency. Meta-analysis therefore offers a valuable way to define consistent GMV abnormalities in MDD responders and nonresponders, to throw light on the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying antidepressant effects.

The automated analysis method of voxel-based morphometry (VBM) provides a powerful tool to compare group differences in GMV at whole-brain level¹⁴. To identify consistent regional GMV abnormalities in relation to antidepressant effect, both positive and negative results of VBM studies can be combined in the same map by using a particular voxel-based meta-analytic approach, the Anisotropic Effect Size version of Seed-based D

¹Huaxi MR Research Center (HMRRC), Department of Radiology, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu, China. ²Department of Psychiatry, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu, China. ³Laboratory of Stem Cell Biology, State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu, China. ⁴Institute of Ageing and Chronic Disease, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom. ⁵Liverpool Magnetic Resonance Imaging Centre (LiMRIC), University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom. Jia Liu and Xin Xu contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.Z. (email: hyzhu_hmrrc@126.com)

	Number (female) Mean age,		y Severity (scale type)			Illness duration	Scan			Quality	
Studies	MDD	нс	MDD	нс	Pre-tx	Pre-tx	(months)	time	Antidepressants	MRI	score
Responders											
Fang et al. ¹¹	20 (8)	18(8)	59.2 (3.7)	59.1 (7.5)	26.6(1.9) (HDRS)	6.4(0.98) (HDRS)	43.2(13.2)	Post-tx	Unclear	1.5 T	12
Jung et al. ¹²	24 (17)	29(21)	43.0 (10.1)	43.6 (13.4)	20.9(4.1) (HDRS)	7.3(2.6) (HDRS)	33.3(43.1)	Pre-tx	SSRI/SNRI/others*	3 T	12.5
Klauser et al. ⁵	27 (18)	33(21)	35.0 (9.72)	34.7 (9.93)	NA	13.04(11.73) (BDI)	108.48(78.36)	Post-tx	SSRI/SNRI/others	1.5 T	12
Kong et al. ²⁰	24 (14)	28(14)	36.1 (5.73)	32.1 (9.27)	NA	3.42(2.55) (HDRS)	4.12(0.89)	Post-tx	Fluoxetine	1.5 T	12.5
Li et al. ¹³	19 (13)	25(19)	42.6 (13.0)	40.6 (12.7)	21.0(4.0) (HDRS)	3.4(2.0) (HDRS)	108(78.36)	Pre-tx	SSRI/SNRI/others	1.5 T	12
Liu et al. ²²	19 (19)	19(19)	37.6 (12.7)	36.8 (11.2)	NA	4.63 (HDRS)	88.44(66.4)	Post-tx	SSRI/SNRI/others	3 T	11.5
Ma. et al. ²¹	17 (7)	17(7)	26.7 (7.73)	24.2 (4.41)	25.58(6.32) (HDRS)	NA	2.59(1.33)	Pre-tx	SSRI/SNRI/TCA	1.5 T	11
Salvadore et al. ²³	27 (21)	107(60)	40.2 (12.2)	36.2 (0.3)	NA	1.6(2.3) (MADRS)	181.2(164.4)	Post-tx	Unclear	3 T	12
Serra-Blasco et al.9	22 (20)	32(23)	48.0 (8.7)	46.0 (8.3)	NA	4(5.2) (HDRS)	214.3(129)	Post-tx	SSRI/SNRI/others	3 T	12
Nonresponders											
Jung et al. ¹²	26 (19)	29(21)	40.8 (12.7)	43.6 (13.4)	18.8(4.7) (HDRS)	14.9(4.6) (HDRS)	36.4(33.2)	Pre-tx	SSRI/SNRI/others	3 T	12.5
Li et al. ¹³	25 (20)	25(19)	46.5 (10.4)	40.6 (12.7)	21.9(3.5) (HDRS)	16.3(6.0) (HDRS)	112.8(102)	Pre-tx	SSRI/SNRI/ others	1.5 T	12
Ma. <i>et al</i> . ²¹	18 (7)	17(7)	27.4 (7.74)	24.2 (4.41)	23.89(3.69) (HDRS)	NA	35.5(49.89)	Pre-tx	SSRI/SNRI/TCA	1.5 T	11
Machino et al.8	29 (13)	29(13)	39.6 (8.29)	38.7 (8.36)	NA	13.9(4.33) (HDRS)	52.55	Post-tx	SSRI/SNRI/ others	1.5 T	11
Serra-Blasco et al.9	22 (18)	32(23)	49.0 (8)	46.0 (8.3)	NA	21(4.6) (HDRS)	271	Post-tx	SSRI/SNRI/others	3 T	12

Table 1. Characteristics of patient and control groups in studies included in the meta-analysis. BDI = BeckDepression Inventory; HC = healthy controls; HDRS = 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale;m = months; MADRS = Montgomery and Åsberg Depression Rating Scale; MAOI = monoamine oxidaseinhibitors; NaSSA = noradrenergic/specific serotonergic agents; NDRI = norepinephrine dopamine reuptakeinhibitor; Pre-tx = pre-treatment; Post-tx = post-treatment; SSRI = specific serotonin reuptake inhibitors;SNRI = serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors; TCA = tricyclic antidepressants; y = years; *othersincluding TCA, NDRI, NaSSA and MAOI; All data are given as the mean (SD) if not otherwise specified.

Mapping (http://www.sdmproject.com, AES-SDM). AES-SDM supports effect size comparison and conjunction analysis¹⁵, and has been used to compare MDD with bipolar disorder^{16, 17} and in other neurologic disorders such as migraine¹⁸ and dementia¹⁹.

Using AES-SDM, this systematic meta-analysis aimed to (1) investigate morphometric changes in MDD responders and nonresponders compared with healthy controls, and (2) compare GMV differences that may define specific and shared morphological alterations in responders and nonresponders.

Results

Included studies and their characteristics. We found 2512 studies, of which 10 studies^{4, 8, 9, 11-13, 20-23} ultimately met the inclusion criteria. No additional study was identified from their references. Figure S1 shows a flow diagram of study selection. This left a total of 10 articles for our meta-analysis, with responders across 9 datasets (199 patients vs. 308 controls) and nonresponders across 5 datasets (120 patients vs. 132 controls). Table 1 summarises the clinical characteristics of these groups in the various studies.

Antidepressant responders and nonresponders vs. healthy controls. Table 2 and Fig. 1 show the results of meta-analysis of both patient groups against healthy controls.

Group comparison of responders against healthy controls revealed higher GMV in the bilateral anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), the right superior frontal gyrus (SFG) and gyrus rectus, and lower GMV mainly in the left inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) and insula. Results from subgroup analysis were consistent with these results.

Group comparison of nonresponders against healthy controls revealed lower GMV in the bilateral median cingulate cortex (MCC), ACC, and right SFG. There was no higher GMV in the nonresponders.

Antidepressant nonresponders vs. responders. Conjunction analysis found significant differences in the bilateral ACC and right SFG, where GMV was lower in nonresponders but higher in responders.

Jack-knife sensitivity analysis. Tables 3 and 4 show the results of whole-brain jack-knife sensitivity analysis. In responders, higher GMV in the right SFG and lower GMV in the left IFG and insula were highly replicable, being preserved throughout all 9 combinations of the datasets; higher GMV in the bilateral ACC and right gyrus rectus were significant in all but 1 combination. In nonresponders, lower GMV in bilateral MCC, ACC and right SFG were significant in all but 1 combination of the data sets.

Meta-regression analysis and publication bias analysis. We conducted meta-regression analyses to explore the association between GMV alteration and clinical data including the mean age, illness duration and the percentage of female patients in responders. Only GMV in the left insula showed positive correlation with illness duration (Fig. 2, peak MNI = -32, 16, 2 Z = 1.234, P = 0.0038, 113 voxels). However, this result should be interpreted with caution as it is driven by only three studies, and variability across studies might affect this

	Maximum			Clusters			
Brain Regions	MNI coordinates, x,y,z	SDM value	p-value	No. of voxels	Breakdown (no. of voxels)		
Responders > HC	I				I		
Right frontal orbito-polar tract	18, 30, -18	2.321	0.000055730	411	R superior frontal gyrus, orbital part, BA 11 (157)		
					R gyrus rectus, BA 11 (112)		
					R frontal orbito-polar tract (93)		
					R inferior frontal gyrus, orbital part, BA 11 (49)		
Corpus callosum	6,34,2	2.221	0.000240505	333	R anterior cingulate cortex, BA 10.11.24.25.32 (152)		
					Lanterior cingulate cortex, BA 10.11.24.25.32 (131)		
					Corpus callosum (50)		
Responders < HC							
Linsula, BA 48	-32.16.8	-1.658	0.000001013	909	Linsula, BA 45.47 (451)		
					L inferior frontal gyrus, BA 44 45 47 48 (262)		
					L superior longitudinal fasciculus III (100)		
					Left temporal pole superior temporal gyrus BA		
					48 (50)		
					Left frontal aslant tract (23)		
					Left lenticular nucleus, putamen, BA 48 (23)		
Left inferior frontal gyrus, BA 48	-36,14,26	-1.019	0.001406848	42	L inferior frontal gyrus, BA 44,48 (42)		
					L frontal inferior longitudinal fasciculus (15)		
Subgroup analysis	1	1					
Responders post-tx > HC							
Right superior frontal gyrus, BA 11	20,30,-16	2.287	0.000110447	345	R superior frontal gyrus, orbital part, BA 11,25 (149)		
					R gyrus rectus, BA 11 (82)		
					R frontal orbito-polar tract (64)		
					R inferior frontal gyrus, orbital part, BA 11 (50)		
Corpus callosum	-4 34 2	2 280	0.000156879	265	R anterior cingulate cortex BA 10 11 24 25 32 (106)		
	1,5 1,2	2.200	0.000150075	205	L anterior cingulate cortex, BA 10,11,24,25,32 (100)		
					Corpus callosum (52)		
Perpendere post tr < HC					Corpus canosum (52)		
Left superior longitudinal fasciculus III	24 20 12	1 566	0.000000208		Lincula $PA = 47(144)$		
	-54,20,12	-1.300	0.000009298		L insula, $DA 43,47(144)$		
					L interior frontai gyrus, DA 44,45,47,48 (140)		
	26 20 22	1 1 2 0	0.000241654		L superior longitudinal fasciculus III (75)		
Left frontal inferior longitudinal fasciculus	-36,20,22	-1.138	0.000341654		L inferior frontal gyrus, BA 44,48 (55)		
					Left frontal inferior longitudinal fasciculus (23)		
Nonresponders > HC	-	-	-	-	_		
Nonresponders < HC	1						
Corpus callosum	6,6,28	-2.246	0.000132143	572	R median cingulate cortex, BA 24,23 (146)		
					L median cingulate cortex, BA 24,23 (128)		
					L anterior cingulate cortex, BA 24 (97)		
					Corpus callosum (87)		
					Left median network, cingulum (65)		
					R anterior cingulate cortex, BA 24 (49)		
R superior frontal gyrus, BA 9	16,38,46	-1.899	0.000587285	152	R superior frontal gyrus, BA 8,9 (122)		
					Corpus callosum (30)		
Right superior frontal gyrus, medial, BA 10	2,48,2	-1.742	0.001101315	87	L anterior cingulate cortex, BA 10,32 (52)		
					R superior frontal gyrus, medial, BA 10 (23)		
					R anterior cingulate cortex, BA 10,32 (12)		
Responders > Nonresponders							
R anterior cingulate/paracingulate gyri	2,42,0	2.857	< 0.001	283			
L anterior cingulate/paracingulate gyri	0,30,20	1.165	< 0.001	43			
R superior frontal gyrus	4,58,4	2.024	< 0.001	744			
Responders < Nonresponders							
—	-	-	-	-			

Table 2. Regional differences in grey matter volume in antidepressant responders and nonresponders comparedwith healthy controls BA = Brodmann area; HC = healthy controls; L = left; M = middle; MNI = MontrealNeurological Institute; Post-tx = post-treatment; R = right; SDM = signed differential mapping.

analysis. Egger's test of funnel plots in the responders (Figure S2) reveals asymmetry for the left insula (p = 0.105) and IFG (p = 0.534).

Discussion

This meta-analysis is the first to analyze VBM studies in MDD antidepressant responders and nonresponders compared with healthy controls. GMV alterations in the cortico-limbic circuit, especially the prefrontal regions and ACC, were observed in both patient groups, implicating this in the intrinsic pathophysiology of major depressive disorder. However, there were notable differences between the patient groups. GMV in the bilateral ACC and right SFG was higher in responders, but lower in nonresponders. Lower GMV in the left insula and inferior frontal gyrus and higher GMV in right gyrus rectus were only observed in responders, and lower GMV in bilateral MCC was only observed in nonresponders, suggesting links to different mechanisms.

Generally, only responders showed higher GMV compared with healthy controls, while nonresponders showed broadly lower GMV. Previous neuroimaging studies have reported lower GMV in MDD^{17, 24}, and post-mortem studies have, accordingly, shown decreases in the density, number and size of neuronal and glial cells^{25, 26}. Antidepressant drugs may restore GMV in responsive patients²⁷, possibly through synaptic plasticity and altered expression of neurotrophic factors^{28, 29}. This may explain why responders showed higher GMV while nonresponders showed lower GMV.

We found GMV differences in the bilateral ACC and right SFG, which were higher in responders but lower in nonresponders. This is consistent with reports that GMV is lower in SFG and that this correlates with the severity of depression^{30, 31} and that remission of depressive symptoms is associated with higher GMV in right ACC^{32–34}, higher functional and metabolic activity in ACC^{35–37} and altered connectivity of the cingulate tracts³⁸. As the major regions in the cortical-limbic network, bilateral ACC and right SFG are involved in dysfunctional mood and emotional regulation in MDD^{39, 40}. Given that antidepressant medication influences brain structure mainly through the serotonergic system^{41, 42}, GMV in bilateral ACC and right SFG might be especially sensitive to the clinical response to pharmacotherapy. Interestingly, a PET study found that nonresponders had lower serotonin transporter binding in ACC than responders⁴³. A previous meta-analysis found the most robust grey matter reductions in a relatively focal region in rostral ACC, both in the pooled meta-analysis and in the subgroup analysis of multi-episode samples⁴⁴, but not in subgroup analysis of first-episode studies⁴⁴. A recent meta-analysis in first-episode depression also failed to find lower grey matter volume in the ACC⁴⁵. As the symptoms of first-episode patients (and in our study, responders) are relatively lighter, this might suggest that ACC abnormalities are sensitive to the severity of symptoms in depressed patients.

In responders, GMV was lower in the left insula and IFG. Consistent with this are previous reports that lower GMV in bilateral insula is correlated with severity of depression⁴⁶, and that GMV is lower in the left insula in current and remitted MDD⁴⁷. Functional neuroimaging studies in MDD patients have implicated the insular cortex in the emotional processing of guilt and sadness^{48, 49}. The lower GMV in the left insula in our study appears consistent with functional studies in MDD demonstrating lower activity in the bilateral or left-side insula^{50, 51}. Although the role of the insula in the pathophysiological processing in MDD still need be clarified, our results suggest a positive involvement in the treatment response of MDD patients, as was also observed in medication-free MDD patients in a previous meta-analysis⁵². Furthermore, meta-analysis of studies of medication-free patients with MDD has also shown lower GMV in the IFG⁵². In a longitudinal functional neuroimaging study using near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS), both untreated and remitted MDD groups showed significantly lower [oxy-Hb] activation during a verbal fluency task in the bilateral prefrontal cortices compared to HC⁵³. These findings may indicate that brain structure and function in the left insula and IFG remains impaired in remitted patients even after improvement of depressive symptoms.

The study has some limitations. First, the generalizability of the results was limited by the small sample size which combined only 5 nonresponder datasets and 9 responder datasets, which also meant that meta-regression could not be performed on the nonresponders. Second, important variables like intelligence quotient and handedness were not generally reported, precluding exploration of their impact on the results. Third, there is the potential for bias in the VBM method, whose relative insensitivity to spatially more diverse changes can lead to over-representation of group differences in regions of high anatomic variability. Fourth, the number of included studies was insufficient for an analysis of the effects of particular antidepressants or antidepressant classes. Fifth is the imprecision inherent in all methods depending on summarized coordinates, which are nevertheless necessary because published studies typically use different covariate models or raw statistics.

Conclusion

Taken together, the present findings demonstrate structural grey matter differences in regions involved in cortico-limbic networks in MDD patients. GMV of the bilateral ACC and right SFG was lower in nonresponders, but higher in the responders, suggesting that this might provide biomarkers associated with antidepressant response and prolonged remission. Furthermore, lower GMV in the left insula and IFG was only present in responders. Longitudinal studies will need to investigate the dynamic effect of antidepressant medication, and for a better understanding of the underlying cause of these GMV alterations. In particular, this study adds to Psychoradiology (https://radiopaedia.org/articles/psychoradiology), an evolving subspecialty of radiology, which is primed to play a major clinical role in guiding diagnostic and therapeutic decisions in patients with mental disorders^{54, 55}.

Methods

Inclusion criteria. We followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines (PRISMA)⁵⁶. We searched for recent studies published in Pubmed, Embase and Web of Science up



Figure 1. Regional differences in grey matter volume in antidepressant responders and nonresponders vs. healthy controls and antidepressant nonresponders vs. responders by meta-analysis. The figure shows areas of lower (blue) and higher (red) grey matter volumes in (A) responders and (B) nonresponders compared with healthy controls, and nonresponders compared with responders (C). Abbreviation: ACC = anterior cingulate cortex; B = bilateral; IFG = inferior frontal gyrus; L = left; MCC = median cingulate cortex; R = right; SFG = superior frontal gyrus.

to June 2016, using the keywords 'depressive disorder' or 'unipolar depression' or 'depression' or 'depress*' plus 'VBM' or 'voxel-based morphometry' or 'voxel' or 'morphometry'. We also checked the reference lists of those articles for further researches.

Studies were included according to the following criteria: (1) a group of participants diagnosed as having MDD based on DSM criteria were compared with healthy controls; (2) VBM was used to analyze grey matter alteration in MDD patients; (3) coordinates were reported in a standard space like the Talairach space or the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space; (4) the nonresponder group was defined as showing < 50% reduction in the 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS-17) total score (or Beck Depression Inventory or Montgomery and Åsberg Depression Rating Scale), and the responder group was defined as \geq 50% reduction in the same scale, after treatment at a sufficient dose for 6 weeks.

Studies were excluded if they met the following criteria: (1) MDD patients were not compared with healthy controls; (2) coordinates were not clearly reported; (3) VBM was not used; (4) comorbid panic disorder was not excluded; (5) late-onset MDD patients or adolescents with MDD were enrolled.

Study selection. Two investigators independently examined abstracts from the initial search, and disagreements were discussed with a third author to reach a consensus. Authors were blinded to the articles' authors, their

	Higher	grey mat	Lower grey matter				
Studies	R SFG	R GR	RIFG	RACC	LACC	L IFG	L insula
Fang, J. et al. ¹⁰	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Jung, J. et al. ¹¹	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Klauser P. et al. ⁴	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Kong, L. et al. ¹⁹	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Li, C.T. <i>et al</i> . ¹²	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Liu, et al. ²¹	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Ma C. <i>et al.</i> ²⁰	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Salvadore, G. et al. ²²	Y	N	N	N	Ν	Y	Y
Serra-Blasco, M. et al.9	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Table 3. Sensitivity analyses of voxel-based morphometric studies of grey matter in antidepressant responders compared with healthy controls. ACC = anterior cingulate cortex; GR = gyrus rectus; IFG = inferior frontal gyrus; L = left; r = right; SFG = superior frontal gyrus.

	Lower grey matter							
Studies	LMCC LACC		R MCC	RACC	R SFG			
Jung, J. et al. ¹¹	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Li, C. <i>et al</i> . ¹²	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Ma C et al. ²⁰	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			
Machino, A. et al.8	Ν	N	Ν	N	N			
Serra-Blasco, M. et al.9	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			

Table 4. Sensitivity analyses of voxel-based morphometric studies of grey matter in antidepressant nonresponders compared with healthy controls. ACC = anterior cingulate cortex; HG = Heschl gyrus; IFG = inferior frontal gyrus; L = left; LG = lingual gyrus; MCC = median cingulate cortex; SFG = superior frontal gyrus.



Figure 2. Association of grey matter volume in insula with illness duration in antidepressant responders compared with healthy controls, by meta-regression analysis.

institutions and the source of funding in order to minimize potential bias. The full texts of studies thought to fulfill the inclusion criteria were assessed in detail to confirm eligibility.

Data extraction. Two authors independently extracted data. Differences were resolved by discussion among the review authors. The following data were collected: first author's name, year of publication, details of study design, patient characteristics (including gender, age, illness duration, and disease severity at baseline), sample size, agent dose, duration of treatment and changes in VBM. From each included study we chose the statistically significant peak coordinates of GMV differences resulting from whole brain analysis.

Quality assessment. Based on previous studies^{35, 57, 58}, the included studies were assessed for quality using a 13-point checklist, including clinical and demographic aspects as well as the imaging methodology. Two authors independently reviewed each paper and assigned a completeness rating for the following items: the quality of the diagnostic procedures, the demographic and clinical characterization, the sample size, the MRI acquisition parameters, the analysis technique and the quality of the reported results (see the Supplement, Table S1). Differences were resolved by discussion among the review authors and a consensus score was assigned, as presented in Table 1.

Statistical Analysis. First, independent voxel-wise effects meta-analyses were conducted to investigate regional GMV differences within both responsive and non-responsive groups relative to controls. Second, subgroup analysis in responsive group was performed to investigate the GMV change after antidepressant treatment. Third, conjunction analysis was conducted to identify distinct brain regions where non-responders and responders differed from healthy controls; this used a multimodal analysis to compare the results from two independent meta-analysis^{17, 59}. The AES-SDM method has been described in detail elsewhere¹⁵, and we only describe it briefly here. First, the peak coordinates of the brain regions that were significantly different at the whole-brain level were selected. To avoid a potential bias toward liberally thresholded regions, we checked all the included studies to ensure that the same threshold was used throughout the brain. Second, we separately recreated a standard Talairach map of the differences in grey matter for each study by using a Gaussian kernel. The recreation of the peak coordinates was based on converting the peak t value to Hedges' effect size, and then applying a non-normalized Gaussian kernel to the voxels near the peak, which assigns higher values to the voxels closer to peaks. For null findings in the studies, the recreation was done with the same effect size, and all voxels in the effect size map were estimated to have a null effect size, which was the only difference. Similar to other effect sizes, the null effect size was also included in the random-effects meta-analytic models, thus modifying the meta-analytic effect size. Third, the mean of the study maps were analyzed using a voxel-wise calculation to generate a mean map, and this calculation was weighted by the square root of the sample size of each study, so a study with a larger sample size would contribute more. Finally, we used standard randomization tests to determine statistical significance, hence creating null distributions from which p values were directly obtained. The default AES-SDM kernel size and thresholds were used (full-width at half-maximum = 20 mm, voxel p = 0.005, peak height Z = 1, cluster extent = 10 voxels).

Reliability analysis. The reliability of results was examined by using Jack-knife sensitivity analysis. The sensitivity analysis was repeated 5 times for nonresponder groups and 9 times for responder groups, to find highly replicable brain regions which were preserved throughout most combinations of the datasets.

Meta-regression analysis and publication bias analysis. Meta-regression was used to explore which of the following moderator variables might be responsible for heterogeneity of the findings: mean age of patients, illness duration, and the percentage of female patients. In the absence of consistent depression-scale data, meta-regression to depression symptom severity was not feasible. The probability threshold was reduced to 0.0005 to decrease the finding of spurious associations as less as possible, and regions which are not in the main analysis were ignored. Effect size estimates of the significant clusters were extracted to examine publication bias by using Egger's test and funnel plots.

References

- 1. Vos, T., Barber, R. M. & Bell, B. *et al.* Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet (London, England).* **386**, 743–800 (2015).
- Cleare, A., Pariante, C. M. & Young, A. H. *et al.* Evidence-based guidelines for treating depressive disorders with antidepressants: A revision of the 2008 British Association for Psychopharmacology guidelines. *Journal of Psychopharmacology (Oxford, England).* 29, 459–525 (2015).
- 3. Dichter, G. S., Gibbs, D. & Smoski, M. J. A systematic review of relations between resting-state functional-MRI and treatment response in major depressive disorder. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. **172**, 8–17 (2015).
- Klauser, P., Fornito, A. & Lorenzetti, V. et al. Cortico-limbic network abnormalities in individuals with current and past major depressive disorder. Journal of Affective Disorders. 173, 45–52 (2015).
- Tundo, A., de Filippis, R. & Proietti, L. Pharmacologic approaches to treatment resistant depression: Evidences and personal experience. World Journal of Psychiatry: 5, 330–41 (2015).
- 6. Fava, M. Diagnosis and definition of treatment-resistant depression. Biological Psychiatry. 53, 649-59 (2003).
- Stimpson, N., Agrawal, N. & Lewis, G. Randomised controlled trials investigating pharmacological and psychological interventions for treatment-refractory depression. Systematic review. British Journal of Psychiatry. 181, 284–94 (2002).
- Machino, A., Kunisato, Y. & Matsumoto, T. et al. Possible involvement of rumination in gray matter abnormalities in persistent symptoms of major depression: an exploratory magnetic resonance imaging voxel-based morphometry study. Journal of Affective Disorders. 168, 229–35 (2014).
- Serra-Blasco, M., Portella, M. J. & Gomez-Anson, B. et al. Effects of illness duration and treatment resistance on grey matter abnormalities in major depression. The British Journal of Psychiatry. 202, 434–40 (2013).
- Dusi, N., Barlati, S., Vita, A. & Brambilla, P. Brain Structural Effects of Antidepressant Treatment in Major Depression. Current Neuropharmacoogy. 13, 458–65 (2015).
- 11. Fang, J. *et al.* Functional and anatomical brain abnormalities and effects of antidepressant in major depressive disorder: combined application of voxel-based morphometry and amplitude of frequency fluctuation in resting state. *Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography.* **39**, 766–73 (2015).
- Jung, J., Kang, J. & Won, E. et al. Impact of lingual gyrus volume on antidepressant response and neurocognitive functions in Major Depressive Disorder: a voxel-based morphometry study. Journal of Affective Disorders. 169, 179–87 (2014).
- Li, C. T., Lin, C. P. & Chou, K. H. et al. Structural and cognitive deficits in remitting and non-remitting recurrent depression: a voxelbased morphometric study. NeuroImage, 50, 347–56 (2010).
- 14. Ashburner, J. & Friston, K. J. Voxel-based morphometry-the methods. NeuroImage. 11, 805-21 (2000).

- 15. Radua, J. et al. Anisotropic kernels for coordinate-based meta-analyses of neuroimaging studies. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 5, 13 (2014).
- Wise, T. et al. Voxel-Based Meta-Analytical Evidence of Structural Disconnectivity in Major Depression and Bipolar Disorder. Biological Psychiatry. 79, 293–302 (2016).
- 17. Wise, T. et al. Common and distinct patterns of grey-matter volume alteration in major depression and bipolar disorder: evidence from voxel-based meta-analysis. *Molecular Psychiatry*, doi:10.1038/mp.2016.72 (2016).
- Dai, Z., Zhong, J. & Xiao, P. et al. Gray matter correlates of migraine and gender effect: A meta-analysis of voxel-based morphometry studies. Neuroscience. 299, 88–96 (2015).
- Zhong, J., Pan, P., Dai, Z. & Shi, H. Voxelwise meta-analysis of gray matter abnormalities in dementia with Lewy bodies. European Journal of Radiology. 83, 1870–4 (2014).
- Kong, L., Wu, F. & Tang, Y. et al. Frontal-subcortical volumetric deficits in single episode, medication-naive depressed patients and the effects of 8 weeks fluoxetine treatment: a VBM-DARTEL study. PloS ONE. 9, e79055 (2014).
- 21. Ma, C., Ding, J. & Li, J. *et al.* Resting-state functional connectivity bias of middle temporal gyrus and caudate with altered gray matter volume in major depression. *PloS ONE.* **7**, e45263 (2012).
- Liu, C. H., Jing, B. & Ma, X. et al. Voxel-based morphometry study of the insular cortex in female patients with current and remitted depression. Neuroscience. 262, 190–199 (2014).
- Salvadore, G., Nugent, A. C. & Lemaitre, H. et al. Prefrontal cortical abnormalities in currently depressed versus currently remitted patients with major depressive disorder. NeuroImage. 54, 2643–51 (2011).
- Du, M. Y., Wu, Q. Z. & Yue, Q. et al. Voxelwise meta-analysis of gray matter reduction in major depressive disorder. Progress in Neuro-psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry. 36, 11–6 (2012).
- Rajkowska, G., Miguel-Hidalgo, J. J. & Wei, J. R. et al. Morphometric evidence for neuronal and glial prefrontal cell pathology in major depression. Biological Psychiatry. 45, 1085–98 (1999).
- 26. Rajkowska, G. Postmortem studies in mood disorders indicate altered numbers of neurons and glial cells. *Biological Psychiatry*. 48, 766–77 (2000).
- 27. Gold, P. W., Drevets, W. C. & Charney, D. S. New insights into the role of cortisol and the glucocorticoid receptor in severe depression. *Biological Psychiatry.* 52, 381–5 (2002).
- Santarelli, L. & Saxe, M. D. Substance P antagonists: Meet the new drugs, same as the old drugs? Insights from transgenic animals models. CNS Spectrums. 8, 589–96 (2003).
- 29. Manji, H. K. & Duman, R. S. Impairments of neuroplasticity and cellular resilience in severe mood disorders: implications for the development of novel therapeutics. *Psychopharmacology Bulletin.* **35**, 5–49 (2001).
- Mwangi, B., Matthews, K. & Steele, J. D. Prediction of illness severity in patients with major depression using structural MR brain scans. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging. 35, 64–71 (2012).
- Koolschijn PCMP, van H NEM, L-M GJLM, Pol HEH, Kahn RS. Brain volume abnormalities in major depressive disorder: a metaanalysis of magnetic resonance imaging studies. *Human Brain Mapping.* 30, 3719–3735 (2009).
- 32. Phillips, J. L., Batten, L. A., Tremblay, P., Aldosary, F. & Blier, P. A prospective, longitudinal study of the effect of remission on cortical thickness and hippocampal volume in patients with treatment-resistant depression. *International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology*. 30(18), 8 (2015).
- Costafreda, S. G., Chu, C., Ashburner, J. & Fu, C. H. Y. Prognostic and diagnostic potential of the structural neuroanatomy of depression. *PloS ONE*. 27, 4(7):e6353 (2009).
- Frodl, T., Koutsouleris, N. & Bottlender, R. et al. Reduced gray matter brain volumes are associated with variants of the serotonin transporter gene in major depression. *Molecular Psychiatry*. 13, 1093–101 (2008).
- Chen, Z. Q., Du, M. Y. & Zhao, Y. J. et al. Voxel-wise meta-analyses of brain blood flow and local synchrony abnormalities in medication-free patients with major depressive disorder. Journal of Psychiatry & Neuroscience. 40, 401–11 (2015).
- Fu, C. H. Y., Steiner, H. & Costafreda, S. G. Predictive neural biomarkers of clinical response in depression: A meta-analysis of functional and structural neuroimaging studies of pharmacological and psychological therapies. *Neurobiology of Disease*. 52, 75–83 (2013).
- Pizzagalli, D. A. Frontocingulate Dysfunction in Depression: Toward Biomarkers of Treatment Response. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 36, 183–206 (2011).
- Korgaonkar, M. S., Williams, L. M., Song, Y. J., Usherwood, T. & Grieve, S. M. Diffusion tensor imaging predictors of treatment outcomes in major depressive disorder. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 205, 321–8 (2014).
- 39. Drevets, W. C. & Savitz, J. The Subgenual Anterior Cingulate Cortex in Mood Disorders. CNS spectrums. 13, 663-81 (2008).
- Mayberg, H. S. Modulating dysfunctional limbic-cortical circuits in depression: towards development of brain-based algorithms for diagnosis and optimised treatment. *British Medical Bulletin.* 65, 193 (2003).
- Best, J., Nijhout, H. F. & Reed, M. Bursts and the Efficacy of Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors. *Pharmacopsychiatry*. 44, S76–S83 (2011).
- Spies, M., Knudsen, G. M., Lanzenberger, R. & Kasper, S. The serotonin transporter in psychiatric disorders: insights from PET imaging. *The Lancet Psychiatry*. 2, 743–55 (2015).
- Miller, J. M., Oquendo, M. A., Ogden, R. T., Mann, J. J. & Parsey, R. V. Serotonin transporter binding as a possible predictor of oneyear remission in major depressive disorder. *Journal of Psychiatric Research.* 42, 1137–44 (2008).
- Bora, E., Fornito, A., Pantelis, C. & Yucel, M. Gray matter abnormalities in Major Depressive Disorder: a meta-analysis of voxel based morphometry studies. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 138, 9–18 (2012).
- 45. Zhang, H. W. et al. Brain gray matter alterations in first episodes of depression: A meta-analysis of whole-brain studies. Scientific Reports. 60, 43-50 (2016).
- Sprengelmeyer, R., Steele, J. D. & Mwangi, B. et al. The insular cortex and the neuroanatomy of major depression. Journal of Affective Disorders. 133, 120–7 (2011).
- Takahashi, T., Yucel, M. & Lorenzetti, V. et al. Volumetric MRI study of the insular cortex in individuals with current and past major depression. Journal of Affective Disorders. 121, 231–8 (2010).
- Kennedy, S. E., Koeppe, R. A., Young, E. A. & Zubieta, J. K. Dysregulation of endogenous opioid emotion regulation circuitry in major depression in women. Archives of General Psychiatry. 63, 1199–208 (2006).
- Liotti, M., Mayberg, H. S., McGinnis, S., Brannan, S. L. & Jerabek, P. Unmasking disease-specific cerebral blood flow abnormalities: Mood challenge in patients with remitted unipolar depression. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 159, 1830–40 (2002).
- Mayberg, H. S., Brannan, S. K. & Tekell, J. L. et al. Regional metabolic effects of fluoxetine in major depression: Serial changes and relationship to clinical response. *Biological Psychiatry*. 48, 830–43 (2000).
- Kennedy, S. H., Evans, K. R. & Kruger, S. et al. Changes in regional brain glucose metabolism measured with positron emission tomography after paroxetine treatment of major depression. American Journal of Psychiatry. 158, 899–905 (2001).
- Zhao, Y. J., Du, M. Y. & Huang, X. Q. et al. Brain grey matter abnormalities in medication-free patients with major depressive disorder: a meta-analysis. Psychological Medicine. 44, 2927–37 (2014).
- Tomioka, H., Yamagata, B. & Kawasaki, S. *et al.* A longitudinal functional neuroimaging study in medication-naive depression after antidepressant treatment. *PLoS ONE*. 18(10(3)), e0120828 (2015).
- 54 Lui, S., Zhou, X. J., Sweeney, J. A. & Gong, G. Psychoradiology: The Frontier of Neuroimaging in Psychiatry. Radiology 281(2), 357–372 (2016).
- 55 Kressel, H. Y. Setting Sail: 2017. Radiology 282(1), 4-6 (2017).

- Moher, D., Shamseer, L. & Clarke, M. et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Systematic Reviews. 4, 1 (2015).
- Shepherd, A. M., Laurens, K. R., Matheson, S. L., Carr, V. J. & Green, M. J. Systematic meta-review and quality assessment of the structural brain alterations in schizophrenia. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews.* 36, 1342–56 (2012).
- Shea, B. J., Hamel, C. & Wells, G. A. et al. AMSTAR is a reliable and valid measurement tool to assess the methodological quality of systematic reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. 62, 1013–20 (2009).
- Radua, J., van den Heuvel, O. A., Surguladze, S. & Mataix-Cols, D. Meta-analytical comparison of voxel-based morphometry studies in obsessive-compulsive disorder vs other anxiety disorders. Archives of General Psychiatry. 67, 701–11 (2010).

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. 81371536 and 81220108013) and Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University (PCSIRT, Grant No. IRT16R52) of China. Dr. Gong would also like to acknowledge the support from his Changjiang Scholar Professorship Award (Award No. T2014190) of China and the CMB Distinguished Professorship Award (Award No. F510000/G16916411) of USA.

Author Contributions

Hongyan Zhu designed the study. Jia Liu and Xin Xu acquired the data, which all authors analyzed and interpreted, Jia Liu, Xin Xu, Graham J. Kemp and Hongyan Zhu wrote the article, which Qiang Luo, Ya Luo, Ying Chen, Su Lui, Min Wu, Qiyong Gong reviewed. All authors approved the final version for publication.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at doi:10.1038/s41598-017-10676-5

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2017