

LONDON
SCHOOL of
HYGIENE
& TROPICAL
MEDICINE



Doyle, P; Brown, A; Beral, V; Reeves, G; Green, J (2012) Incidence of and risk factors for Motor Neurone Disease in UK women: a prospective study. *BMC neurology*, 12 (1). p. 25. ISSN 1471-2377 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2377-12-25>

Downloaded from: <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/30674/>

DOI: [10.1186/1471-2377-12-25](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2377-12-25)

Usage Guidelines

Please refer to usage guidelines at <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/>

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Incidence of and risk factors for Motor Neurone Disease in UK women: a prospective study

Pat Doyle^{1*}, Anna Brown², Valerie Beral², Gillian Reeves² and Jane Green²

Abstract

Background: Motor neuron disease (MND) is a severe neurodegenerative disease with largely unknown etiology. Most epidemiological studies are hampered by small sample sizes and/or the retrospective collection of information on behavioural and lifestyle factors.

Methods: 1.3 million women from the UK Million Women Study, aged 56 years on average at recruitment, were followed up for incident and/or fatal MND using NHS hospital admission and mortality data. Adjusted relative risks were calculated using Cox regression models.

Findings: During follow-up for an average of 9.2 years, 752 women had a new diagnosis of MND. Age-specific rates increased with age, from 1.9 (95% CI 1.3 – 2.7) to 12.5 (95% CI 10.2 – 15.3) per 100,000 women aged 50–54 to 70–74, respectively, giving a cumulative risk of diagnosis with the disease of 1.74 per 1000 women between the ages of 50 and 75 years. There was no significant variation in risk of MND with region of residence, socio-economic status, education, height, alcohol use, parity, use of oral contraceptives or hormone replacement therapy. Ever-smokers had about a 20% greater risk than never smokers (RR 1.19 95% CI 1.02 to 1.38, $p = 0.03$). There was a statistically significant reduction in risk of MND with increasing body mass index ($p_{\text{for trend}} = 0.009$): obese women (body mass index, 30 kg/m² or more) had a 20% lower risk than women of normal body mass index (20 to <25 Kg/m²) (RR 0.78 95% CI 0.65–0.94; $p = 0.03$). This effect persisted after exclusion of the first three years of follow-up.

Interpretation: MND incidence in UK women rises rapidly with age, and an estimated 1 in 575 women are likely to be affected between the ages of 50 and 75 years. Smoking slightly increases the risk of MND, and adiposity in middle age is associated with a lower risk of the disease.

Keywords: Motor Neurone Disease, UK, Epidemiology, Incidence, Risk Factors, Smoking, Body Mass Index

Background

Motor neurone disease (MND) is a severe neurodegenerative disorder of the human motor system, characterised by the gradual death of upper and lower motor neurones and a resulting loss of motor function[1]. Incidence is extremely low below the age of 50, and rises thereafter, with lower rates in women than in men[2-4].

Little is known about the aetiology of MND. Around five to ten percent of cases are thought to be familial, and to date around 13 susceptibility genes and loci have been identified [5]. Few environmental risk factors have

been identified, possibly reflecting the difficulties encountered in epidemiological studies of including sufficiently large numbers of cases and, in retrospective studies, knowing whether reported behaviours and exposures had changed as a result of early symptoms of the disease. The only factor for which there is some evidence of an association with MND risk is smoking[6-9]. This study makes use of a large cohort of 1.3 million UK women aged 56 years, on average, at the time of recruitment, with prospectively collected exposure information and with complete follow-up for almost 10 years to obtain incident cases of MND.

Methods

Study population

The Million Women Study (MWS) is a prospective cohort study of 1.3 million women who were recruited

* Correspondence: pat.doyle@lshtm.ac.uk

¹Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, WC1E 7HT, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

through National Health Service (NHS) breast screening centres in England and Scotland from 1996 to 2001[10]. At recruitment, women completed a questionnaire (available at www.millionwomenstudy.org) asking about height, weight, alcohol consumption, and smoking, as well as socio-demographic details, reproductive history, and medical history. Height and weight were also directly measured in a randomly selected subsample of 2772 study participants [11]. Permission to conduct the study was granted by the Anglia and Oxford Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee.

Study participants are followed up for cause-specific hospital admissions and deaths through linkage to centrally held computerised health records using their NHS number (a unique identifier) and other identifiers including date of birth and sex [10]. These linked records include the NHS central registries for deaths, cancers, and emigrations; the hospital episodes statistics (HES) in England [12]; and the Scottish morbidity records (SMR) in Scotland [13]. The NHS central registries hold records of all registered deaths, including the cause of death and the date of death. The hospital admission databases contain a record of all NHS inpatient admissions from April 1997 in England and January 1981 in Scotland. The cause of death and diagnoses on admission to hospital were coded by using ICD-10 (the international classification of diseases, version 10). All study participants gave signed consent to be included.

MND ascertainment and validation

According to the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10) the code G12.2 is the generic code for MND, and includes diagnoses of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, MND, progressive bulbar palsy, primary lateral sclerosis, and progressive muscular atrophy [14]. We classified women as having motor neuron disease (MND) if, during follow-up, they had (i) a hospital admission record with an ICD10 code of G12.2 and/or (ii) a death registration with any mention of ICD10 code G12.2.

During our investigations for this report we discovered an error in the WHO ICD-10 alphabetic coding index (Volume 3, page 429), where the code for Progressive Supranuclear Palsy was mistakenly given as code G12.2. Progressive Supranuclear Palsy is a degenerative disease of the basal ganglia and not a form of motor neurone disease (the correct ICD-10 code is G23.1, as given in Volume 1). We therefore reviewed the written text on all death certificates with a code G12.2. If the text on the death certificate mentioned Progressive Supranuclear Palsy, this was taken to indicate that the woman did not have MND, and that individual was excluded from the analysis. Overall, 8% of women who died with a code G12.2 on their death certificate were excluded as a result of this process.

For women with a G12.2 hospital admission record and who did not die in the follow-up period, we were unable to examine text (to exclude any remaining Progressive Supranuclear Palsy events) because written records were not available to us. A validation study was carried out to investigate such cases. Letters were sent to the General practitioners of a random sample of 89 MND cases ascertained from the HES dataset. General practitioners were asked to confirm the MND diagnosis, or provide information on alternative diagnoses, from medical notes. Sixty eight (76%) replied and 65 provided information about diseases in these women, among whom 91% (59) confirmed a diagnosis of MND. The remaining 9% (6) included 3% (2) with a diagnosis of Progressive Supranuclear Palsy, 5% (3) with another neurodegenerative disease, and one with colon cancer.

Other data definitions

Address at recruitment was used to assign each woman to one of 4 regions in England and Scotland, roughly south to north: South West/Thames/Oxford/East Anglia; West Midlands/Trent; North West/North Yorkshire; Scotland. Socio-economic status was assessed using Townsend's deprivation index, based on postcode of residence at the time of recruitment, and was categorized into quintiles[15]. Education was coded according the highest level of qualification achieved: college/university or equivalent; nursing/teaching or equivalent; A level; O level; none of these. BMI was calculated using height and weight reported at recruitment and participants were categorized as: underweight ($<20 \text{ kg/m}^2$); normal ($20- < 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$); overweight ($25- < 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$); obese class I ($30- < 35 \text{ kg/m}^2$); and obese class II & III ($35+ \text{ kg/m}^2$). Alcohol use reported at recruitment was recorded as units consumed on average per week: none; <7 ; 7-14; 15 or more units per week. Smoking was also recorded at the time of recruitment and women were categorized as never smoker or ever smoker, the latter category being further split into current and past smoker. Parity was coded as nulliparous or parous, use of oral contraceptives as ever or never, and hormone replacement therapy (HRT) as never, past and current at time of recruitment.

Analysis

Participants were excluded from analyses if they had a hospital admission record of MND before recruitment, or had a diagnosis of cancer (except non-melanoma skin cancer, ICD-10 C44) registered before recruitment. Woman-years were calculated from the date of recruitment to the date of hospital admission with MND, the date of death, emigration or the last date of follow-up, whichever came first. For analyses of MND incidence the

last date of follow up was 31st March 2008 for England and 31st December 2008 for Scotland. For a small proportion (5%) of women recruited in England before 1 April 1997, we calculated person years from this date as hospital records were not available in England before this time.

Age-specific incidence rates were calculated as the number of new MND cases identified in the cohort divided by the person years of follow-up within 5 year age groups. Age-specific rates were compared to those reported in two recent publications from UK and Europe [3,4]. Crude cumulative risk for MND was estimated by summation of age-specific rates over the age range 50 to 74. This estimates the probability of MND up to age 75 in a hypothetical cohort who did not have MND at age 50.

Cox regression models were applied using the STATA computing package [16], taking attained age as the underlying time variable to obtain relative risk (RR) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals for socio-demographic, reproductive and behavioral factors, and BMI. Analyses were adjusted for year of birth (three groups), region, deprivation, smoking, alcohol use, HRT use, and BMI. When more than two categories were used in risk comparisons, results are presented as relative risk with corresponding floated confidence interval [17]. Analyses of trends in risk of MND by BMI at recruitment were carried out using mean self-reported BMI within the 5 BMI categories. This analysis was repeated using measured mean BMI (from the subsample [11]) within the five BMI categories.

To investigate the possibility of women having prodromal MND at the start of follow-up, all analyses were repeated using a lagging period of 36 months: follow up for each woman in the cohort was started 36 months after the date of recruitment.

Results

A total of 1,319,360 women were followed up for an average of 9.24 years per woman. During 12 million woman-years of follow up, 752 women had a new diagnosis of MND: 695 had one or more hospital admission with MND, and 547 had MND recorded on their death certificate. The majority of women (65%) had MND recorded both at hospital admission and on their death certificate, and for only 8% (56) of women was death certification the only source of information about MND (Table 1). Ninety-one percent of a random sample of cases identified via hospital admission records had their diagnosis confirmed by their general practitioners.

Age-specific rates increased markedly with age, from 1.90 per 100,000 for women aged 50–54 to 12.5 per 100,000 for women aged 70–74 (Figure 1). The rate for women aged 75–79 was 17.4 per 100,000, based on only

Table 1 Source of information for incident MND cases in the study population

	Incident MND cases
Hospital admission data and death certificate	490 (65%)
Hospital admissions data only*	205 (27%)
Death Certificate only (any mention)**	57 (8%)
- underlying cause of death	54[95%]
- contributing cause of death	3 [5%]
Total cases	752 (100%)

*To 31st March 2008 for England, 31st December 2008 for Scotland.

** To end December 2008.

17 cases. The estimated cumulative risk of MND between 50 and 74 years of age was 1.74 per 1000, equivalent to one in 575 women developing the condition over this 25 year period.

There was no significant trend in risk of MND with socio-economic status, education, parity, height, or alcohol use (Figure 2). Neither was there a significant association with use of oral contraceptives or hormone replacement therapy. Rates in Scotland were higher than the South of England but the confidence interval spanned one. There was no evidence of variation in risk by birth cohort. Having ever smoked was associated with a 20% increased risk (RR 1.19 95% CI 1.02-1.38, $p = 0.026$) compared to never smokers, and there was no difference in the increased risk between past and current smokers (Figure 2). Among current smokers the relative risks did not differ between those who smoked less than 15 cigarettes per day and those who smoked 15 or more cigarettes per day (RRs 1.20, 95% CI 0.94-1.55, versus 1.11, 95% CI 0.5-1.47).

There was a clear trend of a reduction in risk of MND with increasing BMI (Table 2, $p_{\text{for trend}} = 0.009$). Women classified as underweight at recruitment had 14% increased MND risk compared with those of normal BMI at recruitment, with a trend of decreasing risk as the obesity level increased. Women in the highest BMI category (BMI class II and III) at recruitment had a 30% reduction in risk compared with women with normal BMI at recruitment. Using means of self-reported BMI at recruitment, there was an estimated 2.3% reduction in risk of MND for each unit increase in BMI (RR per $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2 = 0.98$, 95% CI 0.96 to 0.99, p for trend 0.01). Results were very similar when measured mean BMI from the validation sub-sample was used to estimate the mean BMI within each BMI category (RR per $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2 = 0.98$, 95% CI 0.96 to 0.99, p for trend = 0.011). The lagged analysis, excluding the first 3 years of follow-up, showed a similar trend of decreasing MND risk with increasing BMI, (RR per $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2 = 0.97$, 95% CI 0.95 to 0.99, p for trend = 0.006). Around one third of woman-years in all the BMI groups were accrued in the first 3 years

Table 2 Relative risk of MND according to categories of BMI: Main analysis including all data and lagged analysis, excluding the first three years of follow-up

BMI at recruitment Kg/m ²	Main analysis			Excluding the first 3 years of follow-up		
	Number cases	Woman-year 1000 s	Adjusted Relative risk * (95% CI)	Number cases	Woman-year 1000 s	Adjusted Relative risk * (95% CI)
Underweight <20.0	32	431	1.14 (0.79-1.65)	26	286	1.14 (0.76-1.71)
Normal 20.0 to <25.0	318	4930	1.00 (0.89-1.12)	262	3296	1.00 (0.88-1.13)
Overweight 25.0 - < 30.0	245	4134	0.87 (0.74-1.03)	183	2757	0.80 (0.66-0.96)
Obese class I 30.0 - < 35.0	81	1462	0.82 (0.64-1.04)	68	972	0.84 (0.64-1.10)
Obese Class II & III 35.0 +	28	594	0.73 (0.49-1.07)	19	393	0.61 (0.38-0.97)

*Adjusted for region, deprivation, year of birth, use of hormone replacement therapy, smoking and alcohol use. ** 0.01 < p < 0.05.


following recruitment. The proportion of MND cases incident in the first three years following recruitment were: 18% for cases underweight at recruitment; 17% for cases with normal BMI at recruitment; 25% for cases overweight at recruitment; 16% for cases who were obese class I at recruitment; and 32% for cases who were obese class II&III at recruitment.

Discussion

The size and prospective nature of the Million Women Study are significant strengths of this study. MND has a relatively insidious onset so some behavior change may

result from symptoms, making ascertainment of cases after the prospective collection of risk factor information critical. Case ascertainment using both hospital admission and death certification sources, combined with a very high level of diagnostic validation from general practioner records, adds to the study quality. And analyses excluding cases diagnosed in the first 3 years after recruitment provide further confidence in the robustness of the findings.


Prospective follow-up identified 752 MND cases incident during 9 years of follow-up (mostly in 1997–2008), making this the largest and most up-to-date study of



Age of woman:	50-54	55-59	60-64	65-69	70-74
MND cases:	31	151	221	238	94
WYS*(1000's):	1,635.7	3,724.3	3,635.2	2,343.0	750.1
Rate per 10 ⁵ (95% CI)	1.9(1.3-2.7)	4.1(3.5-4.8)	6.1(5.3-6.9)	10.2(8.9-11.5)	12.5(10.2-15.3)

* Women-years

Figure 1 Age-specific incidence rates of MND in the Million Women Study Cohort. Age of woman: 50–54 55–59 60–64 65–69 70–74. MND cases: 31 151 221 238 94. WYS*(1000's): 1,635.7 3,724.3 3,635.2 2,343.0 750.1. Rate per 10⁵(95% CI) 1.9(1.3-2.7) 4.1(3.5-4.8) 6.1(5.3-6.9) 10.2(8.9-11.5) 12.5(10.2-15.3). * Women-years.



* Adjusted for region, socioeconomic status, year of birth, BMI, use of hormone replacement therapy, smoking and alcohol use, as appropriate.

Figure 2 Adjusted* rate ratios of MND according to socio-demographic, reproductive and behavioural characteristics of women at the time of recruitment.

incident MND cases in women yet reported in the UK. Incidence rates rose steadily with age giving a cumulative risk of 1 in 575 women developing the disease in the 25 years from age 50 to 75 years. Rates between 50 and 64 years were similar to recent population-based estimates reported from UK and Europe [3,4], and rates after age 65 were somewhat higher. There is some evidence of a continuing increase in MND mortality rates over time since 1950[18-20], but no differences by birth cohort were observed here or in another UK study which spanned 15 years [4].

A recent review paper concluded that it was valid for epidemiological studies to use MND mortality data to estimate MND incidence within regions [21]. In the course of our investigations we discovered that 8% of the ICD-10 code G12.2 on death certificates erroneously included Progressive Supranuclear Palsy. However the proportion of death certificates coded to G12.2 with text indicating Progressive Supranuclear Palsy is not sufficiently large to invalidate analyses of trends in MND based on death certificates.

We did not find any association between MND and socio-economic status, education level, parity, height, or use of alcohol, oral contraceptives or HRT. This is the first time many of these factors have been investigated in MND research. The null findings are unlikely to be the result of low statistical power since this study was large and well powered to identify moderate associations should they be present.

We found a highly significant trend of decreasing risk with increasing BMI at recruitment, an observation which has not been reported before. Reverse causality, whereby those with undiagnosed disease at recruitment lose weight because of the condition, is a possible explanation for this observation. However some evidence here argues against this. The association remained the same in the lagged analysis which excluded all incident cases in the first three years after recruitment. The median time between onset of first symptoms and MND diagnosis is estimated to be around 12 months [22], so lagging analyses by 3 years should have allowed for the presence of undiagnosed disease at recruitment, at least to some extent. The cases diagnosed in the first three years following recruitment were not clustered disproportionately in the lower BMI groups, as would be expected if there was prodromal disease present at recruitment. Other possible methodological explanations include confounding and bias resulting from misclassification of BMI. Uncontrolled confounding is unlikely since so few risk factors for MND have been identified. With regards misclassification, BMI in the cohort was based on self reported height and weight, but comparisons with measured height and weight in this cohort, have shown that the effect of measurement error is small [23]. Also, we

found similar trends from BMI trend analyses using average measured and reported category-specific BMI.

Ever smoking was associated with a modest 20% increased risk of MND compared to never-smokers. Among current smokers, relative risks did not differ by the amount smoked. A review of publications between 1990 and 2009 identified four good quality papers which were concordant for an effect of smoking on risk of ALS [6,7]. The author concluded that the level of evidence was sufficient for smoking to be considered an established risk factor for sporadic ALS [7]. A meta-analysis found a non-significant overall relative risk of 1.28 (95% CI 0.97-1.68) for smoking and MND risk [8], but after testing for a range of possible interactions, significant heterogeneity was found between studies, depending on the proportion of females in the study. The authors went on to conduct meta-regression taking the proportion of females into account, and the resulting model predicted a smoking-related risk of MND in females (RR 1.66, 97% CI 1.31-2.10) but not in males (RR 0.86, 95% CI 0.71-1.03). Their overall relative risk estimate in smokers, of 1.28, is similar to that found for women in our study, but their estimate of 1.66 for females is significantly greater than that found here; this could be because analyses looking for interactions in multiple subgroups can sometimes yield extreme results by chance [24].

Conclusion

Overall, in this large prospective study we find a modest increased risk of MND in ever smokers and a significant decreasing risk for women with increasing adiposity.

Competing interest

We declare that we have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed to the design and execution of this work. PD, AB and VB prepared the report. All authors had an opportunity to contribute to the interpretation of the results and to the redrafting of the report, and all authors approved the final report.

Acknowledgments

We thank all the women who participated in the study, the general practitioners who took blood samples, staff from the NHS Breast Screening Centres and the Cancer Epidemiology Unit, and Adrian Goodill for the preparation of the figures. The Million Women Study is supported by Cancer Research UK and the UK Medical Research Council.

We wish to acknowledge the work of the Million Women Study Collaborators outlined below.

Million Women Study Steering Committee: Emily Banks, Valerie Beral, Ruth English, Jane Green, Julietta Patnick, Richard Peto, Gillian Reeves, Martin Vessey, Matthew Wallis. *NHS Breast Screening Centres collaborating in the Million Women Study (in alphabetical order):* Avon, Aylesbury, Barnsley, Basingstoke, Bedfordshire & Hertfordshire, Cambridge & Huntingdon, Chelmsford & Colchester, Chester, Cornwall, Crewe, Cumbria, Doncaster, Dorset, East Berkshire, East Cheshire, East Devon, East of Scotland, East Suffolk, East Sussex, Gateshead, Gloucestershire, Great Yarmouth, Hereford & Worcester, Kent (Canterbury, Rochester, Maidstone), Kings Lynn, Leicestershire, Liverpool, Manchester, Milton Keynes, Newcastle, North Birmingham, North East Scotland, North Lancashire, North Middlesex, North Nottingham, North of Scotland, North Tees, North Yorkshire, Nottingham,

Oxford, Portsmouth, Rotherham, Sheffield, Shropshire, Somerset, South Birmingham, South East Scotland, South East Staffordshire, South Derbyshire, South Essex, South Lancashire, South West Scotland, Surrey, Warrington Halton St Helens & Knowsley, Warwickshire Solihull & Coventry, West Berkshire, West Devon, West London, West Suffolk, West Sussex, Wiltshire, Winchester, Wirral, and Wycombe. *Million Women Study Co-ordinating Centre staff*: Simon Abbott, Naomi Allen, Miranda Armstrong, Krys Baker, Angela Balkwill, Vicky Benson, Valerie Beral, Judith Black, Anna Brown, Diana Bull, Benjamin Cairns, Andrew Chadwick, James Chivenga, Barbara Crossley, Francesca Crowe, Dave Ewart, Sarah Ewart, Lee Fletcher, Laura Gerrard, Adrian Goodill, Bryony Horner, Isobel Lingard, Jane Green, Winifred Gray, Joy Hooley, Sau Wan Kan, Carol Keene, Nicky Langston, Maria Jose Luque, Kath Moser, Lynn Pank, Kirstin Pirie, Gillian Reeves, Emma Sherman, Evelyn Sherry-Starmer, Moya Simmonds, Helena Strange, Sian Sweetland, Alison Timadger, Sarah Tipper, Lyndsey Trickett, Ruth Travis, Joanna Watson, Steve Williams, Lucy Wright.

Author details

¹Faculty of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, WC1E 7HT, UK. ²Cancer Epidemiology Unit, University of Oxford, Richard Doll Building, Roosevelt Drive, Oxford, OX3 7LF, UK.

Received: 15 December 2011 Accepted: 17 April 2012
Published: 6 May 2012

References

1. Mitchell JD, Borasio GD: **Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis.** *Lancet* 2007, **369**:2031–2041.
2. Logroscino G, Traynor BJ, Hardiman O, Chio' A, Couratier P, Mitchell JD, Swingler RJ, Beghi E: **EURALS. Descriptive epidemiology of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: new evidence and unsolved issues.** *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2008, **79**(1):6–11.
3. Logroscino G, Traynor BJ, Hardiman O, Chio' A, Mitchell D, Swingler RJ, Millul A, Benn E, Beghi E: **EURALS. Incidence of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in Europe.** *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2010, **81**(4):385–390. Epub 2009 Aug 25.
4. Alonso A, Logroscino G, Jick SS, Hernán MA: **Incidence and lifetime risk of motor neuron disease in the United Kingdom: a population-based study.** *Eur J Neurol* 2009, **16**(6):745–751.
5. Kiernan MC, Vucic S, Cheah BC, Turner MR, Eisen A, Hardiman O, Burrell JR, Zoing MC: **Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis.** *Lancet* 2011, **377**(9769):942–955. Epub 2011 Feb 4.
6. Armon C: **An evidence-based medicine approach to the evaluation of the role of exogenous risk factors in sporadic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis.** *Neuroepidemiology* 2003, **22**:217–228.
7. Armon C: **Smoking may be considered an established risk factor for sporadic ALS.** *Neurology* 2009, **73**(20):1693–1698.
8. Alonso A, Logroscino G, Hernán MA: **Smoking and the risk of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis.** *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2010, **81**(11):1249–1252.
9. Alonso A, Logroscino G, Jick SS, Hernán MA: **Association of smoking with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis risk and survival in men and women: a prospective study.** *BMC Neurol* 2010, **10**:6.
10. Reeves GK, Pirie K, Beral V, Green J, Spencer E, Bull D, Million Women Study Collaboration: **Cancer incidence and mortality in relation to body mass index in the Million Women Study: cohort study.** *BMJ* 2007, **335**(7630):1134.
11. Armstrong MEG, Spencer EA, Cairns BJ, Banks E, Pirie K, Green J, Wright FL, Reeves GK, Beral V, for the Million women Study Collaborators: **Body mass index and physical activity in relation to the incidence of hip fracture in postmenopausal women.** *J Bone Miner Res* 2011, **26**:1330–1338.
12. Hospital Episode Statistics. [www.hesonline.nhs.uk] (2009, date last accessed).
13. Kendrick S, Clarke J: **The Scottish record linkage system.** *Health Bull (edinb)* 1993, **51**:72–79.
14. World Health Organization 1992 International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10 World Health Organization Geneva World Health Organization: *International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems*. 10th edition. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1992.
15. Townsend P, Phillimore P, Beattie A: *Health and deprivation: inequality and the North*. London: Croom Helm; 1988.
16. Statacorp: *Statistical Software: Release 10.1*. TX: Stata: college Station; 2009.
17. Easton DF, Peto R, Babiker AG: **Floating absolute Risk: an alternative to relative risk in survival and case-control analysis avoiding an arbitrary reference group.** *Stat Med* 1991, **10**:1025–1035.
18. Elian M, Dean G: **The changing mortality from motor neurone disease and multiple sclerosis in England and Wales and the Republic of Ireland.** *Neuroepidemiology* 1992, **11**:236–243.
19. Worms PM: **The epidemiology of motor neuron diseases: a review of recent studies.** *J Neurol Sci* 2001, **91**(1–2):3–9.
20. Day TG, Scott M, Perring R, Doyle P: **Motor neuron disease mortality in Great Britain continues to rise: examination of mortality rates 1975–2004.** *Amyotroph Lateral Scler* 2007, **8**:337–342.
21. Marin B, Couratiera P, Preuxa PM, Logroscinod G: **Can mortality data be used to estimate amyotrophic lateral sclerosis incidence?** *Neuroepidemiology* 2011, **36**:29–38.
22. Mitchell JS, Gardham J, Mitchell C, Dixon M, Addison-Jones R, Bennett W, O'Brien MR: **Timelines in the diagnostic evaluation of people with suspected amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS)/motor neuron disease (MND) – a 20-year review: Can we do better?** *Amyotroph Lateral Sclerosis* 2010, **11**(6):537–541.
23. Cairns BJ, Liu B, Clennell S, Cooper R, Reeves GK, Beral V, Kuh D: **Lifetime body size and reproductive factors: comparisons of data recorded prospectively with self reports in middle age.** *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2011, **11**:7.
24. Peto R: **Current misconception 3: that subgroup-specific trial mortality results often provide a good basis for individualising patient care.** *Br J Cancer* 2011, **104**:1057–1058.

doi:10.1186/1471-2377-12-25

Cite this article as: Doyle et al.: Incidence of and risk factors for Motor Neurone Disease in UK women: a prospective study. *BMC Neurology* 2012 **12**:25.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

