1	Title: Recent natural selection causes adaptive evolution of an avian polygenic trait
2	
3	Authors: Mirte Bosse <sup>1,2†</sup> , Lewis G. Spurgin <sup>3,4†</sup> , Veronika N. Laine <sup>1</sup> , Ella F. Cole <sup>3</sup> , Josh A. Firth <sup>3</sup> ,
4	Phillip Gienapp <sup>1</sup> , Andrew G. Gosler <sup>3</sup> , Keith McMahon <sup>3</sup> , Jocelyn Poissant <sup>5,6</sup> , Irene Verhagen <sup>1</sup> , Martien
5	A. M. Groenen <sup>2</sup> , Kees van Oers <sup>1</sup> , Ben C. Sheldon <sup>3</sup> , Marcel E. Visser <sup>1,2</sup> , Jon Slate <sup>5*</sup>
6	
7	Affiliations:
8	1 Department of Animal Ecology, Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO-KNAW), Wageningen, the
9	Netherlands
10	2 Animal Breeding and Genomics Centre, Wageningen University, the Netherlands
11	3 Edward Grey Institute, Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, United Kingdom
12	4 School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, United Kingdom
13	5 Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, United Kingdom
14	6 Centre for Ecology and Conservation, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of
15	Exeter, Penryn, United Kingdom
16	*Correspondence to: j.slate@sheffield.ac.uk
17	† These authors contributed equally to this manuscript

One Sentence Summary: We identify genomic regions that have evolved under selection, and that
explain variation in bill length and fitness in great tits.

20

21 Abstract: We use extensive data from a long-term study of great tits (Parus major) in the UK and 22 Netherlands to better understand how genetic signatures of selection translate into variation in fitness 23 and phenotypes. We found that genomic regions under differential selection contained candidate genes 24 for bill morphology, and used genetic architecture analyses to confirm that these genes, especially the collagen gene COL4A5, explained variation in bill length. COL4A5 variation was associated with 25 reproductive success which, combined with spatiotemporal patterns of bill length, suggested ongoing 26 selection for longer bills in the UK. Finally, bill length and COL4A5 variation were associated with usage 27 of feeders, suggesting that longer bills may have evolved in the UK as a response to supplementary 28 29 feeding.

## 30 Main Text:

To demonstrate evolutionary adaptation in wild populations we must identify phenotypes under 31 selection, understand the genetic basis of those phenotypes along with effects on fitness, and identify 32 33 potential drivers of selection. The best-known demonstrations of genes underlying evolution by natural selection usually involve strong selection ('hard sweeps') on genetic variants, that may be recently 34 derived, with a major effect on variation in preselected phenotypes (1-3). However, most quantitative 35 phenotypes are polygenic (4) and for these traits selection is likely to act on many pre-existing genetic 36 variants of small effect (5). Detecting so-called polygenic selection is challenging because selection acts 37 38 on multiple loci simultaneously and selection coefficients are likely to be small (6). Most attempts to detect polygenic selection have focused on gene sets, rather than individual loci (e.g. (7)). Furthermore, 39 even if population genomics analyses identify genes under selection, these analyses are rarely combined 40 41 with detailed ecological and behavioral data (8-10), and as a result linking all three components of the 42 genotype-phenotype-fitness continuum remains a challenge. In this study we combine fine-scale ecological and genomic data to study adaptive evolution in the great tit (Parus major), a widespread and 43 44 abundant passerine bird and well-known ecological model system (11) with excellent genomic resources 45 (12). To do so, we analyzed genomic variation within and among three long-term study populations from 46 the UK (Wytham, n = 949) and the Netherlands (Oosterhout, n = 254 and Veluwe, n = 1812; Fig. 1A).

47

After filtering (see methods), our dataset comprised 2322 great tits typed at 485,122 SNPs. Levels of genetic diversity were high and linkage disequilibrium (LD) decayed rapidly within all three sample sites (fig. S1). Admixture and principal component analyses (PCA) both suggest that genetic structure is low (Fig. 1, B and C). These findings demonstrate a large effective population size and confirm high levels of gene flow in the species (*12*, *13*), making the long-term study populations well suited to studying evolutionary adaptation.

54

55 To identify loci under divergent selection between the UK and Dutch populations, we ran a genomewide association study using the first eigenvector from the PCA as a 'phenotype' (EigenGWAS (14)). 56 We identified highly significant outlier regions of the genome likely to be under divergent selection (fig. 57 58 2A, S2), which were supported by  $F_{ST}$  analyses (fig. S3). The majority of these outlier regions contained candidate genes (e.g. COL4A5, SIX2, TRPS1, NELL1) involved in skeletal development and 59 morphogenesis (Fig. 2, A to C, table S1 and external database S1). Genes associated with the ontology 60 term "palate development" (GO:0060021; genes ALX4, BMPR1A, SATB2, INHBA, GLI3) were more 61 significantly overrepresented than any other GO term (Fig. 2C; Bonferroni-corrected  $p = 2.9 \times 10^{-5}$ ; 62 external database S1). The strongest single-marker signal was found at the LRRIQ1 gene (table S1, 63 external database S1), where there was evidence of selection in Wytham, but not Veluwe (fig. S4). 64 LRRIQ1 is one of four genes located in the 240kb region associated with beak shape in Darwin's finches 65 66 - arguably the best-known example of a trait undergoing adaptive evolution in the wild (15). Another 67 EigenGWAS peak contained VPS13B, a gene also associated with bill morphology in the Darwin's finch 68 study, and with facial dysmorphism in humans (16).

69

70 Our genetic analyses therefore suggested bill morphology as a key trait involved in differentiation 71 between UK and Dutch great tit populations. Previously UK great tit populations have been characterized 72 as a different subspecies (*P. major newtoni*) compared to the rest of mainland Europe based on bill length, 73 but this classification is disputed (17) and it is unknown whether any bill length differences are adaptive 74 in this species. We examined the genetic architecture of bill length in the UK population, using two 75 complementary approaches. First, we fitted all SNPs simultaneously in a mixture model analysis (18), and estimated that 3009 (95% credible interval 512-7163), or 0.8%, of the SNPs contributed to bill length 76 variation, suggesting that bill length is highly polygenic. Collectively these SNPs explained ~31% of the 77 78 phenotypic variation. The proportion of variance in bill length explained by each chromosome scaled with its size, which is also consistent with a polygenic architecture (4) (fig. S5). Second, and consistent 79

80 with the mixture model analysis, we found multiple nominally significant SNPs in a GWAS on bill length in Wytham, but even the most significant ( $p = 1.6 \times 10^{-6}$ ) was not genome-wide significant after 81 accounting for multiple testing, perhaps as a consequence of small effect size and modest sample size. 82 83 Nonetheless, the SNPs were associated with bill length variation independently of overall body size 84 (Table S2). Using a sliding window approach, we found that the most significant GWAS regions largely overlapped with the most significant regions in the EigenGWAS and F<sub>ST</sub> analyses (Fig. 2, A and B, fig. 85 S3), suggesting that genes involved in bill length have been under divergent selection between 86 populations. We extracted SNPs from the most significant EigenGWAS peaks, calculated the summed 87 88 effect of those SNPs on bill length, and compared this against a null distribution generated by randomly 89 resampling the same number of SNPs and regions from across the genome. The regions under selection 90 explained a small amount of variation (0.54%) in bill length in the UK population, but this is more than 91 expected by chance (p = 0.004; fig. S6). Moreover, genomic prediction analysis using just the SNPs from 92 the EigenGWAS peaks showed that UK birds had breeding values for longer bills than birds from the 93 Netherlands (fig. S7), confirming that inter-population differences in bill length is at least partially 94 attributable to the loci that have been under recent selection.

95

96 The three genomic regions most notably associated with bill length variation and under likely divergent 97 selection (Fig. 2, A and B) all contained genes with annotations that make them candidates for 98 involvement in bill length. SOX6 is a transcription factor, and PTHrP a member of the parathyroid 99 hormone family; both are essential for bone development (19, 20). COL4A5 is a type IV collagen gene 100 best known for its association with Alport's syndrome in humans (21), that has also been identified as a 101 candidate for craniofacial disorders (22). The ~400kb region of chromosome 4A containing the COL4A5 102 gene was the region most notably associated with bill length (4 of the 24 most significant SNPs in the 103 GWAS were in COL4A5; Table S2), and belongs to the top three regions under strongest divergent 104 selection between birds from the UK and Netherlands (Fig. 2, A and B). A closer inspection of the 105 individual SNPs within SOX6 and PTHrP reveals numerous SNPs that are nominally significantly 106 associated with bill length, but none as strongly as the COL4A5 SNPs; thus we focus on the COL4A5 107 locus hereafter. Patterns of genetic variation at COL4A5 reveal a clear signature of recent selection for 108 longer bills in the UK. First, the allele at the SNP that is most significantly associated with increased bill length (hereafter 'COL4A5-C'; Fig. 3D), is at higher frequency in the UK (0.54, bootstrap 95% 109 110 confidence intervals = 0.52-0.56) compared to the two Dutch populations (Veluwe: 0.28, CI = 0.27-0.29; 111 Oosterhout: 0.26, CI = 0.23-0.29). Second, extended haplotype homozygosity tests confirm that the 112 haplotype carrying the COL4A5-C allele extends further than alternative haplotypes within Wytham (Fig. 113 3, A to C). The COL4A5-C haplotype is longer and more abundant in Wytham compared to Veluwe, and 114 LD at this locus is much higher in Wytham, suggesting selection is UK-specific (fig. S8). Third, SNP 115 data from 15 European populations, including 3 UK populations, shows that the COL4A5-C allele is at 116 a higher frequency across the UK than across Europe (LGS *et al.* In Prep), consistent with selection on 117 this gene in the UK.

118

119 To further elucidate how natural selection has shaped variation in bill length across the two populations, 120 we tested how variation at the COL4A5 locus was related to annual reproductive success. We found 121 differences in the relationship between COL4A5 genotype and the number of chicks fledged between the 122 two populations (zero-inflated Poisson GLMM, interaction between genotype and population: n = 3076123 breeding attempts from 1790 birds, estimate =  $-0.40 \pm 0.17$ , p = 0.016, Fig. 3E). The interaction was 124 significant because the associations between genotype and bill length in the two populations were in 125 opposite directions; in the UK, the number of copies of the 'long-billed' COL4A5-C allele was positively 126 associated with fledgling production (n = 868 breeding attempts from 516 birds, estimate =  $0.23 \pm 0.11$ , 127 p = 0.046, Fig. 3E; fig. S9), whereas in the Dutch birds *COL4A5-C* was negatively, but not significantly, 128 associated with fewer fledglings (n = 2208 breeding attempts from 1274 birds, estimate =  $-0.16 \pm 0.10$ , p = 0.093). The relationship between fledgling production and *COL4A5* genotype did not arise because long-billed genotype birds were more likely to produce offspring (binomial GLMM: n = 3076 breeding attempts from 1790 birds, estimate = -0.20 ± 0.17, p = 0.91); rather, when we only considered "successful" breeding attempts in which at least one fledgling was produced, long-billed genotype birds produced more fledglings (Poisson GLMM: n = 2690 breeding attempts from 1612 birds, estimate = 0.058 ± 0.024, p = 0.018). Thus, we suggest that the *COL4A5* allele associated with longer bills confers a fitness advantage in the UK population.

136

137 To better understand the evolutionary consequences of selection for longer bills in the UK population, 138 we examined spatiotemporal variation in bill length. In museum samples from the UK and mainland 139 Europe, the UK individuals had considerably longer bills (n = 291, estimate =  $0.40 \pm 0.06$  mm, p = 5.2 x  $10^{-12}$ ,  $R^2 = 0.16$ , Fig. 4A), in accordance with a previous study (17). Using a 26-year dataset from live 140 141 birds in Wytham, we found that bill length has increased significantly over recent years (1982-2007; n =142 2489, estimate =  $0.004 \pm 0.001$  mm per year, p = 0.0038, R<sup>2</sup> of year effect = 0.004, Fig. 4B, table S3; with tarsus length fitted as a covariate, the significant temporal increase in bill length remained 143 significant - n = 2485, estimate =  $0.005 \pm 0.001$  mm per year, p = 0.0001, R<sup>2</sup> of year effect = 0.003). This 144 145 effect, though weak in terms of the variance explained, is not due to stochastic variation among years 146 (randomization test, P = 0.02, Supplementary Materials), and is equivalent to an evolutionary rate of 147 change of 0.0154 Haldanes; in a large review of phenotypic change in wild animal populations this rate 148 was exceeded in just 641 of 2420 estimates (23).

149

Selection on bill-length has been documented multiple times in birds, and is typically associated with variation in food availability (24). No differences in the natural diet of great tits between the UK and mainland Europe are known. In contrast, bird feeding by the public has been widespread in the UK since 153 the 19<sup>th</sup> Century; it is estimated it occurs in over 50% of gardens (25) and that the UK's expenditure on bird seed is twice that spent in the whole of mainland Europe (26). Great tits are particularly good at 154 exploiting bird feeders (27), and therefore we investigated whether supplementary feeding could have 155 156 been a driver of selection on bill length in UK great tits, similar to that proposed in UK blackcap (Sylvia atricapilla) populations (28). Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) bird feeders throughout Wytham 157 recorded RFID-tagged great tit utilization of supplementary food over the course of three winters (29). 158 159 We found that COL4A5-C homozygotes displayed a higher propensity to use the feeders compared to heterozygotes or short-billed homozygotes (n = 444, estimate = -0.17 ± 0.08, p = 0.03, Fig. 3F). There 160 161 was some variation in the extent of this effect across winter seasons (Fig. S10), and the strength and 162 consistency of this effect, along with the mechanisms behind it, requires further investigation. 163 Encouragingly, however, a follow-up analysis using a more recent dataset gathered from high-resolution 164 RFID feeders (but on un-genotyped birds) showed a positive relationship between feeding propensity and bill length (n = 1806 observations of 183 birds, estimate =  $0.15 \pm 0.05$ , p = 0.004, Fig. S11). 165

166

167 Together, our results provide a detailed example of natural selection in a wild animal. Starting with a 168 bottom-up analysis of genomic data, and no-preselected phenotypes, we have demonstrated polygenic 169 adaptation by providing associations between loci that have responded to selection, fitness variation, 170 phenotypic variation, microevolutionary change and a possible driver of selection. Combining large-171 scale genomic and ecological data in natural populations will significantly enhance our understanding of 172 both the mechanistic basis and evolutionary consequences of natural selection.

## 173 **References and Notes:**

174	1.	C. R. Linnen, E. P. Kingsley, J. D. Jensen, H. E. Hoekstra, On the origin and spread of an
175		adaptive allele in deer mice. Sci. (New York, NY). 325, 1095–1098 (2009).
176	2.	S. Rost et al., Mutations in VKORC1 cause warfarin resistance and multiple coagulation factor
177		deficiency type 2. Nature. 427, 537-41 (2004).
178	3.	S. Lamichhaney et al., A beak size locus in Darwin's finches facilitated character displacement
179		during a drought. Science . 352 (2016).
180	4.	J. Yang et al., Genome partitioning of genetic variation for complex traits using common SNPs.
181		Nat. Genet. 43, 519–525 (2011).
182	5.	M. C. Turchin et al., Evidence of widespread selection on standing variation in Europe at height-
183		associated SNPs. Nat. Genet. 44, 1015-9 (2012).
184	6.	J. K. Pritchard, J. K. Pickrell, G. Coop, The Genetics of Human Adaptation: Hard Sweeps, Soft
185		Sweeps, and Polygenic Adaptation. Curr. Biol. 20 (2010), , doi:10.1016/j.cub.2009.11.055.
186	7.	J. J. Berg, G. Coop, A Population Genetic Signal of Polygenic Adaptation. PLoS Genet. 10,
187		e1004412 (2014).
188	8.	R. D. H. Barrett, H. E. Hoekstra, Molecular spandrels: tests of adaptation at the genetic level.
189		Nat. Rev. Genet. 12, 767–780 (2011).
190	9.	C. Pardo-Diaz, C. Salazar, C. D. Jiggins, Towards the identification of the loci of adaptive
191		evolution. Methods Ecol. Evol. 6, 445–464 (2015).
192	10.	J. R. Stinchcombe, H. E. Hoekstra, Combining population genomics and quantitative genetics:
193		finding the genes underlying ecologically important traits. <i>Heredity</i> . <b>100</b> , 158–170 (2007).

- 194 11. A. Gosler, *The great tit* (Hamlyn Species Guides, 1993).
- 195 12. V. Laine *et al.*, Evolutionary signals of selection on cognition from the great tit genome and
- 196 methylome. *Nat. Commun.* (2016), doi:10.1038/ncomms10474.

- 197 13. N. E. M. Van Bers *et al.*, The design and cross-population application of a genome-wide SNP
  198 chip for the great tit Parus major. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 12, 753–770 (2012).
- 199 14. G.-B. Chen, S. H. Lee, Z.-X. Zhu, B. Benyamin, M. R. Robinson, EigenGWAS: finding loci
  200 under selection through genome-wide association studies of eigenvectors in structured
  201 populations. *Heredity.* 117, 51–61 (2016).
- S. Lamichhaney *et al.*, Evolution of Darwin's finches and their beaks revealed by genome
  sequencing. *Nature*. 518, 371–375 (2015).
- 16. I. Balikova *et al.*, Deletions in the *VPS13B* (*COH1*) gene as a cause of Cohen syndrome. *Hum. Mutat.* 30, E845–E854 (2009).
- 206 17. A. G. Gosler, A comment on the validity of the British Great Tit Parus major newtoni. *Bull. Br.*207 *Ornithol. Club.* 119, 47–55 (1999).
- 208 18. G. Moser *et al.*, Simultaneous Discovery, Estimation and Prediction Analysis of Complex Traits
  209 Using a Bayesian Mixture Model. *PLOS Genet.* 11, e1004969 (2015).
- 210 19. N. Hagiwara, Sox6, jack of all trades: A versatile regulatory protein in vertebrate development.
- 211 *Dev. Dyn.* 240, 1311–1321 (2011).
- 212 20. H. M. Kronenberg, PTHrP and Skeletal Development. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1068, 1–13 (2006).
- 213 21. D. F. Barker *et al.*, Identification of mutations in the COL4A5 collagen gene in Alport
- 214 syndrome. *Science*. **248**, 1224–1227 (1990).
- 215 22. J. J. Jonsson *et al.*, Alport syndrome, mental retardation, midface hypoplasia, and elliptocytosis:
  216 a new X linked contiguous gene deletion syndrome? *J Med Genet.* 35, 273–278 (1998).
- 217 23. A. P. Hendry, T. J. Farrugia, M. T. Kinnison, Human influences on rates of phenotypic change
  218 in wild animal populations. *Mol. Ecol.* 17, 20–29 (2008).
- 219 24. P. R. Grant, B. R. Grant, Unpredictable evolution in a 30-year study of Darwin's finches.
- 220 Science. 296, 707–711 (2002).
- 221 25. M. E. Orros, M. D. E. Fellowes, Wild Bird Feeding in an Urban Area: Intensity, Economics and

- 222 Numbers of Individuals Supported. *Acta Ornithol.* **50**, 43–58 (2015).
- 223 26. D. N. Jones, S. James Reynolds, Feeding birds in our towns and cities: a global research
  224 opportunity. *J. Avian Biol.* 39, 265–271 (2008).
- 225 27. P. Tryjanowski *et al.*, Who started first? Bird species visiting novel birdfeeders. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 11858 (2015).
- 227 28. G. Rolshausen, G. Segelbacher, K. A. Hobson, H. M. Schaefer, Contemporary Evolution of
   228 Reproductive Isolation and Phenotypic Divergence in Sympatry along a Migratory Divide. *Curr.* 229 *Biol.* 19, 2097–2101 (2009).
- 230 29. R. A. Crates *et al.*, Individual variation in winter supplementary food consumption and its
  231 consequences for reproduction in wild birds. *J. Avian Biol.* (2016), doi:10.1111/jav.00936.
- 30. S. Purcell *et al.*, PLINK: A Tool Set for Whole-Genome Association and Population-Based
  Linkage Analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 81, 559–575 (2007).
- J. Yang, S. H. Lee, M. E. Goddard, P. M. Visscher, GCTA: A Tool for Genome-wide Complex
  Trait Analysis. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 88, 76–82 (2011).
- 32. D. H. Alexander, J. Novembre, K. Lange, Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated
  individuals. *Genome Res.* 19, 1655–1664 (2009).
- 33. R Development Core Team, R. D. C. Team, Ed., R: A Language and Environment for Statistical
  Computing. *R Found. Stat. Comput.* (2011), doi:10.1007/978-3-540-74686-7.
- 240 34. P. C. Sabeti *et al.*, Detecting recent positive selection in the human genome from haplotype
  241 structure. *Nature*. 419, 832–837 (2002).
- 35. O. Delaneau, J. Marchini, J.-F. Zagury, A linear complexity phasing method for thousands of
  genomes. *Nat. Methods.* 9, 179–181 (2011).
- 36. M. Gautier, R. Vitalis, rehh: an R package to detect footprints of selection in genome-wide SNP
  data from haplotype structure. *Bioinformatics*. 28, 1176–1177 (2012).
- 246 37. K. Tang, K. R. Thornton, M. Stoneking, A new approach for using genome scans to detect

- recent positive selection in the human genome. *PLoS Biol.* **5**, 1587–1602 (2007).
- 38. G. Bindea *et al.*, ClueGO: a Cytoscape plug-in to decipher functionally grouped gene ontology
  and pathway annotation networks. *Bioinformatics*. 25, 1091–1093 (2009).
- 39. A. G. Gosler, Pattern and process in the bill morphology of the Great Tit Parus major. *Ibis*(Lond. 1859). 129, 451–476 (2008).
- 40. J. D. Hadfield, MCMC methods for multi-response generalized linear mixed models: the
  MCMCglmm R package. *J. Stat. Softw.* 33, 1–22 (2010).
- 41. Y. S. Aulchenko, S. Ripke, A. Isaacs, C. M. van Duijn, GenABEL: an R library for genomewide association analysis. *Bioinformatics*. 23, 1294–6 (2007).
- 42. Y. S. Aulchenko, D.-J. de Koning, C. Haley, Genomewide Rapid Association Using Mixed
- 257 Model and Regression: A Fast and Simple Method For Genomewide Pedigree-Based

258 Quantitative Trait Loci Association Analysis. *Genetics*. **177** (2007).

- 43. S. Bouwhuis *et al.*, Great tits growing old: selective disappearance and the partitioning of
- senescence to stages within the breeding cycle. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **276**, 2769–77 (2009).
- 261 44. M. Erbe *et al.*, Improving accuracy of genomic predictions within and between dairy cattle
- breeds with imputed high-density single nucleotide polymorphism panels. J. Dairy Sci. 95,
- 263 4114–4129 (2012).
- 264

265 Acknowledgements: We thank the many researchers who collected material and data for the Wytham 266 study, Louis Vernooij, Piet de Goede and Henri Bouwmeester for fieldwork on the Dutch populations 267 and Christa Mateman for lab assistance. The Natural History Museums in London (NHM) and Oxford (OUMNH) kindly granted us access to great tit specimens. R. Butlin, N. Nadeau and P. Nosil provided 268 269 helpful comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by grants from the ERC (339092 to MEV, 270 250164 to BCS and 202487 to JS), BBSRC (BB/N011759/1 to LGS) and NERC (NE/J012599/1 to JS). 271 Author contributions: M.B., L.G.S., M.A.M.G., B.C.S., M.E.V. and J.S. designed the study. M.B., L.G.S. 272 and J.S. analyzed the genomic data for signatures of selection. V.N.L, P.G. and J.S. analyzed estimated 273 trait genetic architectures. V.N.L performed gene ontology analyses. A.G.G., K.M., J.P. and I.V. 274 measured and analyzed bills. L.G.S., E.F.C and J.A.F. collected and analyzed bird feeding station data. 275 E.F.C, J.A.F, A.G.G., K.VO., B.C.S and M.E.V. coordinated and collected ecological data and DNA

- samples. M.A.M.G., K vO., M.E.V. and J.S. coordinated collection of SNP data. M.B., L.G.S, V.N.L.
- and J.S. cleaned and QC checked SNP data. M.B., L.G.S and J.S. wrote the manuscript with input from
- all other authors. The data described in the paper are archived on Dryad with accession number XXX.

- 279 Supplementary Materials
- 280 Materials and Methods
- 281 Supplementary Text
- 282 Tables S1 S3
- 283 Fig S1 S9
- 284 Caption for database S1
- 285 References (*30–44*)

286

Fig. 1. Population structure of Western European great tits. (A) Worldwide distribution of *P. major* and sampling locations in Wytham ( $\blacktriangle$ ) Oosterhout ( $\bullet$ ) and Veluwe ( $\bullet$ ). (B) Principal component analysis of genotype data. (C) ADMIXTURE plot with K=3, which is both the most likely number of clusters and the number of geographically distinct sampling sites. Levels of genetic structure are low (*F*<sub>ST</sub> Veluwe-Wytham = 0.006, and *F*<sub>ST</sub> Veluwe-Oosterhout = 0.003).

292

293 **Fig. 2. Differentiation and regions under selection across two great tit populations.** (A) Upper panel: EigenGWAS on PC1 across all autosomes, averaged over 200kb sliding windows. Genes surrounding or 294 295 covering peaks are indicated. Gene names highlighted in bold green belong to the most significant GOterm 'palate development'. Lower panel: GWAS for bill length in the UK population, averaged over 296 297 200kb sliding windows. Color-highlighted regions indicate peaks found in both the GWAS and 298 EigenGWAS analyses. (B) EigenGWAS p-values in relation to bill length GWAS p-values averaged 299 over 200kb windows. Color-highlighted points correspond with the highlighted regions in (A). (C) Gene Ontology network of genes in or surrounding the EigenGWAS peaks. Size of circles indicates 300 301 significance and line thickness indicates proportion of shared genes.

302

Fig. 3. COL4A5 locus on chromosome 4A. (A) 2Mb zoom of EigenGWAS (green triangles) and GWAS 303 (black circles) p-values at the COL4A5 region (highlighted blue in Fig. 2A). Red horizontal bars indicate 304 gene locations (**B** and **C**) Bifurcation diagram for haplotypes in Wytham, starting from the two alleles at 305 306 the most significant GWAS SNP. Note the extended haplotype at the COL4A5-C-allele in (C), relative 307 to the shorter haplotypes at the COL4A5-T allele in (**B**), consistent with a recent selective sweep around the COL4A5-C allele in the UK. (**D**) Bill length and COL4A5 genotype; the C allele is associated with 308 longer bills ( $R^2 = 0.035$ ). (E) The COL4A5-C allele is associated with greater annual fledgling production 309 in the UK population ( $R^2 = 0.015$ ). (F) COL4A5-C allele birds display greater winter feeding site activity 310 - the y axis is  $log_{10}$  transformed cumulative activity records ( $R^2 = 0.01$ ). Lines and shaded areas in d-f 311 312 are fitted values and 95% confidence limits from general(ized) linear models (full data are plotted in Figs 313 S8 and S9).

314

**Fig. 4. Spatiotemporal variation in bill length.** (A) Bill lengths of museum samples from the UK and mainland Europe.(B) Temporal variation in bill length in the Wytham population plotting annual means with standard error from 1982-2007. Line and (narrow) shaded area in b are fitted values and 95% confidence limits from a linear regression ( $R^2 = 0.004$ ); note different scales on axes in A and B.