

AmpC β -lactamase induction by avibactam and relebactam

DAVID M LIVERMORE^{1,2*}, DOROTA JAMROZY^{1, a}, SHAZAD MUSHTAQ¹

WRIGHT W NICHOLS^{3, b}, KATHERINE YOUNG⁴, AND NEIL WOODFORD¹

¹*Antimicrobial Resistance & Healthcare Associated Infections Reference Unit, Public Health England, London, United Kingdom, ²Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norfolk, United Kingdom, ³Development Microbiology, AstraZeneca, Waltham MA02451, USA and ⁴Infectious Diseases, Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., Whitehouse Station, NJ 07033, USA*

Present addresses:

^aWellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Hinxton, Cambridge, UK

^bMicrobiology Consultant, Cambridge, MA, USA

*Corresponding author
Floor 2, Bob Champion Research & Educational Building,
James Watson Road,
University of East Anglia,
Norwich Research Park,
NORWICH, NR4 7UQ

Tel +44-(0)1603-597-568; d.livermore@uea.ac.uk

27 **Abstract.**

28 **Background.** Diazabicyclooctanes, e.g. avibactam and relebactam, are a new class of β -
29 lactamase inhibitors. Their spectrum includes AmpC enzymes, but it is important to
30 understand if they also induce these enzymes. **Methods.** Levels of *ampC* mRNA were
31 measured by RT-PCR during 4h exposure of *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Citrobacter freundii* and
32 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (n=5 strains per species) to avibactam, relebactam and cefoxitin
33 at 0, 1, 4 and 32 mg/L. The method had low precision compared with conventional specific-
34 activity-based induction assays, which are impracticable for inhibitors. Accordingly, induction
35 was only considered to be significant if induction ratios >10-fold were found at two
36 consecutive time intervals, with 'strong induction' if one of more ratio was ≥ 100 . **Results.**
37 Cefoxitin, as expected, gave concentration-dependent induction for all strains, with strong
38 induction for 13/15. At the other extreme, relebactam caused no significant induction for any
39 strain. Avibactam gave strain-variable results, with strong concentration-dependent induction
40 for 2/5 *E. cloacae* and 2/5 *P. aeruginosa* but little or no induction for the other strains,
41 including all the *C. freundii*. **Conclusions.** Avibactam, but not relebactam, had some strain-
42 variable ability to induce AmpC enzymes though at concentrations (32 mg/L) above those
43 reached in the patient.

44

45 Introduction

46 Diazabicyclooctanes (DBOs) such as avibactam and relebactam inhibit AmpC β -lactamases .
47 ^{1,2} It is of interest to know if they also induce these enzymes, both to answer the question of
48 whether a non- β -lactam can induce and because induction hypothetically might lead to
49 antagonism if the DBO is combined with a weak-inducer β -lactam and the AmpC enzyme had
50 mutated so as to become resistant to inhibition by DBOs. On this basis we examined the
51 AmpC inducer behaviour of avibactam and relebactam for *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Citrobacter*
52 *freundii* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, as the species where these enzymes are most
53 important.

54 Because it is impracticable to measure β -lactamase specific activity when an inducer
55 is also an inhibitor, we adopted an alternative approach, using RT-PCR to measure the levels
56 of AmpC-encoding mRNA.

57

58 Materials and Methods

59 Organisms

60 The test strains were reference submissions to PHE, collected in 2010-11, or were from an
61 earlier UK survey.³ They comprised five isolates each of *E. cloacae*, *C. freundii* and *P.*
62 *aeruginosa*. The *E. cloacae* and *C. freundii* strains were confirmed as AmpC inducible,
63 based on being susceptible (MICs ≤ 1 mg/L) to cefotaxime and ceftazidime but resistant to
64 cefoxitin, with antagonism of cefotaxime and ceftazidime by cefoxitin in double disc tests;⁴ *P.*
65 *aeruginosa* isolates were AmpC inducible based on being susceptible to carbenicillin (MIC
66 ≤ 128 mg/L) and ceftazidime (MIC ≤ 2 mg/L), with antagonism of ceftazidime by imipenem in
67 double disc tests. All the strains were susceptible to imipenem at CLSI breakpoints; MICs of
68 avibactam and relebactam ranged from 16- >128 mg/L.

69

70 Antibiotics

71 Avibactam and ceftaroline were provided by AstraZeneca (Wilmington, Delaware, USA);
72 imipenem and relebactam were supplied by Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. (Whitehouse
73 Station, NJ, USA); ceftazidime and ceftazidime was purchased from Sigma (Poole, Dorset, UK).

74

75 *Susceptibility tests*

76 MICs were determined by CLSI agar dilution.⁵

77

78 *Induction assays*

79 Isolates were grown overnight in 10-mL volumes of LB broth, with 1-mL amounts of these
80 cultures then used to inoculate 100-mL volumes of fresh LB. The diluted cultures were
81 incubated with shaking to OD₆₀₀ of 0.4-0.5, then inducers (ceftazidime, avibactam or relebactam)
82 were added at 0, 1, 4 or 32 mg/L. Cultures were sampled immediately before this addition
83 and at 30, 60, 120 and 240 minutes thereafter, with 0.5 mL samples transferred to 2-mL
84 tubes containing 1 mL of RNAlater (Qiagen, Manchester UK). These samples were mixed,
85 centrifuged at 13000 rpm for 10 min, with the pellets retained at -80°C pending RNA
86 extraction.

87

88 *RNA extraction*

89 Cellular RNA was extracted with an RNA Purification 96-Well Kit (Norgen, Thorold, Canada),
90 used according to manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the bacterial pellet was resuspended in
91 75 µL of TE buffer containing 1 mg/mL lysozyme and incubated at room temperature for 5
92 min. Afterwards, 225 µL of Lysis Solution was added followed, after mixing, by 120 µL of 95-
93 100% ethanol. The resulting lysate was transferred to a 96-well filter plate and the RNA
94 binding, wash, and elution steps were followed. On-filter genomic DNA digestion was
95 performed using the RNase-free DNase I Kit (Norgen), used in accordance with the
96 manufacturer's instructions.

97

98 *RT-PCR assay.*

99 Primers (Sigma) and probes (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies, Paisley, UK) were as
100 detailed in Table 1. Probes were labelled with either 6-FAM (6-carboxy-fluorescein) or VIC®
101 at the 5' end, and with TAMRA (6-carboxy-tetramethyl-rhodamine) at the 3' end. RT-PCR
102 was performed using the TaqMan RNA-to-C_T 1-Step kit (Applied Biosystems). Each reaction
103 was prepared in a 20-μL volume and contained: 1 x TaqMan RT-PCR mix, 0.5 μL of RT
104 enzyme mix, 500 nM of each primer, 250 nM of each probe and 1 μL of RNA template. The
105 RT-PCR consisted of a reverse transcription step for 15 min at 48°C, followed by an
106 activation step of 10 min at 95°C and 40 cycles of denaturation for 15 sec at 95°C and
107 anneal/extension for 1 min at 60°C. The absence of genomic DNA contamination was verified
108 for each RNA preparation by running RT-PCR without reverse transcriptase. The reactions
109 and data analyses were conducted using the Fast Real-Time PCR System 7500 (Applied
110 Biosystems). Reactions were performed in triplicate. cDNA derived from expression of
111 *ampC* was measured relative to that arising from housekeeping genes, namely *guaA* in *P.*
112 *aeruginosa*, *rpoB* in *C. freundii* and *rspL* in *E. cloacae*, thereby correcting for differences in
113 the amount of starting material. These standardised estimates of *ampC* transcript-derived
114 cDNA were then re-standardised against *ampC* transcript-derived cDNA in the non-induced
115 culture at the same time point. Relative quantification was carried out by using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$
116 method, where the C_t value is defined as the first PCR cycle at which the fluorescence is
117 above the threshold value of 0.2, as recommended by the thermal cycler instrument
118 manufacturer.⁶ An induction ratio was thus defined as: (time t *ampC* signal ÷ time t
119 housekeeping signal) / (time 0 *ampC* signal ÷ time 0 housekeeping signal), with results
120 averaged across the three replicate mixtures.

121

122

123 Results and Discussion

124 *Susceptibility*

125 The test strains – which were confirmed as AmpC-inducible – all were susceptible to ceftazidime
126 and imipenem in the absence of DBOs (Table 2). *C. freundii* H121940571 was narrowly resistant
127 to ceftaroline (MIC 1 mg/L versus a breakpoint of 0.5 mg/L); all the *P. aeruginosa* strains tested
128 (5/5) also had inherent resistance to ceftaroline, as is typical of the species. Addition of DBOs
129 caused small reductions in the MICs of the partner β -lactams (Table 2), typically 2- to 4- fold. No
130 antagonism was seen.

131

132 *Induction assays*

133 RT-PCR-based induction assays (Table 3) proved less precise than those based on
134 measurement of β -lactamase specific activity (see e.g. ref 7), no doubt owing to the much more
135 complex multi-step method needed for estimation, and perhaps also because mRNA persists
136 more briefly than induced AmpC enzyme. This variability is reflected in the scatter of induction
137 ratios, from 0.1-58, for the T_0 estimates, where values around unity would be expected.
138 Moreover, assays for avibactam and relebactam were run several months apart, each time with
139 cefoxitin as a control, and, whilst both sets of experiments showed that cefoxitin induced
140 strongly, there was considerable inter-run scatter for results with this cephamycin, without clear
141 systematic bias (not shown). On this basis we only considered induction significant if induction
142 ratios >10 were obtained for at least two successive time points, whilst ‘Strong’ induction was
143 taken as one ratio ≥ 100 , with a ratio >10 at the preceding or subsequent time point. Based upon
144 these criteria, cefoxitin counted as an inducer for all 15 strains and a strong inducer for all except
145 one *C. freundii* and one *P. aeruginosa*. The rises in AmpC mRNA were greatest and most
146 prolonged at the highest cefoxitin concentration (32 mg/L), but induction was often also apparent
147 with the drug at 4 mg/L, confirming a dose-response relationship. These data are in keeping with
148 a considerable body of data from conventional induction assays.⁷

149 Relebactam, at the other extreme, gave no convincing evidence of induction for any
150 strain, with only two isolated instances of ratios >10, neither of them supported by raised ratios at
151 adjacent time points nor with any relation to concentration. Avibactam had more variable
152 behaviour, meeting our definitions of a strong inducer for 2/5 *E. cloacae* and 2/5 *P. aeruginosa* at
153 highest avibactam concentration (32 mg/L). However there was no significant induction for the
154 other 11/15 strains, including all the *C. freundii*, nor at lower avibactam concentrations. Miossec
155 *et al.*⁸ studied a further three *E. cloacae* by similar methodology and found no AmpC induction by
156 avibactam at up to 64 mg/L.

157 Strain-to-strain differences in inducer response to avibactam may be a thresholding
158 effect, with the top concentration tested being on the border of that needed for induction, whilst
159 the differences in inducer power between avibactam and relebactam may reflect difference in the
160 strength of PBP interactions. By itself avibactam has greater activity and lower MICs than
161 relebactam, albeit with values significantly above the clinical range, and has been shown by
162 several researchers to bind to PBP2 of Enterobacteriaceae.⁹⁻¹¹ One group also found binding to
163 PBP4.¹⁰ Linking these observations to inducer power is however speculative. The higher MICs
164 of relebactam may relate to uptake rather than PBP affinity; moreover the precise links between
165 PBP inhibition and the perturbation of the peptidoglycan fragment recycling that regulates AmpC
166 induction¹² remain elusive, perhaps because PBP assays only detect the formation of covalent
167 adducts, not other interactions. Clavulanic acid, which likewise binds PBP2¹³ is an inducer for
168 some strains,¹⁴ but mecillinam, which also binds this target, has little inducer power.¹⁵ PBP4
169 interactions, as found for avibactam by one group¹⁰ have been suggested to be a correlate of
170 AmpC induction in *P. aeruginosa*.¹⁶

171 Any practical significance of AmpC induction by avibactam is doubtful. Significant
172 induction with avibactam, where it occurred, was only seen with 32 mg/L avibactam, a
173 concentration around the C_{max} following a standard 500 mg dosage and therefore far above the
174 mean inter-dose level.^{17,18,19} Moreover induced enzyme should be inhibited, and ceftazidime-
175 avibactam is active against strains with derepressed AmpC, producing more enzyme than is ever

176 likely to be induced.^{1,2} The only circumstances in which this induction might become clinically
177 significant would be if the AmpC enzyme (i) mutated to lose affinity for avibactam and (ii)
178 remained inducible. Avibactam-induced enzyme might then attack its partner cephalosporin.
179 Protein sequence changes within AmpC, arising via mutation, can engender resistance to
180 ceftaroline/avibactam and ceftazidime/avibactam²⁰ (also PHE, data on file), however these seem
181 more likely to be selected, if at all, once the enzyme expression is already derepressed, not
182 when it remains inducible. We therefore consider the present data largely of academic interest,
183 in showing that a non- β -lactam can act as an AmpC inducer as well as inhibiting β -lactamases
184 and targeting PBP2.

185

186 **Funding**

187 This work was supported by AstraZeneca (US) for studies on avibactam and by Merck Sharp
188 & Dohme Corp., Whitehouse Station, NJ USA for studies on relebactam.

189

190 **Transparency declaration**

191 **DML:** Advisory Boards or ad-hoc consultancy Accelerate, Achaogen, Adenium, Allecra,
192 AstraZeneca, Auspherix, Basilea, BioVersys, Centauri, Discuva, Inhibox, Meiji, Pfizer,
193 Roche, Shionogi, Tetrphase, VenatoRx, Wockhardt, Zambon, Zealand, Paid lectures –
194 Astellas, AstraZeneca, Cardiome, Cepheid, Merck and Nordic. Relevant shareholdings in–
195 Dechra, GSK, Merck, Perkin Elmer, Pfizer collectively amounting to <10% of portfolio value.

196 **WWN,** AstraZeneca employee at the time of the study, and AstraZeneca shareholder. **KY,**
197 Merck employee. **All others:** No personal interests to declare. However, PHE's AMRHA1
198 Reference Unit has received financial support for conference attendance, lectures, research
199 projects or contracted evaluations from numerous sources, including: Achaogen, Allecra,
200 Amplex, AstraZeneca, AusDiagnostics, Becton Dickinson, The BSAC, Cepheid, Check-

201 Points, Cubist Pharmaceuticals, Department of Health, Enigma Diagnostics, Food Standards
202 Agency, GlaxoSmithKline Service, Henry Stewart Talks, IHMA Ltd, Merck Sharpe & Dohme,
203 Meiji Seika Kiasya, Momentum Biosciences, Nordic, Norgine, Rempex, Rokitan Ltd, Smith &
204 Nephew, VenatoRx and Wockhardt Ltd.

205
206 **References**

- 207 1. Lahiri SD, Mangani S, Durand-Reville T *et al*. Structural insight into potent broad-
208 spectrum inhibition with reversible recyclization mechanism: avibactam in complex
209 with CTX-M-15 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* AmpC β -lactamases. *Antimicrob*
210 *Agents Chemother* 2013; **57**: 2496-505.
- 211 2. Blizzard TA, Chen H, Kim S *et al*. Discovery of MK-7655, a β -lactamase inhibitor for
212 combination with Primaxin®. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 2014; **24**: 780-5
- 213 3. Potz NA, Hope R, Warner M *et al*. Prevalence and mechanisms of cephalosporin
214 resistance in Enterobacteriaceae in London and South-East England. *J Antimicrob*
215 *Chemother* 2006; **58**: 320-6.
- 216 4. Eliopoulos GM. Synergism and antagonism. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 1989; **3**: 399-
217 406.
- 218 5. Working Party on Antibiotic Sensitivity Testing of the British Society for Antimicrobial
219 Chemotherapy. A guide to sensitivity testing. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1991; **27** Suppl
220 D: 1-50.
- 221 6. Livak, K J Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
222 quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. *Methods* 2001; **25**: 402-8.
- 223 7. Livermore DM. β -Lactamases in laboratory and clinical resistance. *Clin Microbiol Rev*
224 1995; **8**: 557-84.
- 225 8. Miossec C, Claudon M, Levasseur P *et al*. The β -lactamase inhibitor avibactam
226 (NXL104) does not induce ampC β -lactamase in *Enterobacter cloacae* *Infect Drug*
227 *Resist* 2013; **6**: 235–40.
- 228 9. Asli A, Brouillette E, Krause KM *et al*. Distinctive binding of avibactam to penicillin-
229 binding proteins of Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. *Antimicrob Agents*
230 *Chemother* 2015; **60**: 752-6.
- 231 10. Salama SM, Brouillette E, Malouin F *et al*. Mechanistic studies of FPI-1465 a novel β -
232 lactamase inhibitor. *In Program of the Fifty-Fourth Interscience Conference on*
233 *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy Washington D.C. 2014*. Abstract F-1191
234 American Society for Microbiology, Washington D.C.
- 235 11. Morinaka A, Tsutsumi Y, Yamada M *et al*. 2015. OP0595, a new diazabicyclooctane:
236 mode of action as a serine β -lactamase inhibitor, antibiotic and β -lactam ‘enhancer’. *J*
237 *Antimicrob Chemother* 2015; **70**: 2779-86.
- 238 12. Lindberg F, Lindquist S, Normark S. Genetic basis of induction and overproduction of
239 chromosomal class I β -lactamase in nonfastidious Gram-negative bacilli. *Rev Infect*
240 *Dis* 1988; **10**: 782-5.

- 241 13. Moosdeen F, Williams JD, Yamabe S. Antibacterial characteristics of YTR 830, a
242 sulfone β -lactamase inhibitor, compared with those of clavulanic acid and sulbactam.
243 *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1988; **32**: 925-7.
- 244 14. Livermore DM, Akova M, Wu PJ *et al.* Clavulanate and β -lactamase induction. *J*
245 *Antimicrob Chemother* 1989; **24** Suppl B: 23-33.
- 246 15. Pfeifle D, Janas E, Wiedemann B. Role of penicillin-binding proteins in the initiation of
247 the AmpC beta-lactamase expression in *Enterobacter cloacae*. *Antimicrob Agents*
248 *Chemother* 2000; **44**: 169-72.
- 249 16. Aguilera-Rossi CG, Gómez-Puertas P, Ayala-Serrano JA. In vivo functional and
250 molecular characterization of the penicillin-binding protein 4 (DacB) of *Pseudomonas*
251 *aeruginosa*. *BMC Microbiol* 2016; **16**: 234.
- 252 17. Tarral A, Merdjan H. Effect of age and sex on the pharmacokinetics and safety of
253 avibactam in healthy volunteers. *Clin Ther* 2015; **37**: 877-86.
- 254 18. Carrothers, TJ, Green, M, Chiu J *et al.* Population Pharmacokinetic modeling of
255 combination treatment of intravenous ceftazidime and avibactam. *J Pharmacokinet*
256 *Pharmacodyn* 2014; **41**: S70
- 257 19. Lagacé-Wiens P, Walkty A, Karlowsky JA. Ceftazidime-avibactam: an evidence-
258 based review of its pharmacology and potential use in the treatment of Gram-negative
259 bacterial infections. *Core Evid* 2014; **9**: 13-25.
- 260 20. Livermore DM, Mushtaq S, Barker K *et al.* Characterization of β -lactamase and porin
261 mutants of Enterobacteriaceae selected with ceftaroline + avibactam (NXL104). *J*
262 *Antimicrob Chemother* 2012; **67**: 1354-8.
- 263
- 264

265 **Table 1.** Primers and probes used in RT-PCR
266

Species	Primer/probe	Sequence (5' – 3')
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	pse_guaA_F	CTGACCTGCGTGTTCGTC
	pse_guaA_R	GAACATGGCCATCACCTG
	pse_ampC_F	ATGAAGGCCAATGACATTCC
	pse_ampC_R	CCATAGCTGAAGTAATGCGG
	pse_guaA	VIC-CTGCTGCGCCTGCACGAAG-TAMRA
	pse_ampC	6-FAM-TCTCCTTTCAGGCTGATGGCTACGG-TAMRA
<i>E. cloacae</i>	ent_rspL_F	ACGTACAGCACCACGACG
	ent_rspL_R	AGCGTGTCTTCCAGACTCAC
	ent_ampC_F	CGGATGAGGTCACGGATAAC
	ent_ampC_R	TGGCGTTGGCGTAAAGA
	ent_rspL	VIC-CACTCTCCGGTAGTTGACAGCATTGCT-TAMRA
	ent_ampC	6-FAM-ACTGCGGCTGCCAGTTTTGATAAAAAG-TAMRA
<i>C. freundii</i>	cit_rpoB_F	CGTACACCCGACTCACTACG
	cit_rpoB_R	AGACCGATGTTCCGGACCTT
	cit_apmC_F	GTGATATGTACCAGGGATTAGGC
	cit_ampC_R	AATGCCACTTTGCTGTCTG
	cit_rpoB	VIC-CGCGTATGTCCAATCGAAACGC-TAMRA
	cit_ampC	6-FAM-ATCGAATCAGCTTTCAGCGGCC-TAMRA

267

268 **Table 2.** MICs (mg/L) for test strains, determined by BSAC agar dilution

	Ceftazidime		Ceftaroline		Imipenem		DBOs alone	
	Alone	+AVI, 4 mg/L	Alone	+AVI, 4 mg/L	Alone	+REL 4 mg/L	AVI	REL
<i>E. cloacae</i>								
H101440920	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.5	0.125	16	128
H111900378	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.125	0.5	0.25	32	128
SE04013	0.5	0.25	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.25	32	128
SE04027	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.125	0.25	0.125	32	128
SE06012	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.125	0.5	0.25	32	128
<i>C. freundii</i>								
H103540377	0.5	0.125	0.5	0.06	0.25	0.125	128	>128
H121940571	0.5	0.125	1	0.06	0.25	0.25	128	>128
LN10083	0.5	0.125	0.25	0.06	0.25	NT	NT	NT
SE02016	0.5	0.125	0.25	0.06	0.25	0.25	>128	>128
SE02071	0.5	0.125	0.5	0.12	0.5	0.25	>128	>128
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>								
H111840682	2	1	8	1	0.25	0.25	>128	>128
H112220257	2	1	32	8	0.5	0.25	>128	>128
H114900202	2	2	8	8	2	0.5	>128	>128
H114980582	2	2	N/T	N/T	2	0.25	>128	>128
H115280631	2	2	16	2	0.5	0.5	>128	>128

269

270 Cefoxitin MICs were >128 mg/L for all isolates

271

272 **Notes to Table 2.** Isolates with numbers starting LN or SE were collected in a London

273 and Southeast England survey of resistance in 2004;³ those with numbers starting H10,

274 H11 and H12 were submissions to PHE's Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare

275 Associated Infection Reference Unit in 2010, 2011 and 2012 respectively. Abbreviations:

276 AVI, avibactam; NT, not tested; REL, relebactam.

277

278

Table 3. AmpC induction ratios for isolates exposed to cefoxitin and DBOs

Strain	Inducer	Induction period (minutes)				
		0	30	60	120	240
<i>E. cloacae</i> H101440920	Cefoxitin 1 mg/L	1.5	50	23	0.85	0.95
	Cefoxitin 4 mg/L	1.7	2600	2700	8.7	0.75
	Cefoxitin 32 mg/L	1.6	840	65	25	73
	Avibactam 1 mg/L	2.3	1.4	0.7	1.1	0.8
	Avibactam 4 mg/L	1.8	1.1	26	3.5	0.7
	Avibactam 32 mg/L	1.4	8900	6900	3600	270
	Relebactam 1 mg/L	1.3	0.8	1.0	0.7	0.9
	Relebactam 4 mg/L	0.8	0.9	1.4	1.5	0.7
	Relebactam 32 mg/L	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.5	0.8
<i>E. cloacae</i> H111900378	Cefoxitin 1 mg/L	1.2	0.85	0.75	1.2	1.1
	Cefoxitin 4 mg/L	0.35	30	0.8	1.3	0.85
	Cefoxitin 32 mg/L	0.3	110	35	29	20
	Avibactam 1 mg/L	0.1	1.6	0.8	1.4	1.2
	Avibactam 4 mg/L	0.1	4.6	0.6	1.1	1.0
	Avibactam 32 mg/L	0.1	4600	7400	1.7	1.0
	Relebactam 1 mg/L	0.5	0.8	1.5	2.1	1.3
	Relebactam 4 mg/L	0.5	0.8	1.8	2.2	1.5
	Relebactam 32 mg/L	1.3	1.1	1.6	1.4	1.7
<i>E. cloacae</i> SE04013	Cefoxitin 1 mg/L	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.4	1.4
	Cefoxitin 4 mg/L	1.1	67	2.7	1.1	1.0
	Cefoxitin 32 mg/L	1.3	2900	580	750	1700
	Avibactam 1 mg/L	0.8	0.7	1.2	0.5	2.1
	Avibactam 4 mg/L	2.0	1.0	0.6	0.4	2.2
	Avibactam 32 mg/L	1.3	0.8	0.6	0.4	2.2
	Relebactam 1 mg/L	2.0	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.2
	Relebactam 4 mg/L	2.7	1.5	2.2	2.1	1.8
	Relebactam 32 mg/L	2.6	1.4	2.4	2.1	1.0
<i>E. cloacae</i> SE04027	Cefoxitin 1 mg/L	1.2	2	0.65	1.05	1
	Cefoxitin 4 mg/L	1.6	480	1.1	1.4	0.8
	Cefoxitin 32 mg/L	1.8	4600	420	1300	360
	Avibactam 1 mg/L	1.2	2.0	1.0	2.8	0.9
	Avibactam 4 mg/L	1.9	4.6	1.7	1.3	1.2

	Avibactam 32 mg/L	2.2	3.8	850	0.9	0.6
	Relebactam 1 mg/L	1.0	1.2	1.6	1.0	0.9
	Relebactam 4 mg/L	1.2	1.4	1.3	2.4	1.1
	Relebactam 32 mg/L	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.9	1.6
<i>E. cloacae</i> SE06012	Cefoxitin 1 mg/L	0.8	1.2	13	1.1	1.0
	Cefoxitin 4 mg/L	1.6	26	220	1.8	1.0
	Cefoxitin 32 mg/L	1.5	1300	250	660	600
	Avibactam 1 mg/L	0.7	1.4	0.7	1.0	0.4
	Avibactam 4 mg/L	0.9	1.5	0.7	1.7	0.6
	Avibactam 32 mg/L	0.6	1.3	0.7	0.8	0.4
	Relebactam 1 mg/L	2.3	0.6	1.0	0.7	1.1
	Relebactam 4 mg/L	3.0	0.5	1.7	1.4	1.6
	Relebactam 32 mg/L	3.9	0.8	1.5	1.2	1.6
<i>C. freundii</i> H103540377	Cefoxitin 1 mg/L	1.3	11	13	1.7	1.6
	Cefoxitin 4 mg/L	1.2	100	31	12	4.5
	Cefoxitin 32 mg/L	1.4 ^a	180	64	89	22
	Avibactam 1 mg/L	1.3	1.0	0.9	1.3	0.9
	Avibactam 4 mg/L	1.2	0.6	0.9	1.0	1.0
	Avibactam 32 mg/L	1.6	0.8	1.3	1.0	0.8
	Relebactam 1 mg/L	(32) ^b	1.2	0.9	0.6	1.0
	Relebactam 4 mg/L	1.2	1.2	1.2	0.9	1.2
	Relebactam 32 mg/L	0.9	1.3	1.2	0.8	0.9
<i>C. freundii</i> H121940571	Cefoxitin 1 mg/L	0.8	6.3	9.7	5.0	1.1
	Cefoxitin 4 mg/L	1.0	30	20	42	9.6
	Cefoxitin 32 mg/L	1.9	75	43	120	41
	Avibactam 1 mg/L	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.6	3.2
	Avibactam 4 mg/L	0.5	1.4	0.8	1.6	2.2
	Avibactam 32 mg/L	1.1	0.8	1.0	0.8	1.1
	Relebactam 1 mg/L	1.9	0.7	0.7	0.8	1.0
	Relebactam 4 mg/L	2.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.1
	Relebactam 32 mg/L	2.4	1.2	0.8	0.7	1.0
<i>C. freundii</i> LN10083	Cefoxitin 1 mg/L	0.8	10	11	7.8	2.25
	Cefoxitin 4 mg/L	0.6	61	21	10	6.1
	Cefoxitin 32 mg/L	0.6	130	30	160	260
	Avibactam 1 mg/L	0.8	1.7	1.0	1.2	0.5

	Avibactam 4 mg/L	1.0	0.9	1.0	1.1	0.9
	Avibactam 32 mg/L	0.8	1.4	1.3	0.7	0.5
	Relebactam 1 mg/L	1.1	1.1	0.6	0.4	1.6
	Relebactam 4 mg/L	1.2	1.4	0.7	0.5	1.8
	Relebactam 32 mg/L	1.1	1.4	0.8	0.4	1.9
<i>C. freundii</i> SE02016	Cefoxitin 1 mg/L	0.7	7.8	12	9.1	1.9
	Cefoxitin 4 mg/L	0.6	54	19	6.5	1.6
	Cefoxitin 32 mg/L	0.6	150	81	140	140
	Avibactam 1 mg/L	1.1	0.5	0.7	0.8	1.0
	Avibactam 4 mg/L	1.0	0.4	1.3	0.5	1.0
	Avibactam 32 mg/L	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.7	1.0
	Relebactam 1 mg/L	1.2	1.3	0.9	0.6	0.8
	Relebactam 4 mg/L	0.9	1.8	1.1	0.4	0.6
	Relebactam 32 mg/L	1.0	1.5	1.1	0.6	0.7
<i>C. freundii</i> SE02071	Cefoxitin 1 mg/L	0.9	3.3	5.1	1.4	0.9
	Cefoxitin 4 mg/L	0.5	27	26	4.9	6.7
	Cefoxitin 32 mg/L	0.8	70	47	75	59
	Avibactam 1 mg/L	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
	Avibactam 4 mg/L	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
	Avibactam 32 mg/L	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
	Relebactam 1 mg/L	1.3	1.2	1.0	0.6	1.0
	Relebactam 4 mg/L	1.4	1.1	1.0	0.5	1.1
	Relebactam 32 mg/L	1.7	1.2	1.3	0.6	0.9
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> H111840682	Cefoxitin 1 mg/L	0.7	2.9	29	2.1	0.7
	Cefoxitin 4 mg/L	0.1	460	800	3.8	0.5
	Cefoxitin 32 mg/L	0.25	2700	780	23	9.2
	Avibactam 1 mg/L	0.3	2.2	0.8	0.0	0.0
	Avibactam 4 mg/L	0.3	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.1
	Avibactam 32 mg/L	0.2	170	860	182	19
	Relebactam 1 mg/L	0.3	0.5	1.4	0.3	0.6
	Relebactam 4 mg/L	0.8	1.6	2.8	1.0	0.3
	Relebactam 32 mg/L	0.3	1.6	1.4	1.5	0.7
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> H112220257	Cefoxitin 1 mg/L	3.8	3500	39	1.6	2.1
	Cefoxitin 4 mg/L	2.3	33	4.0	1.2	3.6
	Cefoxitin 32 mg/L	0.8	250	13	0.6	9.5

	Avibactam 1 mg/L	13	0.7	6.7	0.7	4.4
	Avibactam 4 mg/L	3.7	0.6	8.1	1.0	9.1
	Avibactam 32 mg/L	5.2	9.8	110	1.7	40
	Relebactam 1 mg/L	0.9	1.3	0.5	0.2	0.4
	Relebactam 4 mg/L	0.4	0.9	0.5	1.2	0.3
	Relebactam 32 mg/L	1.6	1.7	4.1	3.5	7.4
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> H114900202	Cefoxitin 1 mg/L	1.2	84	5	1.3	0.8
	Cefoxitin 4 mg/L	4.1	84	12	0.7	1.4
	Cefoxitin 32 mg/L	2.1	14000	250	1.3	5.8
	Avibactam 1 mg/L	0.7	0.5	5.8	0.1	0.2
	Avibactam 4 mg/L	0.7	0.2	6.6	1.0	0.2
	Avibactam 32 mg/L	0.7	1.1	0.7	1.9	21
	Relebactam 1 mg/L	0.9	0.7	2.1	3.7	14
	Relebactam 4 mg/L	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.1	1.0
	Relebactam 32 mg/L	0.9	0.8	4.1	0.5	1.6
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> H114980582	Cefoxitin 1 mg/L	3.1	53	4.9	3.0	3.35
	Cefoxitin 4 mg/L	1.9	43	33	11	170
	Cefoxitin 32 mg/L	2.6	8500	160	24	680
	Avibactam 1 mg/L	0.9	2.5	0.1	0.5	4.3
	Avibactam 4 mg/L	1.0	6.8	0.4	2.3	40.7
	Avibactam 32 mg/L	0.5	6.2	29.7	22000	1000
	Relebactam 1 mg/L	2.3	1.3	3.1	0.8	0.8
	Relebactam 4 mg/L	0.7	0.7	2.9	1.1	0.8
	Relebactam 32 mg/L	0.7	1.7	1.3	2.3	0.6
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> H115280631	Cefoxitin 1 mg/L	0.4	0.9	1.2	0.5	0.5
	Cefoxitin 4 mg/L	0.9	0.7	7.1	1.25	0.3
	Cefoxitin 32 mg/L	0.3	41	36	13	0.8
	Avibactam 1 mg/L	0.1	0.0	0.7	0.0	3.5
	Avibactam 4 mg/L	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.4	1.3
	Avibactam 32 mg/L	0.1	0.0	8.0	0.1	1.1
	Relebactam 1 mg/L	0.8	0.9	1.3	1.0	0.1
	Relebactam 4 mg/L	4.9	0.8	1.4	0.9	1.8
	Relebactam 32 mg/L	1.0	0.9	1.4	1.0	0.1

281
282
283

Results for DBOs are averages of three technical replicates; those for cefoxitin are averages of two sets of three technical replicates, once as a control for each DBO, except:

284

285 ^a where one set of three replicates was excluded owing to test failure

286 ^b test failure