

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

RNA-Associated Early-Stage Antiviral Factor Is a Major Component of Lv2 Restriction

Citation for published version:

Marno, K, O'Sullivan, E, Jones, C, Diaz-Delfin, J, Pardieu, C, Sloan, R & McKnight, Á 2017, 'RNA-Associated Early-Stage Antiviral Factor Is a Major Component of Lv2 Restriction' Journal of Virology, vol. 91, no. 10. DOI: 10.1128/JVI.01228-16

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1128/JVI.01228-16

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: Journal of Virology

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1	
2	RNA-associated Early-stage Anti-viral Factor (REAF) is a major component of LV2 restriction
3	
Л	Kelly M. Marno, Fithne O'Sullivan, Christonher F. Jones, Julieta Díaz-Delfín, Claire Pardieu
4	
5	Richard D. Sloan and Áine McKnight#
6	
7	Centre for Immunobiology, Blizard Institute, Barts and The London School of Medicine and
8	Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom
9	
10	Running Head: REAF is Lv2
11	
12	#Address correspondence to Áine McKnight, <u>a.mcknight@qmul.ac.uk</u>
13	Kelly M. Marno, kelly.marno@gmail.com
14	Eithne O'Sullivan, <u>eithneosk@gmail.com</u>
15	Christopher E. Jones, c.e.jones@qmul.ac.uk
16	Julieta Díaz-Delfín, diazdelfin_j@yahoo.com
17	Claire Pardieu, <u>c.pardieu@qmul.ac.uk</u>
18	Richard D. Sloan, r.d.sloan@qmul.ac.uk
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Word count (abstract): 189+102
24	Word count (text): 3241

25

26 Abstract

27

28 HIV and SIV replication in human cells is restricted at early post-entry steps by host inhibitory 29 factors. We previously described and characterised an early phase restriction of HIV-1 and 2 replication in human cell lines, primary macrophages and PBMCs. The restriction was termed 30 Lentiviral restriction 2 (Lv2). The viral determinants of Lv2 susceptibility mapped to the HIV-2 31 32 Env and CA. We subsequently reported a whole genome siRNA screen for factors involved in to 33 HIV which identified RNA-associated Early-stage Anti-viral Factor (REAF). Using HIV-2 chimeras of susceptible and non-susceptible viruses we show here that REAF is a major component of the 34 35 previously described Lv2. Further studies of the viral CA demonstrate that the CA mutation I73V (previously called I207V), a potent determinant for HIV-2, is a weak determinant of 36 37 susceptibility for HIV-1. More potent CA determinants for HIV-1 REAF restriction were identified at P38A, N74D, G89V and G94D. These results firmly establish that in HIV-1 CA is a strong 38 determinant of susceptibility to LV2/REAF. Similar to HIV-2 the HIV-1 Env can rescue sensitive 39 CAs from restriction. We conclude that REAF is a major component of the previously described 40 Lv2 restriction. 41

42

43

44

45

47 Importance

48

Measures taken by the host cell to combat infection drive the evolution of pathogens to counteract or side step them. The study of such virus-host conflicts can point to possible weaknesses in the arsenal of viruses and may lead to the rational design of anti-viral agents. Here we describe our discovery that the host restriction factor REAF fulfils the same criteria previously used to describe Lentiviral restriction (Lv2). We show that, like HIV-1 CA, the CA of HIV-1 is a strong determinant of LV2/REAF susceptibility. We illustrate how HIV counteracts LV2/REAF by using an envelope with alternative routes of entry into cells.

57

58 Introduction

59

Infection of cells by human and simian immunodeficiency virus (HIV and SIV) is initiated by 60 binding of the viral envelope (Env) to CD4. Conformational changes in the viral Env expose a site 61 that can interact with a chemokine receptor, either CXCR4 or CCR5, expressed at the cell 62 surface of CD4⁺ T cells and primary macrophages (1, 2). Viruses in general can enter cells 63 through different routes, either directly at the plasma membrane (PM) or through one of a 64 65 number of endocytic pathways (3). Influenza is a prototypical virus that enters cells through an endocytic route and requires the acid environment of the late endosome to trigger its fusion 66 and entry into cells. Since the mechanism of HIV fusion is pH independent (4) it has been widely 67 assumed that HIV fuses at the PM (5-7). pH independent endocytic entry has recently been 68

observed (8-15) and is thus a possible mechanism of HIV entry; this however remains a topic of 69 70 considerable controversy (16, 17). Regardless of the route, once HIV fuses at the plasma 71 membrane the conical core is released into the cytoplasm. The viral genomic RNA is reverse 72 transcribed by the virally encoded RNA/DNA dependent reverse transcriptase (RT), resulting in 73 virally encoded RNA:DNA, single and double stranded (ss and ds)DNA intermediates. The RNase 74 H activity of RT degrades the RNA from these hybrids resulting in ssDNA from which the second DNA strand is synthesised (18, 19). Once reverse transcription is complete the double stranded 75 76 proviral DNA is processed for integration into the host cell genome.

77

HIV must overcome many cellular barriers to its replication as it journey to the nucleus to 78 79 integrate into the host genome (20, 21). Interferon-induced transmembrane proteins (IFITMs) can inhibit virus-cell membrane fusion (22) and the process of reverse transcription itself is also 80 81 vulnerable. Immediately after initiation, members of the Apolipoprotein B mRNA-editing, enzyme-catalytic, polypeptide-like (APOBEC) family of restriction factors induce deoxycytidine 82 to deoxyuridine mutations in the nascent DNA (23). Further disabling reverse transcription 83 SAMHD1 depletes the dNTP substrates required (24). RNA-associated Early-stage Anti-viral 84 Factor (REAF) was described to inhibit HIV and SIV replication during reverse transcription (25). 85 REAF is intrinsically expressed and provides an initial line of defence against HIV and SIV 86 87 infection. It associates with reverse transcripts; either ssDNA or RNA:DNA hybrids, however the precise mechanism of its action is not yet understood. A more recently described restriction 88 89 factor MX2/MXB inhibits replication at a later stage, suppressing nuclear import and proviral formation (26-28). Integration is inhibited by the TRIM28 (KAP1)/SETDB1 complex (29). Once 90

the provirus is integrated the late phase of the replication cycle begins with the production of
viral proteins (30). A plasma membrane located restriction factor tetherin/BST2/CD317,
prevents viruses from leaving the cell at the late budding stage of the life cycle (31).

94

95 The first Lentiviral restriction factor 1 (Lv1) effective against HIV-1 was identified as rhesus TRIM5α (32, 33). Lv1/TRIM5α is species specific and active against HIV-1 in non-human primate 96 cells. TRIM5 α forms a lattice around the capsid (CA) resulting in premature disassembly of the 97 98 conical core (34). It is not known if Lv2 is species specific. It inhibits HIV-1 and 2 during reverse transcription and susceptibility is determined by the viral CA (35). Lv2 differs from Lv1 in that 99 the Env is an additional determinant of restriction. Approximately half of HIV-1 and HIV-2 100 101 viruses are susceptible to Lv2 (35). Lv3 is a post entry restriction to infection of simian MAGI cells by HIV-1 and similar to Lv2 is dependent on fusion events at the cell membrane (36). Lv4 102 103 restricts nuclear entry of SIV isolates in human cells (37). A recently described restriction to HIV-1 induced by TLR 7/8 agonist in human monocytes is termed Lv5 (38). So far the identities 104 of Lv3, 4 and 5 are unknown. Here we describe the identification of REAF as a potent 105 component of Lv2. 106

107

To identify components of Lv2 we designed a whole genome siRNA screen (20). HeLa-CD4 cells were transfected with an siRNA library targeting 19,121 human genes and then challenged with an HIV-1^{89.6} (MCR) pseudovirus (20). One factor identified was RPRD2 (here called RNAassociated Early-stage Anti-viral Factor; REAF) which we now show fulfils the characteristics used to define Lv2 (35,38). 113

114 Materials and Methods

115

Cells. Buffy coats from seronegative donors were obtained from the National Blood Service 116 117 (Brentwood, UK). Donors were anonymous and patient consent was not required. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were prepared by density-gradient centrifugation 118 (Lymphoprep, Axis-Shield). Monocyte-derived macrophages (MDM) were isolated from PBMC 119 120 using CD14⁺ MACS Microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec) and left to differentiate for 5 days in RPMI 121 1640/10% foetal calf serum (FCS) and 15ng/ml granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF; Peprotech). HEK 293T, HeLa-CD4, U87-CD4-CXCR4, HeLa EKV and HeLa 122 123 EKVΔCPSF6-358 cells and their optimal culture conditions have been described previously (39-124 42).

Preparation of REAF knockdown cells. The pSUPER RNAi system (pSUPER.retro.puro; Oligoengine) was used for expression of shRNA in mammalian cells (43, 44). For REAF knockdown pSUPER.retro.puro(shREAF) was generated by digestion with BglII and HindIII, annealing of the specific primers and ligation. The shRNA target sequences are shown in upper case within the primers listed below:

shREAF-BgIII: 5' gatccccCACGTAAGCCCTCAGATGAttcaagagaTCATCTGAGGGGCTTACGTGttttta 3'
 shREAF-HindIII: 5' agcttaaaaaCACGTAAGCCCTCAGATGAtctcttgaaTCATCTGAGGGCTTACGTGggg
 3'

The vector was either transfected directly into HeLa-CD4 cells for transient knockdown or used
 to generate stable knockdown cell lines. Briefly, retroviruses were produced by co-transfecting

pSUPER.retro.puro(shREAF) with an HIV-1 gag-pol expression vector (p8.91) (45) and pMDG
VSV-G Env into HEK 293T cells. Supernatant containing virus was harvested after 48 hours and
was used to transduce HeLa-CD4 cells under puromycin selection. REAF silencing in transient
and stably knocked down cells was confirmed by Western blot.

139

Plasmids and virus production. The infectious molecular clone for HIV-1^{89.6} was obtained from the Centre for AIDS Research (NIBSC, UK). Infectious full-length and chimeric HIV clones were prepared by polyethylenimine (PEI; Polysciences) or Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) transfection of HEK 293T cells. The virus named in parentheses for each pseudotype denotes the Env used.

145

Production of CA mutant viruses. HIV-1 CA mutants were generated by site directed mutagenesis (SDM) of the HIV-1^{NL4.3}-derived viral clone pBR-NL43-IRES-eGFP (46) with further modification to introduce stop codons in the first and third codons of the Env coding sequence. HIV-1 pseudovirus particles were produced by PEI transfection of HEK 293T cells using a 1:1 molar ratio of viral plasmid to MCR/MCN/VSV-G/NL4.3 Env expression plasmid.

151

Western blot. SDS-PAGE separated proteins were detected with the primary rabbit polyclonal antibody against REAF (Eurogentec) or GAPDH (loading control; Abcam) followed by horseradish peroxidase-conjugated donkey α -rabbit antibody (GE Healthcare). Protein was visualised using a chemiluminescence kit (ECL; GE Healthcare).

siRNA transfection and infection with replication competent virus. HeLa-CD4 cells were seeded at 2.5×10^4 cells/well in 24-well plates. siRNA transfection (30nM) was performed using HiPerfect (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's instructions using the following sequences:

- 160 siREAF: 5' CACGTAAGCCCTCAGATGATA 3'
- 161 siCB: 5' ACAGCAAATTCCATCGTGT 3'

162 72 hours after siRNA transfection, cells were challenged with virus for up to 5 hr. Infection was
163 assessed up to 48 hours by intracellular p24 staining.

164

In situ immunostaining for p24 antigen. Infected cells were fixed with cold (-20°C) methanol:acetone (1:1), washed with PBS then immunostained for p24 using mouse anti-HIV-1 p24 monoclonal antibodies EVA365 and 366 (NIBSC, UK) (1:50) as previously described (47). Infected cell foci stained blue (regarded as foci of infection (FFU/ml)) and were quantitated by light microscopy.

170

171 **Statistical analysis**. The results presented are derived from a minimum of three independent 172 experiments performed in duplicate at minimum. Differences between two treatments were 173 tested for statistical significance using unpaired two-tailed *t*-Tests. * denotes p < 0.05, *n.s.* not 174 significant.

175

176 Results

The HIV-2 molecular determinants of Lv2 restriction were previously mapped using two HIV-2 molecular clones of viruses derived from the same patient, HIV-2^{MCR} and HIV-2^{MCN}, which are differentially sensitive to Lv2. A gene swapping approach between the viruses identified the *gag* and *env* genes as critical determinants of Lv2 restriction (39). These chimeric viruses (shown schematically in Fig. 1A) were tested to determine if they had the same pattern of susceptibility to REAF.

HeLa-CD4 cells were knocked down for REAF using specific (siREAF) or non-targeting control 184 siRNA (cyclophilin B, siCB) (Fig. 1B). Fig. 1C shows viral rescue in HeLa-CD4 cells following 185 treatment with siREAF and compared with cells treated with siCB. Repeat experiments 186 consistently show, as expected for a virus highly sensitive to Lv2 (39), that the HIV-2^{MCR} virus is 187 potently rescued in comparison to HIV-2^{MCN} (50 fold vs 10 fold; p = 0.004). When the *env* and 188 gag from the restricted HIV-2^{MCR} was inserted in place of the relatively insensitive HIV-2^{MCN} env 189 and *qaq* (HIV-2^{MCNmcr env+gag}), greater sensitivity of this virus to REAF was observed (66 fold). In 190 the reciprocal experiment, where the *env* and *qaq* from HIV-2^{MCN} replaced the HIV-2^{MCR} genes 191 (HIV-2^{MCRmcn env+gag}), the resulting chimera was only rescued 3 fold (p < 0.001). These results for 192 susceptibility to REAF are consistent with the Lv2 phenotype previously described (39). A single 193 point mutation in HIV-2^{MCR} CA at position 73 is known to be a critical determinant of Lv2 194 restriction (previously labelled position 207). Fig. 1C shows that HIV-2^{MCR CA 173V} is rescued only 195 12 fold from REAF restriction compared to 50 fold for wild type HIV-2^{MCR} (p = 0.003). 196

197 To confirm these results and for further experiments we generated HeLa-CD4 cell lines 198 permanently expressing shRNA specific for REAF mRNA. Western blot (WB) analysis shows that 199 the HeLa-CD4-shREAF cells expressed much less REAF protein than the parental HeLa-CD4 cells 200 (Fig. 1D). The phenotype of knockdown of REAF in this cell line was confirmed using HIV-2^{MCR} 201 and HIV-2^{MCR CA 173V}. The HIV-2^{MCR} virus was restricted 326 fold compared to 33 fold for the HIV-202 2^{MCR CA 173V} (Fig. 1E; p = 0.016).

203 We previously reported that Lv2 was active in HeLa-CD4, human primary PBMC and MDM but 204 not in U87-CD4-CXCR4 cells (39). REAF mRNA (data not shown) and protein is present in MDM 205 and to much lower levels in PBMC (Fig. 1F) while WB analysis shows it to be barely detectable in 206 U87-CD4-CXCR4 (Fig. 1G).

207

As previously reported for Lv2 (39) and further demonstrated here, the HIV-2 determinants for 208 REAF are Env and CA (specifically amino acid 73). We sought to identify the determinants of 209 REAF restriction for HIV-1. Fig. 2A shows that, compared to HIV-1^{89.6}, HIV-1^{NL4.3} is more resistant 210 to REAF restriction (3 fold vs 21 fold; p < 0.001). We used HIV-1^{NL4.3} to further establish if the 211 212 equivalent HIV-2 CA mutation 73 plays a role in Lv2/REAF restriction in HIV-1. Using SDM we generated HIV-1^{NL4.3 CA 173V}. Both wild type and mutant CA were pseudotyped with HIV-2^{MCR} Env 213 and/or HIV-1^{NL4.3} Env and tested for their susceptibility to REAF using the HeLa-CD4-shREAF cell 214 line. Fig. 2B shows that wild type HIV-1^{NL4.3} CA is only weakly susceptible to REAF when 215 pseudotyped with HIV-1^{NL4.3} Env. However when the CA is mutated (HIV-1^{NL4.3 CA I73V} (NL4.3)) the 216 217 restriction is more potent but still relatively weak compared to HIV-2 (15 fold, compare to Fig. 1E for HIV-2). The CA I73V was further restricted when pseudotyped with an HIV-2^{MCR} Env (21 218 fold, p = 0.03), but not with HIV-2^{MCN} Env (16 fold, p = n.s.). Thus CA amino acid 73 and Env are 219 determinants of Lv2/REAF restriction for both HIV-1 and 2. 220

The CA amino acid at position 73 lies in the binding domain of the cleavage and polyadenylation specific factor 6 (CPSF6) protein (48). This is of particular interest as the adjacent CA mutation (N74D) has been shown to affect the sensitivity of HIV-1 to depletion of RanBP2, Nup153 and TNPO3 nuclear pore proteins (49, 50).

N74D is an HIV-1 escape mutant that was generated by passage of HIV-1^{NL4.3} in cells expressing an artificially mutated CPSF6-358 that perturbs HIV-1 nuclear entry (50). CPSF6 is a pre-mRNA processing protein that shuttles between the nucleus and the cytoplasm (51). The mutant form CPSF6-358 lacks a C-terminal nuclear-targeting arginine/serine (RS)-rich domain and so is confined to the cytoplasm and restricts HIV-1 before nuclear entry (50, 52, 53).

We tested whether the Lv2/REAF HIV-2 CA determinant I73V was similar to N74D with respect 231 232 to resistance to CPSF6-358. Another CA mutation, P38A, which was mutated outside the CPSF6 CA binding region was included as a negative control (54). HeLa-CD4 target cells permanently 233 234 expressing the mutant CPSF6-358 (HeLa EKV\(Lambda)CPSF6-358) or vector alone (HeLa EKV) (42, 48) were challenged with pseudotypes carrying a mutant or wild type CA. Fig. 2C shows that, as 235 expected, infection of the pseudotypes with wild type and P38A CA are inhibited (62 and 73 236 fold respectively). However both CA mutants, HIV-1^{NL4.3 CA 173V} (VSV-G) and HIV-1^{NL4.3 CA N74D} (VSV-237 G), were resistant to CPSF6-358 (3.3 and 1.6 fold; both *p* < 0.001). 238

239

Given this similarity in resistance to CPSF6-358 we also sought to determine whether, similar to 173V, N74D is more susceptible than wild type virus to REAF restriction. HeLa-CD4 and HeLa-CD4-shREAF cells were challenged by pseudotypes with either wild type or mutated CA. Fig. 3A shows that HIV-1^{NL4.3} (MCR) is rescued 13 fold. Surprisingly HIV-1^{NL4.3 CA N74D} (MCR) is even more restricted and is rescued 53 fold (p < 0.001), suggesting CA N74D is a more potent determinant of REAF restriction in HIV-1

As well as being less sensitive to CPSF6-358, the CA N74D mutation has a more stable conical 246 core which results in delayed disassembly and reverse transcription (55). It is thought that 247 248 optimal disassembly of the conical core is required for successful infection as mutations interfering with core stability often result in a disturbance of reverse transcription kinetics (54, 249 56-58). We previously reported that REAF was transiently down modulated shortly after 250 251 infection (25). We hypothesised that unstable capsids will prematurely disassemble and expose 252 reverse transcripts to REAF. The corollary of this is that capsids that disassemble too late will miss the window of time where REAF is absent. To test this hypothesis that capsid stability was 253 254 a determinant of REAF/Lv2 restriction we investigated the REAF susceptibility of CA mutations with varying CA stability. P38A, in contrast to N74D, is highly unstable (54, 59) while G94D is 255 256 unaffected (55). The G89V mutation in the cyclophilin binding loop was chosen because it has previously been shown to affect sensitivity to host restriction factors (42, 54, 57, 58). P38A is 257 also distinct from N74D in that it is sensitive to CPSF6-358 (Fig. 2C). Fig. 3C, D and E show the 258 infectivity of all three capsid mutants. HIV-1^{NL4.3 CA P38A} (MCR), HIV-1^{NL4.3 CA G89V} (MCR) and HIV-259 1^{NL4.3 CA G94D} were severely compromised on HeLa-CD4 cells (titre of <500 FFU/ml; compared to 260 261 wild type CA, Fig. 3B). However their replication was rescued in the absence of REAF similar to HIV-1^{NL4.3 CA N74D} (MCR) (Fig. 3F; all p < 0.001). 262

263

We showed above that in addition to CA, HIV-1 Env also confers sensitivity to REAF (Fig. 2B). We previously determined that HIV-2^{MCN} Env has the ability to overcome Lv2 (35, 39). If REAF is Lv2 the HIV-2^{MCN} Env would overcome REAF restriction. HeLa-CD4-shREAF cells were challenged with HIV-1^{NL4.3} with a wild type CA pseudotyped with HIV-2^{MCN} Env. As expected the wild type HIV-1^{NL4.3} CA was only slightly restricted and the HIV-2^{MCN} Env could reduce this to a small but significant degree (5.6 to 3.1 fold; p = 0.007) (Fig. 4A). In contrast the HIV-1^{NL4.3} strains carrying REAF sensitive CA mutations N74D, P38A, G89V and G94V were potently rescued with the HIV-2^{MCN} Env (Fig. 4B-E, all *p*-values < 0.001) further confirming that REAF and Lv2 are similarly rescued by viral Env.

273

274 Discussion

Here we show that REAF is a major component of the previously described restriction Lv2 (35, 275 60, 61) . HIV chimeric viruses and mutants that delineate susceptible and resistant clones 276 demonstrate that Lv2 and REAF are indistinguishable. Both Lv2 and REAF restriction activity are 277 molecularly determined by the viral Env and CA. The CA amino acid at position 73 in HIV-2 was 278 a crucial determinant of Lv2 and confirmed here for REAF (39). The equivalent amino acid at 279 position 73 also affects HIV-1 susceptibility to REAF. Although the effects of I73V are statistically 280 significant they are much weaker compared to HIV-2. Indeed, the same amino acid substitution 281 rendered HIV-2^{MCN} less susceptible but HIV-1^{NL4.3} more susceptible to REAF. This led us to the 282 283 hypothesis that the overall structure or stability of the CA rather than precise molecular interactions is critical. It has been proposed that disassembly too early prior to localisation at 284 the nuclear pore would result in exposure to restriction factors and premature termination of 285 reverse transcription (19, 62-65). Indeed it has been proposed that HIV-1 disassembly involves a 286 regulated collapse of the conical core which protects viral reverse transcription complexes (66). 287

Also it has been shown that disassembly occurs within an hour of fusion and is facilitated by 288 289 reverse transcription (67-69). We have observed that cellular REAF is reduced within one hour of viral challenge, but importantly, levels are rapidly replenished an hour later (25). REAF 290 291 associates with viral nucleic acid and restricts replication during reverse transcription (25). We 292 therefore suggested that the temporary reduction in REAF protein level allows viruses to reverse transcribe in the absence of REAF associated activity. This model is in keeping with the 293 notion that CA stability is a determinant of REAF associated restriction. We further tested the 294 295 hypothesis that CA stability is a determinant of REAF susceptibility using already well characterised mutants with different CA stabilities. Compared to I73V (20 fold) more potent 296 effects (more than 50 fold) were observed with mutants P38A (unstable), N74D (hyperstable) 297 298 and G94D (unaffected) (55). Since all these CA mutations result in greater susceptibility to REAF associated activity despite having divergent CA stabilities we cannot conclude that CA stability is 299 300 a major determinant of susceptibility to REAF. Regardless we show that the CA is a strong determinant of REAF restriction in HIV-1. Given the multifunctional role of CA in HIV-1 301 replication (70), understanding the role of CA in susceptibility to REAF associated activity may 302 shed light on more specific interactions of CA with host cell factors required for efficient 303 304 infectivity of HIV.

305

It is highly controversial whether or not infectious HIV conical cores enter the cytoplasm after fusion at the plasma membrane or through an endocytic route (8-17, 35, 60). Our previous observation of Lv2 suggests that, although either route is possible, infection is more successful when the virus fuses at the plasma membrane and avoids an endocytic entry pathway (35, 60). Our results here confirm that, like Lv2, the choice of entry route as determined by the viral Env is a determinant of susceptibility to REAF associated activity. HIV-1^{NL4.3} can be rendered sensitive to REAF if pseudotyped with HIV-2^{MCR} while HIV-2^{MCN} Env does not. These previously characterised HIV-2 Envs fuse either at the plasma membrane (MCN) or via an endocytic route (MCR) [35,38]. Furthermore all CA mutants which were rendered highly sensitive to REAF associated activity were protected when pseudotyped with an MCN Env.

We propose that, similar to Lv2, REAF may be more active against viruses attempting to access the cytoplasm via an endosome. Therefore, fusion at the plasma membrane is a more efficient replication pathway. However we cannot eliminate the possibility that some viruses will bypass REAF associated activity regardless of entry route, for example if they have a conical core without the sensitivity conferring mutations described here. Future studies that address the role of Env and CA in determining REAF associated restriction will shed light on these early host cell interactions in the early life cycle of HIV.

323

324 Acknowledgements

The monoclonal antibodies to p24 (EVA365 and 366) were provided by the EU Programme EVA Centre for AIDS Reagents, NIBSC, UK (AVIP Contract Number LSHP-CT-2004-503487). We thank Laura Hilditch and Greg Towers for providing HeLa cells expressing CPSF6-358. Thanks to Uta Von Schwedler and Wesley Sundquist for the very kind donation of HIV-1-NL4.3 CA mutants. We would like to remember Uta who is no longer with us to see the publication of this paper.

330

332	Autho	r contributions	
333	Design	ed experiments; ÁMcK, KMM, RDS; Performed experiments; ÁMcK, KM, EOS, CEJ, JDD,	
334	CP and	RDS; Analysed data; ÁMcK, KMM, RDS. Wrote the manuscript; ÁMcK and KMM.	
335			
336	Fundir	ng Information	
337	This w	ork was supported by The Rosetrees Trust (M275 and M275-F1) and a Queen Mary	
338	Univer	sity of London, Life Sciences Institute PhD studentship (C. E. J). The funders had no role in	
339	study	design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for	
340	publica	ation.	
341			
342	References		
343	1.	Clapham PR, McKnight A. 2002. Cell surface receptors, virus entry and tropism of primate	
344		lentiviruses. J Gen Virol 83: 1809-1829.	
345	2.	Doms RW, Trono D. 2000. The plasma membrane as a combat zone in the HIV battlefield. Genes	
346		Dev 14: 2677-2688.	
347	3.	Marsh M, Helenius A. 2006. Virus entry: open sesame. Cell 124:729-740.	
348	4.	McClure MO, Sommerfelt MA, Marsh M, Weiss RA. 1990. The pH independence of mammalian	
349		retrovirus infection. J Gen Virol 71 (Pt 4): 767-773.	
350	5.	Maddon PJ, McDougal JS, Clapham PR, Dalgleish AG, Jamal S, Weiss RA, Axel R. 1988. HIV	
351		infection does not require endocytosis of its receptor, CD4. Cell 54:865-874.	
352	6.	Pelchen-Matthews A, Clapham P, Marsh M. 1995. Role of CD4 endocytosis in human	
353		immunodeficiency virus infection. J Virol 69:8164-8168.	

- Stein BS, Gowda SD, Lifson JD, Penhallow RC, Bensch KG, Engleman EG. 1987. pH-independent
 HIV entry into CD4-positive T cells via virus envelope fusion to the plasma membrane. Cell
 49:659-668.
- Vidricaire G, Tremblay MJ. 2007. A clathrin, caveolae, and dynamin-independent endocytic
 pathway requiring free membrane cholesterol drives HIV-1 internalization and infection in
 polarized trophoblastic cells. J Mol Biol 368:1267-1283.
- de la Vega M, Marin M, Kondo N, Miyauchi K, Kim Y, Epand RF, Epand RM, Melikyan GB. 2011.
 Inhibition of HIV-1 endocytosis allows lipid mixing at the plasma membrane, but not complete
 fusion. Retrovirology 8:99.
- Carter GC, Bernstone L, Baskaran D, James W. 2011. HIV-1 infects macrophages by exploiting an
 endocytic route dependent on dynamin, Rac1 and Pak1. Virology 409:234-250.
- Clotet-Codina I, Bosch B, Senserrich J, Fernández-Figueras MT, Peña R, Ballana E, Bofill M,
 Clotet B, Esté JA. 2009. HIV endocytosis after dendritic cell to T cell viral transfer leads to
 productive virus infection. Antiviral Res 83:94-98.
- 368 12. Daecke J, Fackler OT, Dittmar MT, Kräusslich HG. 2005. Involvement of clathrin-mediated
 369 endocytosis in human immunodeficiency virus type 1 entry. J Virol **79:**1581-1594.
- Maréchal V, Prevost MC, Petit C, Perret E, Heard JM, Schwartz O. 2001. Human
 immunodeficiency virus type 1 entry into macrophages mediated by macropinocytosis. J Virol
 75:11166-11177.
- 373 14. Sloan RD, Kuhl BD, Mesplède T, Münch J, Donahue DA, Wainberg MA. 2013. Productive entry
 374 of HIV-1 during cell-to-cell transmission via dynamin-dependent endocytosis. J Virol 87:8110375 8123.
- Miyauchi K, Kim Y, Latinovic O, Morozov V, Melikyan GB. 2009. HIV enters cells via endocytosis
 and dynamin-dependent fusion with endosomes. Cell 137:433-444.

- Herold N, Müller B, Kräusslich HG. 2015. Reply to "Can HIV-1 entry sites be deduced by
 comparing bulk endocytosis to functional readouts for viral fusion?" J Virol 89:2986-2987.
- Marin M, Melikyan GB. 2015. Can HIV-1 entry sites be deduced by comparing bulk endocytosis
 to functional readouts for viral fusion? J Virol 89:2985.
- Peterlin BM, Trono D. 2003. Hide, shield and strike back: how HIV-infected cells avoid immune
 eradication. Nat Rev Immunol 3:97-107.
- Campbell EM, Hope TJ. 2015. HIV-1 capsid: the multifaceted key player in HIV-1 infection. Nat
 Rev Microbiol 13:471-483.
- 386 20. Liu L, Oliveira NM, Cheney KM, Pade C, Dreja H, Bergin AM, Borgdorff V, Beach DH, Bishop CL,
- 387 Dittmar MT, McKnight A. 2011. A whole genome screen for HIV restriction factors.
 388 Retrovirology 8:94.
- 389 21. Simon V, Bloch N, Landau NR. 2015. Intrinsic host restrictions to HIV-1 and mechanisms of viral
 390 escape. Nat Immunol 16:546-553.
- Lu J, Pan Q, Rong L, He W, Liu SL, Liang C. 2011. The IFITM proteins inhibit HIV-1 infection. J
 Virol 85:2126-2137.
- 393 23. Bishop KN, Holmes RK, Sheehy AM, Malim MH. 2004. APOBEC-mediated editing of viral RNA.
 394 Science 305:645.
- Laguette N, Sobhian B, Casartelli N, Ringeard M, Chable-Bessia C, Ségéral E, Yatim A, Emiliani
 S, Schwartz O, Benkirane M. 2011. SAMHD1 is the dendritic- and myeloid-cell-specific HIV-1
 restriction factor counteracted by Vpx. Nature 474:654-657.
- Marno KM, Ogunkolade BW, Pade C, Oliveira NM, O'Sullivan E, McKnight Á. 2014. Novel
 restriction factor RNA-associated early-stage anti-viral factor (REAF) inhibits human and simian
 immunodeficiency viruses. Retrovirology 11:3.

401	26.	Goujon C, Moncorgé O, Bauby H, Doyle T, Ward CC, Schaller T, Hué S, Barclay WS, Schulz R,
402		Malim MH. 2013. Human MX2 is an interferon-induced post-entry inhibitor of HIV-1 infection.
403		Nature 502: 559-562.

404 27. Kane M, Yadav SS, Bitzegeio J, Kutluay SB, Zang T, Wilson SJ, Schoggins JW, Rice CM,

405 Yamashita M, Hatziioannou T, Bieniasz PD. 2013. MX2 is an interferon-induced inhibitor of HIV406 1 infection. Nature 502:563-566.

- 407 28. Liu Z, Pan Q, Ding S, Qian J, Xu F, Zhou J, Cen S, Guo F, Liang C. 2013. The interferon-inducible
 408 MxB protein inhibits HIV-1 infection. Cell Host Microbe 14:398-410.
- 409 29. Allouch A, Di Primio C, Alpi E, Lusic M, Arosio D, Giacca M, Cereseto A. 2011. The TRIM family
- 410 protein KAP1 inhibits HIV-1 integration. Cell Host Microbe **9**:484-495.
- 411 30. **Goff SP.** 2007. Host factors exploited by retroviruses. Nat Rev Microbiol **5**:253-263.
- 412 31. Neil SJ, Zang T, Bieniasz PD. 2008. Tetherin inhibits retrovirus release and is antagonized by HIV413 1 Vpu. Nature 451:425-430.
- Towers G, Bock M, Martin S, Takeuchi Y, Stoye JP, Danos O. 2000. A conserved mechanism of
 retrovirus restriction in mammals. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97:12295-12299.
- 416 33. Cowan S, Hatziioannou T, Cunningham T, Muesing MA, Gottlinger HG, Bieniasz PD. 2002.
 417 Cellular inhibitors with Fv1-like activity restrict human and simian immunodeficiency virus
 418 tropism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99:11914-11919.
- 419 34. Pertel T, Hausmann S, Morger D, Züger S, Guerra J, Lascano J, Reinhard C, Santoni FA, Uchil PD,
- 420 Chatel L, Bisiaux A, Albert ML, Strambio-De-Castillia C, Mothes W, Pizzato M, Grütter MG,
- 421 Luban J. 2011. TRIM5 is an innate immune sensor for the retrovirus capsid lattice. Nature
 422 472:361-365.

- 423 35. **Marchant D, Neil SJ, Aubin K, Schmitz C, McKnight A.** 2005. An envelope-determined, pH-424 independent endocytic route of viral entry determines the susceptibility of human 425 immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) and HIV-2 to Lv2 restriction. J Virol **79:**9410-9418.
- 426 36. **Pineda MJ, Orton BR, Overbaugh J.** 2007. A TRIM5alpha-independent post-entry restriction to
- 427 HIV-1 infection of macaque cells that is dependent on the path of entry. Virology **363:**310-318.
- 429 activity that restricts nuclear entry of SIVMAC/SIVSM in human blood cells, abstr Frontiers of 430 Retrovirology: Complex retroviruses, retroelements and their hosts, Cambridge,

Pizzato M, Neagu M, Pertel T, Firrito C, Ziglio S, Zufferey M, Berthoux L, Luban J. 2013. Lv4, an

- 431 38. Hofmann H, Vanwalscappel B, Bloch N, Landau NR. 2016. TLR7/8 agonist induces a post-entry
- 432 SAMHD1-independent block to HIV-1 infection of monocytes. Retrovirology **13**:83.

428

37.

- 433 39. Schmitz C, Marchant D, Neil SJ, Aubin K, Reuter S, Dittmar MT, McKnight A. 2004. Lv2, a novel
 434 postentry restriction, is mediated by both capsid and envelope. J Virol 78:2006-2016.
- 435 40. Deng HK, Unutmaz D, KewalRamani VN, Littman DR. 1997. Expression cloning of new receptors
 436 used by simian and human immunodeficiency viruses. Nature 388:296-300.
- 437 41. Salahuddin SZ, Markham PD, Wong-Staal F, Franchini G, Kalyanaraman VS, Gallo RC. 1983.
- 438 Restricted expression of human T-cell leukemia--lymphoma virus (HTLV) in transformed human
 439 umbilical cord blood lymphocytes. Virology **129:**51-64.
- 440 42. Rasaiyaah J, Tan CP, Fletcher AJ, Price AJ, Blondeau C, Hilditch L, Jacques DA, Selwood DL,
 441 James LC, Noursadeghi M, Towers GJ. 2013. HIV-1 evades innate immune recognition through
 442 specific cofactor recruitment. Nature 503:402-405.
- 443 43. **Brummelkamp TR, Bernards R, Agami R.** 2002. A system for stable expression of short 444 interfering RNAs in mammalian cells. Science **296:**550-553.
- 44. Brummelkamp TR, Bernards R, Agami R. 2002. Stable suppression of tumorigenicity by virus446 mediated RNA interference. Cancer Cell 2:243-247.

447	45.	Naldini L, Blomer U, Gallay P, Ory D, Mulligan R, Gage FH, Verma IM, Trono D. 1996. In vivo
448		gene delivery and stable transduction of nondividing cells by a lentiviral vector. Science 272:263-
449		267.

- 450 46. Schindler M, Münch J, Kutsch O, Li H, Santiago ML, Bibollet-Ruche F, Müller-Trutwin MC,
- 451 Novembre FJ, Peeters M, Courgnaud V, Bailes E, Roques P, Sodora DL, Silvestri G, Sharp PM,
 452 Hahn BH, Kirchhoff F. 2006. Nef-mediated suppression of T cell activation was lost in a lentiviral
 453 lineage that gave rise to HIV-1. Cell 125:1055-1067.
- 454 47. McKnight A, Clapham PR, Weiss RA. 1994. HIV-2 and SIV infection of nonprimate cell lines
 455 expressing human CD4: restrictions to replication at distinct stages. Virology 201:8-18.
- 456 48. Price AJ, Fletcher AJ, Schaller T, Elliott T, Lee K, KewalRamani VN, Chin JW, Towers GJ, James
- 457 LC. 2012. CPSF6 defines a conserved capsid interface that modulates HIV-1 replication. PLoS
 458 Pathog 8:e1002896.
- 459 49. Schaller T, Ocwieja KE, Rasaiyaah J, Price AJ, Brady TL, Roth SL, Hué S, Fletcher AJ, Lee K,
 460 KewalRamani VN, Noursadeghi M, Jenner RG, James LC, Bushman FD, Towers GJ. 2011. HIV-1
 461 capsid-cyclophilin interactions determine nuclear import pathway, integration targeting and
 462 replication efficiency. PLoS Pathog 7:e1002439.
- 463 50. Lee K, Ambrose Z, Martin TD, Oztop I, Mulky A, Julias JG, Vandegraaff N, Baumann JG, Wang R,
- 464 Yuen W, Takemura T, Shelton K, Taniuchi I, Li Y, Sodroski J, Littman DR, Coffin JM, Hughes SH,
- 465 **Unutmaz D, Engelman A, KewalRamani VN.** 2010. Flexible use of nuclear import pathways by
- 466 HIV-1. Cell Host Microbe **7:**221-233.
- 467 51. Ruepp MD, Aringhieri C, Vivarelli S, Cardinale S, Paro S, Schümperli D, Barabino SM. 2009.
 468 Mammalian pre-mRNA 3' end processing factor CF I m 68 functions in mRNA export. Mol Biol
 469 Cell 20:5211-5223.

- 470 52. Dettwiler S, Aringhieri C, Cardinale S, Keller W, Barabino SM. 2004. Distinct sequence motifs
 471 within the 68-kDa subunit of cleavage factor Im mediate RNA binding, protein-protein
 472 interactions, and subcellular localization. J Biol Chem 279:35788-35797.
- 473 53. Rüegsegger U, Blank D, Keller W. 1998. Human pre-mRNA cleavage factor Im is related to
 474 spliceosomal SR proteins and can be reconstituted in vitro from recombinant subunits. Mol Cell
 475 1:243-253.
- Forshey BM, von Schwedler U, Sundquist WI, Aiken C. 2002. Formation of a human
 immunodeficiency virus type 1 core of optimal stability is crucial for viral replication. J Virol
 76:5667-5677.
- 479 55. Hulme AE, Kelley Z, Okocha EA, Hope TJ. 2015. Identification of capsid mutations that alter the
 480 rate of HIV-1 uncoating in infected cells. J Virol 89:643-651.
- Fitzon T, Leschonsky B, Bieler K, Paulus C, Schröder J, Wolf H, Wagner R. 2000. Proline residues
 in the HIV-1 NH2-terminal capsid domain: structure determinants for proper core assembly and
 subsequent steps of early replication. Virology 268:294-307.
- 484 57. Li Y, Kar AK, Sodroski J. 2009. Target cell type-dependent modulation of human
 485 immunodeficiency virus type 1 capsid disassembly by cyclophilin A. J Virol 83:10951-10962.
- 486 58. Shah VB, Shi J, Hout DR, Oztop I, Krishnan L, Ahn J, Shotwell MS, Engelman A, Aiken C. 2013.
- 487 The host proteins transportin SR2/TNPO3 and cyclophilin A exert opposing effects on HIV-1 488 uncoating. J Virol **87:**422-432.
- 489 59. von Schwedler UK, Stray KM, Garrus JE, Sundquist WI. 2003. Functional surfaces of the human
 490 immunodeficiency virus type 1 capsid protein. J Virol 77:5439-5450.
- 491 60. Harrison IP, McKnight A. 2011. Cellular entry via an actin and clathrin-dependent route is
 492 required for Lv2 restriction of HIV-2. Virology 415:47-55.

- 493 61. Reuter S, Kaumanns P, Buschhorn SB, Dittmar MT. 2005. Role of HIV-2 envelope in Lv2494 mediated restriction. Virology 332:347-358.
- 495 62. Lahaye X, Satoh T, Gentili M, Cerboni S, Conrad C, Hurbain I, El Marjou A, Lacabaratz C,
 496 Lelièvre JD, Manel N. 2013. The capsids of HIV-1 and HIV-2 determine immune detection of the
- 497 viral cDNA by the innate sensor cGAS in dendritic cells. Immunity **39**:1132-1142.
- 498 63. Landau NR. 2014. The innate immune response to HIV-1: to sense or not to sense. DNA Cell Biol
 499 33:271-274.
- 500 64. **Towers GJ, Noursadeghi M.** 2014. Interactions between HIV-1 and the cell-autonomous innate 501 immune system. Cell Host Microbe **16:**10-18.
- 502 65. Gao D, Wu J, Wu YT, Du F, Aroh C, Yan N, Sun L, Chen ZJ. 2013. Cyclic GMP-AMP synthase is an
- 503 innate immune sensor of HIV and other retroviruses. Science **341**:903-906.
- 504 66. Fassati A, Goff SP. 2001. Characterization of intracellular reverse transcription complexes of
 505 human immunodeficiency virus type 1. J Virol 75:3626-3635.
- 506 67. Hulme AE, Perez O, Hope TJ. 2011. Complementary assays reveal a relationship between HIV-1
- 507 uncoating and reverse transcription. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **108**:9975-9980.
- 508 68. Kutluay SB, Perez-Caballero D, Bieniasz PD. 2013. Fates of retroviral core components during
 509 unrestricted and TRIM5-restricted infection. PLoS Pathog 9:e1003214.
- 510 69. **Yang Y, Fricke T, Diaz-Griffero F.** 2013. Inhibition of reverse transcriptase activity increases 511 stability of the HIV-1 core. J Virol **87:**683-687.
- 512 70. Rihn SJ, Wilson SJ, Loman NJ, Alim M, Bakker SE, Bhella D, Gifford RJ, Rixon FJ, Bieniasz PD.
- 513 2013. Extreme genetic fragility of the HIV-1 capsid. PLoS Pathog **9**:e1003461.
- 514
- 515

516 Figure legends

517

518 Figure 1

519

REAF is an important component of Lv2. A) A schematic representation of the HIV-2 molecular 520 521 clones, chimeric viruses and site directed mutagenesis (*) used to map the determinants of REAF restriction. B) Western blot of HeLa-CD4 cell lysate following REAF siRNA knockdown 522 compared with non-targeting control (siCB). GAPDH is added as a loading control. C) Titration of 523 524 constructs on HeLa-CD4 cells transiently transfected with siREAF showing fold change compared to cells transfected with siCB control (compared with HIV-2^{MCR}: HIV-2^{MCN} p = 0.004, 525 $HIV-2^{MCNmcr env+gag} p = n.s.$, $HIV-2^{MCRmcn env+gag} p < 0.001$, $HIV-2^{MCR CA I73V} p = 0.003$). D) WB of 526 knockdown of REAF in HeLa-CD4-shREAF cells compared to HeLa-CD4 cells. GAPDH is added as a 527 loading control. E) Fold change for HeLa-CD4-shREAF cells infected with HIV-2^{MCR} and HIV-2^{MCR} 528 ^{CA 173V} confirms the Lv2 phenotype in the stable knockdown cells (p = 0.016). F) WB of REAF 529 levels in MDM and PBMC compared to HeLa-CD4 cells. GAPDH is added as a loading control. G) 530 WB of REAF levels in U87-CD4-CXCR4 cells compared to HeLa-CD4 cells. GAPDH is added as a 531 loading control. 532

533

534 Figure 2

535

The HIV-1 CA determinants of REAF associated restriction are the same as those for HIV-2 and are in the CPSF6 binding pocket. A) A comparison of the susceptibility of HIV-1^{NL4.3} and HIV-1^{89.6} following transient knockdown of REAF by siRNA (p < 0.001). B) Mutation of the HIV-1^{NL4.3} capsid (HIV-1^{NL4.3 CA 173V} (MCR) or HIV-1^{NL4.3 CA 173V} (NL4.3)) renders it susceptible to restriction in HeLa-CD4-shREAF cells (p = 0.03). C) Fold inhibition of HIV-1^{NL4.3} (VSV-G) with CA mutants 173V and N74D (p < 0.001) in the presence of mutant CPSF6-358 compared to vector alone.

542

543 Figure 3

544

545 Mutant capsids are sensitive to REAF restriction. A) Infection of HeLa-CD4-shREAF cells with 546 HIV-1^{NL4.3 CA N74D} (MCR) renders it susceptible to REAF compared to viral pseudotype with wild 547 type CA (HIV-1^{NL4.3} (MCR)) (p < 0.001). B-E) Titres of HIV-1^{NL4.3} (MCR), HIV-1^{NL4.3 CA P38A} (MCR), 548 HIV-1^{NL4.3 CA G89V} (MCR) and HIV-1^{NL4.3 CA G94D} (MCR) following challenge of HeLa-CD4 and HeLa-549 CD4-shREAF cells. F) Fold change for HIV-1^{NL4.3 CA P38A} (MCR), HIV-1^{NL4.3 CA G89V} (MCR) and HIV-550 1^{NL4.3 CA G94D} (MCR) on HeLa-CD4-shREAF cells compared to wild type CA (HIV-1^{NL4.3} (MCR)) show 551 they are also susceptible to REAF (all p < 0.001).

552

553 Figure 4

554

Viral envelope determines susceptibility to REAF associated restriction. A) Infection of HeLa-CD4-shREAF cells with HIV-1^{NL4.3} (MCN) decreases sensitivity to REAF associated restriction compared to HIV-1^{NL4.3} (MCR) (p = 0.007). Comparison of HIV-2^{MCR} and HIV-2^{MCN} Env on pseudotypes carrying B) N74D (p < 0.001), C) P38A (p < 0.001), D) G89V (p < 0.001) and E) G94D (p = 0.001) CA show HIV-2^{MCN} Env makes these viruses less sensitive to REAF.

















F













В

D





