

1 **Visualisation of spatial patterns of connectivity and runoff ages derived from a tracer-** 2 **aided model**

3

4 M.H.J. van Huijgevoort^a, D. Tetzlaff^{a*}, E.H. Sutanudjaja^b and C. Soulsby^a5 ^aNorthern Rivers Institute, School of Geosciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK,
6 AB31 5XY.7 ^bFaculty of Geosciences, Utrecht University, 3508 TC Utrecht, Netherlands.8 *Corresponding author: d.tetzlaff@abdn.ac.uk

9 **Description**

10 Mixing relationships between fluxes and storages in a catchment can be investigated with
11 hydrological models that include conservative tracers, e.g. stable isotopes (Birkel and
12 Soulsby, 2015). That way, the evolution of water ages in relation to flow path dynamics can
13 also be investigated (Birkel et al., 2015; Hrachowitz et al., 2013; McMillan et al., 2012).
14 Here, we present a visualisation of the results from a spatially distributed tracer-aided
15 rainfall-runoff (STARR) model that combines the simulation of hydrometric variables with
16 the simulation of stable isotopes dynamics and tracks the water age (full details are given by
17 Van Huijgevoort et al., 2016). The aim of this visualisation is to show the catchment scale
18 fluxes and water ages over the seasonal extremes of wetness and dryness for a Scottish
19 catchment, in particular demonstrating novel insights into the dynamics of connectivity and
20 consequent spatial interactions of the water age across the catchment.

21 The STARR model integrates the general hydrological structure of the HBV-light runoff
22 model (Lindström et al., 1997; Seibert and Vis, 2012) with a parameterisation of tracer
23 mixing and flux tracking. It consists of a soil store and groundwater store that are
24 conceptualized as linear reservoirs; all runoff fluxes from each grid cell are routed through
25 the catchment to simulate discharge. The model was developed for an experimental site in the
26 Scottish Highlands, the Bruntland Burn (BB) catchment, but the aim was to keep the model
27 simple in order to derive a generic model that can be applied across regions (Tetzlaff et al.,
28 2015). The BB (3.2 km²) has a mean annual precipitation and discharge of ~1000 mm and
29 ~600 mm, respectively (Geris et al., 2015; Tetzlaff et al., 2014). Two major landscape units
30 can be distinguished: the wide flat valley bottom, which is dominated by peat soils (histosols)
31 and steeper hill slopes, which are characterised by more freely draining podzols that support
32 groundwater recharge. The valley bottom includes an extended riparian zone with a quasi-
33 permanently saturated area, which has a significant influence on runoff generation (Birkel et
34 al., 2011). Time series of daily discharge, precipitation and potential evapotranspiration were
35 available for the catchment, as well as a unique long-term data set of daily time series for
36 stable isotopes for the stream and precipitation.

1 The STARR model was run on a 100 by 100 m resolution grid for the BB for a 4 year
2 period. It was calibrated for 9 parameters using a dual calibration criterion that takes into
3 account both the discharge and the isotope ratios at the outlet. Soil parameters have different
4 values for the two major landscape units (valley bottom and the hill slopes) to represent the
5 importance of the saturated area within the valley bottom area (Van Huijgevoort et al., 2016).
6 To estimate the water ages and isotope ratios, a complete, instantaneous mixing of the inputs
7 was assumed according to dynamic and passive storage volumes, and the ages of the water
8 stores were tracked in a spatially-distributed way on a daily time step providing partial
9 mixing at the catchment scale. Here, the term water age refers to the water age that was
10 tracked in the model to avoid the ambiguity of travel times and residence times.

11 This visualisation shows the simulated spatial distribution of total runoff from each grid
12 cell (left panel) and age of the runoff (right panel) across the catchment for the year 2013 to
13 provide novel insights into the connectivity and water age dynamics. Total runoff refers to the
14 sum of all runoff values for each cell (surface runoff and subsurface runoff from the soil and
15 groundwater stores). The top part of the visualisation shows an aerial photo of the catchment
16 and the time series of the observed discharge at the outlet and observed precipitation. To
17 show the differences between the valley bottom and hill slopes over time, the scales in the
18 animation are based on percentile values of the simulated values over the catchment with
19 each class representing a 5th percentile value. In the spatially distributed plots, runoff (left
20 panel) from the hill slopes was lower than the runoff from the valley bottom (central part of
21 the catchment) during the whole year. This correlated with the simulated storage values,
22 which were higher in the valley bottom (not shown here). As a result, the water ages of the
23 runoff (right panel) were also different between valley bottom and hill slopes. Oldest water
24 (~3 years) was found in the valley bottom and younger water (~ a few months) on the hill
25 slopes. Both the spatial distribution of the runoff and associated water ages showed the
26 importance of the riparian area for the mixing of the water at the catchment scale. The larger
27 amount of water in the riparian area led to older water compared to the hill slopes.

28 The summer of 2013 (June to September) was quite extreme for Scotland and was the
29 warmest and driest period for over 10 years (Geris et al., 2015). During this dry period, the
30 cells of the hill slopes disappear (around the end of July, time 00:40). This means that the hill
31 slopes were completely disconnected from the valley bottom for a short period. During the
32 dry period the water became older in both the valley bottom and the hill slopes; the increase
33 in water age spread from the valley bottom upwards towards the upper hill slopes (red

1 colours). The large reservoir of drift storage in the valley bottom dominated low flow fluxes
2 and the water age in the valley bottom during the dry period (time 00:44 until 00:56).

3 After the dry summer (from 00:56 onwards), the runoff (left panel) seemed to recover
4 quickly, mainly due to the wetter winter, whereas it took longer for the ages (right panel) to
5 recover. The influence of the increased flux of younger hill slope water under wet conditions
6 in decreasing water ages in the valley bottom became apparent though. The fluxes from areas
7 of low storage uphill were able to overwhelm the storage with older water in the valley
8 bottom with younger water during large runoff events (from 01:09).

9 Here, we visualise the dominant influence of storage in the valley bottom on runoff fluxes
10 and water ages in the BB. The water in the valley bottom is always older than the hill slopes
11 although the increased flux of younger water during high runoff events is visible in the water
12 age of the discharge. This approach has wider potential for visualising the spatio-temporal
13 patterns of connectivity at the catchment scale and the implications for water ages. These
14 visualisations could lead to increased knowledge of catchment processes.

15 **Acknowledgements**

16 We thank the European Research Council ERC (project GA 335910 VEWA) for funding the
17 VeWa project.

19 **References**

- 20 Birkel, C., Soulsby, C., 2015. Advancing tracer-aided rainfall-runoff modelling: a review of progress,
21 problems and unrealised potential. *Hydrological Processes*. doi:10.1002/hyp.10594
- 22 Birkel, C., Soulsby, C., Tetzlaff, D., 2015. Conceptual modelling to assess how the interplay of
23 hydrological connectivity, catchment storage and tracer dynamics controls nonstationary
24 water age estimates. *Hydrological Processes* 29, 2956–2969. doi:10.1002/hyp.10414
- 25 Birkel, C., Tetzlaff, D., Dunn, S.M., Soulsby, C., 2011. Using time domain and geographic source
26 tracers to conceptualize streamflow generation processes in lumped rainfall-runoff models.
27 *Water Resources Research* 47, W02515. doi:10.1029/2010WR009547
- 28 Geris, J., Tetzlaff, D., Soulsby, C., 2015. Resistance and resilience to droughts: hydrogeological
29 controls on catchment storage and run-off response. *Hydrological Processes* 29, 4579–4593.
30 doi:10.1002/hyp.10480
- 31 Hrachowitz, M., Savenije, H., Bogaard, T.A., Tetzlaff, D., Soulsby, C., 2013. What can flux tracking
32 teach us about water age distribution patterns and their temporal dynamics? *Hydrology and
33 Earth System Sciences* 17, 533–564. doi:10.5194/hess-17-533-2013
- 34 Lindström, G., Johansson, B., Persson, M., Gardelin, M., Bergström, S., 1997. Development and test
35 of the distributed HBV-96 hydrological model. *Journal of Hydrology* 201, 272 – 288.
36 doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(97)00041-3
- 37 McMillan, H., Tetzlaff, D., Clark, M., Soulsby, C., 2012. Do time-variable tracers aid the evaluation
38 of hydrological model structure? A multimodel approach. *Water Resources Research* 48,
39 W05501. doi:10.1029/2011WR011688
- 40 Seibert, J., Vis, M.J.P., 2012. Teaching hydrological modeling with a user-friendly catchment-runoff-
41 model software package. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences* 16, 3315–3325.
42 doi:10.5194/hess-16-3315-2012

1 Tetzlaff, D., Birkel, C., Dick, J., Geris, J., Soulsby, C., 2014. Storage dynamics in hydrogeological
2 units control hillslope connectivity, runoff generation, and the evolution of catchment transit
3 time distributions. *Water Resources Research* 50, 969–985. doi:10.1002/2013WR014147
4 Tetzlaff, D., Buttle, J., Carey, S.K., van Huijgevoort, M.H.J., Laudon, H., McNamara, J.P., Mitchell,
5 C.P.J., Spence, C., Gabor, R.S., Soulsby, C., 2015. A preliminary assessment of water
6 partitioning and ecohydrological coupling in northern headwaters using stable isotopes and
7 conceptual runoff models. *Hydrological Processes*. doi:10.1002/hyp.10515
8 Van Huijgevoort, M.H.J., Tetzlaff, D., Sutanudjaja, E.H., Soulsby, C., 2016. Using high resolution
9 tracer data to constrain water storage, flux and age estimates in a spatially distributed rainfall-
10 runoff model. *Hydrological Processes*. doi:10.1002/hyp.10902
11