



**SOCIO-ECONOMIC FACTORS  
INFLUENCING FARMERS' ADOPTION OF  
A NEW TECHNOLOGY: THE CASE STUDY ON THE  
GROUNDWATER PUMP IRRIGATION  
IN LOMBOK, INDONESIA**

by  
Abdullah Usman

Thesis Submitted to Department of Agricultural Business in Fulfilment  
of Requirements for the Degree of  
**MASTER OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE**

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL BUSINESS  
FACULTY OF AGRICULTURAL AND  
NATURAL RESOURCE SCIENCES  
THE UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE  
1997**

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the substance of this thesis has not already been submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other degree. To the best of my knowledge, all resources used and any help received in preparing this thesis have been acknowledged in this thesis. I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying.

Adelaide, 28 February 1997

Abdullah Usman

## ABSTRACT

The overall objective of this study is to analyse factors influencing farmers' use of groundwater pump irrigation in Lombok, Indonesia. Specifically, this study aims to better understand the farming practices of the dryland farms in Lombok, to identify the determinants of the speed of technology adoption, to identify factors affecting the levels of water use and to estimate the state of water use by comparing the actual water use to the estimated optimal water use.

This study uses both primary and secondary data. The primary data were collected by interviewing 323 selected farmers, using a specially designed questionnaire while secondary data were collected from relevant agencies in Lombok, Indonesia.

To run their dryland farms, farmers use simple technologies with the absence of mechanisation except for the irrigation which use pump generators. The main seasonal crops farmers grow in the dry seasons are corn, peanuts, mungbeans, onions, chillies and tobacco. The farmers has also just started growing perennial crops. Each farmer operates 67 ares of land on average, 53 per cent of which has been formed. Some 70 per cent of the farmers own the land. The farmers are responsible for 4 dependants and are on average 41 years of age. Some 86 per cent of the farmers have only 6 years of elementary schooling or less, 59 per cent have farming experience less than 20 years, and 35 per cent are immigrants.

Speed of technology adoption is measured using the time lapse from when the groundwater pumps start operation to when farmers start using them. The

majority of farmers needed 1 to 3 years to decide to start using the pumps. The Tobit model is used to identify factors affecting this time lapse. The significance factors are age, education, hours of attending extension training, holding area, farm income, land ownership status, house performance, previous job, migrant status and problems in running dryland farms.

Production functions are developed to assess the levels of water use. Levels of water use by the farmers are lower than the theoretical economically optimal level.

The determinants of water use by farmers are identified by using multiple linear regression with the amount of water use as the independent variable and the socio-economic variables as the dependent variables. The significant determinants are the price of water, the proportion of income from farming, household income, hours of attending extension training, type of crops grown and seasonality.

The implication of this study is that lowering the price of water can be one alternative of encouraging farmers in using the irrigation. At the same time, farmers need to improve their managerial skills through attendance of extension training. Since farmers complain that services provided by the project are too slow due to locational, personnel and communication constraints, improving means of communication and transportation can provide improvement to the problems.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to convey my gratitude and appreciation to the people who have supported me throughout the completion of this thesis. Special mention to my supervisor, Dr Elton Li, for his encouragement and patience in guiding me, particularly during the process of writing this thesis.

Thanks to Professor Nicholas Samuel for his invaluable contribution in developing the proposal of this thesis and to Mr Ian Cooper for his supervision, especially in conducting the survey. I am also grateful to Ms Margaret Cargill and Mr John Shelley in improving the English of this thesis.

Appreciation is also extended to Ir. Rochadi and Ir. Tukiran for their generous help of allowing me to use some facilities during the survey, and to Rachmadi and Mahyudin for their assistance in interviewing farmers.

I am indebted to the President of Mataram State University and the Dean of the Faculty of Agriculture of the university for the opportunity to study abroad and to both the government of Indonesia and Australia for their support. I am also very grateful for the moral support of all my friends and colleagues, too numerous to be listed in full.

Finally, I express my special appreciation to my wife Siti Nurwahidah and my children Syakurrahman, Kurrata A'yun and Fitri Rosadela for their sacrifices, patience and support in their own ways.

I alone am responsible for the views expressed and for those deficiencies which may remain.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT .....	i
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	iv
LIST OF TABLES .....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	xi
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background .....	1
1.2 Problem .....	8
1.3 Objectives .....	9
1.4 Hypotheses .....	10
1.5 Significance of the Study .....	11
1.6 Thesis Organisation.....	11
CHAPTER TWO: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK.....	14
2.1 Production Function.....	15
2.2 Derived Demand for Inputs .....	17
2.3 Technology Adoption.....	18
2.4 Use of Water by Farmers.....	21
CHAPTER THREE: PREVIOUS STUDIES.....	24
3.1 Studies for Indonesia.....	24
3.2 Studies for Other Countries.....	29
3.3 Summary and Comments on Reviews of Previous Studies .....	35

CHAPTER FOUR: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND	
PROCEDURE.....	42
4.1 Data.....	42
4.2 Variables.....	43
4.2.1 Prices of Inputs and Outputs .....	43
4.2.2 Quantities of Inputs and Outputs.....	45
4.2.3 Income.....	47
4.2.4 Speed of Adoption.....	48
4.2.5 Cropping Intensity .....	48
4.2.6 Distance to Farm.....	49
4.2.7 Problems in Running Dryland Farms .....	49
4.2.8 Farmer Characteristics .....	50
4.3 Survey .....	50
4.4 Sampling.....	51
CHAPTER FIVE: FEATURES OF SURVEYED FARMS.....	57
5.1 Land and Crops.....	57
5.1.1 Holding, Formed and Planted Areas.....	57
5.1.2 Land Ownership Status.....	59
5.1.3 Land Rent.....	61
5.1.4 Intensity of Land Use (Cropping Intensity).....	62
5.1.5 Seasonal and Perennial Crops .....	64
5.2 Farmers.....	70
5.2.1 Age .....	70
5.2.2 Education .....	73
5.2.3 Farming Experience .....	75
5.2.4 Dependants.....	76
5.2.5 Immigration.....	77
5.2.6 Motive of Respondents in Running Farms .....	77

<b>CHAPTER SIX: DRYLAND FARMING PRACTICES IN</b>	
<b>LOMBOK, INDONESIA.....</b>	<b>79</b>
6.1 Land Preparation.....	79
6.2 Planting.....	84
6.3 Weeding, Fertilising and Pest Control.....	86
6.4 Irrigation.....	88
6.5 Harvesting and Marketing .....	93
6.6 Income.....	97

<b>CHAPTER SEVEN: PRODUCTION FUNCTION AND THE</b>	
<b>ESTIMATION OF OPTIMAL WATER USE.....</b>	<b>102</b>
7.1 Production Function.....	102
7.1.1 Objective .....	102
7.1.2 Variables .....	104
7.1.3 Model Specification .....	105
7.1.4 Model Selection.....	107
7.2 Levels of Water Use.....	110
7.2.1 Mathematical Formulation .....	111
7.2.2 Results.....	112

<b>CHAPTER EIGHT: DETERMINANTS OF TECHNOLOGY</b>	
<b>ADOPTION.....</b>	<b>114</b>
8.1 Comparison Between Characteristics of Adopters and Non- Adopters.....	114
8.2 Speed of Technology Adoption .....	118
8.3 Factors Affecting the Speed of Technology Adoption.....	120
8.3.1 A Brief Review of the Tobit Model.....	121
8.3.2 Model Specification .....	122
8.3.3 Results of Estimation .....	126



8.4 Determinant of Water Use.....	134
8.4.1 Model Specification .....	134
8.4.2 Results and Discussion.....	135
<b>CHAPTER NINE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND POLICY</b>	
<b>IMPLICATION .....</b>	<b>140</b>
9.1 Summary.....	140
9.2 Conclusion.....	143
9.3 Policy Implication .....	144
9.4 Limitations and Further Research.....	145
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY .....</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>APPENDIX .....</b>	<b>154</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1.1 Contribution of agriculture to GDP and total employment in Indonesia, 1961 - 1993/94 and the projections to 1998/99 .....	2
Table 3.1 Summary of previous studies .....	37
Table 4.1 Pump distribution by start year, pump management status and district, Lombok dryland farms, 1994 .....	52
Table 4.2 Existing pump groups and number of selected pumps, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	53
Table 4.3 Total number of farmers, number of selected farmers, and number of interviewed farmers, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	55
Table 5.1 Holding, formed and planted areas (ares), Lombok dryland farms, 1994 .....	57
Table 5.2 Number and percentage of farmers by formed land area, Lombok Dryland Farms, 1994.....	58
Table 5.3 Distribution of land ownership status, Lombok dryland farms, 1994 .....	59
Table 5.4 Proportion of formed land by land ownership status, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	60
Table 5.5 Land rent before and after pump introduction by formed area, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	62
Table 5.6 Cropping intensities by formed land and season, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	63
Table 5.7 Number and percentage of farmers by number of planted seasonal crops and season, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	65
Table 5.8 Percentage of farmers growing major seasonal crops each season, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	66
Table 5.9 Percentage of planted areas that farmers used to grow seasonal crops by season, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	67
Table 5.10 Number and percentage of farmers by the number of planted perennial crops, Lombok dryland farms, 1994 .....	68
Table 5.11 Number and percentage of farmers by perennial crops planted, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	69
Table 5.12 Number and percentage of farmers by age range, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	70

Table 5.13	Percentage of farmers not growing crops by age range and season, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	71
Table 5.14	Number and percentage of farmers by the range of age when they started farming, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	71
Table 5.15	Number and percentage of farmers by the number of dependants, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	76
Table 6.1	Percentage of farmers who ploughed and hoed their land by season, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	79
Table 6.2	Percentage of farmers who paid labour in contract and in daily-hired by season, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	81
Table 6.3	Percentage of farmers who provided main meal, only snack and no food by season, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	82
Table 6.4	Percentage of farmers who ploughed once and twice by season, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	82
Table 6.5	Average labour use for land preparation for several crops, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	83
Table 6.6	Farmer's considerations in deciding the time to start planting their seasonal crops, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	85
Table 6.7	Average labour use for planting several crops, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	86
Table 6.8	Percentage of farmers applying weeding, fertilising, and pest control by crop, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	87
Table 6.9	Average labour use for weeding, fertilising and pest control by crop, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	88
Table 6.10	Farmer's considerations in determining the duration of pump operation, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	90
Table 6.11	Sources of information that farmers used to know the price of water, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	90
Table 6.12	Frequency of the price of water change, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	91
Table 6.13	Levels of water use for several crops by season, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	93
Table 6.14	Quantities of production and consumption and the percentage of sold products by crops, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	94
Table 6.15	Average annual farmer income (rupiahs per year) by income sources, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	97
Table 6.16	Percentage of respondent and annual household income by income groups, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	98

Table 6.17	Dryland farm incomes (rupiahs) by type of dryland income and season, Lombok dryland farms, 1994 .....	100
Table 6.18	Dryland farm income (rupiah per hectare) by crop and season, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	101
Table 7.1	Number of respondents who grew and harvested their crops in normal conditions by crop and season, Lombok dryland farms, 1994 .....	105
Table 7.2	Thirteen possible models of production functions, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	106
Table 7.3	Parameter estimates of selected models by crop and season, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	109
Table 7.4	Actual water use, optimal water use and the ratio between actual and optimal water use by crop and season, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	113
Table 8.1	Profile comparison between adopters and non-adopters (continuous data), Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	115
Table 8.2	Profile comparison between adopters and non-adopters (categorical data), Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	117
Table 8.3	Explanatory variables used in the Tobit regression to study the speed of technology adoption, Lombok dryland farms, 1994 .....	122
Table 8.4	Dummy variables used in studying the speed of technology adoption, Lombok dryland farms, 1994 .....	125
Table 8.5	Parameter estimates of the Tobit model to analyse the time lapse of technology adoption, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	126
Table 8.6	Average number of years (time lapse) required by farmers to start using the pump irrigation by age groups, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	127
Table 8.7	Average number of years (time lapse) required by farmers to start using the pump irrigation by education level, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	129
Table 8.8	Average number of years (time lapse) required by farmers to start using the pump irrigation by the hours of attending extension training, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	130
Table 8.9	Average number of years (time lapse) required by farmers to start using the pump irrigation by farm income, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	132
Table 8.10	Results of ordinary least square (OLS) regression using water use (kilolitres per hectare) as dependent variable, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	136

## LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1 Production function .....	16
Figure 2.2 Derived demand for input.....	17
Figure 2.3 S and bell-shaped curves of technology adoption .....	19
Figure 2.4 Factors influencing the process of production .....	22
Figure 3.1 Meta production function for tree crops.....	27
Figure 5.1 Average age of farmers when they started farming by age range, Lombok dryland farms, 1994 .....	72
Figure 5.2 Composition of education levels of farmers, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	73
Figure 5.3 Average education levels of farmers by age range, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	74
Figure 5.4 Composition of farming experience of farmers, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	75
Figure 5.5 Composition of farmers' motives in running farms, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	78
Figure 6.1 Percentage of farmers who sold their harvests at their farm gate by season, Lombok dryland farms, 1994 .....	95
Figure 6.2 Lorenz curve for annual household income distribution, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	99
Figure 8.1 Speed of technology adoption, Lombok dryland farms, 1994 .....	119
Figure 8.2 Effects of age on the time lapse of technology adoption, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	128
Figure 8.3 Relationship between education level and time lapse of adopting the pump irrigation, Lombok dryland farms, 1994.....	129