

**HYPERHYDRICITY OF *IN VITRO* CULTURED
STURT'S DESERT PEA (*Swainsona formosa*)
AND TECHNIQUES FOR ITS MINIMISATION**

Laurentius Hartanto Nugroho

**A thesis submitted for the Degree of Master of Agriculture
(Agricultural Biotechnology)
in the Faculty of Agricultural and Natural Resource Sciences
The University of Adelaide**

**Department of Plant Science
Waite Agricultural Research Institute
The University of Adelaide**

April 1995

Awarded 1995





Sturt's Desert Pea
(Swainsona formosa)

Table of contents

	Page
Declaration	(i)
Acknowledgements	(ii)
Summary	(iii)
Chapter 1. Introduction and Literature review	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Literature Review	2
1.2.1 Propagation of Sturt's desert pea	2
1.2.1.1 Propagation by seeds	2
1.2.1.2 Propagation by cuttings	3
1.2.1.3 Propagation by tissue culture	4
1.2.1.3.1 Introduction	4
1.2.1.3.2 Tissue culture of Sturt's desert pea	5
1.2.2 Hyperhydricity	7
1.2.2.1 Introduction	7
1.2.2.2 Factors affecting hyperhydricity	8
1.2.3 Anatomy of hyperhydric plants	11
1.2.4 Summary	13
1.2.5 Research aims	14
Chapter 2. Materials and methods	15
2.1 Tissue culture	15
2.1.1 Plant material	15
2.1.2 Media and tissue culture conditions	15
2.1.3 Preparation of explants	15
2.1.4 Exp. 1: Agar concentrations and tube closure treatments	16

2.1.5 Exp.2: Support matrices and tube closure treatments	17
2.1.6 Exp. 3: BAP concentrations, agar concentrations and tube closure treatments	17
2.1.7 Exp. 4: Exposure time to BAP, agar concentrations and tube closure treatments	18
2.1.8 Water content	18
2.1.9 Water content measurement	19
2.1.10 Measurement of water transmission rate of tube closure	19
2.1.11 Measurement of water evaporation rate of support matrices	20
2.2 Anatomical study of hyperhydric shoots	20
2.2.1 Plant material	20
2.2.2 Scanning electron microscope study of leaf surfaces	20
2.2.2.1 Fixation and dehydration	21
2.2.2.2 Scanning the tissue	21
2.2.3 Study of distribution of stomata using light microscope	21
2.2.4 Transmission electron microscopy of photosynthetic tissue	22
2.2.4.1 Fixation, dehydration, infiltration and embedding	22
2.2.4.2 Sectioning	22
2.2.4.3 Staining	22
2.2.4.4 Investigating the tissue	23
2.2.5 Light microscopy of leaf	23
2.2.5.1 Light microscopy of fresh leaf	23
2.2.5.1.1 Embedding	23
2.2.5.1.2 Sectioning	24
2.2.5.1.3 Photography	24
2.2.5.2 Light microscopy of embedded leaf	24
2.2.5.2.1 Sectioning and staining	24

2.2.5.2.2 Photography	24
2.2.6 Light microscopy of shoot apex	25
2.2.7 Statistical analysis	25
Chapter 3. Results	26
3.1 Tissue culture experiments	26
3.1.1 Introduction	26
3.1.2 Exp.1: Effect of agar concentration and tube closure on growth and hyperhydricity in Sturt's desert pea cultures	26
3.1.2.1 Callus width	26
3.1.2.2 Shoot number	27
3.1.2.3 Shoot length	28
3.1.2.4 Shoot vigour	30
3.1.2.5 Hyperhydricity	31
3.1.2.6 Summary	32
3.1.3 Exp.2: Effect of support matrix and tube closure on growth and hyperhydricity in Sturt's desert pea cultures	33
3.1.3.1 Callus width	33
3.1.3.2 Shoot number	33
3.1.3.3 Shoot length	35
3.1.3.4 Shoot vigour	35
3.1.3.5 Hyperhydricity	38
3.1.3.6 Summary	39
3.1.4 Exp. 3: Effect of BAP concentration, agar concentration and tube closure on growth and hyperhydricity in Sturt's desert pea cultures	39
3.1.4.1 Callus width	39

3.1.4.2 Shoot number	41
3.1.4.3 Shoot length	43
3.1.4.4 Shoot vigour	44
3.1.4.5 Hyperhydricity	45
3.1.4.6 Summary	46
3.1.5 Exp. 4.: Effect of exposure time to BAP, agar concentration and tube closure on growth and hyperhydricity in Sturt's desert pea cultures	47
3.1.5.1 Callus width	47
3.1.5.2 Shoot number	47
3.1.5.3 Shoot length	50
3.1.5.4 Shoot vigour	52
3.1.5.5 Hyperhydricity	54
3.1.5.6 Summary	55
3.1.6 Tissue water content	55
3.1.7 Water transmission rate of tube closure and water evaporation rate of support matrix	57
3.2 Anatomical studies	59
3.2.1 Anatomical study of shoot apices using a light microscope	59
3.2.2 Scanning electron microscope study of leaf surface	59
3.2.3 Anatomical study of leaf using light microscope	60
3.2.4 Transmission electron microscope of chloroplast	61
Chapter 4. Discussion	67
Chapter 5. Conclusion	73
References	74

Declaration

The work presented in this thesis contains no material which has been accepted for award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and my belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference is made in the text.

Laurentius Hartanto Nugroho

Signature :

Date: 23 - May - 1995

NAME: LAURENTIUS H. NUGROHO.....

COURSE: Master of Agriculture.....

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for photocopying and loan.

SIGNATURE:

DATE: 12 - June - 1995.....

Acknowledgements

I sincerely thank my supervisors Dr Manfred Jusaitis, Dr Susan Barker and Dr Peter Langridge for their guidance, support and encouragement throughout my candidature. I also thank AIDAB (Australian International Development Assistance Bureau) for their funding during my study in Australia, special thanks are expressed to Mrs. Jan Gammage for her assistance during my study.

Various staff members of the Waite Institute and Black Hill Flora Centre provided me with invaluable assistance during the course of this study. I specially thank Rita Middelberg (statistical analysis), Gael Fogarty (English consultation), Dr Marilyn Henderson (Specimen preparation), Ken Krockner (T.E.M.), Stuart McClure (S.E.M.) and Kelvin Holliday (tissue culture consultation).

Finally, I thank my Father, Mother and Brother for their encouragement and support throughout my studies.

Summary

Swainsona formosa (Sturt's desert pea) is the subject of this study. A member of the family Fabaceae, it has brilliant flowers of varied colour, and has excellent potential as a cut flower and flowering pot plant. Until now, propagation has been by seeds or cuttings. However, seedlings lack genetic uniformity, and cuttings require large numbers of stock plants and the method is time consuming.

Tissue culture techniques offer the most efficient method for producing large numbers of plants quickly, but have produced high rates of hyperhydricity (more than 50 % of cultures). Remedial techniques, such as increasing agar concentration, varying the support matrix, improving aeration and reducing cytokinin concentration have been examined in other species and have given satisfactory results.

In this study, the effects of agar concentration, support matrix, tube closure and 6- benzyl aminopurine (BAP) regime on hyperhydricity and other growth parameters were examined. A high gel concentration, and a tube closure with high gas exchange rate effectively minimised hyperhydricity and increased shoot vigour, but reduced the rate of proliferation. To overcome this problem, these treatments were tested together with different concentration of, and exposure time to, 6-benzylamino purine (BAP). The treatment combination that gave optimal growth and minimised hyperhydricity was 10 g/l agar (Sigma Chemical Company) together with low rate of BAP (1 - 5 μ M) and semipermeable closures which allowed some gas and water vapour exchange (eg. cap with a hole fitted with a double layer of Whatman paper number 1).

To understand more clearly how hyperhydricity develops, the anatomy of hyperhydric shoots was studied. Abnormal tissue in between the two guard cells in the stomata, a low density of stomata, unclear differentiation of structural components of the meristem, and a large starch granule and reduction in numbers of thylakoid stacks in the chloroplast were found in hyperhydric shoots of Sturt's desert pea when compared to in vitro normal shoot.

This thesis describes, therefore, the establishment of *in vitro* tissue culture techniques for minimisation of hyperhydricity and maximisation of growth, together with an anatomical study of hyperhydricity in *Swainsona formosa*.