



WAITE INSTITUTE
16.12.85
LIBRARY

THE UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE
FACULTY OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE

MASS SELECTION OF FABABEAN,
(*VICIA FABA* L.)

SAHABUDDIN IR PERTANIAN
UNIVERSITAS HASANUDDIN, UJUNG PANDANG, INDONESIA

Thesis submitted for the degree of Master of Agricultural
Science in the Faculty of Agricultural Science

Department of Agronomy
Waite Agricultural Research Institute
Glen Osmond, South Australia, 5064

1984

Awarded 2-10-85

STATEMENT

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of degree or diploma in any University and, to the best of my knowledge and belief contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference is made in the text.

SAHABUDDIN ACHMAD

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my gratitude to Dr. R. Knight for supervising the study described in this thesis. His advice and criticisms in the study and the preparation of the manuscript are gratefully acknowledged.

There were many staff of the Agronomy Department who have been very helpful throughout my work at the Waite Institute and I am very grateful. I particularly would like to thank Miss S. Harnett for her assistance in the conduct of the experiment and in computing.

Many thanks are also due to Mr. S. Eckert who gave considerable help and guidance in connection with the statistical analysis of the results.

The postgraduate grant from the Australian Universities International Development Program which made this study possible is gratefully acknowledged. I wish to thank Government of the Republic of Indonesia c.g. Department P&K for granting the necessary study leave.

I express my deep appreciation and gratitude to my wife who bore the long ordeal graciously and who gave endless support and encouragement, and I will never forget the great courage shown by my children during the long years of separation.

SUMMARY

1. Field experiments were conducted during 1982 and 1983 to investigate the efficiency of mass selection in fababeans (*Vicia faba* L.). In 1982 a population of 3200 plants of the cultivar Fiord was grown from seed, each of a known weight. The plants were in 40 rows with 80 plants per row. The respective spacing was 12.5 cm and 25 cm which gave a density equivalent to that recommended for the crop. In anticipation that there may be micro-environmental variation in the block the total area of 10×10^m was considered for selection purposes as consisting of 100 sub-blocks each 1m x 1m. Mass selection was practised by selecting the two highest yielding plants out of the 32 plants in each sub-block. Grain yield per plant and its components the number of pods, seeds per pod and mean seed weight were measured but selection was based only on yield. Open pollinated progenies of the 200 selected plants were grown in 1983. Twenty four seeds from each of these selected plants were grown in a Randomized Block Trial (there were 6 plants per plot and 4 replicates). In addition in each replicate there were 40 control plots sown with seed collected as a bulk from the 1982 trial. Plant density was the same as in 1982.

2. For the population of 3200 plants grown in 1982 it was found that the date of emergence was determined by the seed size sown and seedlings from the small seeds emerged earlier. However larger seeds resulted in higher yielding plants. The date of emergence was not related to yield. Some seed did not germinate and some plants died before maturity or were unproductive and bore no pods. These characteristics were not related to the size of seed sown. It is suggested on a basis of the evidence available that the plants that died did so as a result of an application of simazine.

3. Differences in the mean yields of the sub-blocks demonstrated that environmental effects within the selection block were not random possibly as a result of soil heterogeneity. The variation and its non-randomness indicated the need for mass selection to be based on a subdivided block basis.
4. There were very strong correlations between grain yield per plant and both pod number and seed number per plant. Only small correlations were found between grain yield per plant and seeds per pod or weight per seed. The frequency distributions of these various characters were positively skewed except for seeds per pod which was negatively skewed.
5. When the progenies of the 200 selected plants were grown in 1983, the analyses of variance for all characters revealed significant differences between progenies and non-significant differences between the controls. However as the mean yields of the selection progenies were no greater than the controls and because the regression of selection progenies on their parents was not significant it is concluded that mass selection for yield was not effective. Reasons for this result and for the variation in yields of selected plants are discussed.
6. It was found that mean grain yields were again strongly correlated with both pod number and seed number per plant, but only slightly correlated with weight per seed and seeds per pod. Except for seeds per pod which had a frequency distribution that was negatively skewed, all the other characters were positively skewed.

7. The possible reasons for the lack of success of the mass selection are discussed. If the experiment were repeated it may be worthwhile to base selection on a technique that takes even more account of micro-environmental variation than does square sub-blocks. One such technique could be a moving mean.

LIST OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
STATEMENT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
SUMMARY	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLES	x
INTRODUCTION	1
LITERATURE REVIEW	3
1. <i>Variation within <u>Vicia faba</u></i>	3
2. <i>Breeding system of <u>Vicia faba</u></i>	3
3. <i>Mass selection</i>	6
4. <i>Theoretical view of mass selection</i>	7
5. <i>Micro-environmental variation and the selection of genotypes</i>	9
6. <i>Plant competition</i>	11
7. <i>The effect of seed size on yield</i>	13
EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS	17
1. <i>The trials</i>	17
2. <i>Site</i>	17
3. <i>Climate</i>	17
4. <i>Materials and Methods</i>	22
4.1 The selection block (1982)	22
(a) Material	22
(b) Planting preparation	22
(c) Spacing	22
(d) Layout	23
(e) Emergence	23
(f) Harvest	23
(g) Analysis of data	23
(h) Selection sequence	25

	Page No.
4.2 The progeny trial (1983)	25
(a) Material	25
(b) Planting preparation	25
(c) Planting space	25
(d) Design and field layout	27
(e) Harvesting	27
(f) Analysis of data	27
 RESULTS	
1. <i>The selection block (1982)</i>	30
(a) Seed size	30
(b) Emergence	30
(c) The regression of emergence on seed size	30
(d) The relation between the weight of the seed sown and plant characteristics	32
(e) Grain yield per plant	34
(f) Pod number per plant	34
(g) Seed number per plant	34
(h) Seed number per pod	36
(i) Regression and correlation of characters measured	36
(j) Mean seed size at maturity as a regression on the size of seed grown	40
(j) The selection	41
2. <i>The progeny (1983)</i>	47
(a) Grain yield per plant per pot	48
(b) Yield of samples and yield of whole plots	49
(c) Selection progress	57
 DISCUSSION	
1. <i>The selection block</i>	60
(a) The affects of size of seed sown and emergence on the other characters measured	60
(b) Heterogeneity in the selection block	62
(c) Interrelation of yield components	63
(d) Skews in distributions	63

	Page No.
2. <i>The progeny</i>	65
(a) Mass selection	65
(b) Characters associated with yield	66
(c) Relation among components of yield	66
CONCLUSIONS	67
REFERENCES	69
APPENDICES	
Appendix 1 The mean yield per plant of plot; the mean yield per plant, the mean pod number and the mean seed number of sample	79
Appendix 2a The analysis of variance of pod number per plant of selections and controls of sample	86
Appendix 2b The analysis of variance of seed number per plant of selections and controls of sample	86
Appendix 3a The analysis of variance of the number seeds per pod of selections and controls of sample	87
Appendix 3b The analysis of variance of the weight per seed of selections and controls of sample	87

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure:		Page No.
1	Fluctuation in rainfall (mean monthly) in mm	18
2	Layout of the experiment in 1982	24
3	The subdivision of the selection block into a grid (not to scale). The dots indicate the positions of the selected plants	26
4	Layout of the experiment in 1983	28
5	Frequency distribution of the seed size sown	31
6	Frequency distribution of the days to emergence	31
7	Frequency distribution of grain yield per plant	35
8	Frequency distribution of pod number per plant	35
9	Frequency distribution of seed number per plant	37
10	Frequency distribution of seed number pod	37
11	Frequency distribution of yield of plants selected on a basis of sub-block	44
12	Frequency distribution of selected plants if selection had been based on truncation of the whole population	44
13	Micro-environmental variation evident in the mean yield per sub-block	46
14	Frequency distribution of mean yield per plant of the selection plots	50
15	Frequency distribution of mean yield per plant of the control plots	50
16	Frequency distribution of the mean yield per plant of samples of the selection	52
17	Frequency distribution of mean pod number per plant of samples of the selection	52
18	Frequency distribution of mean seed number per plant of samples of the selection	54
19	Frequency distribution of mean seed number per pod of samples of selection	54
20	Frequency distribution of mean weight per seed of samples of the selection	55

LIST OF TABLES

Table:		Page No.
1	Monthly minimum and maximum air temperatures (°C) at the Waite Agricultural Research Institute: the long term averages (1959-1981) and values for the years 1982 and 1983	19
2	Monthly rainfall (mm) and pan evaporation (mm, Australian tank) at the Waite Agricultural Research Institute: long term averages (1959-1981), and values for the years 1982 and 1983	20
3	Mean solar radiation ($\text{MJ}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$) at the Waite Agricultural Research Institute: the long term averages (1959-1981) and values for 1982 and 1983	21
4	Values for various measures of the population grown in 1982	32
5	The number and percentage of ungerminated seeds, plants that died, productive plants and unproductive plants arranged according to seed weight sown	33
6	The regression equations for grain yield per plant and the other characters on the weight of the seeds sown	38
7	The regression equations for various characters on emergence	39
8	Simple correlation coefficients between five characters measured on the plants in 1982	40
9	The mean yield and the yield of the two selected plants in each sub-block	42
10	Minimum yield, maximum yield, mean yield, standard error of the mean and standard deviation of yield based on selection within sub-blocks and in truncation selection	45
11	Values for the selections and controls grown in 1983	48
12	The analysis of variance of grain yield per plant of selections and of the controls	48
13	The minimum, maximum, mean, S.E. of mean and S.D. of yield sample and of plot of selections and controls	49
14	The analysis of variance of grain yield per part of the samples from selections and from controls	51

List of Tables continued/...

		Page No.
15	Correlation coefficients between five characters measured	56
16	The number of plants, the minimum, the maximum, the mean and the S.E. of mean of yield per plant in 1982	57
17	The number of plots, the minimum, the maximum, the mean and the S.E. of mean of yield per plant in 1983	58
18	Correlation between the yield per plant in 1983 and the yield per plant in 1982	59