



THREE DIMENSIONAL ANALOGUE MODELLING OF  
EXTENSIONAL FAULT SYSTEMS AND THEIR  
APPLICATIONS TO THE BARROW-DAMPIER  
SUB-BASIN, WESTERN AUSTRALIA

By

TARIQ MAHMOOD  
MSc Applied Geology

JANUARY, 1996

This thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements of the Doctor of Philosophy  
Degree in the National Centre for Petroleum Geology and Geophysics at the  
University of Adelaide

## **DEDICATION**

In memory of my beloved father  
Haji Fazal Elahi Minhas

## STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY

To the best of my knowledge and belief, the thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any University and the thesis contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference is made in the text of the thesis.

If accepted for the award of the degree and, if applicable, I consent to the thesis being made available for photocopying and loan.

Tariq Mahmood

## ABSTRACT

Scaled models of tectonic structures are often used to show allowable geometries and to illustrate the effects of progressive deformation. Analogue modelling is a tried and proven technique for simulating extensional fault structures and can imitate the style of structures imaged by seismic reflections. Sandbox models were constructed to simulate extensional structures above simple listric, ramp-flat-ramp and complex detachment morphologies. Only one modelling style was used in this thesis, that of a fixed footwall with a non-stretching plastic film attached to the movingwall of the box which separates the footwall from the hangingwall along the detachment surface. These models cannot simulate thermal, isostatic, compactional and confining pressure effects. Dry quartz sand with a grain size of approximately 300 $\mu$ m was used as a modelling material in 2D experiments, sand mixed with plaster and a PVA compound in 3D experiments and coarse granular NaHCO<sub>3</sub> was used in Computerised Tomography experiments.

Previous extensional sandbox models were unable to demonstrate structural patterns where pre-existing faults with a range of orientations became incorporated in the extension. A new 3D technique has been devised to simulate extensional structures developed in regions where the sediments have been subject to more than one period of extension, each with a different orientation. 3D models were stabilised and physically cut. Both 2D and 3D models were made as, both, forward models and as reconstructions of field examples based on seismic interpretation. The forward models investigated hangingwall deformation and fault patterns in areas where a listric extensional fault is modified by the presence of an existing fault at an angle to the current detachment. The field examples involved constructing models of the detachment surface from depth converted seismic sections and deforming them to check the accuracy of the initial interpretation. Computerised Tomography (CT) technique has also been used to simulate progressive deformation.

2D experiments are repetitions of sandbox models described in the literature but present some new results. These experiments demonstrate sequential fault evolution and illustrate fault reactivation and nucleation during progressive stages of deformation. The tracing of particle motion during extensional deformation provides constraints on the validity of graphical depth-to-detachment and fault reconstruction techniques. Modified Chevron Construction and Inclined Shear Construction, which imply movement parallel to the detachment or inclined shear planes are considered likely to be accurate, whereas, my analysis shows that even those are inadequate. A geometric interpretation of particle paths has been suggested. A wide variety of detachment morphologies and their combinations were constructed in the 3D model box. 3D modelling allows edge effects to be avoided or specifically included and allows for more complex detachment surfaces to be modelled. The building of a side ramp into a 3D model can simulate the presence of faults that pre-date the active extensional features. These models allow the recognition of fault patterns in plan view, as the active faults approached pre-existing faults. These models show relative distribution of pre-rift and syn-rift sediments in extensional regimes. The models constructed with an end ramp incorporating a side ramp show a rotational block and a crestal collapse block parallel to each ramp with a third graben developed above the intersection of the two detachment ramps. These experiments show that the hangingwall geometries are mainly controlled by main active detachment, although, the side ramp has a strong influence on the hangingwall structure. Computerised Tomography is a non-destructive technique for the analysis of internal fault geometries of analogue models. Materials with low X-ray attenuation are the most appropriate for tomography. Various low density materials were tested which have similar mechanical properties to sand and coarse granular NaHCO<sub>3</sub> was selected as the most appropriate material. It provided results on the relative compaction and dilation of a sedimentary sequence in extensional sedimentary basins. The main areas chosen to model field examples are from the North West Shelf, Western Australia. The Barrow and Dampier Sub-basins provided three examples to be modelled into a scaled model box. Specific 2D and 3D models constrained by seismic interpretation and depth conversion, confirmed the existence of the Mermaid Fault as a ramp-flat-ramp detachment.

There was a major period of extension on the detachment during the Permian with movement diminishing through the Triassic. The modelling makes it clear that the substantial unconformity developed between the Late Permian and Early Triassic involved considerable uplift of the area to the west of Arabella-1. 3D modelling of the Beagle Sub-basin suggests that the Cossigny Fault was an early, deep seated fault that acted as a side ramp to later extension. 3D modelling also shows that the sedimentation history in the Cossigny Trough should be the same as that in the Beagle Trough and Thouin Graben. Flinders Fault is a planar fault with hangingwall deformation where subsidence dominates over extension. This case study suggested that the model can be improved by continuously sloping the distal part of the detachment. 3D modelling of the Sholl Island Fault has confirmed that the hangingwall above low angle detachments deforms by rotation with little faulting and minimal development of a crestal collapse block. The comparison of modelling with seismic can only be achieved after depth conversion, so that the imaged structures are presented as true depth sections.

Sandbox modelling work done in this thesis concludes that the shape of an extensional detachment surface is the major control on the geometry of deformation of the hangingwall. General rules have been concluded for the development of faults in extensional hangingwall deformation controlled by the intersection and shape of the detachment. These rules only apply to cases where the detachment does not stretch. The shape of rollover anticline differs slightly when the side ramp is planar rather than listric and in the planar case the hangingwall deformation is mainly controlled by antithetic faults. Three prominent grabens produce by extension diagonally away from two pre-existing side ramps, two of them parallel to each side ramp with a third graben at the intersection of detachments. A curved listric detachment produces a single graben parallel to the strike of the main detachment. Rotational extension produces a style of extensional deformation where a narrow crestal collapse block on the slow moving wall develops, this merges to form a wider crestal collapse block in the fast moving zone. Differential extension above a simple listric detachment creates an orthogonal transfer fault zone. A listric end ramp constructed at an angle of 220 degrees to the active detachment produces characteristic features, such as reduced subsidence above the "nose". This nose is a natural consequence of extension from a backward dipping detachment morphology, e.g., the change in orientation between the Barrow and Dampier Sub-basins.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I gratefully acknowledge my supervisor, Dr N. M. Lemon, for supervision of my research project. I sincerely believe that my PhD could not be completed without his initiative and ongoing support. I am also very appreciative of his moral support during these few years away from my family.

I acknowledge my fiance Saadia and appreciate her support for the compilation of the Atlas (Volume II) and in the preparation of the CD ROM software programming. Without her help it was difficult to produce a market-standard Atlas and CD ROM.

I wish to thank Dr William Stuart, Director of the National Centre of Petroleum Geology and Geophysics, for assistance and helpful discussions throughout my candidature. Mr Andrew Mitchell who guided me in the use of UNIX and UNIX-based geological software. I wish to acknowledge Professor Cedric Griffiths for assistance in the Structured Modelling technique used in Atlas (Volume II). I acknowledge Dan Mack for using my models to determine depositional control on the Mungaroo Formation. Ms Maureen Sutton and Mrs Barbara Wallis for cooperation in the preparation of the Atlas.

My research project was supported by the Australian Petroleum Cooperative Research Centre and an Adelaide University Scholarship during my studies.

I wish to acknowledge Dr Lincoln Paterson and Mr Kevin Meaney of CSIRO, Melbourne, for allowing me to use their CT X-ray Scanner.

I also wish to thank Prof Gopalsami of Flinders University and Prof. Alexander Mees of the University of Western Australia for assistance with geometric interpretation of particle paths.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Statement of authenticity	iii
Abstract	iv
Acknowledgments	vi
List of figures	xi
List of tables	xiv
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 An overview of extensional analogue modelling	1
1.2 Objectives	4
1.3 Techniques	4
1.3.1 Two dimensional technique	4
1.3.2 Three dimensional technique	4
1.3.3 Depth conversion technique	5
1.3.4 Computerised Tomography technique	5
1.3.5 CD software development	5
1.4 Methodology	5
<b>CHAPTER 2: TWO DIMENSIONAL ANALOGUE MODELLING</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Extensional sandbox modelling	7
2.3 Discussion of scaling factors (similitude)	10
2.4 Description of 2D apparatus	11
2.5 Description of 2D experiments	13
2.5.1 Changes in fault angle and geometry	14
2.5.1.1 High angle listric fault	14
2.5.1.2 Low angle listric	14
2.5.1.3 Ramp/flat listric fault geometry	16
2.5.1.4 Listric fault in deltaic systems	18
2.6 Particle motion	18
2.6.1 Fault restoration	20
2.6.2 Sequences of faulting	22
2.6.3 Particle movement and the discrimination of ductile and brittle behaviour	26
2.6.4 Geometric interpretation of particle paths	26
2.7 Discussion of fault reconstruction techniques	31
2.8 Conclusions	33
<b>CHAPTER 3: THREE DIMENSIONAL ANALOGUE MODELLING TECHNIQUE</b>	<b>35</b>
3.1 Introduction	35
3.2 Three dimensional modelling technique	35
3.2.1 The model box and detachment morphologies	37
3.2.2 Problems	42
3.2.3 Particle displacement	42
3.2.4 Perspex model reconstruction	43
3.3 Experimental description	43
3.3.1 Plan view structures with internal fault geometries	45
3.3.1.1 Listric end ramp with a listric side ramp	45
3.3.1.2 Listric end ramp with a listric side ramp parallel to extension	48
3.3.1.3 Listric end ramp with two listric side ramps parallel to extension	51
3.3.1.4 Oblique listric side ramps	51
3.3.1.5 Listric end ramp with two listric side ramps at 45 degrees	53
3.3.1.6 Listric end ramp with a planar side ramp	54
3.3.1.7 Listric fault sandwiched between ramp-flat-ramp detachment	54
3.3.1.8 Differential extension above a simple listric detachment	57
3.3.1.9 Extension and compression above a listric detachment morphology	58

3.3.1.10	Rotational extension above a simple listric detachment	59
3.3.1.11	Listric end ramp with a planar side ramp (Oblique sections)	59
3.3.1.12	Listric end ramp with a listric side ramp (Oblique sections)	60
3.3.1.13	Oblique extension above a simple listric detachment	61
3.3.1.14	Planar side ramp sandwiched between listric end ramps	61
3.3.1.15	Listric end ramp with a backward-dipping listric side ramp	62
3.3.2	Surface fault patterns	62
3.3.2.1	Listric end ramp with listric side ramps at 30, 45, 60,70 and 80 degrees	63
3.3.2.2	Listric end ramp with a listric side ramp modified by a side ramp parallel to extension	64
3.3.2.3	Listric end ramp with planar side ramps at 30, 45 and 60 degrees	65
3.3.2.4	Planar end ramp with a listric side ramp at 45 degrees	66
3.3.2.5	Curved listric detachment	66
3.3.2.6	Listric end ramp with two listric side ramps at 60 degrees	66
3.3.2.7	Combination listric and ramp-flat-ramp detachment morphology	66
3.3.2.8	Ramp-flat-ramp detachment	67
3.3.2.9	Oblique extension above a simple listric detachment	67
3.4	Discussion on the development of hangingwall geometries above complex detachments in response to extension	67
3.4.1	Importance of edge effects when modelling with a pre-determined side ramp	76
3.4.2	Rollover development above complex detachment morphologies	76
3.4.3	Development of relay ramps	78
3.5	Implications of the 3D technique	78
3.6	Conclusions	81
<b>CHAPTER 4: COMPUTERISED TOMOGRAPHY</b>		<b>83</b>
4.1	Introduction	83
4.2	Computerised Tomography (CT) Technique	84
4.2.1	Basic principle of CT scanning	86
4.3	Modelling materials	86
4.4	The model box	88
4.5	Experimental description	89
4.5.1	Fault structures imaged by CT	89
4.5.2	Sand-hematite models	90
4.5.2.1	Experiment CT2	90
4.5.2.2	Experiment CT3	90
4.5.2.3	Experiment CT4	91
4.5.3	Sand-sugar models	95
4.5.3.1	Experiment CT5	96
4.5.3.2	Experiment CT6	96
4.5.4	NaHCO <sub>3</sub> models	103
4.5.4.1	Experiment CT7	103
4.5.4.2	Experiment CT8	104
4.6	Conclusions	104
<b>CHAPTER 5: COMPARISON OF ANALOGUE MODELLING WITH FIELD EXAMPLES (NORTH WEST SHELF, WESTERN AUSTRALIA)</b>		<b>106</b>
5.1	Introduction	106
5.2	Structure and Tectonics of the North West Shelf	107
5.3	Depth conversion technique	114
5.4	The Beagle Sub-basin	115
5.4.1	Structure	115
5.4.2	Analogue modelling	116
5.4.3	Implications	119
5.5	The Mermaid Fault	119
5.5.1	Structure	119
5.5.2	Two dimensional analogue modelling	120
5.5.3	Three dimensional analogue modelling	123



5.5.4	Influence of the main detachment on the hangingwall geometries	128
5.5.5	Compressive structures generated by normal faults	139
5.5.6	Particle displacement	139
5.5.7	Implications analogue of modelling	140
5.6	The Sholl Island Fault	141
5.7	The Flinders Fault	144
CHAPTER 6: DISCUSSION		146
6.1	General rules for the development of faults in extensional hangingwall blocks: controlled by the intersection and shape of detachment	146
6.1.1	Side ramp	148
6.1.2	Two side ramps	148
6.1.3	Curved listric detachment	151
6.1.4	Differential extension	151
6.1.5	Bachward dipping listric fault	151
6.1.6	Rotation extension	151
6.1.7	Other cases	156
6.1.7.1	Listric side ramp perpendicular to end ramp	156
6.1.7.2	Extension and compression	156
6.2	Global applications of extensional sandbox modelling	157
6.2.1	Brazos Ridge (Corsair) fault	157
6.2.2	Celtic Sea basins	159
6.2.3	Niger Delta	163
6.2.4	Grand Banks	165
6.2.5	Glacier National Park	168
6.2.6	Texas Gulf Coast	168
6.2.7	Gulf of Mexico	168
6.3	Publication of an Atlas and a CD ROM	171
6.4	Recommendations	171
APPENDICES		172
Appendix I		173
Reprint. Lemon, N. M. and Mahmood, T., 1994. Two and three dimensional analogue modelling of extensional fault systems with application to the North West Shelf, Western Australia. Australian Petroleum Exploration Association Journal, 34, p. 555-565.		
Reprint. Mahmood, T., and Lemon, N. M., 1995. Analogue 3D modelling of extensional fault systems -- examples from the North West Shelf, Western Australia (abs.). Australian Society of Exploration Geophysicists Conference Preview, no. 57, p. 105.		
Reprint. Mahmood, T. and Lemon, N. M., 1996. Analogue 3D sandbox modelling -- A useful technique for the prediction of deformation in extensional sedimentary basins (abs.). 13th Australian Geological Convention.		
Appendix II		187
Modelling materials, Sand stabilising technique, Model sectioning technique, Sand colouring technique		
Appendix III		192
CD software program		
BIBLIOGRAPHY		207

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Key elements associated with a simple listric fault.	9
Figure 2.2	Generalised hangingwall elements associated with a listric ramp\flat detachment.	9
Figure 2.3	Cross-section view of the 2D model box.	12
Figure 2.4	Progressive stages of deformation above a simple listric detachment after 30, 50 and 100 per cent extension.	15
Figure 2.5	Fault patterns developed in response to 30 and 50 per cent extension above a high angle simple listric detachment.	17
Figure 2.6	Structure developed in response to 30 per cent extension above ramp-flat-ramp detachment morphology.	17
Figure 2.7	Hangingwall geometries and sequences of faulting in the case where the moving wall was not constrained (equivalent to deltaic systems).	19
Figure 2.8	Trace of individual particle motion after 30 per cent extension.	19
Figure 2.9	Motion of marked particles during 30 per cent extension on a simple listric detachment.	21
Figure 2.10	Motion of selected particles above a simple listric detachment viewed with respect to the hangingwall.	23
Figure 2.11	Hangingwall fault restoration of 30 per cent extension above a simple listric detachment.	23
Figure 2.12	Progressive displacement of marked particles during nominal 10 per cent increment extension above a simple listric detachment.	24
Figure 2.13	Seismic section showing deformation related to extension on the Mermaid Fault.	25
Figure 2.14	Relative movement in per cent of marked particles during progressive nominal 10 per cent increment extension on a simple listric detachment.	29
Figure 2.15	Three dimensional image of a simple listric detachment.	29
Figure 2.16	Simple geometric construction of particle paths in the hangingwall above a simple listric detachment.	29
Figure 2.17	Summary of geometric models for determining fault shapes.	32
Figure 3.1	Plan view of the 3D model box.	37
Figure 3.1a	Isometric views of detachment surfaces used in the 3D experiments.	39
Figure 3.2	Perspex models of reconstructed 3D structures.	44
Figure 3.3	Plan view of the fault pattern developed above the detachment morphology shown in Figure 3.1a(A), after successive 10 per cent extensional increments.	47

Figure 3.4	Plan view of the fault pattern developed during extension away from an end ramp that is modified by a side ramp.	49
Figure 3.5	Plan view of the fault pattern developed during extension away from an end ramp that is modified by a side ramp. Surface cut-off angle of side and end ramps is 90 degrees.	50
Figure 3.6	Plan view of the fault pattern developed during extension away from two oblique side ramps.	52
Figure 3.7	Plan view of the fault pattern developed during extension above a listric fault sandwiched between two fault segments with ramp/flat detachment morphology.	56
Figure 3.8	Plan view of the hangingwall deformation above complex detachment morphologies.	70
Figure 3.9	Planar rollover developed above a planar side ramp.	77
Figure 3.10	Fault branching with constant total distribution of displacement monitored from the surface of a 3D model relating a field example.	79
Figure 3.11	Relay ramp monitored on the surface of a 3D model, illustrated in a block diagram.	80
Figure 4.1	Schematic diagram of a Third Generation CT Scanner.	85
Figure 4.2	Attenuation values of the selected modelling and model box materials.	87
Figure 4.3	3D CT model box.	88
Figure 4.4	Scanned images of the longitudinal sections after 20 per cent extension above a simple listric detachment.	92
Figure 4.5	Scanned images of pure sand above a ramp-flat-ramp detachment.	93
Figure 4.6	CT images of the model box filled with sand mixed with plaster and high density sand layers as a pre-rift sequence.	94
Figure 4.7	CT image of the longitudinal section after 20 per cent extension above a simple listric detachment.	95
Figure 4.8	Scanned images of the longitudinal sections of a 3D model showing 20 per cent extension diagonally away from two pre-existing faults.	97
Figure 4.9	Scanned images of a 3D model illustrating progressive deformation.	101
Figure 5.1	Sedimentary basins of the Western Australian margin.	108
Figure 5.2	Subdivisions of the Northern Carnarvon Basin.	108
Figure 5.3	Location of the fault study areas on the North West Shelf.	109
Figure 5.4	Structural elements in the Beagle Sub-basin.	115
Figure 5.5	Plan view of the fault pattern produced in a 3D model to simulate Beagle Sub-basin geometries.	117

Figure 5.6	Seismic line B86A-112.	118
Figure 5.7	Transverse section of a 3D model.	118
Figure 5.8	Time-depth curve from Arabella-1 area extrapolated to depth using velocity panel data from the surrounding area.	121
Figure 5.9	Hangingwall deformation in a 2D sandbox model. The detachment is based on the depth-converted Mermaid Fault.	122
Figure 5.10	Uninterpreted seismic line OB 82-13.	124
Figure 5.11	Uninterpreted seismic line OB 82-14A.	124
Figure 5.12	Uninterpreted seismic line OB 82-16.	125
Figure 5.13	Uninterpreted seismic line OB 82-18.	125
Figure 5.14	Uninterpreted seismic line OB 82-20.	126
Figure 5.15	Uninterpreted seismic line OB 82-22.	126
Figure 5.16	Uninterpreted seismic line OB 82-26.	127
Figure 5.17	Depth converted section of seismic line OB 82-13.	129
Figure 5.18	Depth converted section of seismic line OB 82-20.	130
Figure 5.19	Plan view of the fault patterns developed above the Mermaid Fault.	131
Figure 5.20	Seismic line OB 82-13 showing deformation related to extension on the listric Mermaid Fault.	132
Figure 5.21	The longitudinal section of the 3D model.	132
Figure 5.22	Seismic line OB 82-14A showing deformation related to extension on the listric Mermaid Fault.	133
Figure 5.23	The longitudinal section of the 3D model.	133
Figure 5.24	Seismic line OB 82-16 showing deformation related to extension on the listric Mermaid Fault.	134
Figure 5.25	The longitudinal section of the 3D model.	134
Figure 5.26	Seismic line OB 82-18 showing deformation related to extension on the ramp-flat-ramp Mermaid Fault.	135
Figure 5.27	The longitudinal section of the 3D model.	135
Figure 5.28	Seismic line OB 82-20 showing deformation related to extension on the ramp-flat-ramp Mermaid Fault.	136
Figure 5.29	The longitudinal section of the 3D model.	136
Figure 5.30	Seismic line OB 82-22 showing deformation related to extension on the ramp-flat-ramp Mermaid Fault.	137
Figure 5.31	The longitudinal section of the 3D model.	137

Figure 5.32	Seismic line OB 82-26 showing deformation related to extension on the ramp-flat-ramp Mermaid Fault.	138
Figure 5.33	The longitudinal section of the 3D model.	138
Figure 5.34	Time-depth curve for the Candace area extrapolated to depth using velocity panel data from the surrounding area.	142
Figure 5.35	Depth converted section of seismic line OB 82-150.	143
Figure 5.36	Seismic line OB 82-53 showing deformation above the Flinders Fault System.	145
Figure 5.37	Oblique section of the 3D model illustrating geometries of the seismic line OB 82-53.	145
Figure 6.1	Structure developed in response to extension above an end ramp incorporated with a side ramp.	149
Figure 6.2	Structure developed in response 45 degrees oblique extension above two side ramps.	150
Figure 6.3	Structure pattern developed in response to extension above a curved listric detachment.	152
Figure 6.4	Structure developed in response to differential extension above a simple listric detachment.	153
Figure 6.5	Structure developed in response to extension above a simple listric detachment modified by a listric detachment in backward direction.	154
Figure 6.6	Structure developed in response to rotational extension above a simple listric detachment.	155
Figure 6.7	The Brazos Ridge (Corsair) Fault, Gulf of Mexico. (a) Schematic plan view of the Brazos Ridge fields, (b) seismic line A through the Corsair Fault, (c) seismic line B through the Corsair Fault.	158
Figure 6.8	Schematic plan view of the Celtic Sea basins.	160
Figure 6.9	(a) Cross sections through the North Celtic Sea basin, (b) cross sections through the St. George's Channel basin and the Fastnet basin, (c) cross sections through the Bristol Channel basin and the South Celtic Sea basin.	161
Figure 6.10	Mesozoic structural evolution of the Celtic Sea basins and the related development of facies. (a) Late Triassic, (b) late Oxfordian to early Tithonian, (c) Berriasian to Aptian.	162
Figure 6.11	Niger Delta, West Africa. (a) Basins and structural features of the Niger Delta area, (b) locations of Niger Delta oilfields, (c) rollover anticline with gorthand antithetic faults with diapirism and crestal collapse.	164
Figure 6.12	The Grand Banks, Newfoundland. (a) Differential extension of Jeanne d'Arc basin, (b) seismic line 79-NF-110A is subparallel to the western margin.	166
Figure 6.13	Line drawing showing the hangingwall fault pattern of a normal fault, Glacier National Park, Montana.	169

Figure 6.14	Interpreted seismic line showing a listric growth fault from Gulf Coast.	169
Figure 6.15	Interpreted seismic line showing a listric growth fault from the Gulf of Mexico.	170

## **LIST OF TABLES**

Figure 5.1	Summary of the Mesozoic tectonic event history of the North West Shelf.	111
------------	---	-----