



| | |
|------------------|--|
| Title | Evolution of corticosteroid specificity for human, chicken, alligator and frog glucocorticoid receptors |
| Author(s) | Katsu, Yoshinao; Kohno, Satomi; Oka, Kaori; Baker, Michael E. |
| Citation | Steroids, 113, 38-45 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.steroids.2016.06.005 |
| Issue Date | 2016-09 |
| Doc URL | http://hdl.handle.net/2115/67084 |
| Rights | © 2016. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/ |
| Rights(URL) | http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/ |
| Type | article (author version) |
| File Information | Evolution of corticosteroid specificity.pdf |



[Instructions for use](#)

1 **Evolution of corticosteroid specificity for human, chicken,**
2 **alligator and frog glucocorticoid receptors**

3
4 Yoshinao Katsu^{1,2,*}, Satomi Kohno³, Kaori Oka¹, Michael E. Baker^{4,*}

5
6 ¹Graduate School of Life Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan; ²Department
7 of Biological Sciences, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan; ³Department of
8 Obstetrics and Gynecology, Medical University of South Carolina, and Marine
9 Biomedicine and Environmental Science Center, Hollings Marine Laboratory,
10 Charleston, SC, USA; ⁴Department of Medicine, University of California, San Diego,
11 CA, USA

12
13 Correspondence:

14 ykatsu@sci.hokudai.ac.jp

15 mbaker@ucsd.edu

1 **Abstract.** We investigated the evolution of the response of human, chicken, alligator
2 and frog glucocorticoid receptors (GRs) to dexamethasone, cortisol, cortisone,
3 corticosterone, 11-deoxycorticosterone, 11-deoxycortisol and aldosterone. We find
4 significant differences among these vertebrates in the transcriptional activation of their
5 full length GRs by these steroids, indicating that there were changes in the specificity of
6 the GR for steroids during the evolution of terrestrial vertebrates. To begin to study
7 the role of interactions between different domains on the GR in steroid sensitivity and
8 specificity for terrestrial GRs, we investigated transcriptional activation of truncated
9 GRs containing their hinge domain and ligand binding domain (LBD) fused to a GAL4
10 DNA binding domain (GAL4-DBD). Compared to corresponding full length GRs,
11 transcriptional activation of GAL4-DBD_GR-hinge/LBD constructs required higher
12 steroid concentrations and displayed altered steroid specificity, indicating that
13 interactions between the hinge/LBD and other domains are important in glucocorticoid
14 activation of these terrestrial GRs.

15

16 **Short Title:** Evolution of steroid specificity for terrestrial GRs

17

18 **Key Words:** Glucocorticoid Receptor, Glucocorticoid Evolution, Allosteric Regulation,
19 Alligator, Xenopus, Chicken

20

21

22 **Highlights**

23 Response to corticosteroids evolved in terrestrial vertebrate GRs.

24 Allosteric interactions between A/B/C and hinge/LBD domains regulate GR response.

25 Different responses to steroids for full length and GAL4-DBD-hinge-LBD GRs.

26

27

28

29 **1. Introduction**

30 Glucocorticoids (Figure 1) regulate a variety of physiological functions
31 including carbohydrate and protein metabolism, blood pressure, immune function and
32 the body's anti-inflammatory processes via transcriptional activation of the
33 glucocorticoid receptor (GR) [1-5]. The GR and other steroid receptors belong to the
34 nuclear receptor family, a large family of transcription factors, which includes receptors
35 for thyroid hormone, retinoids and other small lipophilic molecules [6-10]. The GR
36 and other steroid receptors have a characteristic modular structure consisting of an

1 N-terminal domain (NTD) (domains A and B), a central DNA-binding domain (DBD)
2 (domain C), a hinge domain (D) and a C-terminal ligand-binding domain (LBD)
3 (domain E) [9, 11-13] (Figure 2). The E domain alone is competent to bind steroids
4 [11, 12, 14-17].

5 The NTD contains an activation function 1 [AF1] domain, which is a strong
6 transcriptional activator of the GR [18-20]. Interestingly, AF1 is intrinsically
7 disordered, unlike the DBD and LBD [20-22]. Allosteric interactions between AF1
8 and other domains on the GR and coactivators lead to a conformational rearrangement
9 of AF1 that is important in transcriptional activation of the GR [22-25]. In rat GR,
10 there is evidence that allosteric interactions between DBD and other domains regulate
11 gene transcription [26, 27]. Recent crystal structures of the DBD-Hinge-LBD domains
12 of other nuclear receptors [13, 21] identified allosteric signaling between the DBD and
13 LBD domains.

14 Although dexamethasone (DEX) and cortisol (F) activation of rodent [28] and
15 human [19, 29-32] GRs has been investigated, there has been no systematic
16 assessment of corticosteroid specificity among phylogenetically diverse terrestrial
17 vertebrate GRs, such as amphibians, reptiles, birds and mammals. This is important
18 because more than one corticosteroid may be a physiological glucocorticoid in
19 terrestrial vertebrates [33-35]. Reports of transcriptional activation by corticosteroids
20 of the GR for other terrestrial vertebrates: amphibians, reptiles and birds, are limited [36,
21 37]. Oka et al. [36] reported half-maximal response (EC₅₀) values for transcriptional
22 activation of full length alligator GR by F, corticosterone (B), 11-deoxycorticosterone
23 (DOC) and aldosterone (Aldo). The EC₅₀s for F and B were 0.29 nM and 0.16 nM,
24 respectively, which is consistent with the known role of these two steroids as
25 glucocorticoids in mammals. However, the EC₅₀s for Aldo and DOC were 2.9 nM
26 and 2.8 nM, which is unexpected because both steroids have a lower binding affinity for
27 human GR [29, 31] and are weak transcriptional activators of human GR [29, 38, 39].
28 Similar intriguing findings for Aldo were reported for chicken GR by
29 Proszkowiec-Weglarz and Porter [37], who found that the EC₅₀s for transcriptional
30 activation of chicken GR by Aldo and B were 0.8 nM and 1.8 nM, respectively, with the
31 level of transcription due to B being about 30% higher than to Aldo. The EC₅₀s of
32 DOC and other corticosteroids for chicken GR and of DEX for alligator GR were not
33 determined.

34 These unexpected responses of alligator and chicken GRs to Aldo and our
35 interest in the evolution of specificity for corticosteroids in the GR in vertebrates [12, 36,
36 40-42] motivated us to investigate the response to a panel corticosteroids, DEX, F,

1 cortisone (E), B, DOC, 11-deoxycortisol (S) and Aldo of the GR from chicken and the
2 amphibian [*Xenopus laevis*] for comparison to human and alligator GR with the goal of
3 clarifying the evolution of corticosteroid specificity in terrestrial vertebrates. In
4 addition, we were interested in investigating the role of domains A-C and domains D-E
5 [13, 20-22, 42-45] in the response of GRs to steroids. The influence of domains A-C
6 on steroid responses for the GR has not been studied previously in non-mammalian
7 terrestrial vertebrates. For these studies we constructed a plasmid containing the
8 GAL4 DBD fused to the D domain and E domain of the GR (GR-LBD).

9 We found significant differences in the EC50s of these full length GRs to
10 corticosteroids indicating that during the evolution of these terrestrial vertebrates there
11 were changes in their response to various corticosteroids. Moreover, in the presence of
12 corticosteroids, truncated GRs containing a GR LBD fused to a GAL4 DBD had a
13 higher EC50 value (weaker activation) than their corresponding full length GRs,
14 indicating altered steroid specificity among these terrestrial vertebrate GRs and that the
15 evolution of the response of terrestrial vertebrate GRs to different steroids was complex.
16 The effect of interactions between the domains D-E and other GR domains [21, 42, 43]
17 on transcriptional activation may involve post-translational modification of domains A,
18 B or C [46-48], alterations in the binding of co-regulator proteins [23, 46, 49] or a
19 combination of these mechanisms.

21 **2. Materials and Methods**

22 **2.1 Chemical reagents**

23 DEX, F, E, B, Aldo, DOC and S were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. For the
24 reporter gene assays, all hormones were dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) and
25 the final concentration of DMSO in the culture medium did not exceed 0.1%.

27 **2.2 Construction of plasmid vectors**

28 The full-coding regions and D/E domains of the GR from *X. laevis*, alligator,
29 chicken and human were amplified by PCR with KOD DNA polymerase (TOYOBO
30 Biochemicals, Osaka, Japan). The PCR products were gel-purified and ligated into
31 pcDNA3.1 vector (KpnI-NotI site for human, chicken and alligator GRs, and
32 HindIII-NotI site for *X. laevis* GR) (Invitrogen) for the full-coding region or pBIND
33 vector (MluI-NotI site) (Promega) for D-E domains. As shown in Figure 2, the D
34 domain begins at human GR (487), chicken GR (482), alligator GR (490) and *X. laevis*
35 GR (486) [36].

2.3 Transactivation Assay and Statistical Methods

CHO-K1 cells (Chinese hamster ovary cell) were used in the reporter gene assay. Transfection and reporter assays were carried out as described previously [36, 50]. The use of CHO-K1 cells and an assay temperature of 37C does not replicate the physiological environment of *X. laevis*, alligator and chicken. Nevertheless, studies with mammalian cell lines at 37C have proven useful for other studies of transcriptional activation by corticosteroids of teleost fish GRs [51-54] and other non-mammalian GRs [37, 55, 56]. Levels of expression of the different non-mammalian GRs and their truncated counterparts may differ in CHO-K1 cells. However, comparisons of the EC50 of different corticosteroids for each GR would be valid, which is the goal of our study. All transfections were performed at least three times, employing triplicate sample points in each experiment. The values shown are mean \pm SEM from three separate experiments, and dose-response data and EC50 were analyzed using GraphPad Prism. Comparisons between two groups were performed using *t*-test, and all multi-group comparisons were performed using one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni test. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1 Different steroid-responses for full length and truncated human, chicken, alligator and *X. laevis* GRs.

In Figure 3 we compare corticosteroid-inducible transcriptional activation of full length and truncated (GAL4-DBD_GR-LBD) of terrestrial vertebrate GRs by DEX, F, E, B, Aldo, DOC and S. At 10 nM steroid, there were significant differences between all full length and truncated GRs for all corticosteroids. Human and *Xenopus* GRs had the greatest difference between full length and truncated GRs. Full length human GR was strongly activated by DEX, F and B and weakly activated by DOC and S, while the truncated human GR was activated by DEX (Figures 3A, B). Full length *Xenopus* GR was strongly activated by DEX and B and weakly activated by F, Aldo and DOC (Figure 3G). Truncated GR was activated by DEX and B (Figure 3H).

Chicken GR and alligator GR were similar to each other regarding transcriptional activation of full length GRs. For full length chicken GR, B, Aldo and DOC were strongest activators at 10 nM (Figure 3C). DEX, F and S had similar lower transcriptional activity for chicken GR. Interestingly, E had low, but significant, activity for full length chicken GR. For truncated chicken GR, only DEX, F and B had strong activity (Figure 3D). S, Aldo and DOC had low, but significant activity. For full length alligator GR, all steroids, except E, had good activity (Figure 3E). E had

1 low, but significant activity. Truncated alligator GR was strongly activated by DEX
2 and F, and less activated by B (Figure 3F). Aldo and S had very low, but significant
3 activity.

4 5 **3.2 EC50 values for transcriptional activation of full length human, chicken, 6 alligator and *X. laevis* GRs**

7 Next we examined the concentration-dependence of transcriptional activation of
8 full length terrestrial vertebrate GRs by DEX, F, E, B, Aldo, DOC and S (Figure 4,
9 Table 1). Compared to the other steroids, DEX has the lowest EC50 for all of the full
10 length GRs (Table 1). Interestingly, there are significant differences among the GRs of
11 the EC50s for other corticosteroids, including F and B, which are the major
12 physiological glucocorticoids in terrestrial vertebrates. For example, for full length
13 GRs, B has a lower EC50 than F for *X. laevis* GR, while F has a lower EC50 than B for
14 human, chicken and alligator GR.

15 Aldo, which is a mineralocorticoid, has an EC50 of 2.7 nM and 44 nM
16 respectively, for alligator GR and *X. laevis* GR and an EC50 of 2 nM and 82 nM,
17 respectively, for chicken and human GR. DOC, which also is a mineralocorticoid, has
18 an EC50 of 2.6 nM and 23 nM, respectively, for alligator GR and *X. laevis* GR, and an
19 EC50 of 0.63 nM and 110 nM, respectively, for chicken GR and human GR.
20 Interestingly, S has an EC50 of 0.17 nM and 0.35 nM, respectively, for chicken and
21 alligator GR, and a much higher EC50 for human GR [50 nM] and *X. laevis* GR [530
22 nM] (Table 1).

23 24 **3.3 EC50 values for transcriptional activation of truncated (GAL4-DBD_GR-LBD) 25 terrestrial vertebrate GRs**

26 The concentration-dependence of transcriptional activation of truncated
27 terrestrial vertebrate GRs by DEX, F, E, B, Aldo, DOC and S is shown in Figure 4 and
28 Table 1. Transcriptional activation by several steroids was dramatically different
29 among terrestrial vertebrate GRs that lacked the A-C domains. For example, truncated
30 human GR has a strong response to DEX (EC50 = 8.3 nM) and a very weak response to
31 F (EC50 = 1.2 μ M), and no significant response to B, Aldo, DOC or S (Table 1). This
32 contrasts to other vertebrate truncated GRs. Truncated chicken GR has nM EC50s for
33 DEX, F and B, and a weaker but significant response to Aldo and S. Truncated
34 alligator GR has nM EC50s for DEX and F, a weaker, but significant, response to B
35 (EC50 = 49 nM), a weak response to Aldo (EC50 = 0.16 μ M) S (EC50 = 0.12 μ M) and
36 E (EC50 = μ M). Truncated *X. laevis* GR has EC50s of 67 nM and 48 nM, respectively,

1 for DEX and B (Table 1).

2 These results suggest that allosteric signaling between the hinge/LBD and one or
3 more of the A, B and C domains influences the response of terrestrial vertebrate GRs to
4 corticosteroids.

5

6 **3.4 Analysis of a 25 residue segment on human GR and MR that influences** 7 **corticosteroid specificity**

8 Rogerson et al. [57] identified 12 amino acids in a 25 residue segment, located
9 in the c-terminus of helix 5, a β -turn and helix 6 on human GR, that could be replaced
10 with corresponding residues from human MR to yield a hybrid GR that had an EC₅₀ of
11 3 nM for Aldo. In Figure 5, we compare this segment in chicken, alligator and *X.*
12 *laevis* GRs with the corresponding segments in human MR and GR. The alignment
13 does not reveal a pattern of similarity between chicken and alligator GRs and human
14 MR that can explain the lower EC₅₀s that Aldo has for chicken and alligator GRs
15 compared to human and *X. laevis* GRs.

16

17 **4. Discussion**

18 Although there are several reports of the response to different corticosteroids
19 of the mammalian GR [19, 28-32, 38, 42], the corticosteroids that activate GRs from
20 other terrestrial vertebrates have not been studied in depth. In birds and amphibians, B
21 appears to be the physiological glucocorticoid [33, 34, 58], and S has been found to be a
22 physiological glucocorticoid in lamprey [59, 60]. However, as discussed below, our
23 data (Table 1) supports the presence of more than one physiological glucocorticoid in
24 some terrestrial vertebrates. Also, our data indicate that there were changes in
25 specificity for corticosteroids in the GR at key transitions in the evolution of terrestrial
26 vertebrates.

27 As shown in Figures 3 and 4 and Table 1, we find significant differences in
28 the response to a panel of corticosteroids of full length GRs from *X. laevis*, alligator,
29 chicken and humans, providing evidence for the evolution of selectivity of terrestrial
30 vertebrate GRs for F, B, Aldo, DOC and S. We confirm previous studies [36, 37] that
31 Aldo has nM EC₅₀s for full length chicken and alligator GR. This contrasts with the
32 response to Aldo of full length human and *X. laevis* GR, for which the EC₅₀ is 82 nM
33 and 44 nM, respectively. The low EC₅₀s of B for chicken GR (0.23 nM), alligator GR
34 (0.35 nM) and *X. laevis* GR (5.1 nM) are consistent with a role for B as a physiological
35 glucocorticoid in these vertebrates [33, 34, 58]. We also find that DOC, another
36 mineralocorticoid [39, 41, 61, 62], has a low EC₅₀ for full length chicken GR (0.6 nM)

1 and alligator GR (2.6 nM), in contrast to DOC's higher EC50 for human GR (110 nM)
2 and *X. laevis* GR (23 nM). S also has a substantially lower EC50 for chicken GR (0.17
3 nM) and alligator GR (0.35 nM) compared to human GR (50 nM) and *X. laevis* GR
4 (953 nM). Interestingly, there is a weak but significant, response to E of chicken and
5 alligator GR. The low EC50s of B, DOC and S for chicken and alligator GRs and of B
6 for *X. laevis* GR leaves open the possibility that these steroids are physiological
7 glucocorticoids in these vertebrates. There are regulatory implications for DOC and S
8 as glucocorticoids because these steroids lack an 11 β -OH group that is present in F and
9 B (Figure 1). Thus, DOC and S would be inert to 11 β -HSD2, and could activate
10 chicken and alligator GRs in tissues containing 11 β -HSD2, which would inactivate B
11 and F [1, 63-65].

12 Our studies with truncated GRs (hinge-LBD) reveal that one or more of the A,
13 B and C domains are important in the response of terrestrial vertebrate GRs to
14 corticosteroids. We find that compared to full length GRs, all truncated GRs
15 (hinge-LBD) have substantially higher EC50s for all corticosteroids. For example, the
16 EC50s of DEX and F for truncated human GR increased to 8.3 nM and 1.2 μ M,
17 respectively. Moreover, Aldo, B, DOC and S have an EC50 greater than 1 μ M for
18 truncated human GR. Similar changes for these steroids to higher EC50s were found
19 for the non-mammalian vertebrate GRs. Thus, F, Aldo, DOC and S have EC50s
20 greater 1 μ M for truncated *X. laevis* GR. DOC has an EC50 greater 1 μ M for
21 truncated chicken and alligator GR.

22 There are several overlapping mechanisms that could account for stronger
23 response to corticosteroids of full length GRs compared to their truncated GR
24 counterparts. Different corticosteroids may induce conformations in the LBD that alter
25 binding of coactivators and transcriptional activation [43, 46]. Allosteric interactions
26 between the LBD and the NTD [42, 43, 46] or DBD [26, 45] are known to influence
27 transcriptional activation of human and rat GRs. These allosteric interactions may be
28 influenced by post-translational modification of the NTD by phosphorylation [46, 47] or
29 SUMOylation [48], which also may influence binding of co-activators [23, 43, 46, 49,
30 66].

31 Based on Rogerson et al. [57] identification of a region in hMR that could be
32 substituted into hGR and increase its response to Aldo, we analyzed the corresponding
33 segment on chicken, alligator, *X laevis* and human GRs for clues to differences in their
34 responses to corticosteroids. Our analysis of this segment (Figure 5) did not find a
35 pattern that can explain the relatively strong responses to Aldo of chicken and alligator
36 GRs, suggesting that other mechanisms such as interactions with the LBD of the NTD

1 on alligator and chicken GRs may contribute to the differences in their response to
2 corticosteroids compared to human and *X. laevis* GRs.

3 4 **4.1 Evolution**

5 Our data indicate that there were significant changes in the response to
6 corticosteroids during the evolution of terrestrial vertebrates. Among the species that
7 we have studied, chicken and alligator are closest, having diverged about 150 million
8 years ago (myr) from a common ancestor. Consistent with this close relationship, full
9 length chicken and alligator GRs have similar EC50s for B, Aldo and S. In contrast,
10 full length GR from *X. laevis*, which is the most divergent of the studied
11 non-mammalian species, has the high EC50s for all tested corticosteroids.

12 It is interesting that human mineralocorticoid receptor [MR] which descended
13 from a common ancestor with the GR [67-69], also has an interaction between domains
14 A and B and the LBD that regulates transcriptional activation by Aldo [70-72] as does
15 zebrafish MR [73]. The A/B domains on human and zebrafish MR can interact with
16 each other's LBD, indicating that this is an ancient property of the MR. This suggests
17 that the role in transcriptional activation of the interaction between the A/B and LBD
18 domains arose in the common ancestor of the GR and MR. Further studies of the role
19 in transcriptional activation of the A, B and C domains on the GR and MR should
20 provide insights into the evolution of steroid specificity in these receptors.

21 22 **Author Contributions**

23 S.K. and K.O. carried out the research. Y.K. and M.E.B. conceived and designed the
24 experiments and wrote the paper.

25
26 **Declaration of interests:** The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

27 28 **Acknowledgments**

29 We thank colleagues in our laboratories. K.O. was supported by the Japan
30 Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.
31 This work was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research 23570067 and
32 26440159 (YK) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and
33 Technology of Japan.

34 35 **References**

36 [1] Chapman K, Holmes M, Seckl J. 11beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases:

1 intracellular gate-keepers of tissue glucocorticoid action. *Physiological reviews*.
2 2013;93:1139-206.

3 [2] Kadmiel M, Cidlowski JA. Glucocorticoid receptor signaling in health and disease.
4 *Trends in pharmacological sciences*. 2013;34:518-30.

5 [3] McEwen BS. Physiology and neurobiology of stress and adaptation: central role of
6 the brain. *Physiological reviews*. 2007;87:873-904.

7 [4] Rose AJ, Herzig S. Metabolic control through glucocorticoid hormones: an update.
8 *Molecular and cellular endocrinology*. 2013;380:65-78.

9 [5] Chrousos GP. Stress and sex versus immunity and inflammation. *Sci Signal*.
10 2010;3:pe36.

11 [6] Baker ME. Origin and diversification of steroids: co-evolution of enzymes and
12 nuclear receptors. *Molecular and cellular endocrinology*. 2011;334:14-20.

13 [7] Bridgham JT, Eick GN, Larroux C, Deshpande K, Harms MJ, Gauthier ME, et al.
14 Protein evolution by molecular tinkering: diversification of the nuclear receptor
15 superfamily from a ligand-dependent ancestor. *PLoS biology*. 2010;8.

16 [8] Markov GV, Tavares R, Dauphin-Villemant C, Demeneix BA, Baker ME, Laudet V.
17 Independent elaboration of steroid hormone signaling pathways in metazoans. *Proc Natl*
18 *Acad Sci U S A*. 2009;106:11913-8.

19 [9] Sladek FM. What are nuclear receptor ligands? *Molecular and cellular*
20 *endocrinology*. 2011;334:3-13.

21 [10] Bertrand S, Belgacem MR, Escriva H. Nuclear hormone receptors in chordates.
22 *Molecular and cellular endocrinology*. 2011;334:67-75.

23 [11] Huang P, Chandra V, Rastinejad F. Structural overview of the nuclear receptor
24 superfamily: insights into physiology and therapeutics. *Annu Rev Physiol*.
25 2010;72:247-72.

26 [12] Baker ME, Funder JW, Kattoula SR. Evolution of hormone selectivity in
27 glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid receptors. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol*.
28 2013;137:57-70.

29 [13] Rastinejad F, Huang P, Chandra V, Khorasanizadeh S. Understanding nuclear
30 receptor form and function using structural biology. *Journal of molecular endocrinology*.
31 2013;51:T1-T21.

32 [14] Bledsoe RK, Montana VG, Stanley TB, Delves CJ, Apolito CJ, McKee DD, et al.
33 Crystal structure of the glucocorticoid receptor ligand binding domain reveals a novel
34 mode of receptor dimerization and coactivator recognition. *Cell*. 2002;110:93-105.

35 [15] Li Y, Suino K, Daugherty J, Xu HE. Structural and biochemical mechanisms for the
36 specificity of hormone binding and coactivator assembly by mineralocorticoid receptor.

1 Mol Cell. 2005;19:367-80.

2 [16] Williams SP, Sigler PB. Atomic structure of progesterone complexed with its
3 receptor. Nature. 1998;393:392-6.

4 [17] Brzozowski AM, Pike AC, Dauter Z, Hubbard RE, Bonn T, Engstrom O, et al.
5 Molecular basis of agonism and antagonism in the oestrogen receptor. Nature.
6 1997;389:753-8.

7 [18] Godowski PJ, Rusconi S, Miesfeld R, Yamamoto KR. Glucocorticoid receptor
8 mutants that are constitutive activators of transcriptional enhancement. Nature.
9 1987;325:365-8.

10 [19] Hollenberg SM, Giguere V, Segui P, Evans RM. Colocalization of DNA-binding
11 and transcriptional activation functions in the human glucocorticoid receptor. Cell.
12 1987;49:39-46.

13 [20] Simons SS, Jr., Kumar R. Variable steroid receptor responses: Intrinsically
14 disordered AF1 is the key. Molecular and cellular endocrinology. 2013;376:81-4.

15 [21] Rastinejad F, Ollendorff V, Polikarpov I. Nuclear receptor full-length architectures:
16 confronting myth and illusion with high resolution. Trends in biochemical sciences.
17 2015;40:16-24.

18 [22] Kumar R, Thompson EB. Folding of the glucocorticoid receptor N-terminal
19 transactivation function: dynamics and regulation. Molecular and cellular endocrinology.
20 2012;348:450-6.

21 [23] Khan SH, Awasthi S, Guo C, Goswami D, Ling J, Griffin PR, et al. Binding of the
22 N-terminal region of coactivator TIF2 to the intrinsically disordered AF1 domain of the
23 glucocorticoid receptor is accompanied by conformational reorganizations. The Journal
24 of biological chemistry. 2012;287:44546-60.

25 [24] Kumar R, Thompson EB. Influence of flanking sequences on signaling between the
26 activation function AF1 and DNA-binding domain of the glucocorticoid receptor. Arch
27 Biochem Biophys. 2010;496:140-5.

28 [25] Li J, Motlagh HN, Chakuroff C, Thompson EB, Hilser VJ. Thermodynamic
29 dissection of the intrinsically disordered N-terminal domain of human glucocorticoid
30 receptor. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2012;287:26777-87.

31 [26] Meijssing SH, Pufall MA, So AY, Bates DL, Chen L, Yamamoto KR. DNA binding
32 site sequence directs glucocorticoid receptor structure and activity. Science.
33 2009;324:407-10.

34 [27] Watson LC, Kuchenbecker KM, Schiller BJ, Gross JD, Pufall MA, Yamamoto KR.
35 The glucocorticoid receptor dimer interface allosterically transmits sequence-specific
36 DNA signals. Nature structural & molecular biology. 2013;20:876-83.

1 [28] Miesfeld R, Godowski PJ, Maler BA, Yamamoto KR. Glucocorticoid receptor
2 mutants that define a small region sufficient for enhancer activation. *Science*.
3 1987;236:423-7.

4 [29] Hellal-Levy C, Couette B, Fagart J, Souque A, Gomez-Sanchez C, Rafestin-Oblin
5 M. Specific hydroxylations determine selective corticosteroid recognition by human
6 glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid receptors. *FEBS Lett*. 1999;464:9-13.

7 [30] Rupprecht R, Arriza JL, Spengler D, Reul JM, Evans RM, Holsboer F, et al.
8 Transactivation and synergistic properties of the mineralocorticoid receptor: relationship
9 to the glucocorticoid receptor. *Molecular endocrinology (Baltimore, Md)*.
10 1993;7:597-603.

11 [31] Zhang J, Simisky J, Tsai FT, Geller DS. A critical role of helix 3-helix 5 interaction
12 in steroid hormone receptor function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2005;102:2707-12.

13 [32] Lim-Tio SS, Keightley MC, Fuller PJ. Determinants of specificity of
14 transactivation by the mineralocorticoid or glucocorticoid receptor. *Endocrinology*.
15 1997;138:2537-43.

16 [33] Romero LM. Seasonal changes in plasma glucocorticoid concentrations in
17 free-living vertebrates. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 2002;128:1-24.

18 [34] Taves MD, Gomez-Sanchez CE, Soma KK. Extra-adrenal glucocorticoids and
19 mineralocorticoids: evidence for local synthesis, regulation, and function. *Am J Physiol*
20 *Endocrinol Metab*. 2011;301:E11-24.

21 [35] Taves MD, Plumb AW, Sandkam BA, Ma C, Van Der Gugten JG, Holmes DT, et al.
22 Steroid profiling reveals widespread local regulation of glucocorticoid levels during
23 mouse development. *Endocrinology*. 2015;156:511-22.

24 [36] Oka K, Kohno S, Urushitani H, Guillette LJ, Jr., Ohta Y, Iguchi T, et al. Molecular
25 cloning and characterization of the corticoid receptors from the American alligator.
26 *Molecular and cellular endocrinology*. 2013;365:153-61.

27 [37] Proszkowiec-Weglarz M, Porter TE. Functional characterization of chicken
28 glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid receptors. *American journal of physiology*
29 *Regulatory, integrative and comparative physiology*. 2010;298:R1257-68.

30 [38] Arriza JL, Simerly RB, Swanson LW, Evans RM. The neuronal mineralocorticoid
31 receptor as a mediator of glucocorticoid response. *Neuron*. 1988;1:887-900.

32 [39] Rupprecht R, Reul JM, van Steensel B, Spengler D, Soder M, Berning B, et al.
33 Pharmacological and functional characterization of human mineralocorticoid and
34 glucocorticoid receptor ligands. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 1993;247:145-54.

35 [40] Baker ME, Chandsawangbhuwana C, Ollikainen N. Structural analysis of the
36 evolution of steroid specificity in the mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptors.

1 BMC Evol Biol. 2007;7:24.

2 [41] Rossier BC, Baker ME, Studer RA. Epithelial sodium transport and its control by
3 aldosterone: the story of our internal environment revisited. *Physiological reviews*.
4 2015;95:297-340.

5 [42] Oka K, Hoang A, Okada D, Iguchi T, Baker ME, Katsu Y. Allosteric role of the
6 amino-terminal A/B domain on corticosteroid transactivation of gar and human
7 glucocorticoid receptors. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol*. 2015;154:112-9.

8 [43] Christopoulos A, Changeux JP, Catterall WA, Fabbro D, Burris TP, Cidlowski JA,
9 et al. International union of basic and clinical pharmacology. XC. multisite
10 pharmacology: recommendations for the nomenclature of receptor allosterism and
11 allosteric ligands. *Pharmacological reviews*. 2014;66:918-47.

12 [44] Kumar R, Litwack G. Structural and functional relationships of the steroid hormone
13 receptors' N-terminal transactivation domain. *Steroids*. 2009;74:877-83.

14 [45] Thomas-Chollier M, Watson LC, Cooper SB, Pufall MA, Liu JS, Borzym K, et al.
15 A naturally occurring insertion of a single amino acid rewires transcriptional regulation
16 by glucocorticoid receptor isoforms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2013;110:17826-31.

17 [46] Lu NZ, Cidlowski JA. Glucocorticoid receptor isoforms generate transcription
18 specificity. *Trends Cell Biol*. 2006;16:301-7.

19 [47] Arango-Lievano M, Lambert WM, Bath KG, Garabedian MJ, Chao MV, Jeanneteau
20 F. Neurotrophic-priming of glucocorticoid receptor signaling is essential for neuronal
21 plasticity to stress and antidepressant treatment. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*.
22 2015;112:15737-42.

23 [48] Hua G, Paulen L, Chambon P. GR SUMOylation and formation of an
24 SUMO-SMRT/NCoR1-HDAC3 repressing complex is mandatory for GC-induced IR
25 nGRE-mediated transrepression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2016;113:E626-34.

26 [49] Cho S, Blackford JA, Jr., Simons SS, Jr. Role of activation function domain-1,
27 DNA binding, and coactivator GRIP1 in the expression of partial agonist activity of
28 glucocorticoid receptor-antagonist complexes. *Biochemistry*. 2005;44:3547-61.

29 [50] Katsu Y, Kubokawa K, Urushitani H, Iguchi T. Estrogen-dependent transactivation
30 of amphioxus steroid hormone receptor via both estrogen and androgen response
31 elements. *Endocrinology*. 2010;151:639-48.

32 [51] Bury NR, Sturm A, Le Rouzic P, Lethimonier C, Ducouret B, Guiguen Y, et al.
33 Evidence for two distinct functional glucocorticoid receptors in teleost fish. *J Mol*
34 *Endocrinol*. 2003;31:141-56.

35 [52] Greenwood AK, Butler PC, White RB, DeMarco U, Pearce D, Fernald RD.
36 Multiple corticosteroid receptors in a teleost fish: distinct sequences, expression patterns,

1 and transcriptional activities. *Endocrinology*. 2003;144:4226-36.

2 [53] Stolte EH, de Mazon AF, Leon-Koosterziel KM, Jesiak M, Bury NR, Sturm A, et al.
3 Corticosteroid receptors involved in stress regulation in common carp, *Cyprinus carpio*.
4 *J Endocrinol*. 2008;198:403-17.

5 [54] Sturm A, Bury N, Dengreville L, Fagart J, Flouriot G, Rafestin-Oblin ME, et al.
6 11-deoxycorticosterone is a potent agonist of the rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*)
7 mineralocorticoid receptor. *Endocrinology*. 2005;146:47-55.

8 [55] Carroll SM, Bridgham JT, Thornton JW. Evolution of hormone signaling in
9 elasmobranchs by exploitation of promiscuous receptors. *Molecular biology and*
10 *evolution*. 2008;25:2643-52.

11 [56] Carroll SM, Ortlund EA, Thornton JW. Mechanisms for the evolution of a derived
12 function in the ancestral glucocorticoid receptor. *PLoS Genet*. 2011;7:e1002117.

13 [57] Rogerson FM, Yao YZ, Elsass RE, Dimopoulos N, Smith BJ, Fuller PJ. A critical
14 region in the mineralocorticoid receptor for aldosterone binding and activation by
15 cortisol: evidence for a common mechanism governing ligand binding specificity in
16 steroid hormone receptors. *Mol Endocrinol*. 2007;21:817-28.

17 [58] Cockrem JF. Individual variation in glucocorticoid stress responses in animals. *Gen*
18 *Comp Endocrinol*. 2013;181:45-58.

19 [59] Close DA, Yun SS, McCormick SD, Wildbill AJ, Li W. 11-deoxycortisol is a
20 corticosteroid hormone in the lamprey. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2010;107:13942-7.

21 [60] Roberts BW, Didier W, Rai S, Johnson NS, Libants S, Yun SS, et al. Regulation of
22 a putative corticosteroid, 17,21-dihydroxypregn-4-ene,3,20-one, in sea lamprey,
23 *Petromyzon marinus*. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 2014;196:17-25.

24 [61] Hawkins UA, Gomez-Sanchez EP, Gomez-Sanchez CM, Gomez-Sanchez CE. The
25 ubiquitous mineralocorticoid receptor: clinical implications. *Curr Hypertens Rep*.
26 2012;14:573-80.

27 [62] Martinerie L, Munier M, Le Menuet D, Meduri G, Viengchareun S, Lombes M.
28 The mineralocorticoid signaling pathway throughout development: expression,
29 regulation and pathophysiological implications. *Biochimie*. 2013;95:148-57.

30 [63] Odermatt A, Atanasov AG. Mineralocorticoid receptors: emerging complexity and
31 functional diversity. *Steroids*. 2009;74:163-71.

32 [64] Funder JW. Aldosterone and mineralocorticoid receptors: a personal reflection.
33 *Molecular and cellular endocrinology*. 2012;350:146-50.

34 [65] Odermatt A, Kratschmar DV. Tissue-specific modulation of mineralocorticoid
35 receptor function by 11beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases: an overview. *Molecular*
36 *and cellular endocrinology*. 2012;350:168-86.

- 1 [66] Kattoula SR, Baker ME. Structural and evolutionary analysis of the co-activator
2 binding domain in vertebrate progesterone receptors. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol.*
3 2014;141:7-15.
- 4 [67] Bridgham JT, Carroll SM, Thornton JW. Evolution of hormone-receptor
5 complexity by molecular exploitation. *Science.* 2006;312:97-101.
- 6 [68] Baker ME, Nelson DR, Studer RA. Origin of the response to adrenal and sex
7 steroids: Roles of promiscuity and co-evolution of enzymes and steroid receptors. *J*
8 *Steroid Biochem Mol Biol.* 2015;151:12-24.
- 9 [69] Mani O, Nashev LG, Livelov C, Baker ME, Odermatt A. Role of Pro-637 and
10 Gln-642 in human glucocorticoid receptors and Ser-843 and Leu-848 in
11 mineralocorticoid receptors in their differential responses to cortisol and aldosterone. *J*
12 *Steroid Biochem Mol Biol.* 2016;159:31-40.
- 13 [70] Fuller PJ, Yao Y, Yang J, Young MJ. Mechanisms of ligand specificity of the
14 mineralocorticoid receptor. *J Endocrinol.* 2012;213:15-24.
- 15 [71] Pippal JB, Yao Y, Rogerson FM, Fuller PJ. Structural and functional
16 characterization of the interdomain interaction in the mineralocorticoid receptor. *Mol*
17 *Endocrinol.* 2009;23:1360-70.
- 18 [72] Rogerson FM, Fuller PJ. Interdomain interactions in the mineralocorticoid receptor.
19 *Molecular and cellular endocrinology.* 2003;200:45-55.
- 20 [73] Pippal JB, Cheung CM, Yao YZ, Brennan FE, Fuller PJ. Characterization of the
21 zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) mineralocorticoid receptor. *Molecular and cellular*
22 *endocrinology.* 2011;332:58-66.
- 23 [74] Sturm A, Colliar L, Leaver MJ, Bury NR. Molecular determinants of hormone
24 sensitivity in rainbow trout glucocorticoid receptors 1 and 2. *Molecular and cellular*
25 *endocrinology.* 2011;333:181-9.

26

27 **Figure Legends**

28 **Figure 1. Structures of various corticosteroids.**

29 Cortisol and corticosterone are physiological glucocorticoids in terrestrial vertebrates
30 and ray-finned fish [12, 67, 74]. Cortisone is a metabolite of cortisol, in which the
31 C11-alcohol is metabolized to a ketone. Aldosterone, 11-deoxycorticosterone and
32 11-deoxycortisol are physiological mineralocorticoids [12, 41, 61, 70]. Aldo and DOC
33 are weak transcriptional activators of human GR [29, 31, 38]. 11-deoxycortisol is both
34 a mineralocorticoid and a glucocorticoid in lamprey [59].

35

36 **Figure 2. Comparison of domains in some terrestrial vertebrate GRs.**

1 GRs from human, chicken, alligator and *X. laevis* are compared. The functional A/B
2 domain to E domains are schematically represented with the numbers of amino acid
3 residues and the percentage of amino acid identity between the domain in the human
4 GR and the corresponding domain in the other vertebrate GRs. For example, the entire
5 human GR sequence is 75% identical to that of chicken GR, while domain E (LBD) on
6 human GR is 90% identical to that of chicken GR. GenBank accession numbers:
7 human GR (NM_000176), chicken GR (NM_001037826), alligator GR (AB701407), *X.*
8 *laevis* GR (NM_001088062).

9

10 **Figure 3. Ligand-specificities of human, chicken, alligator and *X. laevis* full length**
11 **GRs and LBD GRs.**

12 Full-length human GR (A), chicken GR (C), alligator GR (E), and *X. laevis* GR (G)
13 were expressed in CHO-K1 cells with an MMTV-luciferase reporter. Plasmids for
14 corresponding truncated GRs (human (B), chicken (D), alligator (F) and *X. laevis* (H))
15 containing the D domain and LBD (E domain) fused to a GAL4-DBD were expressed
16 in CHO-K1 cells with a luciferase reporter containing GAL4 binding site. Cells were
17 treated with 10 nM DEX, F, B, Aldo, DOC, S, E or vehicle alone (DMSO). Results are
18 expressed as means \pm SEM, n=3. Y-axis indicates fold-activation compared to the
19 activity of control vector with vehicle (DMSO) alone as 1. Transcriptional activation
20 of the different GRs in the presence of the DMSO control is at background level,
21 indicating that these GRs do not have constitutive activity.

22

23 **Figure 4. Concentration-dependent transcriptional activation by corticosteroids of**
24 **full length and truncated human, chicken, alligator and *X. laevis* GRs.**

25 Plasmids encoding full length GRs (A, B: human GR, E, F: chicken GR, I, J: alligator
26 GR, M, N: *Xenopus* GR) or plasmids encoding the GAL4-DBD fused to the D domain
27 and LBD of GRs (C, D: human GR, G, H: chicken GR, K, L: alligator GR, O, P:
28 *Xenopus* GR) were expressed in CHO-K1 cells. Cells were treated with increasing
29 concentrations of Dex, F, B, Aldo, DOC, S, E or vehicle alone (DMSO). Y-axis
30 indicates fold-activation compared to the activity of control vector with vehicle
31 (DMSO) alone as 1.

32

33 **Figure 5. Analysis of a region in human GR and MR that is important for**
34 **mineralocorticoid specificity.**

35 Rogerson et al. [57] identified a segment in human MR that could be inserted into
36 human GR and increase its response to Aldo. Exchange of underlined amino acids

- 1 from hMR to hGR yields a mutant GR with high affinity ($K_d=3$ M) and low EC50 for
- 2 Aldo. Also shown are the corresponding regions in chicken, alligator and *X. laevis*
- 3 GRs. Residues that are conserved in all vertebrate GRs are underlined.