Radboud University Nijmegen

PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link. http://hdl.handle.net/2066/177946

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2018-07-07 and may be subject to change.

OPEN ACCESS OPEN ACCESS

Routledge

Taylor & Francis Group

Shared decision making with frail older patients: Proposed teaching framework and practice recommendations

Marjolein H. J. van de Pol D^a, Cornelia R. M. G. Fluit^b, Joep Lagro^c, Yvonne Slaats^a, Marcel G. M. Olde Rikkert^d and Antoine L. M. Lagro-Janssen^a

^aDepartment of Primary and Community Care, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands; ^bLearning Research and Education, Radboudumc Health Academy Nijmegen, Nijmegen, The Netherlands; Department of Internal Medicine, Haga Teaching Hospital, The Hague, The Netherlands; ^dDepartment of Geriatrics, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT

This study has two aims: The first aim is to identify core competencies for shared decision making (SDM) with frail older persons, and the second is to determine key elements of a teaching framework, based on the authors' recently developed model for SDM with older patients who are frail. To this end the authors conducted a qualitative inquiry among health professionals (n = 53) and older patients who are frail (n = 16). Participants formulated core competencies and educational needs for SDM with older patients who are frail, which were further explored in the literature. This resulted in practice recommendations and a teaching framework with the following key elements: create a knowledge base for all health professionals, offer practical training, facilitate communication, identify discussion partners, engage patients, and collaborate. The authors' teaching framework for SDM with older patients who are frail may be useful for clinicians, educators, and researchers who aim to promote SDM with older patients who are frail.

KEYWORDS

Shared decision making; geriatrics education; teaching framework

Introduction

Shared decision making (SDM) is an approach in which clinicians and patients communicate together using the best available evidence when faced with the task of making decisions. It is increasingly advocated as the preferred way to support patients in making health care choices (Charles, Gafni, & Whelan, 1997; Elwyn, Edwards, & Kinnersley, 1999; Elwyn et al., 2012; Stiggelbout, 2012). Despite its importance, research shows that the application of SDM in routine clinical practice remains limited (Couet et al., 2015). Because of the aging population, in nearly all clinical practices the number of patients with multimorbidity and impairments will increase, which complicates patient management and decision making (Ayyar, Varman, de Bhaldraithe, & Singh, 2010; Illsley & Clegg, 2016; Lacas & Rockwood, 2012). In this patient category however, existing models for SDM-that are developed for medical treatment decision making about a single condition-are difficult to apply(Gionfriddo et al., 2014; Holm, Berland, & Severinsson, 2016; Montori, Gafni, & Charles, 2006). Therefore, we recently developed-through an international Delphi consensus procedure-a model for SDM with

CONTACT Marjolein H. J. van de Pol, MD, PhD 🖾 marjolein.vandepol@radboudumc.nl; toine.lagro@radboudumc.nl Department of Primary and Community Care, Radboud University Medical Center, Postbus 9101, Nijmegen 6500 HB, The Netherlands.

© 2017 The Author(s). Published by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.

older patients who are frail (van de Pol et al., 2016). Although almost all health professionals will need awareness and a basic set of competencies regarding SDM with older persons who are frail, professional education does not take this specific group into account (Frenk et al., 2010). Most peer-reviewed educational programs directed at increasing the application of SDM are implemented in clinical settings (Bieber et al., 2009; Legare et al., 2014), only a few programs exist for undergraduate students (Ledford, Seehusen, Chessman, & Shokar, 2015; Morrow, Reed, Eliassen, & Imset, 2011). These educational programs vary greatly, moreover there is little evidence about which educational programs are most effective, and which core competencies are needed to deliver SDM adequately (Legare et al., 2012, Legare et al., 2014). The application of SDM is even more complex in the care for older patients who are frail, because multimorbidities, cognitive decline, and complex care situations challenge the process (Gionfriddo et al., 2014; Holm et al., 2016; Montori et al., 2006). Moreover the most frequently used model for guiding the SDM process focuses on treatment decisions in the medical curative setting(Elwyn et al., 2012). However, in the complex care situation for older patients with multiple chronic conditions, the preferred goals for SDM are individually different and often more directed toward improving well-being than toward cure or increased survival (Fried, Tinetti, & Iannone, 2011; Morris, Sanders, Kennedy, & Rogers, 2011; Reuben, 2009; Robben, Perry, Olde Rikkert, Heinen, & Melis, 2011).

There already are many educational materials available on the topic of SDM with older patients, for example, on the portal of online geriatrics education (POGOe.org). Other websites like vitaltalk.org and jhartfound.org offer education and communication programs.

However, these programs are largely focused at disease-specific treatment decisions and end-of-life goals whereas older patients who are frail struggling with multiple chronic diseases need a broader perspective toward goals and SDM as our developed SDM model shows as well (Legare et al., 2012; Stiggelbout, Pieterse, & De Haes, 2015; van de Pol et al., 2016; Waterworth & Gott, 2010). This broader view on SDM connects with the chronic care model that is frequently used for shaping care for older patients who are frail with multiple chronic diseases (Bodenheimer, Wagner, & Grumbach, 2002; Wagner, Austin, & Von Korff, 1996).

The Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada developed a frequently used framework (Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons Canada, 2016) that identifies and describes the abilities physicians require to effectively meet the health care needs of the people they serve. In the CanMEDS-competencies SDM is considered important for all health professionals (Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons Canada, 2016), however the topic of SDM with older patients who are frail receives little attention in geriatrics undergraduate curricula(Leipzig et al., 2009; Tersmette, van Bodegom, van Heemst, Stott, & Westendorp, 2013). Assisting patients and families in making care decisions is considered important; paradoxically systematic skills training regarding SDM is lacking in geriatrics specialty training(Legare et al., 2014; Leipzig et al., 2014).

For health professionals to be able to perform SDM with this patient group adequately, it is necessary to establish a list of core competencies that are required and subsequently to develop appropriate education and training. The objectives of this study were therefore to identify the core enabling competencies for SDM with older persons who are frail, and secondly, to determine key elements of a teaching framework for SDM in this patient group.

Method

We conducted a qualitative inquiry to define core competencies and educational needs for performing SDM with older patients who are frail.

Between May 2014 and January 2015, we conducted a three-round Delphi study in which we reached consensus on a model for shared decision making in older patients who are frail (Boulkedid, Abdoul, Loustau, Sibony, & Alberti, 2011; Kleynen et al., 2013; van de Pol et al., 2016). Participants of this Delphi study were asked to reflect on the competencies needed in daily practice to perform SDM with older patients who are frail.

In Round 1 all participants were asked to formulate their general thoughts about the key issues and generic competencies needed to perform SDM adequately with older patients who are frail. In Round 2 participants were asked to formulate core competencies needed per stage of the developed SDM model. In Round 3 participants were asked to refine the core-enabling competencies they defined. Furthermore they were asked for their educational needs for these competencies and suggestions for specific teaching programs. The results were compared with existing literature on these topics.

Participants

In total 16 patients (Round 1) and 59 professionals (Rounds 1, 2, and 3) participated in the qualitative inquiry. The patient group consisted of ten persons who were elderly and home dwelling (five male, five female, age 72 \pm 6) and six persons who were elderly care home dwelling (one male, five female, age 89 \pm 4 years), without cognitive impairments. Professionals were health care professionals active in the field of geriatrics and care for older persons, SDM research, medical education, or a combination of these. Their characteristics are listed in Table 1.

According to Dutch legislation, no ethics committee approval is necessary for a qualitative inquiry. Participation was voluntary, participants could withdraw from the study at any time, without reason.

Professionals ($N = 53$)	
Mean age in years (SD)	47 (10)
Gender (n)	
Male	24
Female	29
Background	
Physician	45
Nurse	3
Academic	5
Present professional activity ^a	
Elderly care/geriatrics	43
Education and communication	40
Education and communication research	20
Shared decision making research	21
Geographical region in which currently active	
The Netherlands	41
Europe	8
North-America/Canada	4

Table 1. Professionals' characteristics.

a. More than one activity is possible.

Document analysis of qualitative reflections on core competencies for SDM

The results of the participants qualitative reflections were analyzed using constant comparative analysis (Glaser & Strauss, 1967). Two researchers (MvdP and YS) began by familiarizing themselves with the data. They then applied open coding in a process of breaking down, examining, and comparing the data, hereby conceptualizing and categorizing data (explorative phase). During the subsequent axial coding, data were put back together in new ways after open coding by making connections between categories. This was done with a view to defining the important elements of the information (specification phase). Subsequently, selective coding was used at the highest level of abstraction, in which the core variable guided further relevant coding, and the data were scrutinized for invalid areas (reduction phase).

The two researchers who analyzed the data discussed the initial coding and consulted a third researcher (ALJ) wherever disagreements or doubts arose about identified key issues. Finally, the supervising team discussed interpretations of the identified key issues.

Results of qualitative reflections on core competencies

Generic competencies for SDM with older patients who are frail

Patients and professionals formulated their general thoughts about the key issues and competencies needed by patients as well as physicians to support the process of SDM. Health professionals need to have adequate medical knowledge, establish a professional relationship with the use of good communication skills, show empathy and person centeredness, and apply time management skills. Patients require adequate cognitive functions and the ability to process information. Furthermore, the participating patients stressed that patients need to be actively involved and be honest about their values and wishes.

Core-enabling competencies for SDM with older patients who are frail

All professionals were asked to formulate core enabling competencies that are needed for adequate training and education on the different stages of SDM with older patients who are frail. The results are summarized in Table 2. Selected quotes on the generic and enabling competencies are presented in Table 3.

Formulated educational needs

The whole process of adequate SDM with older patients who are frail was considered difficult. The participants considered the "goal talk" stage of the SDM process as the most challenging part and specifically articulated educational needs for "engaging patient in dialogue," "identifying discussion partner," and "identifying patient values and goals of care."

Engaging patient in dialogue. Participants stressed the importance of time to build a relationship with the patient and asked for practical training on how to engage and empower patients. In addition to their own educational needs, the participating professionals asked for education of patients who need to play an active role in making healthcare choices.

Identifying discussion partner. Participants asked for validated instruments and communication training on how to assess decision capacity. Participants also requested 486 🛞 M. H. J. VAN DE POL ET AL.

Phase	Element	Preconditions	Enabling competencies
Preparation	History	Adequate (electronic) patient record	To keep record (of discussed advance directives)
		"knowing" the patient well	
	Problem analysis	Time (and money) Availability of relevant information	To perform and interpret geriatric assessment (comprehensive geriatric assessment (CGA) or other problem analysis method)
		Adequate (electronic) patient record	To collaborate interprofessional
Goal talk	Engage patient in dialogue	Public reorientation /empowerment campaign	To empower and engage patients
	Identify discussion partner	"knowing" the patient well	To build a professional relationship To assess decision capacity To assess health literacy
	ldentify patient values and goals of care	Mutual trust, enough time, and compassion	To elicit values and goals of care: Advanced communication and questioning skills; prepare the patient for these questions Ability to broaden (medical) scope to include well being Ability to show cultural awareness Ability to show empathy
Choice talk		Analytic skills, ability to prioritize	To summarize To engage patient
Option talk		Decision aids would be helpful	To interpret outcome measures with benefits and trade-offs
Decision talk		Negetistics, skills	To perform risk communication
		Negotiation skills	To reinforce engagement (avoid pressure)
Evaluation talk		Analytic skills	To discuss decision making process To make a treatment plan

Table 2. Core competencies for shared decision making (SDM) with older persons wh	who are frail.
---	----------------

practical training on how to involve family members or proxy decision makers in the process. Some participants suggested that structured discussion sessions with colleagues could help in developing dedicated communication skills for capacity assessment.

Identifying patient values and goals of care. Participants expressed the need for specific training in asking open-ended questions. They also asked for help in learning how to address well-being as a way to clarify goals of care. Several participants suggested that interdisciplinary education (i.e., nursing and medical students and staff) could be appropriate for this.

Recommendations for teaching SDM with older patients who are frail

The core-enabling competencies and the formulated educational needs demonstrate that performing SDM with older patients who are frail is a complex process that requires complex competencies; Health professionals need to have adequate medical knowledge, establish a professional relationship with the use of good communication skills, show empathy and person centeredness, and apply time management skills. We synthesized the findings from the qualitative inquiry and developed practice recommendations for SDM (Figure 1). The practice recommendations can be seen as a toolbox that can be used to practice SDM skills, and they connect to the generic and core-enabling competencies (Table 2). Furthermore we combined the results of our qualitative inquiry with existing literature on the formulated competencies and educational needs and therewith defined key elements of a teaching framework (Figure 2): create a knowledge base, train, facilitate communication, identify discussion

Phase	Quotes
	"The doctor must have good medical knowledge, be able to listen well and take time. The important thing is the person opposite him and the environment of this person." M, age 76, home dwelling "As a patient I need to be well informed so that I can communicate better with the doctor." F, age 82, elderly care home
	"The patient must have some understanding of the illness and understand the information given and the consequences. He must be able to express wishes and include all this in the decision." F, age 41, elderly care physician
Preparation	"The doctor must know the patient's history even better if the doctor remembers previous consultations." F, age 30, elderly care physician
Goal talk	" identifying patient values and goals requires patients to have done some 'homework' and a culture change whereby patients expect doctors and other health professionals to take their goals and values into account!" F, age 39, geriatrician
	"Not every 95 year old wants to die because life has lasted too long, not every 40 year old wants to fight at all costs that is your own frame of reference. If you can't let this go, I'm afraid this model has no effect." F, age 44, geriatrician
	"I never learnt this step (identifying goals of care) in my education, nor in a case history, it never looked further than religion. I made it my own by obtaining information from spiritual counsellors." F, age 40, elderly care physician
	"Doctors don't speak much about life vision, spirituality and culture in our context. This requires practice and feedback." M, age 54, MD educator
	" doctors need additional training, need to learn how to "diagnose" decisional capacity." M, age 62, geriatrician
Choice talk	"It can be challenging to associate general goals (prolongation of life, functional autonomy, visit my grandchildren, comfort, etc.) with concrete medical decisions." F, age 49, geriatrician "Need to educate health professionals on the variability of patient preferences and that they can't be
Option talk	making good decisions if they don't take patient preferences into account." F, age 55, geriatrician "The doctor must possess a certain degree of empathy to understand what the patient's reaction means. To involve the patient the doctor must know how to stimulate the patient. It's useful here too if the doctor already knows the patient." F, age 49, elderly care nurse
	"Getting the facts and giving them is the most critical. But it is really hard to do. Our evidence base probably informs us of about 1% of what we need to tell people. The other 99% is experience and value judgment." F, age 32, geriatrician
Decision talk	"The notion of 'participatory consent' might be valuable here, which helps to see decision making as a process rather than an event, wherever possible." F, age 32, geriatrician "Just to say that I am perpetually surprised by the decisions people make when given time to think with the
Evaluation talk	doctor out of the room: we give them the information, but give them time to decide. I prefer to make my purchasing decisions without the shop assistant hovering around!" F, age 32, geriatrician "Let the patient tell the result of the consultation in his own words, then there is a greater, but still small, chance that it will be retained by the patient /family." F, age 53, geriatric nurse

Table 3. Quotes on competencies for shared decision making (SDM) with older persons who are frail.

partner, engage patient, and collaborate interprofessional. The key elements of this teaching framework are further explained in Table 4.

Discussion

Currently there is still a great need to improve access to and quality of SDM with older patients who are frail, because it receives too little attention in clinical practice and in medical education. In this study we developed recommendations for communication and a teaching framework for SDM with older patients who are frail. SDM with older patients who are frail can be seen as a dynamic and complex process. Teaching SDM core enabling competencies to trainees is a complex process. Our proposed teaching framework and practice recommendations provide a basis for developing education and propose a broader view on learning as a continuing change and transition in the learner and his or her environment; this connects with recent education evidence(van der Vleuten & Driessen, 2014).

Our care systems are increasingly being absorbed by the care of older patients who are frail, which stresses the importance of SDM and the complexity of the competencies required to

	Z	÷
		_
•	4	5
		2
		,
	021	;
	5	Š

Stage of SDM model	Specific actions	Examples
Before you start	Prepare	Have lots of conversations with older people
		"Know" background of your patient
		Think about your own goals and values
		Read about spirituality and the art of living
Preparation: History and	Adequate recordkeeping	
problem analysis	To perform and interpret relevant geriatric assessment	
Goal talk: engage patient	To empower and engage patients: Talk with not about the patient.	"Every person feels different about what is important when facing a health problem, would you
		share with me what is important to you at the moment"
	Talk about living more and less about disease	"Could you tell me something about what is important to you?" (can give examples: physical
		function, longevity, retaining cognitive function, freedom from symptoms, independence, etc.)
	Provide specific instructions for patients	"Could you tell me what you know about your current problem?"
		"Is your current problem stopping you from doing the things you like?"
		"Can you help me to take good care of you by sharing your health history?"
Goal talk: identify discussion	To assess decision capacity	Present a 'vignette' (Vellinga, Smit et al. 2004) to a patient to test his/her decision capacity
partner	(eliciting patient values and goals of care may be helpful)	"I am going to ask you some questions to discuss if you feel comfortable in having a conversation
		about decisions"
		"Would you like someone else (proxy) to support you?"
Goal talk: identify patient	To elicit patient values and goals of care	"Tell me what you liked to do before you came to the hospital"
values and goals of care		"Is religion important to you?"
	To bridge between values and goals (advanced interpersonal and	"What is important to you? (can give examples: physical function, longevity, retaining cognitive
	communication skills): Use concrete examples of how other patients	function, freedom from symptoms, independence, etc.)"
	formulated goals.	"What are you hoping for?"
		"What are you afraid of?"
		"Let us discuss how we can help you meet your goals"
		"Do you ever think about the end of life? Can you say something about that?"
Choice talk	To summarize and pause	"Let me summarize what we have discussed so far"
		"I can see this is difficult for you"
		"What is your understanding of your problem and what would you like to achieve?"
Option talk	To describe the options with benefits and trade offs	"based on our discussion, these are the possible options"
		"In your situation, here's what we expect this could look like"
Decision talk	To reinforce engagement	"Are you ready to decide?"
		"Do you want more time?"
	Pause	"Do you have any questions?"
Evaluation talk	To discuss the decision making process (summarize and pause)	"Can you tell me in your own words what we have discussed and decided?"
		"Are vou satisfied with the decision?"

489

Create	e a knowledge base	Identify discussion partner
	Specific geriatric knowledge on and	Specific attention is necessary to assess
	training in geriatric syndromes,	decision capacity
	comprehensive geriatric assessment and	Practice with assessment tools
	geriatric clinical problem solving	Develop clinical judgment under supervision of experienced
Train		clinician
	Practical training sessions to acquire	
	core competencies (Table 2)	Engage patient
	Experience-based learning and	Invest in relationship, create a dialogue
	interactive case-based sessions are	Focus on patient, not disease
	effective	Provide specific instructions
Facilita	ate communication	Collaborate inter-professional
	Practice specific actions and use example	Practice together with other
	questions (Box 1)	students/professionals
		Improve through inter-professional
		training

Figure 2	2. K	key e	lements	of a	teaching	framework	(for	educating	SDM	with	frail	older	patients.	

perform SDM with older patients who are frail necessitate comprehensive education and training. Existing literature on competencies and educational needs endorsed the need for education and training, however, did not state what the best timing is (Bayliss et al., 2007; Doukas & Hardwig, 2003; Falk, Wahn, & Lidell, 2007). From research on the training of other complex competencies, such as for example communication, it is known that these can be taught in small steps in increasing complexity, however transfer of complex competencies to clinical practice remains a difficult process(van den Eertwegh, van Dulmen, van Dalen, Scherpbier, & van der Vleuten, 2013; van Weel-Baumgarten, Bolhuis, Rosenbaum, & Silverman, 2013). A possible challenge in this process of teaching complex competencies is over-reliance on a model or guideline (Hawkins et al., 2015). The complex competency of SDM with older patients who are frail requires a continuous counselling dialogue, not merely following the steps of a model. Our proposed teaching framework therefore stimulates case-based education and emphasizes the importance of building a relationship with the patient and focusing on well-being. Moreover our teaching framework addresses the importance of inter-professional learning (Curran et al., 2015).

The fact that almost all health professionals at some point will serve the health care needs of (frail) older patients, further supports our plea to start teaching the necessary competencies for SDM with older patients who are frail early on in education. This is in line with the CanMEDS recommendations to teach competencies in an increasing degree of difficulty to provide physicians with a basic competency level and to support continuing competency building during specialization and subsequent clinical practice (Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons Canada, 2016). Teaching these complex competencies calls for dedicated clinical educators and dedicated competency-based courses (McGaghie, 2015; Srinivasan et al., 2011). From the few general SDM courses available in undergraduate education it is known that young students are capable of achieving complex competencies (Ledford et al., 2015; Morrow et al., 2011). We therefore argue that teaching necessary SDM competencies should start during undergraduate education and that education and practical training should continue in subsequent clinical practice.

Table 4. Explanatory remarks key elements teaching framework for shared de	ecision making (SDM) with
older patients who are frail.	-

Key element	Explanatory remarks
Create a knowledge base	 Many older patients have several chronic diseases, use multiple medications and are frail, which complicates patient management (Ayyar et al., 2010; Lacas & Rockwood, 2012). Knowledge of geriatric syndromes and a basic set of geriatric assessment and care competencies are therefore indispensable (Atkinson et al., 2013; Tersmette et al., 2013; Tullo, Spencer, & Allar 2010). Geriatrics should therefore receive substantial attention throughout education. Repeated practice based geriatrics courses, appealing role models and contact with geriatri patients are helpful (Atkinson et al., 2013; Chang et al., 2014; Srinivasan et al., 2011; van de Pol, Lagro, Fluit, Lagro-Janssen, & Olde Rikkert, 2014).
Train	To acquire complex competencies, training and practice are essential (Bernacki, Block, & Care, 2014; Frenk et al., 2010; Kelley et al., 2012; Revello & Fields, 2015). Because almost all healtl professionals are likely to contribute to serving the healthcare needs of older patients, it is essential that training starts early in education and continues during clinical practice to consolidate competencies (Buss, Alexander, Switzer, & Arnold, 2005; Williams, Cantillon, & Cochrane, 2001). For all core competencies regarding SDM with older patients who are frail (Table 1) training is necessary, however eliciting values and goals of care are most challenging. Interactive case-based practice sessions are considered effective and should focu on initiating discussions with patients adequately, and encouraging them to discuss nonmedical goals as well(Alexander, Keitz, Sloane, & Tulsky, 2006; Bernacki et al., 2014; Kelle et al., 2012; Revello & Fields, 2015; Schonwetter, Walker, Solomon, Indurkhya, & Robinson, 1996).
Facilitate communication	Communication skills training receives a considerable amount of attention during undergraduat education (van den Eertwegh et al., 2013). However, SDM with frail older patients requires advanced communication skills on topics such as goal setting and patient empowerment that do not receive much attention (Alexander et al., 2006; Bernacki et al., 2014; Cooper et al., 2016; Eubank, Geffken, Orzano, & Ricci, 2012; Kelley et al., 2012; Williams et al., 2001). Although the practice recommendations and 'prompts' in Table 5 can help structure SDM communication, training will also be necessary.
dentify discussion partner	In many geriatric patients decision capacity may be limited due to cognitive decline, emotional distress, their multiple chronic conditions or a combination of these (Vellinga, Smit, van Leeuwen, van Tilburg, & Jonker, 2004). Therefore, assessment of decision capacity in frail older patients is an important, but also a complex, competency for most health professionals. Assessment of decision capacity requires knowledge of and ability to use specific assessment tools as well as advanced communication skills and clinical judgement expertise (Moye & Marson, 2007; Rodin & Mohile, 2008; van Laarhoven, Henselmans, & De Haes, 2014; Velling et al., 2004). Education should focus on teaching assessment tools in combination with experience-based learning under the supervision of experienced and well trained clinicians (Moye & Marson, 2007; van Laarhoven et al., 2014; Vellinga et al., 2004).
Engage the patient	To perform SDM adequately, health professional and patient need to become active participant in the conversation. Patients need help to become engaged or empowered (Aujoulat, Marcolongo, Bonadiman, & Deccache, 2008; Dotseth, 2014; Russell, Daly, Hughes, & Hoog, 2003). Health professionals therefore need to focus on the relationship with the patient, create a dialogue and give specific instructions (Dotseth, 2014; Eubank et al., 2012). Practica training for health professionals may work, but more tailored programmes to train health professionals are necessary (Bayliss et al., 2007; Benbow, 2012; Dwamena et al., 2012; Trummer, Mueller, Nowak, Stidl, & Pelikan, 2006).
Collaborate inter- professional	The care for frail older patients is often delivered by a team of health professionals (van de Po et al., 2015). Every professional has a specific expertise and can contribute to obtain an overview of the patient. Moreover, during daily care situations patients may share importan information with different health professionals. Both participants and literature therefore stimulate collaboration and inter-professional education to improve patient outcomes(Gair & Hartery, 2001; Groene, Orrego, Sunol, Barach, & Groene, 2012).

Strengths and limitations

A major strength of this study is that we included both parties involved in SDM: patients and health professionals. The inclusion of both parties enables us to draw more solid conclusions from the inquiry. A second strength is that participants were from different countries. Another strength is that we compared our results from the

qualitative inquiry with existing literature on the defined core competencies and educational needs.

However, this study also has some methodological limitations. We only compared our results with existing literature in English and did not search educational websites (like POGe.org). Nevertheless, we were able to strengthen the results of our qualitative inquiry with literature support. Another possible limitation is that the qualitative inquiry was done in English and Dutch. However, the inquiry and the responses were translated by a native English speaker with extensive qualifications as a medical translator to preserve, as closely as possible, the nuances of the responses.

Summary and future work

This article presents a novel teaching framework and communication recommendations for SDM with older patients who are frail that may be useful to clinicians, educators, and researchers who aim to promote SDM with older patients who are frail. In view of the importance of SDM for all clinicians, teaching should start early in education and should carefully transfer skills and competencies to clinical practice.

Implementation of the proposed teaching framework for SDM with older patients who are frail and further educational development may meet several important obstacles. However, there is an urgent need for improvement of decision making in this field, as many educational materials about SDM are focused on disease specific treatment decisions, whereas SDM with older patients who are frail needs a much broader perspective, taking into account the patients' global health care goals and preferences. Further research is necessary to develop different SDM training programs forundergraduate education and clinical practice, and to evaluate what kind of education is most effective and at what time. Moreover, we need to evaluate the impact of SDM on quality of life and care of older patients who are frail.

Acknowledgments

The Research Team would like to thank all the participants for their time and for sharing their thoughts.

ORCID

Marjolein H. J. van de Pol i http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0977-7954

References

- Alexander, S. C., Keitz, S. A., Sloane, R., & Tulsky, J. A. (2006). A controlled trial of a short course to improve residents? Communication with patients at the end of life. *Academic Medicine*, 81(11), 1008–1012. doi:10.1097/01.ACM.0000242580.83851.ad
- Atkinson, H. H., Lambros, A., Davis, B. R., Lawlor, J. S., Lovato, J., Sink, K. M., & Williamson, J. D. (2013). Teaching medical student geriatrics competencies in 1 week: An efficient model to teach and document selected competencies using clinical and community resources. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 61(7), 1182–1187. doi:10.1111/jgs.12314

- Aujoulat, I., Marcolongo, R., Bonadiman, L., & Deccache, A. (2008). Reconsidering patient empowerment in chronic illness: A critique of models of self-efficacy and bodily control. *Social Science* and Medicine, 66(5), 1228–1239. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2007.11.034
- Ayyar, A., Varman, S., de Bhaldraithe, S., & Singh, I. (2010). The journey of care for the frail older person. *British Journal of Hospital Medicine*, 71(2), 92–96.
- Bayliss, E. A., Bosworth, H. B., Noel, P. H., Wolff, J. L., Damush, T. M., & McIver, L. (2007). Supporting self-management for patients with complex medical needs: Recommendations of a working group. *Chronic Illness*, 3(2), 167–175. doi:10.1177/1742395307081501
- Benbow, S. M. (2012). Patient and carer participation in old age psychiatry in England. Part I: A systemic perspective of the historical and policy context. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 24(2), 175–184. doi:10.1017/S1041610211001864
- Bernacki, R. E., Block, S. D., & Care, American College for Physicians for High Value Care (A. C. P. H. V.). (2014). Communication about serious illness care goals a review and synthesis of best practices. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 174(12), 1994–2003. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.5271
- Bieber, C., Nicolai, J., Hartmann, M., Blumenstiel, K., Ringel, N., Schneider, A., & Loh, A. (2009). Training physicians in shared decision-making-who can be reached and what is achieved? *Patient Education and Counseling*, 77(1), 48–54. doi:10.1016/j.pec.2009.03.019
- Bodenheimer, T., Wagner, E. H., & Grumbach, K. (2002). Improving primary care for patients with chronic illness: The chronic care model, Part 2. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 288 (15), 1909–1914.
- Boulkedid, R., Abdoul, H., Loustau, M., Sibony, O., & Alberti, C. (2011). Using and reporting the Delphi method for selecting healthcare quality indicators: A systematic review. *PLOS ONE*, 6(6), e20476. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020476
- Buss, M. K., Alexander, G. C., Switzer, G. E., & Arnold, R. M. (2005). Assessing competence of residents to discuss end-of-life issues. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 8(2), 363–371. doi:10.1089/ jpm.2005.8.363
- Chang, A., Fernandez, H., Cayea, D., Chheda, S., Paniagua, M., Eckstrom, E., & Day, H. (2014). Complexity in graduate medical education: A collaborative education agenda for internal medicine and geriatric medicine. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 29(6), 940–946. doi:10.1007/s11606-013-2752-2
- Charles, C., Gafni, A., & Whelan, T. (1997). Shared decision-making in the medical encounter: What does it mean? (Or it takes at least two to tango). *Social Science and Medicine*, 44(5), 681–692. doi:10.1016/S0277-9536(96)00221-3
- Cooper, Z., Koritsanszky, L. A., Cauley, C. E., Frydman, J. L., Bernacki, R. E., Mosenthal, A. C., & Block, S. D. (2016). Recommendations for best communication practices to facilitate goalconcordant care for seriously ill older patients with emergency surgical conditions. *Annals of Surgery*, 263(1), 1–6. doi:10.1097/SLA.000000000001491
- Couet, N., Desroches, S., Robitaille, H., Vaillancourt, H., Leblanc, A., Turcotte, S., & Legare, F. (2015). Assessments of the extent to which health-care providers involve patients in decision making: A systematic review of studies using the OPTION instrument. *Health Expectation*, *18*(4), 542–561. doi:10.1111/hex.12054
- Curran, V., Reid, A., Reis, P., Doucet, S., Price, S., Alcock, L., & Fitzgerald, S. (2015). The use of information and communications technologies in the delivery of interprofessional education: A review of evaluation outcome levels. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 29(6), 541–550. doi:10.3109/13561820.2015.1021002
- Dotseth, M. (2014). Teaching patients to protect themselves during care transitions: A patient safety campaign. *Minnesota Medicine*, 97(8), 41–43.
- Doukas, D. J., & Hardwig, J. (2003). Using the family covenant in planning end-of-life care: Obligations and promises of patients, families, and physicians. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 51(8), 1155–1158.
- Dwamena, F., Holmes-Rovner, M., Gaulden, C. M., Jorgenson, S., Sadigh, G., Sikorskii, A., & Olomu, A. (2012). Interventions for providers to promote a patient-centred approach in clinical consultations. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 12, CD003267. doi:10.1002/14651858. CD003267.pub2

- Elwyn, G., Edwards, A., & Kinnersley, P. (1999). Shared decision-making in primary care: The neglected second half of the consultation. *British Journal of General Practice*, 49(443), 477–482.
- Elwyn, G., Frosch, D., Thomson, R., Joseph-Williams, N., Lloyd, A., Kinnersley, P., ... Barry, M. (2012). Shared decision making: A model for clinical practice. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 27(10), 1361–1367. doi:10.1007/s11606-012-2077-6
- Eubank, D., Geffken, D., Orzano, J., & Ricci, R. (2012). Teaching adaptive leadership to family medicine residents: What? Why? How? *Families, Systems & Health*, 30(3), 241–252. doi:10.1037/ a0029689
- Falk, S., Wahn, A. K., & Lidell, E. (2007). Keeping the maintenance of daily life in spite of chronic heart failure. A qualitative study. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing*, 6(3), 192–199. doi:10.1016/j.ejcnurse.2006.09.002
- Frenk, J., Chen, L., Bhutta, Z. A., Cohen, J., Crisp, N., Evans, T., & Zurayk, H. (2010). Health professionals for a new century: Transforming education to strengthen health systems in an interdependent world. *Lancet*, 376(9756), 1923–1958. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61854-5
- Fried, T. R., Tinetti, M. E., & Iannone, L. (2011). Primary care clinicians' experiences with treatment decision making for older persons with multiple conditions. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 171 (1), 75–80. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2010.318
- Gair, G., & Hartery, T. (2001). Medical dominance in multidisciplinary teamwork: A case study of discharge decision-making in a geriatric assessment unit. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 9(1), 3–11.
- Gionfriddo, M. R., Leppin, A. L., Brito, J. P., Leblanc, A., Boehmer, K. R., Morris, M. A., & Montori, V. M. (2014). A systematic review of shared decision making interventions in chronic conditions: A review protocol. *Systematic Reviews*, *3*, 38. doi:10.1186/2046-4053-3-38
- Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (1967). The discovery of grounded theory; Strategies for qualitative research. Chicago, IL: Aldine Pub. Co.
- Groene, R. O., Orrego, C., Sunol, R., Barach, P., & Groene, O. (2012). "It's like two worlds apart": An analysis of vulnerable patient handover practices at discharge from hospital. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 21(Suppl 1), i67–75. doi:10.1136/bmjqs-2012-001174
- Hawkins, R. E., Welcher, C. M., Holmboe, E. S., Kirk, L. M., Norcini, J. J., Simons, K. B., & Skochelak, S. E. (2015). Implementation of competency-based medical education: Are we addressing the concerns and challenges? *Medical Education*, 49(11), 1086–1102. doi:10.1111/ medu.12831
- Holm, A. L., Berland, A. K., & Severinsson, E. (2016). Older patients'involvement in shared decision making - A systematic review. Open Journal of Nursing, 6, 170–185. doi:10.4236/ojn.2016.63018
- Illsley, A., & Clegg, A. (2016). Assessment of frailty in the inpatient setting. British Journal of Hospital Medicine, 77(1), 29-32. doi:10.12968/hmed.2016.77.1.29
- Kelley, A. S., Back, A. L., Arnold, R. M., Goldberg, G. R., Lim, B. B., Litrivis, E., & O'Neill, L. B. (2012). Geritalk: Communication skills training for geriatric and palliative medicine fellows. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 60(2), 332–337. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2011.03787.x
- Kleynen, M., Bleijlevens, M. H., Beurskens, A. J., Rasquin, S. M., Halfens, J., Wilson, M. R., & Braun, S. M. (2013). Terminology, taxonomy, and facilitation of motor learning in clinical practice: Protocol of a Delphi study. *JMIR Research Protocols*, 2(1), e18. doi:10.2196/resprot.2604
- Lacas, A., & Rockwood, K. (2012).Frailty in primary care: A review of its conceptualization and implications for practice. *BMC Medicine*, 10, 4–13. doi:10.1186/1741-7015-10-4
- Ledford, C. J., Seehusen, D. A., Chessman, A. W., & Shokar, N. K. (2015). How we teach U.S. medical students to negotiate uncertainty in clinical care: A CERA study. *Family Medicine*, 47(1), 31–36.
- Legare, F., Politi, M. C., Drolet, R., Desroches, S., Stacey, D., Bekker, H., & Team, S.-C. (2012). Training health professionals in shared decision-making: An international environmental scan. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 88(2), 159–169. doi:10.1016/j.pec.2012.01.002
- Legare, F., Stacey, D., Turcotte, S., Cossi, M. J., Kryworuchko, J., Graham, I. D., & Donner-Banzhoff, N. (2014). Interventions for improving the adoption of shared decision making by healthcare professionals. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 9, CD006732. doi:10.1002/14651858. CD006732.pub3

- Leipzig, R. M., Granville, L., Simpson, D., Anderson, M. B., Sauvigne, K., & Soriano, R. P. (2009). Keeping Granny safe on July 1: A consensus on minimum geriatrics competencies for graduating medical students. *Academic Medicine*, 84(5), 604–610.
- Leipzig, R. M., Sauvigne, K., Granville, L. J., Harper, G. M., Kirk, L. M., Levine, S. A., & Fernandez, H. M. (2014). What is a geriatrician? American geriatrics society and association of directors of geriatric academic programs end-of-training entrustable professional activities for geriatric medicine. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 62(5), 924–929. doi:10.1111/jgs.12825
- McGaghie, W. C. (2015). Mastery learning: It is time for medical education to join the 21st century. *Academic Medicine*, 90(11), 1438–1441. doi:10.1097/ACM.000000000000911
- Montori, V. M., Gafni, A., & Charles, C. (2006). A shared treatment decision-making approach between patients with chronic conditions and their clinicians: The case of diabetes. *Health Expectation*, 9(1), 25–36. doi:10.1111/j.1369-7625.2006.00359.x
- Morris, R. L., Sanders, C., Kennedy, A. P., & Rogers, A. (2011). Shifting priorities in multimorbidity: A longitudinal qualitative study of patient's prioritization of multiple conditions. *Chronic Illness*, 7(2), 147–161. doi:10.1177/1742395310393365
- Morrow, C. E., Reed, V. A., Eliassen, M. S., & Imset, I. (2011). Shared decision making: Skill acquisition for year III medical students. *Family Medicine*, 43(10), 721–725.
- Moye, J., & Marson, D. C. (2007). Assessment of decision-making capacity in older adults: An emerging area of practice and research. *Journals of Gerontology. Series B, Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, 62(1), P3–P11.
- Reuben, D. B. (2009). Medical care for the final years of life "when you're 83, it's not going to be 20 years," *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 302(24), 2686–2694.
- Revello, K., & Fields, W. (2015). An educational intervention to increase nurse adherence in eliciting patient daily goals. *Rehabilitation Nursing*, 40(5), 320–326. doi:10.1002/rnj.201
- Robben, S. H., Perry, M., Olde Rikkert, M. G., Heinen, M. M., & Melis, R. J. (2011). Care-related goals of community-dwelling frail older adults. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 59(8), 1552–1554. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2011.03515.x
- Rodin, M. B., & Mohile, S. G. (2008). Assessing decisional capacity in the elderly. Seminars in Oncology, 35(6), 625–632. doi:10.1053/j.seminoncol.2008.08.006
- Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons Canada (2016). *CanMEDS competencies*. Retrieved from http://www.royalcollege.ca/portal/page/portal/rc/canmeds
- Russell, S., Daly, J., Hughes, E., & Hoog C. C. (2003). Nurses and 'difficult' patients: Negotiating non-compliance. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 43(3), 281–287.
- Schonwetter, R. S., Walker, R. M., Solomon, M., Indurkhya, A., & Robinson, B. E. (1996). Life values, resuscitation preferences, and the applicability of living wills in an older population. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 44(8), 954–958.
- Srinivasan, M., Li, S. T., Meyers, F. J., Pratt, D. D., Collins, J. B., Braddock, C., & Hilty, D. M. (2011). "Teaching as a competency": Competencies for medical educators. *Academic Medicine*, 86 (10), 1211–1220. doi:10.1097/ACM.0b013e31822c5b9a
- Stiggelbout, A. M. (2012). Shared decision making: Really putting patients at the centre of healthcare. *British Medical Journal*, 344, 1172–1179. doi:10.1136/bmj.e863
- Stiggelbout, A. M., Pieterse, A. H., & De Haes, J. C. (2015). Shared decision making: Concepts, evidence, and practice. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 98(10), 1172–1179. doi:10.1016/j. pec.2015.06.022
- Tersmette, W., van Bodegom, D., van Heemst, D., Stott, D., & Westendorp, R. (2013). Gerontology and geriatrics in Dutch medical education. *Netherlands Journal of Medicine*, 71(6), 331–337.
- Trummer, U. F., Mueller, U. O., Nowak, P., Stidl, T., & Pelikan, J. M. (2006). Does physician-patient communication that aims at empowering patients improve clinical outcome? A case study. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 61(2), 299–306. doi:10.1016/j.pec.2005.04.009
- Tullo, E. S., Spencer, J., & Allan, L. (2010). Systematic review: Helping the young to understand the old. Teaching interventions in geriatrics to improve the knowledge, skills, and attitudes of undergraduate medical students. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 58(10), 1987–1993. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2010.03072.x

- van de Pol, M. H., Fluit, C. R., Lagro, J., Niessen, D., Rikkert, M. G., & Lagro-Janssen, A. L. (2015). Quality care provision for older people: An interview study with patients and primary healthcare professionals. *British Journal of General Practice*, 65(637), e500–507. doi:10.3399/bjgp15X686065
- van de Pol, M. H., Fluit, C. R., Lagro, J., Slaats, Y. H., Olde Rikkert, M. G., & Lagro-Janssen, A. L. (2016). Expert and patient consensus on a dynamic model for shared decision-making in frail older patients. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 99(6), 1069–1077. doi:10.1016/j.pec.2015.12.014
- van de Pol, M. H., Lagro, J., Fluit, L. R., Lagro-Janssen, T. L., & Olde Rikkert, M. G. (2014). Teaching geriatrics using an innovative, individual-centered educational game: Students and educators win. A proof-of-concept study. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 62(10), 1943–1949. doi:10.1111/jgs.13024
- van den Eertwegh, V., van Dulmen, S., van Dalen, J., Scherpbier, A. J., & van der Vleuten, C. P. (2013). Learning in context: Identifying gaps in research on the transfer of medical communication skills to the clinical workplace. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 90(2), 184–192. doi:10.1016/j.pec.2012.06.008
- van der Vleuten, C. P., & Driessen, E. W. (2014). What would happen to education if we take education evidence seriously? *Perspectives on Medical Education*, 3(3), 222–232. doi:10.1007/s40037-014-0129-9
- van Laarhoven, H. W., Henselmans, I., & de Haes, J. H. (2014). To treat or not to treat: Who should decide? *Oncologist*, 19(4), 433–436. doi:10.1634/theoncologist.2013-0258
- van Weel-Baumgarten, E., Bolhuis, S., Rosenbaum, M., & Silverman, J. (2013). Bridging the gap: How is integrating communication skills with medical content throughout the curriculum valued by students? *Patient Education and Counseling*, *90*(2), 177–183. doi:10.1016/j.pec.2012.12.004
- Vellinga, A., Smit, J. H., van Leeuwen, E., van Tilburg, W., & Jonker, C. (2004). Competence to consent to treatment of geriatric patients: Judgements of physicians, family members and the vignette method. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 19(7), 645–654. doi:10.1002/ gps.1139
- Wagner, E. H., Austin, B. T., & Von Korff, M. (1996). Organizing care for patients with chronic illness. *Milbank Quarterly*, 74(4), 511–544.
- Waterworth, S., & Gott, M. (2010). Decision making among older people with advanced heart failure as they transition to dependency and death. *Current Opinion in Supportive and Palliative Care*, 4(4), 238–242. doi:10.1097/SPC.0b013e328340684f
- Williams, C., Cantillon, P., & Cochrane, M. (2001). The doctor-patient relationship: From undergraduate assumptions to pre-registration reality. *Medical Education*, 35(8), 743–747.