The HKU Scholars Hub



Title	The Xinjiang Class: Multi-ethnic Encounters in an Eastern Coastal City
Author(s)	Yuan, ZJ; Qian, J; Zhu, H
Citation	The China Quarterly, 2017, v. 232 p. 1094-1115
Issued Date	2017
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10722/248778
Rights	This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution- NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

The Xinjiang Class: Multi-ethnic Encounters and the Spaces of Prescription and Negotiation in an Eastern Coastal City

Zhenjie Yuan*, Junxi Qian† and Hong Zhu‡

Abstract

The Xinjiang Class (Xinjiang neidi ban, or Xinjiangban) has far-reaching implications for Beijing's governance of ethnic minorities in Xinjiang. Existing literature has focused primarily on the Uyghur–Han dichotomy, with limited attention being paid to the actual multi-ethnic interactions that constitute the situated dynamics of policy implementation. Utilizing the notions of the space of prescription and the space of negotiation to develop an analytical framework, this paper argues that social relations in the Xinjiangban are ongoing constructions borne by everyday experiences of domination and negotiation, and that space is constitutive of this situated dynamic. Based on nearly four years of research at a Xinjiangban, we make a case for the fluidity and incoherence of the implementation of the Xinjiangban policy. Those who implement it at the school level produce a space of prescription that deploys specific spatial–temporal arrangements to manage expressions of ethnic identity. Driven by the need to achieve upward mobility, minority students are open-minded about the Han- and patriotism-centred education. However, they use innovative and improvised tactics to create spaces of negotiation to re-assert their ethnicities. In Xinjiangban, minority students do comply with spaces of prescription, but they simultaneously keep their ethnic and religious practices alive.

Keywords: Xinjiang Inland Class (*Xinjiang neidi ban*); Uyghur; ethnic integration; ethnic minority education; actor-network theory

Beijing's Long-Term Educational Strategies in Xinjiang

Muslim minorities in China's Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region, especially the Uyghurs, have received increasing attention from scholars because they are "one of the most politically sensitive minorities among which there exists a strong aspiration for independence from China." Over the past decade or so, driven by the rising demand for skilled labour under the "open up the west" development programme (xibu dakaifa 西部大开发) as well as the heightened sensitivity over issues of social stability and security in Xinjiang, the Chinese government has begun to rethink its ethnic integration strategies and devise cultural and educational policies to ease inter-ethnic tensions. In 2000, the government launched a boarding school project aimed at ethnic minorities in Xinjiang, termed the Neidi Xinjiang gaozhong ban 內地新疆高中班hereafter, Xinjiangban 新疆班). The project is the brainchild of the Ministry of Education (MOE), and its primary motive is to provide high-achieving junior secondary school graduates in Xinjiang, mostly Uyghurs, with access to senior

^{*} The University of Melbourne. Email: zhenjiey@student.unimelb.edu.au.

[†] The University of Hong Kong. Email: Junxi.qian@gmail.com.

[‡] Guangzhou University, China. Email: zhuh@scnu.edu.cn (corresponding author).

secondary schools (*gaozhong* 高中) in predominately Han cities in China. Unlike the infamous nation-building experiments with boarding schools for indigenous people in Australia, Canada and the United States, the *Xinjiangban* programme appears to be gaining in popularity.³ Over the past 15 years, the *Xinjiangban* network has spread to encompass 93 schools cross 45 inland cities. In 2015, there were more than 34,000 incumbent pupils and nearly 43,000 graduates. As Yangbin Chen points out, the policy has far-reaching implications for Uyghur–Han inter-ethnic relations in China.⁴

The significant development of the Xinjiangban has attracted the attention of both domestic and international scholars. Not surprisingly, most research produced in domestic China simply reproduces state propaganda and contends that the policy is rational and legitimate. Arguing to the contrary, a limited but growing number of studies published in English have reviewed the policy from the angle of students' experiences. Chen examines a Xinjiangban in terms of social capital, arguing that Uvghur students play active roles in negotiating the agenda of ethnic integration designed by the state. 6 Timothy Grose has conducted a study on language use and the future plans of college students who have graduated from the Xinjiangban; he suggests that the Xinjiangban fails to enhance ethnic unity. From a different perspective but in a comparable gist, Chen argues that interactions between Uyghur students and local Han students give rise to negative stereotypes and feelings. 8 More recently, Chen has attempted to unpack the diversity among Uyghur youth, which has evidenced intra-ethnic discrimination among Uyghur students in universities.⁹ Moreover, Grose takes note of the revival of Islamic identity among Uyghur students during their university years and argues that, in contrast to the expectation of the state, the *Xinjiangban* is not capable of weakening students' religious identity. ¹⁰

Although the number of studies on the *Xinjiangban* is increasing, the current research, both in Chinese and English, largely focuses on the interplays between the institutionalized authority of state agenda and the responses of Uyghur students. However, interactions between Uyghur students, teachers, local Han students and other ethnic minority students are understudied. Moreover, the English scholarship tends to regard the policy as seamless, given and static, while ignoring the dynamics of the ongoing reproduction and reinvention of the policy within China's social and political hierarchies. This has resulted in a dearth of studies on the dynamics of policy implementation across various levels of government. There has also been insufficient attention paid to the *spatial* dimension of the *Xinjiangban*. This paper, however, argues for the importance of spatial implications. The school is a space where multicultural encounters occur. School spaces are not passive containers of everyday routines and interactions. The specific spatial–temporal configurations of the schools are actually intended to enact the norms and rules inherent to the policy. This paper argues that space shapes the everyday politics of *Xinjiangban* and plays an active role in structuring and conditioning multi-ethnic interactions.

Building on the ideas of "space of prescription" and "space of negotiation," adopted from Jonathan Murdoch's work, this paper attempts to elaborate the local ecology of the *Xinjiangban*. We first examine the interpretation and implementation of the policy across China's political hierarchy, which spans from the central to the local. We continue by exploring how school spaces are constructed as spaces of prescription, where dominant

norms and rules are recurrently reiterated, reinstituted and re-asserted. Finally, the paper investigates Xinjiang students' response to the prescriptions. We place a specific emphasis on the space–time strategies that Xinjiang students utilize to navigate and negotiate the dominant prescriptions.

Space and the Politics of Prescription and Negotiation

The sociological knowledge on social capital and social networks has been a source of theoretical inspiration for the study of Xinjiangban. In his widely cited work, Chen introduces the concept of bonding social capital to examine the way in which Uyghur identity is reconstructed and reinforced in everyday schooling. 11 However, a few areas worthy of interest have been overlooked in the existing studies of Xinjiangban. First, the analyses tend to take structural factors such as political hierarchy and power configuration as pre-existing and static. Yet, the Xinjiangban policy is not carried out locally in coherent and consistent ways, and thus should be re-measured in terms of its actual implementation at various levels of government. Second, the Uyghur-Han dichotomy has dominated this line of inquiry, and few studies have discussed the local dynamics of Xinjiangban with foci not only on Han and Uyghur but also on other ethnic minorities involved. Third, space, which has long been regarded as a passive setting for social life and interactions, is now understood by social scientists to be an active medium of social (re)production. ¹² For instance, in his reading of the dialectics of time, space and being, Edward Soja argues that the production of spatiality, in conjunction with the making of history, can be described as both the medium and the outcome, the presupposition and the embodiment, of social relationships. ¹³ Spatiality – that is, the constellation of material and contextual conditions whose changes are embedded in social processes – is integral to the interrogation of social life. ¹⁴ Within the sociology of education, where the bulk of the literature on Xinjiangban is anchored, Susan Robertson has argued for the necessity of "spatializing" the sociological research of education. ¹⁵ Although there has been critical thinking about space among sociologists, and, as mentioned above, Xinjiangban has distinctive spatial implications, there has to date been no systematic research done on the implementation of the policy with an explicit spatial reference.

Given the importance conferred to spatiality in this study, the article engages with Jonathan Murdoch's thesis of space that draws from the actor–network theory (hereafter, ANT) to offer the conceptualizations of spaces of prescription and spaces of negotiation. ¹⁶ Ontologically, the ANT sees agency lying with multiple human and non-human elements and explores the ways in which heterogeneous elements are enrolled and assembled in a network. ¹⁷ Murdoch goes on to argue that a network can be understood in terms of *translation* – the processes of negotiation, representation and displacement across actors, entities and spaces within a network, from which politics emerges. ¹⁸ In this vein, the space of prescription refers to the network where translations are perfectly accomplished. In spaces of prescription, entities have a predilection to conform to specific rules, norms and codes laid down by centrally placed actors. In this study, the space of prescription is where the dominant ideas and discourses of ethnicity are institutionalized and concretized by variegated practices of policy implementation. Spaces of negotiation, in contrast, are sites of "alternative ordering," where resistance, uncertainty and unpredictable ideas and behaviour are made possible by less empowered actors. ¹⁹ Even the most formalized and regulated space of prescription is

open to negotiation, which means that the boundary between the space of prescription and negotiation is fluid rather than rigid.²⁰

In the case of *Xinjiangban*, *prescription* is tantamount to processes in which *policy implementers* seek to shape and define the dispositions and behaviour of *policy recipients*. Policy implementers are principally those in charge of the implementation of the *Xinjiangban* policy at local levels, including officials from the municipal government, school administrators and teachers. Policy recipients are those who are at the receiving end of the policy, primarily Xinjiang students, but also local Han students. Negotiation is the process by which policy recipients comply with, negotiate, and in some cases, subvert the prescriptions enacted by policy implementers.

Prescription and negotiation are means to act within a space. Tara Fenwick and Richard Edwards reflect on the relationship between space and the ANT, arguing that educational spaces can be understood in terms of a multiplicity of entities and the multiple networks of relations emerging amidst them. Space is enlivened by not only humans but also by non-human entities. ²² Drawing from Fenwick and Edwards, we argue that all entities that add to and construct the experiences of everyday schooling, including administrators, teachers, students, desks, textbooks, propaganda banners, spatial arrangements in the classroom and even the layout of the campus, are exercising agency in one way or another and remake educational spaces with specific meanings. ²³

Based on this framework, this study attempts to elaborate on the politics of prescription and negotiation among various actors within the network of state education programmes. Specifically, we probe into the capabilities of policy implementers and recipients in arranging and structuring spaces and practices in everyday schooling. The space–time contingencies in a local *Xinjiangban* are teased out to examine the dialectics between spaces of prescription and negotiation. Notably, we not only examine the interplays of state education and the agency of Uyghur students, as most existing studies have done, but we also take into account the multiple relations among Uyghur, Han and other ethnic groups in the *Xinjiangban*.

Research Methods

The accessibility of *Xinjiangban* schools has long been a limitation for researchers. Until now, Chen has been the only author to carry out an in-depth ethnography of a *Xinjiangban*. Grose collected data from university students who had graduated from the programme. The majority of existing studies, however, are based on second-hand data. Our paper is based on nearly four years of follow-up study of a *Xinjiangban*. One of the authors (hereafter, the researcher) has been a teaching assistant in a *Xinjiangban* since 2012. The school in our study is located in one of the richest cities in southern China and is known for its high performance in the public educational system. It hosts around 600 *Xinjiangban* students and more than 2,000 local Han students. Unlike the *Xinjiangban* students in Chen's studies, who were isolated from local students, the *Xinjiangban* students attending the studied school undergo a one-year preparatory class and then enrol on a three-year standard programme in ethnically mixed classes with local Han students, making multicultural encounters an everyday norm. It is worth noting that this policy of mixing Xinjiang students and local Han students together in

one class has gradually been adopted nationwide with the aim of enhancing inter-ethnic interactions.

By participating in the teaching and management of the *Xinjiangban*, the researcher was able to make close and detailed observations. Conversing in the Uyghur language with Xinjiang students helped him to establish a rapport and build mutual trust with students. Apart from participant observation, the data shown in this paper also draw from 32 in-depth interviews and six focus group discussions involving Xinjiang students, teachers and school administrators between 2012 and 2014. In line with the campus rules on language, all interviews were conducted in Mandarin.

Consideration has been given to the balance of the socio-demographic profiles of the informants. In terms of ethnicity, Uyghur students account for more than 70 per cent of the Xinjiang students and Kazakh students account for 15 per cent; other ethnic groups such as Han, Hui, Kyrgyz and Tajik make up the remaining 15 per cent. Although the Uyghurs are the majority group in the *Xinjiangban*, this paper also presents the views and practices of other minority students, including Kazakh, Kyrgyz and Hui, among others. ²⁴ The ratio of male to female informants is 60 per cent to 40 per cent, which reflects the gender ratio of the studied *Xinjiangban*. Because the researcher is male, information about what female students did in dormitories was gathered exclusively through interviews instead of observation. All names, including those of the school and the informants, are either anonymized or presented as pseudonyms.

In addition, the researcher collected all relevant policy documents (including various opinions, regulations and by-laws instituted by various political entities), and teaching materials such as textbooks, students' weekly ideological reports, teachers' working logs, and so forth. Furthermore, the researcher paid specific attention to the spatial settings of the school, aiming to unpack the constitutive role of space in the everyday politics of the *Xinjiangban*.

Cultivating Loyal Subjects: Translation of Norms and the Construction of the Space of Prescription in *Xinjiangban*

From central to local: the production of the dominant norms in Xinjiangban

As Murdoch argues, spaces of prescription are capable of persuading entities to behave in line with the norms and scripts inscribed and defined by those with centralized authority. For the *Xinjiangban*, there is no doubt that the deployment of all resources, including teaching materials, finance and personnel, is arranged according to the policy implementers' interpretation of the prescriptions of the policies. In this section, we investigate the ways in which policy implementers mobilize symbolic and material resources in implementing and concretizing the policy.

According to the numerous notices and instructions issued by the central government, the *Xinjiangban* aims not only to cultivate a group of professionals who can serve the "open up the west" development programme but also to educate qualified patriots to distance themselves from separatist and religious extremist elements in Xinjiang. Very few detailed instructions on the methods of implementation have been given though, apart from the mandate that "all *Xinjiangban* students, regardless of ethnicity, are mixed together and taught

in Chinese." From the outset, gaining modern professional skills and know-how and developing patriotism have become the key "prescriptions" superimposed on the students participating in the programme. Evidently, *Xinjiangban* policy prioritizes ethnic integration by mixing various ethnicities in the school.

During the process of implementation, the management of expressions of ethnicity is essential to the making of prescriptions at the local level. Since the provinces and municipalities receiving Xinjiang students are not located in areas with concentrated ethnic minority populations, there is little local experience of dealing with ethnic issues. The Xinjiangban programme is a top-down assignment from the central government, which local political entities are forced to translate and respond to. In the province where our research was conducted, there are two provincial-level policies and one municipal-level policy devised for localization. The provincial policies reproduce the political rhetoric of the central government, stating that the Xinjiangban programme is a "glorious and important political task." However, unlike the provincial-level translation, the "action plan" issued by the municipal government interprets the *Xinjiangban* as a "glorious but *arduous* political task" (emphasis added). As the policy implementer working at the coalface, the municipal government has to deal with the challenges of assembling financial resources, personnel, spaces, etc., in order to turn the policies into reality. According to the action plan, it is essential to "keep in mind that ethnicity and religion are non-trivial issues. And we need to hold strong political sensitivity in this matter." In this sense, local policy implementers tend to highlight political sensitiveness and pressure. Consequently, policy implementers work prudently to avoid any political risk. The policy was localized by a work team led by the deputy mayor - the high-profile leadership was intended to ensure the effectiveness of implementation.

As the MOE does not specify how to manage the *Xinjiangban* on a daily basis, the policy leaves space for implementers to address issues in their own ways. The principal of the studied school, also a key figure in the work team, interpreted the central tenet of the policy to be "to change the inherent ethnic and religious ideas in students' minds." When considering the relationship between ethnic identity and the aim of cultivating "successors of socialism" (*shehuizhuyi jiebanren* 社会主义接班人), the principal asserted that "if a student has a strong feeling of his/her ethnicity, he/she must be inclined to his/her ethnic and religious groups. However, a successor of socialism must be a citizen who places his/her nation at the first place." In this vein, to transform ethnic minority students into adherents of the state, rather than those who prioritize their affinities to ethnicity and religion, has become the foremost task for the school. As one school administrator suggested,

For *Xinjiangban* students, ideological education takes precedence. Their safety comes second, and study comes last. The reason that the government has paid such a large amount of money to fund them is to foster citizens who are thankful to the CCP (Dui (gongchan) Dang xincun ganen, 对(共产)党心存感恩).²⁹

Consequently, highlighting students' national identity and downplaying the ethnic dimension of their self-identity have become the dominant prescriptions of schooling at the local level. In the following sections, we discuss how various entities, human and non-human alike,

contribute to the construction of spaces of prescription in the cultural politics of the *Xinjiangban*.

Curricula, textbooks and everyday schooling

Curricula, the key resource when reproducing the prescriptions set down by policies, are at the heart of schooling. The dissemination of national culture, as noted by He Baogang, is closely associated with the spread of Han scripts (hanzi 汉字). 30 In the context of the Xinjiangban, Fei Yan and Geoff Whitty argue that Han scripts and Mandarin Chinese have been prioritized within its curricula.³¹ In the compulsory education that spans four years, subjects such as Chinese, history, geography and politics are highlighted as important vehicles through which to perpetuate the perception of the "backwardness" of minorities and, simultaneously, the "modernity" of the country's heartland. For example, history textbooks use derisory terms like "barbarians" (manyi 蛮夷) to represent minorities' ancestors. Moreover, political subjects prioritize the evangelizing of not only communist ideologies but also, more importantly, patriotism. Great emphasis is placed on the achievements and the legitimacy of the Chinese Communist Party. Moreover, atheism and Marxist dialectical materialism are upheld as the orthodoxies that a patriot should follow. This, of course, contradicts and upsets the Islamic identity of Muslim students. Resonating with Grose, patriotic education takes precedence over all other educational goals in the Xinjiangban.³² Even more, according to the Semester Schedule of 2012–2013, an extra "hidden curriculum" was embedded within patriotic education. During a semester that lasted nearly five months, there were four general meetings and one lecture on ideological and patriotic education. In addition, a "to-be-a-patriot" class meeting was held in the middle of the semester; a patriotic song competition was arranged for Youth Day (4th May); and it was compulsory to watch China Central Television's daily news broadcasts. Through participating in such mundane daily activities, the students develop the discipline to practice and display political conformity, thereby allowing the state to govern through daily participation.

Teachers are key actors who interpret and implement the policy in the everyday schooling of their pupils. As most teachers in the *Xinjiangban* are Han and few have had experience in teaching ethnic minority students, they tend to see students' ethnicities and religious affinities as contradictory to ethnic unity. In an interview, a school administrator remarked,

The programme is to separate them [Uyghur students] from the influences of religion and ethnic bonding, which go against our ethnic unity.

The researcher: Do you think Uyghur ethnicity contradicts ethnic unity?

I don't know, but the terrors in Xinjiang are caused by the Uyghur Muslims, so I think that their ethnicity and Islamic belief does have something to do with the terrorism in $China^{33}$

To some extent, the educators adhere to the seemingly pervasive stereotypes of Uyghurs and Muslims in China as dangerous trouble makers and separatists. ³⁴ In this sense, the translations of dominant norms in school are profoundly shaped by the broader social

context, transcending the spatial boundaries of the school. Consequently, most educators willingly align themselves with the state agenda of managing ethnic attachments and transforming minority students into loyal subjects.

Temporal-spatial arrangements of the school

In order to minimize inter-ethnic conflicts, the municipal government built an independent campus for the *Xinjiangban*. This gated campus is located beside the local Han students' campus (hereafter, Han campus). All facilities, such as classrooms, dormitories and sport grounds, follow the same design as those on the Han campus, except for the Halal canteen. Not surprisingly, there is little representation of any Xinjiang culture within the landscape design of the *Xinjiangban* campus. Instead, the blackboards on the walls of the campus bear signs of propaganda of ethnic unity and patriotism. While there is an independent space for the Xinjiang students, students need to take classes on the Han campus with local Han students. In contrast, local students are not permitted to enter the *Xinjiangban* campus. All *Xinjiangban* students' activities are confined within the campus. Hence, the gated campus is a carefully designed and coded space with strict boundary management being deployed to concretize the translations of the policy at local level.

As with the spatial arrangements, management of the students themselves is military like. A highly organized space—time schedule has been enforced to structure the everyday life of students (see Table 1). According to both students and teachers, student life on campus is closely controlled and monitored. *Xinjiangban* students are housed separately in two dormitory buildings. The Uyghur students are split up from each other intentionally and are mixed with other ethnic minority students like Russians, Mongols and Kazakhs. This prevents the Uyghur students from forming associations and obstructs the formation of ethnicity-based social ties. Meanwhile, the dorm supervisors, ethnic Uyghurs dispatched by the Department of Education in Xinjiang, live in the same buildings as the *Xinjiangban* students, providing guidance and keeping everyday surveillance.

Table 1: Temporal-Spatial Schedule of the Xinjiangban in Studied School

Time	Activities	Spaces
6:30	Get up, morning exercise,	Xinjiang campus: dormitory, sports
	breakfast	ground, Halal canteen
7:20–7:45	Morning reading class	Academic campus: classroom
7:50–12:00	Five classes	Academic campus: classroom, corridor
		and other public spaces
12:00–12:50	Lunch time	Xinjiang campus: Halal canteen;
		students' seats pre-arranged.
12:50–14:00	Noon break	Xinjiang campus: dormitory
14:20–16:45	Three classes	Academic campus: classroom, corridor
		and other public spaces
17:15–18:15	After class and dinner time	Travel between Xinjiang campus and

		the academic campus
19:00–19:30	Watching the China Central	Academic campus: classroom, corridor
	Television's daily news	and other public spaces
	broadcast	
19:30–22:00	Three night self-study	Academic campus: classroom, corridor
	classes	and other public spaces
22:20	Rest time	Xinjiang campus: dormitory

As shown by their daily schedule, the routines followed by the Xinjiang students and the spaces they move through are highly regulated. The daily schedule has been designed to leave no time—space affordances for students to do things which are regarded as controversial to the prescriptions of the *Xinjiangban* education. For example, all students are required to get up at 6:30 am; yet, in order not to give Muslim students chances to do morning worship, school forbids students to get up any earlier than 6:30 am. Special means of control are employed in dormitories to keep a check on students. Abu, a male Uyghur in grade one, recalled his experience in a sudden dormitory check:

The principal led a team to my dormitory. They said they had come for "prohibited items." At that moment, I thought the "prohibited items" should be dangerous things like a knife. However, they tore down a poster which depicted a scene of a Uyghur community. Moreover, a calligraphy in the Uyghur language was also removed. They contended that actions were taken to keep dormitories tidy and a 'standard' look. ³⁵

From Abu's experience, it appears that the school administrators view items coded with ethnic culture as conflictual with school regulations. According to the "Rules for Xinjiang Students," a copy of which was pinned to a wall in the *Xinjiangban* campus, any outward appearance of ethnicity and Islamic belief, such as a beard or a headscarf, is strictly prohibited. Furthermore, the only relief students are afforded from the confinements of the campus is on weekend shopping trips, which are for two hours only, once a month. During this outing, *Xinjiangban* students, accompanied by a teacher, board a school bus and head for a linear-shaped commercial pedestrianized street, deliberately selected so that surveillance can be conducted more easily. In sum, we argue that a space of prescription has been established in the *Xinjiangban* based on the mantra of patriotism, ethnic integration and subtle management of students' ethnicities. Heterogeneous entities, including policies, teachers, spatial—temporal arrangements, etc. are assembled to serve a dominant pedagogy. In the next section, we look at the responses of various actors and how they negotiate this space of prescription.

Constructing Spaces of Negotiation in Response to the Dominant Prescriptions

Compliance as negotiation: aspirations for upward mobility

In this section, we will explore the construction of spaces of negotiation in the *Xinjiangban*. As Murdoch argues, the space of prescription is never complete, but always open to negotiation.³⁶ Spaces are constructed as a result of complex interactions between ordering, de-ordering and re-ordering. Likewise, Xinjiang students are active in negotiating and even

resisting dominant prescriptions through their everyday practices. Specifically, we examine the interactions between Uyghur students and other actors (in this case, Han teachers, local Han students and other ethnic minority students in the *Xinjiangban*), and the space–time contingencies in which the interaction occurs.

Spaces of negotiation are constructed as alternate translations to dominant prescriptions. In the case of the *Xinjiangban*, we find that *Xinjiangban* students are inclined to interpret the policy in favour of individual career expectations and ethnic identity, rather than prioritizing the dominant prescriptions that reinforce state rationalities. They negotiate the policy in tune with three concerns: achieving upward social mobility, preserving ethnic culture and defending Islamic identity.

Space: a classroom on the Han campus

Time: a Chinese class

Today, I sit in Class 10, grade two, to join a Chinese class. The teacher taught ancient Chinese prose, which is one of the most challenging subjects for *Xinjiangban* students. What surprises me is all five *Xinjiangban* students in this class were active in raising and answering questions ... The Chinese teacher asked, "How many ancient writings could represent the meaning of demotion?" A *Xinjiangban* student raised his hand immediately to win the chance to answer. Although this student spoke broken Chinese and did not give a perfect answer, the teacher and other Han students still listened patiently and applauded him (Field notes, March 2013).

Contrary to the intuitive view that minority students hold negative feelings towards state-led education in the *Xinjiangban*, ³⁷ we find that most ethnic minority students have open-minded attitudes not only to the imparting of knowledge indifferent to ethnic differences, but also the rhetoric of patriotism and ethnic integration. Almost all interviewed Xinjiangban students recognized the significance of learning Chinese. In her weekly report, a female Uyghur student in grade one wrote, "this week, I took the first monthly exam. My Chinese is poor, so I really struggled in a writing test. The good news is that my Chinese is improving since I made friend with Wang Ying [a local Han student]. While talking with her, I force myself to speak Chinese. In this way, I can make progress." The Xinjiang students have largely internalized the state discourse claiming that Han society is open and modern, eastern cities are more prosperous, and Xinjiang is blocked and backward. This has actually given rise to a motive to maximize the utility of the education they receive on a daily basis. A Han student from Xinjiang wrote in his weekly report that "as a Han, I feel happy that my ethnic minority roommates could accept the Spring Festival. I thought they would keep a distance from this festival because of their religious and ethnic culture. But the reality is that they actively participated in making dumplings and other activities. Surprisingly, the New Year Scrolls (chunlian 春联) in our dormitory were bought by my Uyghur roommates."

The students' recollections of their original intentions when enrolling in the *Xinjiangban* help us to understand the quiescent conformity to prescriptions. In response to the question, "why did you choose to study in the *Xinjiangban*?", the most common answer was that the *Xinjiangban* provides better facilities, more qualified teachers and better chances for a successful career in the future. According to a questionnaire survey of 150 *Xinjiangban* students conducted by the authors in 2012, more than 75.5 per cent of the *Xinjiangban* students in the studied school came from rural areas; 56.7 per cent were children of farmers and herdsmen; and 71 per cent came from less wealthy families whose annual household

income was less than 10,000 yuan (US\$1,516). A "gain–risk" calculation determines the ways that students negotiate the prescriptions. The calculation takes into consideration the benefits, which include having access to the alleged progressiveness of Han culture, gaining a competitive advantage in the market economy and landing a decent job, and the risks, which include the loss of labour for the household, separation from families and cultural communities, and fear of losing ethnic and religious identity. A female Uyghur student in a preparatory class confessed that,

I come from a peasant family. My parents fall ill often. To reduce the burdens of supporting my education, I desired the opportunity to study in the *Xinjiangban*. Simultaneously, the *Xinjiangban* is good for my future. I came here bearing the hopes of my whole family. 40

Removing students from their original communities and confining them within the campus are "spatial approaches" aimed at cultivating a labour force for the "open up the west" development programme and promoting inter-ethnic interactions. This kind of multi-ethnic encounter is a novel experience for many *Xinjiangban* students. Indeed, students in the *Xinjiangban* experience at first hand the cultural, economic and religious differences between the eastern coast and the west. Hence, many *Xinjiangban* students actually see the necessity of establishing social networks with Han Chinese. A female Kazakh student in grade two explained:

Studying here [in the *Xinjiangban*] gives me a clear and direct recognition of the differences in regional economic progress. I think learning here can provide a great chance for us to socialize with Han people, knowing their culture, and ways of thinking and doing things. In this way, we can become middlemen connecting Xinjiang and the eastern provinces.⁴¹

Moreover, students, who have undergone lessons in patriotism in primary and junior secondary schools, are not particularly fazed by the patriotism promoted by the *Xinjiangban*. Compared to their former experiences, some students even believe that the patriotic education in the *Xinjiangban* is more relaxed than the indoctrination they received in Xinjiang. A male Uyghur student in grade one stated that "we were obliged to too much patriotic education in Xinjiang. Sometimes, I even suspected that the primary goal of receiving education was to be patriotic. I think that patriotism is already in our minds. If schools pay too much attention to patriotism, we would feel stressed. Fortunately, the patriotic education here [in the *Xinjiangban*] is much milder than in Xinjiang." The students' relaxed attitude towards their lessons in patriotism is formed based on a comparison with the rigorous and pervasive pedagogies in Xinjiang. In this sense, even though patriotism is central to the rigid prescriptions in everyday schooling, for most Xinjiang students, it becomes acceptable.

Furthermore, local Han students play positive roles in the *Xinjiangban* students' adaptation to the spaces of prescriptions. Indeed, the exigency of ethnic integration is imposed not only on *Xinjiangban* students but also on local Han students. Local Han students in the school are also taught and expected to avoid ethnic conflict and to defend ethnic unity. In everyday inter-ethnic interactions, local Han students learn to be multicultural agents who understand their peers' ethnic cultures and self-regulate their language, gesture, clothing and diet in the school to avoid conflict. We once observed a local Han student standing in the

locker room at the back of the classroom eating some fried noodles bought from a non-Halal canteen as breakfast. Out of respect for his Muslim classmates, he had chosen to eat his food in a discrete place and manner. Likewise, most Han students try to avoid using words like "pig" and "theft" in the classroom. Moreover, the self-discipline displayed by Han students is reflected in their physical behaviour. Local Han students tend to avoid close physical contact with their Muslim classmates – for example, not touching or approaching too closely when chatting, discussing or playing.

It is not our intention to deny that boundaries persist between ethnic groups, but our field observations show that most local Han students are indeed familiarizing themselves with the cultures of ethnic minorities. This in turn contributes to *Xinjiangban* students' positive attitudes to inter-ethnic interactions. A male Uyghur student in senior one explained that "honestly, I was never acquainted with Han students when studying in Xinjiang. But the experience [in the *Xinjiangban*] tells me that they [local Han students] are in fact nice and have higher *suzhi* 素质 (quality)."⁴³

However, this does not mean that Xinjiang students have taken the spaces of prescription as the sole basis for ordering their lifeworlds. Indeed, Xinjiangban students invent alternative prescriptions that emphasize both the development of personal careers and the preservation of ethnic identity. The Xinjiangban students have access to an attractive package of welfare and subsidies. This is an economic incentive to submit themselves to the dominant prescriptions mentioned above. However, ethnic minority students do counteract the rationales of the state. As a countermove to the state agenda of downplaying ethnicity, the ethnic identities of minority students are in fact being reinforced. The students' struggle with the spaces of prescription is premised on a strong sense of their ethnic culture being at risk and their heightened cultural awareness. In a focus group with eight Uyghur students conducted in June 2012, we raised the question, "what do you want to say to Uyghur students?" Without hesitation, a female Uyghur student answered: "Please don't forget our ethnic culture!" Similar expressions, such as "we should learn and develop our ethnic culture" and "we must keep intact our ethnic identity," are also reflective of shared aspirations among the Uyghur and other ethnic groups. Within the state education, Uyghur students' ethnic difference is spotlighted rather than suppressed. This is because, on a daily basis, they need to confront state discourses contrasting ethnic minorities with the Han Chinese who are promoted as the vanguards of modernity and development. This, ironically, leads to a deepened cultural self-awareness. A male Uyghur student in grade two admitted that:

I cannot deny that this [attending the *Xinjiangban* class] is a good opportunity for us [to make success]. However, I am deeply concerned that this policy will lead us to forget our own culture. I am very anxious about the disappearance of our culture!⁴⁴

Stevan Harrell and Erzi Ma remind us that the minority group can tactically use education as a "mobility strategy": they can preserve their ethnic identity and still opt into the school project attuned with dominant values. ⁴⁵ By employing tactical self-discipline, minority students can pursue upward mobility and empower themselves in the social hierarchy via the

Xinjiangban. A male Uyghur student in senior two remarked that the social mobility of an individual Uyghur is in accordance with the needs and interests of the entire ethnic group:

I force myself to study hard here, because I want to change the inferior and marginal status of the Uyghur by my own efforts. I want to be a senior official of education in the government in the future. Only in doing so can I use the political power to change the position of the Uyghur.⁴⁶

The construction of alternative ordering in the Xinjiangban

Space: corridors

Time: ten-minute break between classes

After attending a physics class in class 15, grade one, I stepped out to the corridors to have a break. Alim and Diyar, two male Uyghur students, in class 15 and 16 respectively, bumped into each other in the corridor and offered a greeting in the standard Uyghur style: they said "Salaam" first, then put the right hand on the chest while bending down. Then, they shook hands and talked in Uyghur (Fieldwork notes, March 2013).

In the studied school, the Uyghur students greet each other according to their ethnic custom. In Chen's view, maintaining the greeting ritual in Uyghur style is one way for Uyghur students to maintain their ethnic identity within the boarding school. The break time leads to a temporary suspension of the teachers' surveillance, as teachers will have a short break in their offices and the CCTV cameras only monitor the classrooms. The corridor, as Maryann Dickar notes, is a space that is naturally free from the direct control of teachers, leading to a "corridor culture" which subverts the authority of teachers so pervasive in the classrooms. Uyghur students tactically seize the chance to transform the corridor into a space of negotiation, greeting each other in Uyghur style. In doing so, Uyghur students reinforce communal solidarity and re-assert the distinction between Uyghur and non-Uyghur. A male Uyghur student in grade one explained the greeting rituals:

When we encounter each other in the corridor at break time or in the dinner hall in meal time, I greet my Uyghur brothers in a standard Uyghur style. If they sit together in the canteen, I will greet to them one by one. It is a way to remember that we are Uyghur; we are Muslims.⁴⁹

In addition to the greeting rituals, using their own language is another way in which minority students can reclaim their ethnic identities. Chen indicates that the Uyghur language is a kind of bonding social capital which represents ethnic norms and sanctions. ⁵⁰ In the working plan of the *Xinjiangban* at the studied school, Han Chinese has been defined as the only legitimized language in the school. However, since oral transmission is the most pervasive and covert form of everyday resistance, it is difficult for the teachers to enforce this policy fully. ⁵¹ Jiesur, a male Uyghur in grade one, expressed his worries and explained his insistence on using his own language:

I insist on the idea that we need to speak our own ethnic languages. I think Uyghurs should use Uyghur language when we chat with each other. Now, if a Uyghur speaks Chinese with me, I will warn him, "if you don't speak in Uyghur, please don't talk to me." ⁵²

Space: dormitory

Time: 11:00 p.m. (half an hour after the rest bell)

Today, a female Uyghur girl told me about her tactics to maintain her Muslim identity. She said that her roommates are all Muslims and they have found out the regular pattern of the dorm supervisor's patrols and checks. After 11:00 p.m., it is the "safe time" for them. A girl will act as a "guard" to make sure that there is no surveillance. Then, they will take the headscarf out from the bottom of the pillow and wear it (Fieldwork notes, December 2012).

Although *Xinjiangban* students come from different ethnic groups, the vast majority of them are Muslims. In response to the dominant spaces of prescription, Muslim identity has been reasserted across ethnic groups. The fieldwork notes quoted above record one of the "post-11 p.m. stories" observed by the researcher. There is no regular surveillance of students between 11 p.m. and 6 a.m. This provides the Muslim students with the opportunity to accomplish what they call their "unfinished homework" – donning their headscarves, praying, reading the Qur'an, etc. In other words, Muslim students reclaim their Muslim identity under the cover of night. Since public presentations of ethnicity and Islamic identity are prohibited, Muslim students tactically respond to the dominant prescriptions by creating their own spatial–temporal punctuations, evading the regular surveillance of the teachers and building up a "counter-surveillance system" in the dormitory. Irm, a male Uyghur in grade one, recounted his own experiences:

When the lights are turned off, I hide myself under the quilt. Staying inside the quilt gives me a sense of being pure and sacred. In this private space, I recite the Qur'an in whispers. Only after finishing this work can I sleep feeling relaxed ... A Uyghur roommate will be the guard who keeps an eye out for the teachers. When the counter-surveillance is on, my Muslim roommates and I will talk about our confusion about why the school wants to suppress our ethnicity and how can we respond to them.⁵³

Furthermore, the shared Muslim identity of Uyghur, Kazakh, Kyrgyz and Hui students encourage mutual monitoring with regard to religious disciplines. For example, a female Kazakh informant in Grade Two commented that "wearing sexy clothes is a taboo for our Muslim women. Although the climate is hot here (Southern China), if a Muslim girl wears a very short shirt or skirt, other girls will gently remind her not to do this."⁵⁴ Likewise, a male Kyrgyz informant in grade three remarked, "we Muslims would do specific gestures to thank Allah for the meal. Sometimes, some Muslim students forget to do that. Other Muslims would remind him of the ritual."55 In fact, when they have meals, Muslim students sit in peripheral areas to avoid being monitored by the teachers. As one Uyghur student put it, "although doing the ethnic greeting and praying after the meal will be warned against or even punished by teachers, I will continue to do it in more covert ways, because it gives me a feeling of spiritual purity."⁵⁶ In sum, ethnic minority students exploit the moments when they are not under surveillance to keep alive their ethnic and religious practices. Accordingly, spaces of negotiation are embedded in fluid and improvised time-space settings - wearing headscarves in the middle of the night, reading the Qur'an under the quilt, monitoring each other for correct religious conduct, physical gestures, and so forth.

Reinforcing resistant consciousness in cyberspace

[Figure 1: Portrayals of Muslim Identity in Cyberspace





Notes:

Figures shown above are not real images of the informants.

In addition to material spaces, we also interrogate the effects of virtual space and communication technologies on students' negotiation practices. The widespread use of mobile phones poses a challenge for the teachers. On the one hand, as the principal mentioned, "although the Ministry of State Security monitors Muslim students' expressions on the internet, the available technology still cannot locate specific expressions precisely on specific individuals. So, students can access and transmit improper information in anonymous forms."57 On the other hand, since students use mobile phones to connect with their families, the school is reluctant to impose a strict management of mobile phone usage to avoid creating discontent among the students. Consequently, smart phones represent spaces "transgression" in which students can connect with the Muslim world and transcend the physical confinement of the campus. Some students showed us the apps on their smart phones which allow them to read the Qur'an online and to access social media such as Weibo and QQ Zone. Such social media allow them to store articles and pictures closely related to ethnic cultures and Islamism (see Figure 1). The fast-growing usage of smart phones and their falling prices make it easier for Uyghur students to construct a space of negotiation in cyberspace. For example, Abu is a Uyghur student who took a part-time job in Xinjiang during the summer holidays in order to earn enough money for a smart phone costing 1,000 yuan (US\$163). The first thing he did once he had bought the phone was to download the full text of Qur'an. Adli also told us that his "dream" was to buy an Apple iPhone 5, because he also wanted to use a smart phone to access websites in Uyghur, like his Uyghur classmates. Additionally, students are eager to search for up-to-date information on Mecca, Turkey and other Muslim regions, an act that strengthens their cultural pride. Abu claimed that every Sunday, when the teachers relax monitoring, he uses his phone to read news from the Arab world, and this helps to purify his mind and reinforce his will power. As Lily Kong argues, technological developments have opened new spaces of religious practices, refiguring the intersection of domination and resistance.⁵⁸ Such technological developments have created new political spaces for Muslim students to transgress the control practised in material spaces.

Conclusion

In contrast to previous studies that commonly reified a Uyghur-Han dichotomy, this paper borrows a spatialized framework to probe into the cultural politics of a *Xinjiangban*. Our

observation finds that prescriptions are encoded in the spatial—temporal arrangements of everyday schooling by policy implementers so as to persuade ethnic minority students to act in ways that are aligned with state agenda of cultural governance. Ethnic minority students' aspirations to gain upward social mobility and the political economic logic of the policy warrant explanations for the tendency of students to comply quiescently with the prescriptions of patriotic education and ethnic integration. Interestingly, both Xinjiang students and local Han students are active in building some sort of mutual understanding and social cohesiveness. Nonetheless, *Xinjiangban* students do resist prescriptions in innovative, improvisational and contingent ways. Minority students actively use space—time tactics to keep alive and indeed strengthen their ethnic and religious affiliations. In the everyday practices through which the ongoing interplays between spaces of prescription and negotiation occur, this paper has demonstrated that the boundary between spaces of prescription and negotiation is fluid. Moreover, a focus on the spatial setting of the *Xinjiangban* enables us to delineate a clearer contour of the situated dynamics of this policy regime.

Our analysis shows that although the Chinese government has assumed that the graduates of the *Xinjiangban* will eventually develop into a group of docile ethnic elites who uphold and promote ethnic integration and modern development in Xinjiang, the ways in which *Xinjiangban* students bring into life the spaces of negotiation seem to imply that *Xinjiangban* are far from automatons who passively act out the dominant goals of the policy. This paper argues that although the *Xinjiangban* does provide some ethnic minority students with better educational opportunities, which may translate into competitiveness in mainstream Chinese society, economic and ethnic-cultural logics are far from mutually exclusive. In fact, the *Xinjiangban* students' ethnic identities are very much alive and tangible in their everyday routines and practices.

In this sense, if the implementers of the *Xinjiangban* policy continue to think that students' ethnic identities are at odds with integration or even national security, and if they enforce measures to silence ethnic expressions, it may be reasonable to foresee the intensification of ethnic tension, rather than its alleviation. However, it is worth noting that although the policy may fail to dilute students' ethnic expressions, mutual interaction between local Han students and ethnic minority students has indeed been substantially enhanced. We suggest, albeit in a necessarily tentative gesture, that to teach Han students to be multiculturally sensitive and responsive is equally important and potentially rewarding. Given that daily encounters and interactions reduce the likelihood of hostility between local Han students and ethnic minority students, evidenced by the current study at the very least, it may be postulated that an unintended side product of the *Xinjiangban* policy is the cultivation of Han students who are at least willing to enter into multi-ethnic/multicultural interactions. This may entail positive implications for building and deepening ethnic rapport in current China in the long term.

Acknowledgement – The authors thank Dr. Lewis Mayo in the Centre for Contemporary Chinese Studies at Asia Institute, the University of Melbourne, for his kind suggestions on the conceptual framework of this paper. We are also grateful to the two anonymous referees for their critical and insightful comments. Fundings of this research come from the National

Science Foundation of China (Grant No: 41328002), Provincial Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (Grant No:2016A030313427), and the Foundation of the Ministry of Education of China for Outstanding Young Teachers (Grant No. 15YJCZH009)".

Biographical notes

Zhenjie Yuan is a PhD candidate in the Centre for Contemporary Chinese Studies at the Asia Institute in the University of Melbourne. His research is interdisciplinary, traversing geography of education, sociology of education and Chinese studies. He focuses particularly on the politics of multi-ethnic interactions of the "Xinjiang Class".

Junxi Qian is an assistant professor in China studies in the geography department of the University of Hong Kong. His work is sited at the intersection of geography, urban studies and cultural studies. He holds a PhD in human geography from the University of Edinburgh. His recent research focuses on urban public space, ethnic minorities and frontiers, religion and the restructuring of urban China.

Hong Zhu is director of the Centre for Human Geography and Urban Development in Guangzhou University, China. His research interests lie in tourism geography and culture geography. He is currently the principal investigator of a key project of the National Science Foundation of China, which focuses on human–place interaction and the negotiation of place for various types of migrants in the context of China's globalization and modernization.

摘要: 内地新疆高中班(又名新疆内高班或新疆班)政策对中国中央政府实施对新疆各少数民族的管治具有深远的意义。现有研究主要关注"维一汉"二元关系,较少讨论新疆班内不同民族的互动关系及其对政策实施的影响。采用"设定的空间"(space of prescription)与"协商的空间"(space of negotiation)为理论框架,文章认为新疆班内部的社会关系在学校日常运作中的控制和协商互动中得以不断建构。在此动态的过程中,空间是影响新疆班社会网络建构的重要因素。基于一个为期接近 4 年的实地调研,文章揭示了新疆班政策实施中的不稳定性和不协调性。一方面,学校层面的政策实施者通过采用特殊的时空布局来建构"设定的空间",管理学生民族认同表达的机会。另一方面,为了获得向上的社会流动,少数民族学生对于以爱国和汉文化为中心的教育实际持开放的态度。然而,少数民族学生会采取多变及灵活的策略来构建"协商的空间",并以此重申和维系自身民族性。总体而言,新疆班少数民族学生确实服从"设定的空间"的规训,但同时通过"协商的空间"的建构和实践来维系其民族和宗教认同。

关键词: 内地新疆高中班(内高班); 维吾尔族; 民族融合; 少数民族教育; 行动者网络理论

References

- Baranovitch, Nimrod. 2003. "From the margins to the centre: the Uyghur challenge in Beijing." *The China Quarterly* 175, 726–750.
- Bovingdon, Gardner. 2002. Strangers in Their Own Land: The Politics of Uyghur Identity in Chinese Central Asia. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Callon, Michel. 1986. "Some elements of a sociology of translation: domestication of the scallops and the fishermen of St. Brieuc Bay." *Power, Action, and Belief: A New Sociology of Knowledge* 32, 196–223.
- Chen, Yangbin. 2008. Muslim Uyghur Students in a Chinese Boarding School: Social Recapitalization as a Response to Ethnic Integration. Lanham, MD: Lexington Books.
- Chen, Yangbin. 2010. "Speaking Uyghur as a bonding social capital: boarding school for Uyghur students." *Diaspora, Indigenous, and Minority Education: An International Journal* 4(1), 4–16.
- Chen, Yangbin. 2014. "Towards another minority educational elite group in Xinjiang?" In Leibold James and Chen Yangbin (eds.), *Multicultural Education in China: Balancing Unity with Diversity*. Hong Kong: The University of Hong Kong Press, 201–218.
- Chen, Yangbin, and Gerard A. Postiglione. 2009. "Muslim Uyghur students in a dislocated Chinese boarding school: bonding social capital as a response to ethnic integration." *Race/Ethnicity: Multidisciplinary Global Contexts* 2(2), 287–309.
- Dickar, Maryann. 2008. Corridor Cultures: Mapping Student Resistance at an Urban High School. New York: New York University Press.
- Fenwick, Tara J., and Richard Edwards. 2010. *Actor-Network Theory in Education*. London: Routledge.
- Gladney, Dru. C. 1996. *Muslim Chinese: Ethnic Nationalism in the People's Republic*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Gladney, Dru. C. 2004. Dislocating China: Reflections on Muslims, Minorities and Other Subaltern Subjects. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Grose, Timothy. 2008. "Educating Xinjiang's Uyghurs: achieving success or creating unrest?" *The Virginia Review of Asian Studies* 11, 117–135.
- Grose, Timothy A. 2010a. "The Xinjiang class: education, integration, and the Uyghurs." *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs* 30(1), 97–109.
- Grose, Timothy A. 2010b. "The Tibet and Xinjiang *neidi* classes: the aims, strategies, and difficulties of educating a new generation of ethnic minority students." *Chinese Education & Society* 43(3), 3–9.
- Grose, Timothy A. 2015. "(Re)Embracing Islam in *neidi*: the 'Xinjiang class' and the dynamics of Uyghur ethno-national identity." *Journal of Contemporary China* 24(91), 101–118.
- Harrell, Stevan, and Erzi Ma. 1999. "Folk theories of success: where Han aren't always the best." In Gerard A. Postiglione (ed.), *China's National Minority Education: Culture, Schooling, and Development.* New York: Falmer Press, 213–241.
- He, Baogang. 2014. "The power of Chinese linguistic imperialism and its challenges to multicultural education." In James Leibold and Yangbin Chen (eds.), *Minority*

- Education in China: Balancing Unity and Diversity in an Era of Critical Pluralism. Hong Kong, China: Hong Kong University Press, 45–64.
- Hetherington, Kevin. 1995. "The utopies of social ordering Stonehenge as a museum without walls." *The Sociological Review* 43(S1), 153–176.
- Kong, Lily. 2001. "Religion and technology: refiguring place, space, identity and community." *Area* 33(4), 404–413.
- Latour, Bruno. 1996. *Aramis, or the Love of Technology*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Latour, Bruno. 2005. Reassembling the Social. An Introduction to Actor-Network-Theory. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Latour, Bruno, and Steve Woolgar. 1986. *Laboratory Life: The Construction of Scientific Facts*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Law, John. 1992. "Notes on the theory of the actor-network: ordering, strategy, and heterogeneity." *Systems Practice* 5(4), 379–393.
- Leibold, James. 2013. *Ethnic Policy in the PRC: Where is China Heading?* Honolulu, HI: East-West Center.
- Luo, Jihua. 2010. "Multicultural education and the acculturation of students in the interior-region Xinjiang senior middle school classes." *Chinese Education & Society* 43(3), 22–34.
- Mitchell, Katharyne, Sallie A. Marston and Cindi Katz (eds.). 2004. *Life's Work: Geographies of Social Reproduction*. Oxford: Blackwell Publications.
- Mol, Annemarie, and John Law. 1994. "Regions, networks and fluids: anaemia and social topology." *Social Studies of Science* 24(4), 641-671.
- Murdoch, Jonathan. 1997. "Towards a geography of heterogeneous associations." *Progress in Human Geography* 21(3), 321–337.
- Murdoch, Jonathan. 1998. "The spaces of actor-network theory." *Geoforum* 19(4), 357–374.
- Postiglione, Gerard A. 1992. "China's national minorities and educational change." *Journal of Contemporary Asia* 22(1), 20–44.
- Postiglione, Gerard A. 2009. "Dislocated education: the case of Tibet." *Comparative Education Review* 53(4), 483–512.
- Postiglione, Gerard A., and Ben Jiao. 2009. "Tibet's relocated schooling: popularization reconsidered." *Asian Survey* 49(5), 895–914.
- Robertson, Susan L. 2010. "'Spatializing' the sociology of education: stand-points, entry-points, vantage-points." In Michael W. Apple, Stephen J. Ball and Luis Armando Gandin (eds.), *The Routledge International Handbook of the Sociology of Education*. London: Routledge, 15–26.
- Scott, James C. 1985. Weapons of the Weak: Everyday Forms of Peasant Resistance. New Haven, CT: Yale university Press.
- Soja, Edward W. 1985. "The spatiality of social life: towards a transformative retheorisation" In Derek Gregory and John Urry (eds.), *Social Relations and Spatial Structures*. Basingstoke: Macmillan, 90–127.
- Soja, Edward W. 1989. Postmodern Geographies: The Reassertion of Space in Critical Social Theory. New York: Verso.

- Tsering, Dobrgyal. 2010. "Neidi Xinjiang gaozhongban zouguo shinian" (Ten years of development of *Xinjiangban*). *Zhongguo minzu jiaoyu* Z1, 13.
- Yan, Fei, and Geoff Whitty. 2016. "Towards inter-cultural education in Xinjiang, Northwest China?" In Leslie Bash and David Coulby (eds.), *Establishing a Culture of Intercultural Education*. Newcastle: Cambridge Scholars Publishing, 121–153.
- Yu, Jie. 2001. "Zhili zhiyuan Xinjiang, banhao neidi Xinjiang gaozhongban" (Offering intellectual support to Xinjiang, managing the *Xinjiangban* well). *Zhongguo minzu jiaoyu* 3, 3.
- 1 Baranovitch 2003: 727. See also Bovingdon 2002; Gladney 2004; Leibold 2013.
- 2 Postiglione 1992.
- 3 Postiglione 2009.
- 4 Chen 2008.
- 5 Yu 2001; Tsering 2010; Luo 2010.
- 6 Chen 2008; also see Chen and Postiglione 2009.
- 7 Grose 2010a; 2010b.
- 8 Chen 2010.
- 9 Chen 2014.
- 10 Grose 2015.
- 11 Chen 2008.
- 12 Mitchell, Marston and Katz 2004.
- 13 Soja 1989.
- 14 Soja 1985.
- 15 Robertson 2010.
- 16 Murdoch 1998.
- 17 Latour and Woolgar 1986; Latour 1996; 2005; Law 1992.
- 18 Callon 1986
- 19 Hetherington 1995.
- 20 Mol and Law 1994, 663.
- 21 For a review of the ANT and how agency and politics are produced by both human and non-human entities, see Latour and Woolgar 1986; Latour 1996; 2005; Law 1992; Callon 1986.

22 Murdoch 1997.

- 23 Fenwick and Edwards 2010.
- 24 As there are only 12 Mongol students with a Buddhist background in the surveyed *Xinjiangban*, and most of the ethnic minority students are Muslim, this paper focuses primarily on the ethnic minority students with Muslim backgrounds.
- 25 Murdoch 1998.
- 26 Chen and Postiglione 2009.
- 27 Interview with the school principal, March 2013.
- 28 Interview with the school principal.
- 29 Interview with school administrator A, March 2013.
- 30 He 2014.
- 31 Yan and Whitty 2016.
- 32 Grose 2010a.
- 33 Interview with school administrator B, March 2013.
- 34 Gladney 1996.
- 35 Interview with male Uyghur student A, December 2012.
- 36 Murdoch 1998.
- 37 Grose 2008; 2010a.
- 38 As the authors are Han, the questionnaire survey was subject to the inherent limitations of cross-cultural surveys in China, e.g. the cultural gaps between Uyghur, Han, Kazakh, etc., and the nervousness of ethnic minority students with full disclosure. To maximize the reliability of the survey, the researchers ensured that the participation in the survey was voluntary and anonymized. Moreover, a draft questionnaire was shown to the student union in the school before distribution, and some adjustments to length and language were made.

- 39 Postiglione and Jiao 2009.
- 40 Interview with female Uyghur student B, December 2012.
- 41 Interview with female Kazakh student C, December 2012.
- 42 Interview with male Uyghur student D, October 2014.
- 43 Interview with male Uyghur student E, March 2013.
- 44 Interview with male Uyghur student F, March 2013.
- 45 Harrell and Ma 1999.
- 46 Interview with male Uyghur student G, March 2013.
- 47 Chen 2008.
- 48 Dickar 2008.
- 49 Interview with male Uyghur student H, December 2012.
- 50 Chen 2010.
- 51 Scott 1985.
- 52 Interview with male Uyghur student F
- 53 Interview with male Uyghur student I, March 2013.
- 54 Interview with female Kazakh student J, October 2014.
- 55 Interview with male Kyrgyz student K, October 2014.
- 56 Interview with male Uyghur student L, October 2014.
- 57 Interview with school administrator B, March 2013.
- 58 Kong 2001.