



<b>Title</b>	<b>The Radial Abundance Gradient of Oxygen Towards the Galactic Anti-centre</b>
<b>Author(s)</b>	<b>Esteban, C.; Fang, X; Garcia-Rojas, J.; Toribio San Cipriano, L.</b>
<b>Citation</b>	<b>Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2017, v. 471, p. 987-1004</b>
<b>Issued Date</b>	<b>2017</b>
<b>URL</b>	<b><a href="http://hdl.handle.net/10722/247367">http://hdl.handle.net/10722/247367</a></b>
<b>Rights</b>	<b>Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society. Copyright © Oxford University press, co-published with Royal Astronomical Society.; This article has been accepted for publication in [Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society] ©: [2017] [C. Esteban,X. Fang, J. García-Rojas and L. Toribio San Cipriano] Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Royal Astronomical Society. All rights reserved.; This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.</b>

# The radial abundance gradient of oxygen towards the Galactic anti-centre

C. Esteban,<sup>1,2★</sup> X. Fang,<sup>3,4★</sup> J. García-Rojas<sup>1,2★</sup> and L. Toribio San Cipriano<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, E-38200 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain*

<sup>2</sup>*Departamento de Astrofísica, Universidad de La Laguna, E-38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain*

<sup>3</sup>*Laboratory for Space Research, Faculty of Science, University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong 0000-0002-6536-5575, China*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Earth Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong 0000-0002-6536-5575, China*

Accepted 2017 June 23. Received 2017 June 19; in original form 2017 April 12

## ABSTRACT

We present deep optical spectroscopy of eight H II regions located in the anti-centre of the Milky Way. The spectra were obtained at the 10.4 m GTC and 8.2 m VLT. We determined  $T_e([\text{N II}])$  for all objects and  $T_e([\text{O III}])$  for six of them. We also included in our analysis an additional sample of 13 inner-disc Galactic H II regions from the literature that have excellent  $T_e$  determinations. We adopted the same methodology and atomic data set to determine the physical conditions and ionic abundances for both samples. We also detected the C II and O II optical recombination lines in Sh 2-100, which enables the determination of the abundance discrepancy factor for this object. We found that the slopes of the radial oxygen gradients defined by the H II regions from  $R_{25}$  ( $=11.5$  kpc) to 17 kpc and those within  $R_{25}$  are similar within the uncertainties, indicating the absence of flattening in the radial oxygen gradient in the outer Milky Way. In general, we found that the scatter of the O/H ratios of H II regions is not substantially larger than the observational uncertainties. The largest possible local inhomogeneities of the oxygen abundances are of the order of 0.1 dex. We also found positive radial gradients in  $T_e([\text{O III}])$  and  $T_e([\text{N II}])$  across the Galactic disc. The shapes of these temperature gradients are similar and also consistent with the absence of flattening of the metallicity distribution in the outer Galactic disc.

**Key words:** ISM: abundances – H II regions – Galaxy: abundances – Galaxy: disc – Galaxy: evolution.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The spatial distributions of abundances of chemical elements across galactic discs – the radial gradients – have been derived for many galaxies and are key to understanding the chemical evolution of galaxies. These gradients reflect the star formation history as well as the effects of gas flows in stellar systems. H II regions are fundamental probes to trace the present-day composition of the gas-phase interstellar medium. They have been widely used to determine the radial abundance gradients – especially for O, a proxy of metallicity in the analysis of ionized gaseous nebula – in the Milky Way and other spiral galaxies. Some authors have claimed – based on both the H II region and planetary nebula data – that radial abundance gradients may flatten at the outer parts of the Milky Way disc (e.g. Fich & Silkey 1991; Vílchez & Esteban 1996; Maciel, Lago & Costa 2006), although other authors do not support such claims (e.g. Caplan et al. 2000; Henry et al. 2010). Metallicity determinations of other kinds of objects, such as cepheids (e.g. Luck et al. 2003; Pedicelli et al. 2009; Lemasle et al. 2013) and Galactic

open clusters (e.g. Yong, Carney & Friel 2012), find in general some hints of such flattening but some of them do not.

There is strong evidence for flat radial gradients from the spectroscopic observations of H II regions in the outer discs of some nearby spiral galaxies, as in the cases of M83, NGC 1512 or NGC 3621 (Bresolin et al. 2009; Bresolin, Kennicutt & Ryan-Weber 2012) among others. Moreover, Sánchez et al. (2014) presented a catalogue of H II regions in several hundred galaxies from the CALIFA survey and many of them show an external flattening in oxygen. Flattening or even the upturn of the metallicity gradient has also been found from photometry of red giant branch stars in the outer discs of spiral galaxies NGC 300 and NGC 7793 (e.g. Vlajić, Bland-Hawthorn & Freeman 2011). Bresolin et al. (2012) and more recently Bresolin (2016) discuss several mechanisms that can produce such flattening: leveling of the star formation efficiency, stellar migration, radial metal mixing or enriched gas infall. These authors indicate that the flattening of the radial abundance gradients in external spiral galaxies occurs approximately at the isophotal radius,  $R_{25}$ , which in the case of the Milky Way is about 11.5 kpc (de Vaucouleurs & Pence 1978). Metallicity studies based on Galactic cepheids and open clusters indicate that the change in the slope of the Milky Way occurs at about 9 kpc (Lépine et al. 2011). Esteban et al. (2013) obtained very deep spectra of the bright H II

\* E-mail: cel@iac.es (CE); fangx@hku.hk (XF); jogarcia@iac.es (JGR)

region NGC 2579, which is located at a Galactocentric distance of 12.4 kpc, close to  $R_{25}$ , the best data ever taken for an H II region at the outer Galactic disc. They found that their O/H and C/H ratios are consistent with flattened gradients. Very recently, Fernández-Martín et al. (2017) presented the 4.2 m *WHT* spectroscopic observations of nine H II regions located at  $R_G > 11$  kpc, but only detected the temperature-sensitive auroral lines in five of them. In addition, these authors re-analysed the data of other H II regions retrieved from the literature. The results of Fernández-Martín et al. (2017) do not confirm the presence of flattening at the Galactic anti-centre, and they point out the necessity of more observations of H II regions in the outer part of the Galaxy to establish the true shape of the metallicity gradient.

The paucity of accurate abundance determinations for H II regions in the anti-centre direction has been an enduring problem in the exploration of the shape of the O gradient in the Galactic disc. Those distant nebulae are usually faint and the number of such cases with direct determinations of electron temperature,  $T_e$ , is rather limited. A high-quality determination of  $T_e$  is essential to obtain reliable O abundances. We have carried out a project to obtain very deep spectroscopy of a selected sample of H II regions located close to or beyond  $R_{25}$  in order to increase the sample with reliable measurements of the O/H ratios in the direction of Galactic anti-centre. We have selected a sample of eight relatively bright objects with  $R_G$  in the range 9.4–17.0 kpc that shows a high ionization degree,  $I([\text{O III}] \lambda 5007)/I(\text{H } \beta) \geq 1.0$ , for ensuring as much as possible the detection of the  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 4363$  auroral line. These sample is complemented with some other outer-disc H II regions, NGC 2579 (Esteban et al. 2013), Sh 2-311 (García-Rojas et al. 2005) and NGC 7635 (Esteban et al. 2016b), which were previously observed and published by our group and for which we have excellent determinations of  $T_e$ .

## 2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

### 2.1 Observations

Except Sh 2-298, observations of all objects were performed with the 10.4 m Gran Telescopio Canarias (GTC) at Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos (ORM, La Palma, Spain). They were carried out in fourteen 1 h observing blocks distributed in several nights between September and November in 2015. The spectra were obtained with the OSIRIS (Optical System for Imaging and low-Intermediate-Resolution Integrated Spectroscopy) spectrograph (Cepa et al. 2000, 2003). OSIRIS consists of a mosaic of two Marconi CCD42-82 CCDs (CCD1+CCD2), each with  $2048 \times 4096$  pixels, and a 74-pixel gap between them. Each pixel has a physical size of  $15 \mu\text{m}$ . The standard readout mode of  $2 \times 2$  pixel binning was adopted during observations, which gives a plate scale of 0.254 arcsec. The long-slit spectroscopy mode was used in our OSIRIS observations and all targets were located at the centre of CCD2. The slit length was 7.4 arcmin and its width was set at 0.8 arcsec, same as the typical seeing at ORM. Two OSIRIS gratings, R1000B and R2500V, were used for the spectroscopy. R1000B covers almost the whole optical wavelength range, from 3600 to 7750 Å, while R2500V covers from 4430 to 6020 Å but with higher spectral resolution for the wavelength region where the  $T_e$ -sensitive  $[\text{O III}] \lambda 4363$  line lies. The effective spectral resolution (full width at half-maximum, FWHM) was 6.52 Å for the R1000B grism and 2.46 Å for R2500V. The long slit covers the brightest regions of the nebulae. Coordinates of the slit centre and the position angle (PA) of the long-slit are given in Table 1, where we also present

the integration time of each object. In the two-dimensional (2D) spectrum of each object, we selected the brightest region along the slit to extract the highest signal-to-noise 1D spectrum. In Figs 1 and 2, we mark the slit position and aperture of spectral extraction for each object. The aperture size of extraction along the slit is indicated in the seventh column of Table 1. The OSIRIS spectra were wavelength calibrated using the HgAr, Ne and Xe arc lamps.

The observations of Sh 2-298 were made on 2003 November 14 and December 2 with the Ultraviolet Visual Echelle Spectrograph (UVES; D’Odorico et al. 2000), on the Very Large Telescope (VLT) Kueyen unit at Cerro Paranal Observatory (Chile). The adopted standard settings in both the red and blue arms of the spectrograph cover a broad region from 3100 to 10 400 Å. The wavelength intervals 5783–5830 and 8540–8650 Å were not observed due to a gap between the two CCDs used in the red arm. There are also five narrow gaps that were not observed, 9608–9612, 9761–9767, 9918–9927, 10 080–10 093 and 10 249–10 264 Å, because the five redmost orders did not fit completely within the CCD. The atmospheric dispersion corrector (ADC) was used to keep the same observed region within the slit regardless of the air mass value. The slit width was set to 3 arcsec and the slit length to 8 arcsec. The effective resolution at a given wavelength is approximately  $\Delta\lambda \sim \lambda/8800$ . Spectroscopic observations of the spectrophotometric standard star HD 49798 (Turnshek et al. 1990; Bohlin & Lindler 1992) were made for the flux calibration.

### 2.2 Data reduction

The GTC OSIRIS long-slit spectra were reduced using IRAF<sup>1</sup> v2.16. Data reduction followed the standard procedure for long-slit spectra. We first removed cosmic rays by combining the raw spectrograms of each H II region and then subtracted the bias and corrected for the flat-field. We then carried out wavelength calibration using the arc-line spectra. According to the coverage of arc lines across the wavelength range of the grism, we used the HgAr arc lines for the calibration of the R1000B spectra and HgAr+Ne+Xe for the R2500V spectra. The geometry distortion of emission lines along the long slit exists for extended sources. During the wavelength calibration, this geometry distortion was also rectified by fitting the arc lines with sixth- or seventh-order polynomial functions in the 2D spectrogram. We then applied the resolution derived from fitting of the arc-line spectrogram to the target spectrum. Through this procedure, all nebular emission lines (also the sky emission lines) in a 2D spectrum were ‘straightened’ along the long slit.

Particular care was taken in the background subtraction because the sky background emission is inhomogeneous along the GTC OSIRIS long slit (Fang et al. 2015). The emission profiles of the sky background along the slit direction were first fitted with polynomial functions and then subtracted from the spectrogram. Since our targets are all extended sources, low-order polynomial functions were adopted for the profile fitting so that the true nebular emission was not removed due to a possible over-subtraction. The BACKGROUND package in IRAF was used in the background subtraction. This procedure produced a cleaned spectrogram for each target, which was then flux-calibrated (and also corrected for the atmospheric extinction) using the spectrum of a spectrophotometric standard star.


<sup>1</sup> IRAF, the Image Reduction and Analysis Facility, is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

**Table 1.** Journal of observations.


H II region	R.A. <sup>a</sup> (J2000)	Decl. <sup>a</sup> (J2000)	$R_G^b$ (kpc)	Telescope/ /Spectrograph	PA (°)	Extracted area (arcsec <sup>2</sup> )	Grating or configuration	Exposure time (s)
Sh 2-83	19:24:52.55	+20:47:24.4	15.3 ± 0.1	GTC/OSIRIS	90	38.1 × 0.8	R1000B R2500V	3 × 882 3 × 882
Sh 2-100	20:02:00.69	+33:29:23.9	9.4 ± 0.3	GTC/OSIRIS	-55	28.5 × 0.8	R1000B R2500V	3 × 882 3 × 882
Sh 2-127	21:28:40.95	+54:42:13.9	14.2 ± 1.0	GTC/OSIRIS	1	6.9 × 0.8	R1000B R2500V	3 × 882 3 × 882
Sh 2-128	21:32:49.86	+55:53:16.2	12.5 ± 0.4	GTC/OSIRIS	86	24.1 × 0.8	R1000B R2500V	3 × 882 3 × 882
Sh 2-209	04:11:25.69	+51:14:33.8	17.0 ± 0.7	GTC/OSIRIS	35	45.7 × 0.8	R1000B R2500V	3 × 882 3 × 882
Sh 2-212	04:40:56.13	+50:26:53.1	14.6 ± 1.4	GTC/OSIRIS	-70	38.1 × 0.8	R1000B R2500V	3 × 882 3 × 882
Sh 2-288	07:08:48.90	+07:08:48.9	14.1 ± 0.4	GTC/OSIRIS	-32	28.5 × 0.8	R1000B R2500V	3 × 882 3 × 882
Sh 2-298	07:18:28.10	-13:17:19.7	11.9 ± 0.7	VLT/UVES	90	8 × 3	DIC1(346+580) DIC2(437+860)	3 × 540 3 × 1620

Notes. <sup>a</sup>Coordinates of the slit centre.

<sup>b</sup>Galactocentric distances assuming the Sun at 8 kpc.



**Figure 1.** The GTC *g*-band acquisition images of Sh 2-83, Sh 2-100, Sh 2-127 and Sh 2-128. The position and length of the aperture extracted for each object are indicated.



**Figure 2.** The GTC *g*-band acquisition images of Sh 2-209, Sh 2-212 and Sh 2-288. The image of Sh 2-298 is a combination of the *B*, *V* and *H $\alpha$*  images obtained with the Wide Field Camera at the 2.5m INT (ORM) by  $\acute{A}$ . R. L3pez-S3nchez (AAO, CSIRO, Australia). The position and length of the aperture extracted for each object are indicated.

Finally, we extracted 1D spectrum from the cleaned, fully calibrated 2D frame for each  $H II$  region, using the slit aperture indicated in Figs 1 and 2. As an example, Fig. 3 shows the extracted 1D spectra for Sh 2-100. The temperature-sensitive [O III]  $\lambda 4363$  and [N II]  $\lambda 5755$  auroral lines are well detected. In the sections below, we will also analyse the optical recombination lines of C II and O II that were also observed in the deep spectra of this target. It is worth mentioning that the second-order contamination exists in the red part ( $>6300 \text{ \AA}$ ) of the OSIRIS R1000B grism. We corrected for this effect by fitting the overall shape of the derived R1000B efficiency curve with a fifth-order polynomial function. This correction has proved to be reliable (Fang et al. 2015).

The VLT UVES echelle spectra of Sh 2-298 were reduced using the *ECHELLE* reduction package in *IRAF*, following the standard procedure of bias subtraction, aperture extraction, flat-fielding, wavelength calibration and then flux calibration.


### 3 LINE INTENSITY MEASUREMENTS

Emission line fluxes of the spectra of the eight  $H II$  regions included in Table 1 were measured with the *SPLIT* routine of *IRAF* by integrating over the emission line profile between two given limits and over the average local continuum. All line fluxes of a given spectrum have been normalized to  $H\beta = 100$ . In the wavelength range between 4430 and 6020  $\text{\AA}$  of the GTC spectra, the emis-

sion line fluxes measured from the R2500V spectrum were used instead of those measured from the R1000B spectrum, although our flux calibration was reliable and the spectral-line measurements obtained with the two OSIRIS grisms agree well with each other. The S/Ns of faint emission lines are higher in the spectra taken with the grism R2500V. In the case of line blending, we applied double- or multiple-Gaussian profile fit using the *SPLIT* routine of *IRAF* to measure the individual line intensities. Identification of emission lines were made following our previous works on the spectroscopy of bright Galactic  $H II$  regions (see Garc3a-Rojas & Esteban 2007, and references therein).

The logarithmic reddening coefficient,  $c(H\beta)$ , was derived by comparing the observed flux ratios of the brightest  $H I$  Balmer lines ( $H\alpha$ ,  $H\gamma$  and  $H\delta$ ) with the Case B theoretical calculations of Storey & Hummer (1995). The theoretical ratios of the  $H I$  lines were calculated for the nebular physical conditions diagnosed for each  $H II$  region, and  $T_e$  and  $N_e$  were determined following an iterative process (see Section 6.1 for details). We have used the reddening function,  $f(\lambda)$ , normalized to  $H\beta$  derived by Cardelli, Clayton & Mathis (1989) and assumed  $R_V = 3.1$ .

In Tables 2–4, we present emission line measurements of the eight  $H II$  regions: the emission line identifications are given in the first three columns; the reddening function,  $f(\lambda)$ , is in the fourth column; the dereddened and normalized (with respect to  $H\beta = 100$ ) line intensities are presented in the rest columns. The quoted



**Figure 3.** The GTC OSIRIS long-slit 1D spectra of Sh 2-100 obtained with the R1000B (top panel) and R2500V (bottom panel) grisms. Spectra have been fully calibrated, but the interstellar extinction has not been corrected for.

line intensity errors include the uncertainties in the flux measurements and the error propagation of the reddening coefficient. The reddening coefficient  $c(H\beta)$  and the observed  $H\beta$  line flux  $F(H\beta)$ , as measured from the extracted 1D spectrum, of each  $H\text{ II}$  region are

presented in the final two rows of each table. In the case of Sh 2-298 (Table 4), we give two decimals for the laboratory wavelength of the lines because of the much higher spectral resolution of the VLT UVES observations.

**Table 2.** Derredened line intensity ratios with respect to  $I(\text{H}\beta) = 100$  for Sh 2-100, Sh 2-128, Sh 2-288 and Sh 2-127.

$\lambda_0$ (Å)	Ion	ID	$f(\lambda)$	$I(\lambda)/I(\text{H}\beta)$			
				Sh 2-100	Sh 2-128	Sh 2-288	Sh 2-127
3704	H I	H16	0.260	0.99 ± 0.22	–	–	–
3705	He I	25					
3712	H I	H15	0.259	0.67 ± 0.23	–	–	–
3726	[O II]	1F	0.257	68.0 ± 4.4	217.5 ± 6.9	317 ± 22	366 ± 11
3729	[O II]	1F					
3750	H I	H12	0.253	2.25 ± 0.26	–	3.21 ± 0.45	8.2:
3771	H I	H11	0.249	3.36 ± 0.44	–	2.92 ± 0.41	–
3798	H I	H10	0.244	4.53 ± 0.44	5.5 ± 2.0	3.73 ± 0.68	–
3820	He I	22	0.240	1.02 ± 0.17	–	–	–
3835	H I	H9	0.237	7.00 ± 0.53	7.9 ± 1.5	6.31 ± 0.69	10.2 ± 2.5
3867	He I	20	0.231	20.6 ± 1.3	9.8 ± 1.8	–	–
3869	[Ne III]	1F					
3889	He I	5	0.227	14.93 ± 0.90	17.1 ± 1.4	16.3 ± 1.2	20.4 ± 2.9
3889	H I	H8					
3967	[Ne III]	1F	0.211	23.3 ± 1.3	20.3 ± 1.3	–	–
3970	H I	H7				15.7 ± 1.1	–
4026	He I	18	0.198	2.22 ± 0.17	–	1.68 ± 0.18	–
4069	[S II]	1F	0.189	0.727 ± 0.051	–	1.40 ± 0.18	–
4076	[S II]	1F	0.187	0.339 ± 0.024	–	0.37 ± 0.14	–
4102	H I	H6	0.182	25.96 ± 1.23	26.2 ± 1.2	26.1 ± 1.3	26.1 ± 1.3
4144	He I	53	0.172	0.293 ± 0.069	–	–	–
4156	N II	19	0.171	0.125 ± 0.043	–	–	–
4267	C II	6	0.144	0.249 ± 0.049	–	–	–
4340	H I	Hγ	0.127	44.44 ± 1.60	45.0 ± 1.0	44.4 ± 1.7	44.8 ± 1.1
4363	[O III]	2F	0.121	1.145 ± 0.065	1.76 ± 0.18	0.58 ± 0.11	–
4388	He I	51	0.115	0.529 ± 0.046	–	–	–
4471	He I	14	0.096	4.85 ± 0.15	4.18 ± 0.23	3.00 ± 0.15	2.77 ± 0.25
4607	[Fe III]	3F	0.062	–	–	0.076 ± 0.020	–
4639	O II	1	0.055	0.217 ± 0.038	–	0.062 ± 0.023	–
4642	O II	1					
4643	N II	5					
4649	O II	1	0.052	0.183 ± 0.029	–	–	–
4651	O II	1					
4658	[Fe III]	3F	0.050	0.213 ± 0.019	0.300 ± 0.046	1.086 ± 0.045	0.645 ± 0.052
4662	O II	1	0.049	0.041 ± 0.008	–	–	–
4676	O II	1	0.043	0.036 ± 0.009	–	–	–
4702	[Fe III]	3F	0.039	0.072 ± 0.011	–	0.262 ± 0.039	–
4711	[Ar IV]	1F	0.037	0.598 ± 0.035	0.516 ± 0.065	0.303 ± 0.029	0.500 ± 0.082
4713	He I	12	0.036				
4734	[Fe III]	3F	0.031	–	–	0.075 ± 0.013	–
4740	[Ar IV]	1F	0.030	0.090 ± 0.019	–	–	–
4755	[Fe III]	3F	0.026	–	–	0.198 ± 0.014	–
4770	[Fe III]	3F	0.023	–	–	0.049 ± 0.007	–
4778	[Fe III]	3F	0.021	–	–	0.017 ± 0.008	–
4789	[Fe III]		0.018	–	–	0.044 ± 0.015	–
4815	[Fe II]	20F	0.012	–	–	0.085 ± 0.018	–
4861	H I	Hβ	0.000	100.0 ± 2.0	100.0 ± 2.0	100.0 ± 2.0	100.0 ± 2.0
4881	[Fe III]	2F	–0.005	–	–	0.237 ± 0.029	0.222 ± 0.051
4922	He I	48	–0.015	1.323 ± 0.074	1.200 ± 0.088	0.738 ± 0.041	0.855 ± 0.051
4959	[O III]	1F	–0.024	126.9 ± 2.6	90.6 ± 1.8	41.09 ± 0.87	20.02 ± 0.41
4987	[Fe III]	2F	–0.031	–	0.192 ± 0.039	0.274 ± 0.022	–
5007	[O III]	1F	–0.036	341.9 ± 7.4	271.0 ± 5.5	117.0 ± 2.6	58.7 ± 1.2
5016	He I	4	–0.038	2.34 ± 0.30	2.386 ± 0.072	1.795 ± 0.061	1.864 ± 0.060
5035	[Fe II]	4F	–0.043	–	–	0.031 ± 0.002	–
5048	He I	47	–0.046	0.179 ± 0.029	0.265 ± 0.048	0.109 ± 0.024	–
5056	Si II	5	–0.048	0.120 ± 0.010	0.144 ± 0.028	0.215 ± 0.020	–
5159	[Fe II]	19F	–0.073	–	–	0.067 ± 0.015	–
5192	[Ar III]	3F	–0.081	0.043 ± 0.007	–	–	–
5198	N I	1F	–0.082	0.015 ± 0.002	0.183 ± 0.032	0.763 ± 0.033	0.123 ± 0.031
5200	N I	1F	–0.083	–	–	–	0.055:
5262	[Fe II]	19F	–0.098	–	–	0.072 ± 0.007	–
5270	[Fe III]	1F	–0.111	0.099 ± 0.012	0.262 ± 0.022	0.518 ± 0.027	0.414 ± 0.036
5518	[Cl III]	1F	–0.154	0.523 ± 0.025	0.532 ± 0.035	0.405 ± 0.022	0.423 ± 0.046

Table 2 – continued

$\lambda_0$ (Å)	Ion	ID	f( $\lambda$ )	$I(\lambda)/I(H\beta)$			
				Sh 2-100	Sh 2-128	Sh 2-288	Sh 2-127
5538	[Cl III]	1F	-0.158	0.422 ± 0.024	0.426 ± 0.028	0.305 ± 0.019	0.393 ± 0.056
5755	[N II]	3F	-0.194	0.188 ± 0.013	0.616 ± 0.028	0.864 ± 0.047	1.290 ± 0.043
5876	He I	11	-0.215	15.65 ± 0.85	13.01 ± 0.33	8.78 ± 0.51	9.51 ± 0.25
5958	O I	23	-0.228	–	–	0.074 ± 0.014	–
5979	Si II	4	-0.231	–	–	0.093 ± 0.008	–
6046	O I	22	-0.242	–	–	0.068 ± 0.012	–
6300	[O I]	1F	-0.282	0.157 ± 0.026	0.833 ± 0.041	1.10 ± 0.11	–
6312	[S III]	3F	-0.283	1.44 ± 0.11	1.456 ± 0.060	1.29 ± 0.11	1.83 ± 0.13
6347	Si II	4	-0.289	0.082 ± 0.017	0.040 ± 0.010	0.139 ± 0.026	0.116 ± 0.025
6364	O I	1F	-0.291	0.047 ± 0.012	0.278 ± 0.031	0.493 ± 0.082	–
6371	Si II	2	-0.292	0.080 ± 0.019	–	–	–
6548	[N II]	1F	-0.318	5.77 ± 0.45	11.21 ± 0.33	21.6 ± 1.8	30.01 ± 0.89
6563	H I	H $\alpha$	-0.320	100.1 ± 7.8 <sup>a</sup>	288.7 ± 8.6	183 ± 15 <sup>a</sup>	289.1 ± 8.6
6583	[N II]	1F	-0.323	19.5 ± 1.5	36.8 ± 1.1	68.0 ± 5.7	90.1 ± 2.7
6678	He I	46	-0.336	4.11 ± 0.33	3.49 ± 0.12	2.20 ± 0.20	2.454 ± 0.083
6716	[S II]	2F	-0.342	4.19 ± 0.35	8.66 ± 0.27	13.4 ± 1.2	13.38 ± 0.41
6731	[S II]	2F	-0.344	4.09 ± 0.34	8.52 ± 0.27	13.0 ± 1.2	14.09 ± 0.44
7002	O I	21	-0.379	–	–	0.058 ± 0.012	–
7065	He I	10	-0.387	4.39 ± 0.41	2.98 ± 0.10	3.22 ± 0.32	2.478 ± 0.086
7136	[Ar III]	1F	-0.396	13.7 ± 1.3	10.77 ± 0.37	5.79 ± 0.59	6.48 ± 0.22
7155	[Fe II]	14F	-0.399	–	–	0.036 ± 0.010	–
7236	C II	3	-0.408	–	–	0.098 ± 0.021	–
7281	He I	45	-0.414	0.554 ± 0.059	0.540 ± 0.036	0.353 ± 0.066	0.278 ± 0.045
7318	[O II]	2F	-0.418	0.82 ± 0.10	2.71 ± 0.10	3.92 ± 0.43	4.67 ± 0.33
7320	[O II]	2F	–	–	–	–	–
7330	[O II]	2F	-0.420	0.735 ± 0.092	2.480 ± 0.093	3.38 ± 0.37	4.63 ± 0.32
7331	[O II]	2F	–	–	–	–	–
7751	[Ar III]	2F	-0.467	–	1.284 ± 0.059	–	0.762 ± 0.080
$c(H\beta)$				1.73 ± 0.10	2.27 ± 0.03	1.34 ± 0.11	2.12 ± 0.03
$F(H\beta)^b$				14.25 ± 0.29	1.59 ± 0.03	9.45 ± 0.19	0.43 ± 0.09

Notes. <sup>a</sup>Saturated in the long exposure spectrum.

<sup>b</sup>Flux uncorrected for the reddening in units of  $10^{-14}$  erg cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 4 THE ADDITIONAL SAMPLE

In addition to the Galactic H II regions observed in this paper, we have included the deep spectra of other Galactic objects available from the literature. Most of these nebulae are located at  $R_G < 11.5$  kpc and only NGC 2579 lies beyond that distance. These objects were selected to compare the results of the anti-centre H II regions with those in the inner Galactic disc. Table 5 gives the most common designation of the additional sample of objects, their Galactocentric distances (see Section 5), their O abundances and the references of their emission-line ratios used for analysis in this paper. The data for M20, M16, M17, M8, NGC 3576, M42, NGC 3603, Sh 2-311 and NGC 2579 were observed with the UVES spectrograph at the VLT, the same instrument configuration as used for the observations of Sh 2-298 included in this paper. The observations of NGC 7635 were obtained with the same instrument configuration as our observations obtained with the GTC OSIRIS spectrograph. The observations of IC 5146, Sh 2-132 and Sh 2-156 produced deep optical spectra obtained with the ISIS spectrograph at the 4.2m WHT. Sh 2-132 and Sh 2-156 have been selected from the sample of Galactic anti-centre H II regions observed by Fernández-Martín et al. (2017). We have not considered the rest of the objects observed by those authors because observations of three of them (Sh 2-83, Sh 2-212 and NGC 7635) were also reported by us in this or previous papers, and the rest do not show auroral lines for the determination of  $T_e$  in their spectra. The emission line ratios of

IC 5146 were retrieved from García-Rojas, Simón-Díaz & Esteban (2014).

#### 5 DISTANCES

In the studies of Galactic abundance gradients, the distances to H II regions are highly uncertain. However, these uncertainties are usually not taken into account when calculating the radial gradients. The adopted galactocentric distances,  $R_G$ , of the objects are presented in Tables 1 and 5. These distances are estimated as the mean values of kinematic and stellar distances given in different published references. Their associated uncertainties correspond to the standard deviation of the values considered for the average. In our distance calculations, we assumed that the Sun is located at  $R_G = 8.0$  kpc (Reid 1993). To derive the mean value and standard deviation of  $R_G$  for each object, we used the kinematic distances determined by Quireza et al. (2006) and Balser et al. (2011), the stellar ones calculated by Foster & Brunt (2015) and the kinematic and stellar distances calculated or compiled by Russeil (2003) and Caplan et al. (2000). In addition, we have also considered other calculations of the average distance values of NGC 2579, M20, NGC 3576, NGC 3603 and IC 5146. In the case of NGC 2579, we have included the consistent stellar and kinematic distances obtained by Copetti et al. (2007). For M20, we adopted the distance derived from a detailed 3D extinction map by Cambrésy et al. (2011). For IC 5146,



**Table 3.** Dereddened line intensity ratios with respect to  $I(\text{H}\beta) = 100$  for Sh 2-212, Sh 2-83 and Sh 2-209.

$\lambda_0$ (Å)	Ion	ID	$f(\lambda)$	Sh 2-212	$I(\lambda)/I(\text{H}\beta)$ Sh 2-83	Sh 2-209
3726	[O II]	1F	0.257	$170.9 \pm 5.9$	$76.1 \pm 5.7$	–
3729	[O II]	1F				
3750	H I	H12	0.253	$6.5 \pm 1.4$	–	–
3771	H I	H11	0.249	$9.7 \pm 2.2$	–	–
3835	H I	H9	0.237	$6.1 \pm 1.9$	–	$97 \pm 22$
3867	He I	20	0.231	$9.1 \pm 2.7$	$44.2 \pm 4.3$	–
3869	[Ne III]	1F				
3889	He I	5	0.227	$18.4 \pm 2.1$	$14.2 \pm 3.0$	–
3889	H I	H8				
3967	[Ne III]	1F	0.211	$16.7 \pm 2.4$	$17.8 \pm 2.4$	–
3970	H I	H7				
4102	H I	H6	0.182	$25.0 \pm 2.1$	$23.8 \pm 1.7$	–
4340	H I	H $\gamma$	0.127	$33.9 \pm 1.2$	$45.3 \pm 1.3$	$39.5 \pm 2.8$
4363	[O III]	2F	0.121	$2.48 \pm 0.61$	$4.16 \pm 0.50$	$17.7 \pm 3.0$
4471	He I	14	0.096	$4.61 \pm 0.25$	$4.00 \pm 0.36$	–
4607	[Fe III]	3F	0.062	$0.221 \pm 0.052$	–	–
4658	[Fe III]	3F	0.050	$0.243 \pm 0.069$	–	–
4711	[Ar IV]	1F	0.037	$0.46 \pm 0.12$	–	–
4713	He I	12	0.036			
4861	H I	H $\beta$	0.000	$100.0 \pm 2.1$	$100.0 \pm 2.1$	$100.0 \pm 2.3$
4922	He I	48	–0.015	$1.433 \pm 0.064$	$1.052 \pm 0.088$	–
4959	[O III]	1F	–0.024	$88.8 \pm 1.8$	$189.0 \pm 3.8$	$92.4 \pm 2.0$
5007	[O III]	1F	–0.036	$265.4 \pm 5.3$	$565 \pm 12$	$276.5 \pm 6.1$
5016	He I	4	–0.038	$2.68 \pm 0.24$	$2.23 \pm 0.25$	$1.50 \pm 0.27$
5048	He I	47	–0.046		$0.206 \pm 0.037$	–
5518	[Cl III]	1F	–0.154	$0.334 \pm 0.065$	$0.610 \pm 0.044$	$0.65 \pm 0.15$
5538	[Cl III]	1F	–0.158	$0.337 \pm 0.058$	$0.420 \pm 0.031$	$0.353 \pm 0.085$
5755	[N II]	3F	–0.194	$0.103 \pm 0.026$	$0.353 \pm 0.034$	$0.530 \pm 0.091$
5876	He I	11	–0.215	$14.04 \pm 0.38$	$12.93 \pm 0.40$	$11.55 \pm 0.70$
6300	[O I]	1F	–0.282	–	$0.791 \pm 0.098$	–
6312	[S III]	3F	–0.283	$0.605 \pm 0.063$	$1.566 \pm 0.085$	$1.11 \pm 0.15$
6364	O I	1F	–0.291	–	$0.144 \pm 0.037$	–
6548	[N II]	1F	–0.318	$2.424 \pm 0.062$	$4.76 \pm 0.19$	$10.30 \pm 0.87$
6563	H I	H $\alpha$	–0.320	$280.0 \pm 7.2$	$274 \pm 11$	$259 \pm 22$
6583	[N II]	1F	–0.323	$11.64 \pm 0.30$	$16.08 \pm 0.63$	$31.0 \pm 2.7$
6678	He I	46	–0.336	$2.22 \pm 0.25$	$3.12 \pm 0.15$	$2.98 \pm 0.29$
6716	[S II]	2F	–0.342	$2.08 \pm 0.20$	$4.85 \pm 0.20$	$7.34 \pm 0.67$
6731	[S II]	2F	–0.344	$1.38 \pm 0.20$	$4.26 \pm 0.18$	$6.55 \pm 0.60$
7065	He I	10	–0.387	$1.82 \pm 0.17$	$2.55 \pm 0.12$	$2.18 \pm 0.23$
7136	[Ar III]	1F	–0.396	$11.01 \pm 0.33$	$9.37 \pm 0.44$	$7.67 \pm 0.81$
7281	He I	45	–0.414	–	$0.460 \pm 0.048$	$0.469 \pm 0.075$
7318	[O II]	2F	–0.418	–	$1.276 \pm 0.070$	$1.81 \pm 0.30$
7320	[O II]	2F				
7330	[O II]	2F	–0.420	–	$1.284 \pm 0.071$	$1.74 \pm 0.29$
7331	[O II]	2F				
7751	[Ar III]	2F	–0.467	–	$1.132 \pm 0.070$	$0.84 \pm 0.11$
$c(\text{H}\beta)$				$0.93 \pm 0.02$	$2.65 \pm 0.05$	$3.32 \pm 0.11$
$F(\text{H}\beta)^a$				$4.98 \pm 0.11$	$11.8 \pm 0.2$	$2.93 \pm 0.07$

Note. <sup>a</sup>Flux uncorrected for reddening in units of  $10^{-15}$  erg cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>.

García-Rojas et al. (2014) obtained an accurate stellar distance based on their spectroscopic analysis of the ionizing star of the nebula. For NGC 3576 and NGC 3603, we have included the kinematic distances determined by de Pree, Nysewander & Goss (1999). In general, these additional distance determinations are fairly consistent with the stellar and kinematic distances given by Russeil (2003) for each object. Finally, for M42, we adopted the distance obtained from trigonometric parallax (Menten et al. 2007). We have not considered other sources of distances for this last object. From Tables 1 and 5, we can see that the whole sample includes 21 H II regions with direct determinations of  $T_e$  that covers a range of Galactocen-

tric distances from 5.1 to 17 kpc, 8 objects with  $R_G > R_{25}$  and 13 with  $R_G < R_{25}$ .

## 6 RESULTS

For the eight objects in the observed sample, we carried out plasma diagnostics to determine the physical conditions ( $T_e$ ,  $N_e$ ) and the ionic abundances based on the line-intensity ratios given in Tables 2–4, using the program PYNEB v1.0.26 (Luridiana, Morisset & Shaw 2015). The atomic data listed in Table 6 are adopted in our spectral analysis. The physical conditions and the ionic and

**Table 4.** Derredened line intensity ratios with respect to  $I(\text{H}\beta) = 100$  for Sh 2-298.

$\lambda_0$ (Å)	Ion	ID	$f(\lambda)$	$\lambda/I(\text{H}\beta)$
3721.83	[S III]	2F	0.257	$3.63 \pm 0.95$
3721.94	H I	H14		
3726.03	[O II]	1F	0.257	$298.3 \pm 9.1$
3728.82	[O II]	1F	0.256	$417 \pm 12$
3770.63	H I	H11	0.249	$4.35 \pm 0.68$
3797.90	H I	H10	0.244	$4.22 \pm 0.34$
3819.61	He I	22	0.240	$1.51 \pm 0.18$
3835.39	H I	H9	0.237	$5.72 \pm 0.42$
3868.75	[Ne III]	1F	0.230	$96.6 \pm 2.7$
3889.05	H I	H8	0.226	$20.18 \pm 0.72$
3964.73	He I	5	0.211	$0.77 \pm 0.18$
3967.46	[Ne III]	1F	0.211	$29.52 \pm 0.90$
3970.07	H I	H7	0.210	$15.22 \pm 0.80$
4026.21	He I	18	0.198	$1.94 \pm 0.21$
4068.60	[S II]	1F	0.189	$8.80 \pm 0.31$
4076.35	[S II]	1F	0.187	$2.82 \pm 0.18$
4101.74	H I	H6	0.182	$24.77 \pm 0.75$
4340.47	H I	H $\gamma$	0.127	$47.5 \pm 1.1$
4363.21	[O III]	2F	0.121	$6.36 \pm 0.28$
4387.93	He I	51	0.115	$0.72 \pm 0.15$
4471.48	He I	14	0.096	$4.59 \pm 0.17$
4562.60	[Mg I] ?		0.073	$0.96 \pm 0.08$
4571.09	Mg I] ?		0.071	$0.68 \pm 0.11$
4711.37	[Ar IV]	1F	0.037	$0.143 \pm 0.024$
4713.14	He I	12	0.036	$0.501 \pm 0.091$
4861.33	H I	H $\beta$	0.000	$100.0 \pm 2.0$
4921.93	He I	48	-0.015	$1.27 \pm 0.10$
4924.5	[Fe III]	2F	-0.016	$0.249 \pm 0.038$
4958.91	[O III]	1F	-0.024	$199.5 \pm 4.0$
5006.84	[O III]	1F	-0.036	$598 \pm 12$
5015.68	He I	4	-0.038	$1.61 \pm 0.27$
5197.90	[N I]	1F	-0.082	$1.71 \pm 0.29$
5200.26	[N I]	1F	-0.083	$2.28 \pm 0.34$
5517.71	[Cl III]	1F	-0.154	$0.92 \pm 0.19$
5537.88	[Cl III]	1F	-0.158	$0.80 \pm 0.22$
5754.64	[N II]	3F	-0.194	$3.16 \pm 0.26$
5875.64	He I	11	-0.215	$12.36 \pm 0.45$
6300.30	[O I]	1F	-0.282	$27.32 \pm 0.83$
6312.10	[S III]	3F	-0.283	$3.61 \pm 0.21$
6347.11	Si II	4	-0.289	$0.796 \pm 0.085$
6363.78	[O I]	1F	-0.291	$9.11 \pm 0.46$
6548.03	[N II]	1F	-0.318	$48.9 \pm 1.6$
6562.82	H I	H $\alpha$	-0.320	$280.0 \pm 9.1$
6583.41	[N II]	1F	-0.323	$150.7 \pm 4.9$
6678.15	He I	46	-0.336	$3.95 \pm 0.33$
6716.47	[S II]	2F	-0.342	$97.6 \pm 3.3$
6730.85	[S II]	2F	-0.344	$72.5 \pm 2.5$
7065.28	He I	10	-0.387	$2.179 \pm 0.098$
7135.78	[Ar III]	1F	-0.396	$14.12 \pm 0.53$
7281.35	He I	45	-0.414	$0.318 \pm 0.074$
7318.39	[O II]	2F	-0.418	$1.62 \pm 0.12$
7319.99	[O II]	2F	-0.418	$5.03 \pm 0.28$
7329.66	[O II]	2F	-0.420	$2.78 \pm 0.18$
7330.73	[O II]	2F	-0.420	$2.57 \pm 0.15$
7751.10	[Ar III]	2F	-0.467	$3.21 \pm 0.15$
8467.25	H I	P17	-0.536	$0.218 \pm 0.062$
8665.02	H I	P13	-0.553	$0.451 \pm 0.072$
8727.13	[C I] ?		-0.558	$0.160 \pm 0.021$
8750.47	H I	P12	-0.560	$0.660 \pm 0.051$
8862.79	H I	P11	-0.569	$0.857 \pm 0.081$
9014.91	H I	P10	-0.581	$1.20 \pm 0.11$
9068.90	[S III]	1F	-0.585	$18.39 \pm 0.94$

**Table 4 – continued**

$\lambda_0$ (Å)	Ion	ID	$f(\lambda)$	$(\lambda)/I(\text{H}\beta)$
9229.01	H I	P9	-0.596	$1.69 \pm 0.11$
9530.60	[S III]	1F	-0.618	$66.1 \pm 3.5$
9545.97	H I	P8	-0.619	$1.97 \pm 0.16$
9850.26	[C I] ?		-0.638	$1.83 \pm 0.15$
10049.40	H I	P7	-0.650	$4.05 \pm 0.41$
$c(\text{H}\beta)$				$1.12 \pm 0.03$
$F(\text{H}\beta)^a$				$2.47 \pm 0.05$

Note. <sup>a</sup>Flux uncorrected for the reddening in units of  $10^{-14}$  erg  $\text{cm}^{-2}$   $\text{s}^{-1}$ .

elemental abundances of oxygen of M20, M16, M17, M8, NGC 3576, M42, NGC 3603, Sh 2-311 and NGC 2579 were determined by Esteban, García-Rojas & Pérez-Mesa (2015) using the same procedure and atomic data as for our current sample. The same method was applied to the analysis of NGC 7635, which was published in Esteban et al. (2016b). For IC 5146, Sh 2-132 and Sh 2-156, we recalculated the relevant quantities following the same methodology as for the previous objects and using the line-intensity ratios (as well as uncertainties) retrieved from the references given in Table 5.

## 6.1 Physical conditions

We have adopted the [S II]  $\lambda 6717/\lambda 6731$  and [Cl III]  $\lambda 5518/\lambda 5538$  density-diagnostic line ratios for all the objects and also added the [O II]  $\lambda 3726/\lambda 3729$  ratio for Sh 2-298. The electron density,  $N_e$ , derived from the [S II] line ratio,  $N_e([\text{S II}])$ , was assumed for all the apertures and objects and it is always below  $1000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  (see Tables 7 and 8). For the singly ionized species, we derived  $T_e$  using the [N II]  $(\lambda 6548 + \lambda 6584)/\lambda 5755$  nebular-to-auroral line ratio for all objects (see Fig. 4) and the [O II]  $(\lambda 7319 + \lambda 7330)/(\lambda 3726 + \lambda 3729)$  and [S II]  $(\lambda 4068 + \lambda 4076)/(\lambda 6717 + \lambda 6731)$  ratios for some of them. Intensities of the [O II]  $\lambda \lambda 7319, 7330$  and [N II]  $\lambda 5755$  auroral lines have been corrected for the possible contribution due to the recombination process using the formulae derived by Liu et al. (2000). This contribution is between 0.2 and 13 per cent in the case of the [O II] lines and between 0.07 and 5 per cent for [N II]  $\lambda 5755$ . For the doubly ionized species, we have derived  $T_e$  using the [O III]  $(\lambda 4959 + \lambda 5007)/\lambda 4363$  line ratio for all objects except Sh 2-127 and Sh 2-209, where the [O III]  $\lambda 4363$  auroral line was undetected (see Fig. 5). For these two H II regions, we estimated  $T_e([\text{O III}])$  using  $T_e([\text{N II}])$  and the empirical relation between the two temperatures given in the equation 3 of Esteban et al. (2009). The [S III]  $(\lambda 9069 + \lambda 9532)/\lambda 6312$  line ratio was also measured in Sh 2-298 because the spectrum of this object extends to the near-infrared region. The physical conditions of the H II regions in our observed sample are presented in Tables 7 and 8.

The physical conditions of M20, M16, M17, M8, NGC 3576, M42, NGC 3603, Sh 2-311 and NGC 2579 are presented in table 3 of Esteban et al. (2015), and the physical conditions adopted for the rest of the objects in the additional sample (IC 5146, Sh 2-132, NGC 7635 and Sh 2-156) are given in Table 9. For NGC 7635, the mean values obtained for apertures 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 observed by Esteban et al. (2016b) were adopted. For IC 5146, we present the average value from apertures 2, 3 and 4 observed by García-Rojas et al. (2014) but recalculated it following the above mentioned procedure and using the atomic data set indicated in Table 6.  $T_e([\text{O III}])$  is not quoted for IC 5146 because the [O III] lines were not well detected in its spectrum and its  $\text{O}^{2+}/\text{H}^+$  ratio was negligible. In the case of Sh 2-132 and Sh 2-156, we recalculated their  $N_e([\text{S II}])$ ,  $T_e([\text{N II}])$  and

**Table 5.** Additional sample of H II regions.

H II region	$R_G^a$ (kpc)	$12 + \log(\text{O}/\text{H})$	Reference
M20	$5.1 \pm 0.3$	$8.51 \pm 0.04$	García-Rojas et al. (2006)
M16	$5.9 \pm 0.2$	$8.54 \pm 0.04$	García-Rojas, Peña & Peimbert (2009)
M17	$6.1 \pm 0.2$	$8.54 \pm 0.04$	García-Rojas et al. (2007)
M8	$6.3 \pm 0.8$	$8.45 \pm 0.04$	García-Rojas et al. (2007)
NGC 3576	$7.5 \pm 0.3$	$8.55 \pm 0.04$	García-Rojas et al. (2004)
IC 5146	$8.10 \pm 0.02$	$8.56 \pm 0.04$	García-Rojas et al. (2014)
M42	$8.34 \pm 0.02$	$8.50 \pm 0.04$	Esteban et al. (2004)
NGC 3603	$8.6 \pm 0.4$	$8.44 \pm 0.03$	García-Rojas et al. (2006)
Sh 2-132	$10.0 \pm 0.7$	$8.35 \pm 0.14$	Fernández-Martín et al. (2017)
NGC 7635	$10.2 \pm 0.7$	$8.40 \pm 0.08$	Esteban et al. (2016b)
Sh 2-156	$10.6 \pm 0.6$	$8.32 \pm 0.10$	Fernández-Martín et al. (2017)
Sh 2-311	$11.1 \pm 0.4$	$8.39 \pm 0.01$	García-Rojas et al. (2005)
NGC 2579	$12.4 \pm 0.5$	$8.26 \pm 0.03$	Esteban et al. (2013)

Note. <sup>a</sup>Galactocentric distances assuming the Sun is at 8 kpc.

**Table 6.** Atomic data set used for collisionally excited lines.

Ion	Transition probabilities and energy levels	Collisional strengths
N <sup>+</sup>	Froese Fischer & Tachiev (2004)	Tayal (2011)
O <sup>+</sup>	Froese Fischer & Tachiev (2004)	Kisielius et al. (2009)
O <sup>2+</sup>	Froese Fischer & Tachiev (2004); Storey & Zeippen (2000)	Storey, Sochi & Badnell (2014)
Ne <sup>2+</sup>	Galavís, Mendoza & Zeippen (1997)	McLaughlin & Bell (2000)
S <sup>+</sup>	Podobedova, Kelleher & Wiese (2009)	Tayal & Zatsarinny (2010)
S <sup>2+</sup>	Podobedova et al. (2009)	Tayal & Gupta (1999)
Cl <sup>2+</sup>	Mendoza (1983)	Butler & Zeippen (1989)
Ar <sup>2+</sup>	Mendoza (1983); Kaufman & Sugar (1986)	Galavís, Mendoza & Zeippen (1995)
Ar <sup>3+</sup>	Mendoza & Zeippen (1982); Kaufman & Sugar (1986)	Zeippen, Butler & Le Bourlot (1987)
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	Quinet (1996); Johansson et al. (2000)	Zhang (1996)

$T_e([\text{O III}])$  using the aforementioned procedure and atomic data set. The  $T_e$  and  $N_e$  values derived for the two last nebulae are consistent with those obtained by Fernández-Martín et al. (2017) within the errors.

## 6.2 Abundances

The use of large-aperture telescopes, such as the GTC and VLT, allowed us to obtain very deep spectra where the temperature-sensitive faint auroral lines have been well detected in all the objects, which is especially important in the case of the relatively faint H II regions in the Galactic anti-centre. With these data, we have determined ionic abundances from the collisionally excited lines (hereafter CELs). In particular, we derived abundances of N<sup>+</sup>, O<sup>+</sup>, O<sup>2+</sup>, S<sup>+</sup>, S<sup>2+</sup>, Cl<sup>2+</sup> and Ar<sup>2+</sup> for all the objects in our observed sample; the Ne<sup>2+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> ratio was derived for all nebulae except Sh 2-288, Sh 2-127 and Sh 2-209; the Ar<sup>3+</sup> abundance was derived only in Sh 2-100 and Sh 2-298, and the Fe<sup>2+</sup> abundance in Sh 2-100, Sh 2-128, Sh 2-288, Sh 2-127 and Sh 2-212. We have also determined the He<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> ratio for all the observed objects using the relative intensities of recombination lines (hereafter RLs). We assumed a two-zone scheme for the calculations of ionic abundances. We adopted  $T_e([\text{N II}])$  for the ions with low-ionization potentials (N<sup>+</sup>, O<sup>+</sup>, S<sup>+</sup> and Fe<sup>2+</sup>) and  $T_e([\text{O III}])$  for the high-ionization species (He<sup>+</sup>, O<sup>2+</sup>, Ne<sup>2+</sup>, S<sup>2+</sup>, Cl<sup>2+</sup>, Ar<sup>2+</sup> and Ar<sup>3+</sup>).  $N_e([\text{S II}])$  was assumed for all ions. All the computations were made with PYNEB, using the atomic data listed in Table 6.

The He<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> abundance ratio was determined using PYNEB. Here, the effective recombination coefficients for the He I recombination lines calculated by Porter et al. (2012, 2013), who considered the effects of collisional contribution and the optical depth in the triplet transitions, were adopted. The final adopted He<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> ratio is a weighted average of the ratios derived from several bright He I lines.

We have detected in the spectrum of Sh 2-100 several RLs of heavy-element ions excited by the pure recombination (see Fig. 6). We derived the C<sup>2+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> abundance ratio using the measured flux of the C II  $\lambda 4267$  line,  $T_e([\text{O III}])$  and the Case B C II effective recombination coefficients calculated by Davey, Storey & Kisielius (2000). We have determined the O<sup>2+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> ratio from the intensity of the O II Multiplet 1 pure RLs. Here, the O II effective recombination coefficients were adopted from the Case B, LS-coupling calculations of Storey (1994) and the  $T_e([\text{O III}])$  was assumed. Under typical physical conditions of photoionized nebulae ( $N_e \sim 10^2\text{--}10^4$ ), the relative intensities of individual fine-structure lines within the O II M1 multiplet are not constant but varies as a function of the electron density (e.g. Fang & Liu 2013). This is due to the fact that the relative populations of the ground fine-structure levels of the recombining ion (e.g. O<sup>2+</sup> in the case of O II) deviate from the local thermodynamical equilibrium (LTE), which was seldom considered in previous atomic calculations. We considered this deviation from the LTE by adopting the prescriptions of Peimbert & Peimbert (2005) to apply appropriate correction of the relative strengths of the O II Multiplet 1 lines at densities  $N_e < 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ . The derived ionic abundances

**Table 7.** Physical conditions and abundances for Sh 2-100, Sh 2-128, Sh 2-288, Sh 2-127 and Sh 2-212.

	Sh 2-100		Sh 2-128	Sh 2-288	Sh 2-127	Sh 2-212
	$t^2 = 0.0$	$t^2 = 0.010$ $\pm 0.010$	$t^2 = 0.0$	$t^2 = 0.0$	$t^2 = 0.0$	$t^2 = 0.0$
Physical conditions <sup>a</sup>						
$N_e$ ([S II])	430 ± 210		480 ± 90	450 ± 230	600 ± 100	<100
$N_e$ ([Cl III])	660 ± 350		700 ± 460	480 ± 380	1430 ± 1070	4200 ± 1900
$T_e$ ([N II])	8950 ± 320		10 970 ± 260	9640 ± 370	9870 ± 170	9760 ± 960
$T_e$ ([O II])	10 010 ± 560		10 040 ± 220	9990 ± 580	9950 ± 320	...
$T_e$ ([S II])	19 780 ± 2450		...	9700 ± 1040	...	...
$T_e$ ([O III])	8140 ± 120		9960 ± 320	9210 ± 490	9610 ± 170 <sup>b</sup>	10 890 ± 890
Ionic abundances <sup>c</sup> and O/H <sup>c</sup> ratio						
He <sup>+</sup>	11.01 ± 0.01		11.00 ± 0.01	10.82 ± 0.01	10.88 ± 0.01	10.98 ± 0.01
C <sup>2+</sup>	8.36 ± 0.09		...	...	...	...
N <sup>+</sup>	6.71 ± 0.05	6.74 ± 0.06	6.76 ± 0.02	7.17 ± 0.05	7.28 ± 0.02	6.31 ± 0.09
O <sup>+</sup>	7.64 ± 0.11	7.68 ± 0.11	7.77 ± 0.05	8.16 ± 0.11	8.19 ± 0.05	7.84 ± 0.25
O <sup>2+</sup> (CELs)	8.45 ± 0.02	8.52 ± 0.06	7.98 ± 0.04	7.75 ± 0.07	7.38 ± 0.03	7.85 ± 0.09
O <sup>2+</sup> (RLs)	8.52 ± 0.06		...	...	...	...
Ne <sup>2+</sup>	7.76 ± 0.06	7.84 ± 0.10	7.04 ± 0.14	...	...	6.85 ± 0.32
S <sup>+</sup>	5.87 ± 0.07	5.90 ± 0.07	5.58 ± 0.03	5.91 ± 0.11	5.91 ± 0.02	4.97 ± 0.12
S <sup>2+</sup>	6.94 ± 0.07	7.02 ± 0.10	6.52 ± 0.08	6.63 ± 0.16	6.69 ± 0.07	5.99 ± 0.21
Cl <sup>2+</sup>	5.07 ± 0.03	5.14 ± 0.08	4.78 ± 0.05	4.76 ± 0.08	4.76 ± 0.06	4.53 ± 0.13
Ar <sup>2+</sup>	6.30 ± 0.07	6.36 ± 0.09	5.88 ± 0.04	5.81 ± 0.11	5.76 ± 0.03	5.92 ± 0.09
Ar <sup>3+</sup>	4.83 ± 0.12	4.90 ± 0.13	...	...	...	...
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	5.30 ± 0.04	5.37 ± 0.09	5.71 ± 0.06	5.78 ± 0.05	5.56 ± 0.04	4.95 ± 0.28
O	8.52 ± 0.03	8.58 ± 0.05	8.19 ± 0.03	8.31 ± 0.08	8.25 ± 0.04	8.15 ± 0.12

Notes. <sup>a</sup> $N_e$  in cm<sup>-3</sup>;  $T_e$  in K.

<sup>b</sup>Estimated from  $T_e$ ([N II]) and equation 3 in Esteban et al. (2009).

<sup>c</sup>In the logarithmic scale 12+log( $X^{\text{n}}/\text{H}^+$ ).

**Table 8.** Physical conditions and abundances for Sh 2-83, Sh 2-209 and Sh 2-298.

	Sh 2-83	Sh 2-209	Sh 2-298
Physical conditions <sup>a</sup>			
$N_e$ ([S II])	300 ± 100	310 ± 200	<100
$N_e$ ([O II])	...	...	<100
$N_e$ ([Cl III])	<100	<100	1100 <sup>+2100</sup> <sub>-1100</sub>
$T_e$ ([N II])	12840 ± 660	10650 ± 870	11850 ± 490
$T_e$ ([O II])	13670 ± 890	...	10060 ± 200
$T_e$ ([S II])	...	...	12580 ± 450
$T_e$ ([O III])	11490 ± 490	10710 <sup>b</sup> ± 870	11720 ± 200
$T_e$ ([S III])	...	...	15340 ± 700
Ionic abundances <sup>c</sup> and O/H <sup>c</sup> ratio			
He <sup>+</sup>	10.94 ± 0.01	10.89 ± 0.02	10.96 ± 0.01
N <sup>+</sup>	6.24 ± 0.04	6.73 ± 0.09	7.31 ± 0.04
O <sup>+</sup>	7.05 ± 0.12	7.66 ± 0.23	8.12 ± 0.02
O <sup>2+</sup>	8.10 ± 0.05	7.89 ± 0.09	8.10 ± 0.02
Ne <sup>2+</sup>	7.45 ± 0.12	...	7.76 ± 0.03
S <sup>+</sup>	5.14 ± 0.05	5.50 ± 0.09	6.49 ± 0.06
S <sup>2+</sup>	6.31 ± 0.10	6.28 ± 0.23	6.46 ± 0.02
Cl <sup>2+</sup>	4.63 ± 0.06	4.70 ± 0.16	4.83 ± 0.09
Ar <sup>2+</sup>	5.69 ± 0.05	5.65 ± 0.09	5.95 ± 0.02
Ar <sup>3+</sup>	...	...	4.32 ± 0.02
O	8.14 ± 0.05	8.09 ± 0.10	8.41 ± 0.02

Notes. <sup>a</sup> $N_e$  in cm<sup>-3</sup>;  $T_e$  in K.


<sup>b</sup>Estimated from  $T_e$ ([N II]) and equation 3 in Esteban et al. (2009).

<sup>c</sup>Assuming  $t^2 = 0.0$ . In the logarithmic scale 12+log( $X^{\text{n}}/\text{H}^+$ ).

for our targets, as well the uncertainties, are presented in Tables 7 and 8.

As we can see in Table 7, the O<sup>2+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> abundance ratio derived from the RLs for Sh 2-100 is higher than the abundances determined

from the CELs. This is a common observational fact in all H II regions where the O<sup>2+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> and C<sup>2+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> ratios can be determined using both CELs and RLs. This is called the abundance discrepancy problem and its origin is still under debate (e.g. García-Rojas &




**Figure 4.** Section of the spectrum of Sh 2-83, Sh 2-100, Sh 2-127, Sh 2-128, Sh 2-209, Sh 2-212, Sh 2-288 and Sh 2-298 showing the [N II]  $\lambda 5755$  auroral line.

Esteban 2007; Esteban, Toribio San Cipriano & García-Rojas 2016a). For Sh 2-100, the ratio of the two  $O^{2+}/H^+$  abundances determined from RLs and CELs, defined as the abundance discrepancy factor (ADF), is 0.07 dex, which is one of the lowest values ever found in H II regions. This is also slightly lower than the ADF found in the Orion nebula (see Esteban et al. 2016a). Assuming that the abundance discrepancy is due to the presence of fluctuations in the spatial distribution of  $T_e$  inside the nebula (Torres-Peimbert, Peimbert & Daltabuit 1980), we can estimate the temperature fluctuation parameter  $t^2$ , which was first defined by Peimbert (1967) to

reconcile the  $O^{2+}/H^+$  abundance ratios determined from RLs and CELs. The  $t^2$  value we obtained for Sh 2-100 is  $0.010 \pm 0.010$ . We considered two sets of abundances for this object, one for the case of  $t^2 = 0$  and the other for  $t^2 = 0.010 \pm 0.010$ . We could not derive  $t^2$  for the other H II regions in our sample. Therefore, their abundances were only calculated for  $t^2 = 0$ .

Since the aim of this paper is to explore the slope of the Galactic radial gradient of oxygen in the anti-centre direction, we limit our study to determine the total abundances of oxygen. In H II regions, O is the only element for which no ionization correction factor



**Figure 5.** Section of the spectrum of Sh 2-83, Sh 2-100, Sh 2-128, Sh 2-212, Sh 2-288 and Sh 2-298 showing the [O III]  $\lambda$ 4363 auroral line (indicated by an arrow).

(hereafter ICF) is needed to derive its total abundance; therefore its calculation is more accurate than for other elements. The total O abundance is simply the sum of the  $O^+/H^+$  and  $O^{2+}/H^+$  ratios. We could also estimate the total abundances of He, N, Ne, S, Cl, Ar and Fe for our objects, but we need ICFs, which are empirically derived based on the similarity of ionization potentials of different ionic species or estimated from photoionization models. We plan to study the gradients of these elements in a future paper where we will carry out a critical analysis of the best ICFs for each element, including additional observations for the nebulae with accurate determinations of the N abundance. As it has been said before, we detect the very faint C II  $\lambda$ 4267 RL in the spectrum of Sh 2-100, and this is a remarkable result considering the paucity of determinations of C abundance in Galactic H II regions. As for the rest of the elements – apart from O – we will present the C abundance of this object as well as a reassessment of the Galactic gradient of C/H in a future paper.

In Table 9, we present the recalculated values of the O abundances for IC 5146, Sh 2-132, NGC 7635 and Sh 2-156. The O/H ratios of M20, M16, M17, M8, NGC 3576, M42, NGC 3603, Sh 2-311 and NGC 2579 are presented in table 7 of Esteban et al. (2015).

## 7 THE O GRADIENT AT THE ANTI-CENTRE

As indicated in Section 1, Fernández-Martín et al. (2017) recently studied the chemical composition of a sample of H II regions in the Galactic anti-centre. We developed the observational program prior to the publication of that paper. Fernández-Martín et al. (2017) detected auroral lines to derive  $T_e$  in five objects of their sample. Of those five objects, two are included in our current sample, Sh 2-83 and Sh 2-212, and a third one, Sh 2-162, corresponds to our NGC 7635, for which we recalculated  $T_e$  and the O/H ratio from the emission line ratios measured by Esteban et al. (2016b) in their


**Table 9.**  $T_e$ ,  $N_e$  and abundances recalculated for IC 5146, Sh 2-132, NGC 7635 and Sh 2-156.

	IC 5146 <sup>a</sup>	Sh 2-132	NGC 7635 <sup>b</sup>	Sh 2-156
$N_e$ ([S II]) ( $\text{cm}^{-3}$ )	<100	$260 \pm 10$	$160 \pm 100$	$900 \pm 25$
$T_e$ ([N II]) (K)	$7140 \pm 120$	$9350 \pm 460$	$8390 \pm 390$	$9460 \pm 400$
$T_e$ ([O III]) (K)	...	$8870^c \pm 460$	$8190 \pm 510$	$9010 \pm 350$
$12 + \log(\text{O}/\text{H})$	$8.56 \pm 0.04$	$8.35 \pm 0.14$	$8.40 \pm 0.08$	$8.32 \pm 0.10$

Notes. <sup>a</sup>Mean of the values of apertures 2, 3 and 4 observed by García-Rojas et al. (2014).

<sup>b</sup>Mean of the values of apertures 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 observed by Esteban et al. (2016b).

<sup>c</sup>Estimated from  $T_e$  ([N II]) and equation 3 of Esteban et al. (2009).

**Figure 6.** Sections of the spectrum of Sh 2-100 showing the C II  $\lambda$ 4267 (left) and O II Multiplet 1  $\lambda$ 4650 (right) optical recombination lines.

deeper spectra taken at the GTC. The other two objects for which Fernández-Martín et al. (2017) determined  $T_e$  are Sh 2-132 and Sh 2-156. The authors collected spectra of additional H II regions taken from the literature and all of them correspond to observations obtained at 2–4 m telescopes and published between 1979 and 2000. When describing the procedure of data collection from the literature, Fernández-Martín et al. (2017) stated that they *carried out an exhaustive bibliographical review* of spectroscopical results of H II regions located at  $R_G > 11$  kpc. It is surprising that they overlooked the deepest observations of this kind of objects published prior to 2016: the VLT spectroscopy of Sh 2-311 and NGC 2579 published by García-Rojas et al. (2005) and Esteban et al. (2013), respectively. Moreover, for Sh 2-311, Fernández-Martín et al. (2017) instead of using the far much better quality data by García-Rojas et al. (2005) adopted the emission line ratios obtained by Shaver et al. (1983) with the 3.6 m telescope and the 3.9 m AAT at La Silla and Siding Spring, respectively. We consider that the new data presented in this paper in combination with (a) the compilation of deep spectroscopy from the literature (all of them except one obtained from 8–10 m telescopes) and (b) the recalculations of the abundances in a homogeneous way are a substantial improvement in the exploration of the true shape of the O abundance gradient at the Galactic anti-centre.

We performed a least-squares linear fit to the oxygen abundance as a function of  $R_G$  for the whole sample, including objects in the Galactic anti-centre and the inner disc, i.e. all the objects included in Tables 7–9 which cover  $R_G$  of 5.1–17 kpc. The fits give the

following radial O abundance gradient:

$$12 + \log(\text{O}/\text{H}) = 8.79(\pm 0.05) - 0.040(\pm 0.005)R_G, \quad (1)$$

where the uncertainties are estimated through Monte Carlo simulations. We generated  $10^4$  random values of  $R_G$  and the O abundance for each observational data point assuming a Gaussian distribution with a sigma equal to the measurement uncertainty of each quantity. We performed a least-squares linear fit to each of these  $10^4$  random distributions. The uncertainties associated with the slope and intercept correspond to the standard deviation of the values of these two quantities obtained from the fits. The spatial distribution of the O abundances and the gradient are shown in Fig. 7. Using a similar methodology, Esteban et al. (2015) determined a slope of  $-0.043$  dex  $\text{kpc}^{-1}$  for the O gradient defined by a subset of our sample of H II regions restricted to  $R_G \leq 12.4$  kpc. The most recent determinations of the Galactic gradient of oxygen for the whole disc available in the literature show consistent slopes: Deharveng et al. (2000) obtained a slope of  $-0.040(\pm 0.005)$  dex  $\text{kpc}^{-1}$ ; Quiroza et al. (2006) derived  $-0.043(\pm 0.007)$  dex  $\text{kpc}^{-1}$ ; Rudolph et al. (2006) gave  $-0.060(\pm 0.010)$  and  $-0.042(\pm 0.013)$  dex  $\text{kpc}^{-1}$ , when they used the optical and far-infrared lines, respectively; Balser et al. (2011) presented a slope of  $-0.045(\pm 0.005)$  dex  $\text{kpc}^{-1}$ . As we can see, these determinations are highly consistent, indicating that the slope of the radial abundance gradient of oxygen has been well established for the Milky Way.

The average difference between the oxygen abundances of the H II regions represented in Fig. 7 and those given by the linear fit at their corresponding galactocentric distances is  $\pm 0.05$  dex, similar order of the average uncertainty of the abundance determinations. The maximum difference we find is  $\pm 0.10$  dex. This is an upper limit of any local inhomogeneity of the O abundance that is consistent with our results.

In order to explore whether a change of the slope of the oxygen gradient is present in the outer disc, we carried out additional least-square fits separately to the H II regions within and beyond  $R_{25}$  ( $= 11.5$  kpc). The radial O gradient we found for the objects within  $R_{25}$  is


$$12 + \log(\text{O}/\text{H}) = 8.70(\pm 0.07) - 0.029(\pm 0.009)R_G \quad (2)$$

and that for the external H II regions ( $R_G > R_{25}$ ) is

$$12 + \log(\text{O}/\text{H}) = 8.87(\pm 0.23) - 0.046(\pm 0.017)R_G. \quad (3)$$

All these gradients are presented in Fig. 7. As we can see in the figure and ascertain by comparing the parameters of the gradients, their slopes are consistent within the uncertainties, indicating that the shape of the gradient does not change substantially across the Galactic disc. Therefore, our results confirm the absence of flattening in the radial O abundance gradient beyond  $R_{25}$ , at least up to  $R_G \sim 17$  kpc or  $\sim 1.5 \times R_{25}$ . As a conclusion, we can say that inside-out models of galaxy formation are also valid to explain the chemical composition of the outer parts of the Milky Way.

In Fig. 7, we also present the average O abundances of the Large and Small Magellanic Clouds (hereafter LMC and SMC) obtained by Toribio San Cipriano et al. (2017) and Domínguez-Guzmán et al. (2017) from deep echelle spectra of a sample of H II regions obtained with the VLT. We can see that the ionized gas-phase abundances of O in the outer regions (beyond  $R_{25}$ ) of the Milky Way is between the values measured in the Magellanic Clouds. Abundances of the outermost H II regions are closer to the low value observed in the SMC. The slope of the oxygen gradient obtained by Fernández-Martín et al. (2017) for the  $R_G$  from 11 to 18 kpc is between  $-0.053$



**Figure 7.** Radial distribution of the oxygen abundance, in logarithm  $12+\log(\text{O}/\text{H})$ , as a function of the Galactocentric distance,  $R_G$ , for the whole sample of Galactic H II regions. Large filled squares represent the objects of our current sample and small filled triangles indicate the additional sample. The solid black line is a least-squares linear fit to all objects. The vertical grey line marks the position of the isophotal radius of the Milky Way,  $R_{25} = 11.5$  kpc (de Vaucouleurs & Pence 1978). The blue dotted line is a least-squares linear fit to the H II regions located at  $R_G < R_{25}$  and the red dashed line is the fit to those at  $R_G > R_{25}$ . The figure graphically demonstrates that the slope of the gradient does not change significantly across the Galactic disc and the lack of flattening in the outer zone. The arrows indicate the average O abundances of H II regions in the LMC and SMC (Domínguez-Guzmán et al. 2017; Toribio San Cipriano et al. 2017).

and  $-0.061$  dex  $\text{kpc}^{-1}$ , consistent with our determinations for the outer disc within the uncertainties.

We have investigated O gradients – in the Milky Way and other nearby galaxies – in other papers of our group (Esteban et al. 2005, 2013; Toribio San Cipriano et al. 2016, 2017), always focusing on the gradient derived from O abundances determined from RLs. One question that we want to briefly address is the possible effect of the abundance discrepancy or the presence of temperature fluctuations in the abundance gradient derived in this paper. García-Rojas & Esteban (2007) and Esteban et al. (2016a) found that H II regions in the discs of spiral galaxies where the ADF has been calculated show quite similar values of such quantity. In fact, García-Rojas & Esteban (2007) found that the ADF seemed to be independent of some basic properties of H II regions, i.e. metallicity or  $T_e$ . Moreover, the O/H gradients determined from CELs and RLs are almost identical. Some indication of a possible correlation between the ADF and the O/H ratio determined from CELs has appeared with the latest results on low-metallicity objects obtained by Toribio San Cipriano et al. (2017). This correlation indicates that objects with a lower O/H ratio seem to show higher values of the ADF, but this is only apparent for objects with  $12+\log(\text{O}/\text{H}) \leq 8.1$ . Taking into account that our objects show O/H ratios – determined from CELs – larger than that value, we do not consider that this effect may be affecting to the H II regions studied in this paper.

## 8 CONCLUSIONS

We present very deep optical spectra of eight H II regions located in the anti-centre of the Milky Way, with  $R_G$  between 9.4 and 17 kpc. The data were obtained at the 10.4m GTC and the 8.2m VLT. We derived  $T_e([\text{N II}])$  for all the objects and  $T_e([\text{O III}])$  for six

of them. This permits to use the direct- $T_e$  method based on the measurements of the temperature-sensitive auroral lines to derive chemical abundances. We also included an additional sample of 13 H II regions located in the inner and outer disc of the Milky Way, whose spectra were also obtained with large telescopes. Reliable electron temperatures were also determined for these additional objects. The physical conditions and ionic abundances of all objects were derived using the same methodology and atomic data set. We also detected the C II and O II optical recombination lines in Sh 2-100, for which we calculated the abundance discrepancy factor in  $\text{O}^{2+}$ . This factor is higher than unity but rather small.

We derived the oxygen abundances for all objects, thus allowing the determination of the radial abundance gradient over a wide range of  $R_G$ , from 5.1 to 17 kpc. Eight objects are located outside of  $R_{25}$  and 13 inside  $R_{25}$ . A least-squares linear fit to the oxygen abundance gradient of the whole sample, including the H II regions in the outer and inner Galactic disc, gives a slope of  $-0.040 \pm 0.005$  dex  $\text{kpc}^{-1}$ . Additional least-squares fits of the inner and outer disc objects, separated by  $R_{25} = 11.5$  kpc, give similar slopes. In particular, the slope of the H II regions located beyond  $R_{25}$  is  $-0.046 \pm 0.017$  dex  $\text{kpc}^{-1}$ . This result indicates that there is no evidence of flattening in the radial O abundance gradient beyond  $R_{25}$ , at least up to 17 kpc ( $\sim 1.5 \times R_{25}$ ). In general, we find that the scatter in the O/H ratios of H II regions across the Galactic disc is not substantially larger than the observational uncertainties, with the largest possible inhomogeneities of the order of 0.1 dex.

In an appendix, we explored the radial distribution of  $T_e([\text{O III}])$  and  $T_e([\text{N II}])$  across the Galactic disc and found gradients with similar positive slopes for both temperatures, with much larger scatter in  $T_e([\text{N II}])$ . The shape of the  $T_e$  gradients is consistent with



the absence of flattening in the metallicity gradient in the outer Galactic disc.

The results of this work indicate that the inside-out models of galaxy formation are also valid to explain the chemical composition of the outer regions of the Milky Way.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper is based on observations made with the Gran Telescopio Canarias (GTC), installed in the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, in the island of La Palma, Spain. We also include previously unpublished data obtained at the European Southern Observatory, Chile, with proposal 070.C- 0008(A). We thank the referee Grazyna Stasińska for her always wise and useful comments. This work has been funded by the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (MINECO) under project AYA2015-65205-P. JGR acknowledges support from an Advanced Fellowship from the Severo Ochoa excellence programme (SEV-2015-0548). LTSC is supported by the FPI Program of the MINECO under grant AYA2011-22614.

## REFERENCES

- Balsler D. S., Rood R. T., Bania T. M., Anderson L. D., 2011, *ApJ*, 738, 27
- Bohlin R. C., Lindler D., 1992, *STScI Newsl.*, 9, 19
- Bresolin F., 2016, in Knapen J. H., Lee J. C., Gil de Paz A., eds, *Outskirts of Galaxies*. Springer, Berlin
- Bresolin F., Ryan-Weber E., Kennicutt R. C., Goddard Q., 2009, *ApJ*, 695, 580
- Bresolin F., Kennicutt R. C., Ryan-Weber E., 2012, *ApJ*, 750, 122
- Butler K., Zeppen C. J., 1989, *A&A*, 208, 337
- Cambrésy L., Rho J., Marshall D. J., Reach W. T., 2011, *A&A*, 527, A141
- Caplan J., Deharveng L., Peña M., Costero R., Blondel C., 2000, *MNRAS*, 311, 317
- Cardelli J. A., Clayton G. C., Mathis J. S., 1989, *ApJ*, 345, 245
- Cepa J. et al., 2000, in Iye M., Moorwood A. F., eds, *Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 4008, Optical and IR Telescope Instrumentation and Detectors*. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 623
- Cepa J. et al., 2003, in Iye M., Moorwood A. F. M., eds, *Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 4841, Instrument Design and Performance for Optical/Infrared Ground-based Telescopes*. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 1739
- Churchwell E., Walmsley C. M., 1975, *A&A*, 38, 451
- Copetti M. V. F., Oliveira V. A., Riffel R., Castañeda H. O., Sanmartim D., 2007, *A&A*, 472, 847
- Davey A. R., Storey P. J., Kisieliu R., 2000, *A&AS*, 142, 85
- de Pree C. G., Nysewander M. C., Goss W. M., 1999, *AJ*, 117, 2902
- de Vaucouleurs G., Pence W. D., 1978, *AJ*, 83, 1163
- Deharveng L., Peña M., Caplan J., Costero R., 2000, *MNRAS*, 311, 329
- D'Odorico S., Cristiani S., Dekker H., Hill V., Kaufer A., Kim T., Primas F., 2000, *Proc. SPIE*, 4005, 121
- Domínguez-Guzmán G., Rodríguez M., Esteban C., García-Rojas J., 2017, in Hägele G., Cardaci M., Pérez-Montero E., eds, *Chemical Abundances in Ionized Nebulae*. Vol. 12, Asociación Argentina de Astronomía, Buenos Aires
- Drissen L., 1999, in van der Hucht K. A., Koenigsberger G., Eenens P. R. J., eds, *Proc. IAU Symp. Vol. 193, Wolf-Rayet Phenomena in Massive Stars and Starburst Galaxies*. Astronomical Society of the Pacific, San Francisco, p. 403
- Esteban C., Peimbert M., García-Rojas J., Ruiz M. T., Peimbert A., Rodríguez M., 2004, *MNRAS*, 355, 229
- Esteban C., García-Rojas J., Peimbert M., Peimbert A., Ruiz M. T., Rodríguez M., Carigi L., 2005, *ApJ*, 618, L95
- Esteban C., Bresolin F., Peimbert M., García-Rojas J., Peimbert A., Mesa-Delgado A., 2009, *ApJ*, 700, 654
- Esteban C., Carigi L., Copetti M. V. F., García-Rojas J., Mesa-Delgado A., Castañeda H. O., Péquignot D., 2013, *MNRAS*, 433, 382
- Esteban C., García-Rojas J., Pérez-Mesa V., 2015, *MNRAS*, 452, 1553
- Esteban C., Toribio San Cipriano L., García-Rojas J., 2016a, in Hägele G., Cardaci M., Pérez-Montero E., eds, *Chemical Abundances in Ionized Nebulae*. Vol. 12, Asociación Argentina de Astronomía, Buenos Aires.
- Esteban C., Mesa-Delgado A., Morisset C., García-Rojas J., 2016b, *MNRAS*, 460, 4038
- Fang X., Liu X.-W., 2013, *MNRAS*, 429, 2791
- Fang X., García-Benito R., Guerrero M. A., Liu X., Yuan H., Zhang Y., Zhang B., 2015, *ApJ*, 815, 69
- Fernández-Martín A., Pérez-Montero E., Vílchez J. M., Mampaso A., 2017, *A&A*, 597, A84
- Fich M., Silkey M., 1991, *ApJ*, 366, 107
- Foster T., Brunt C. M., 2015, *AJ*, 150, 147
- Froese Fischer C., Tachiev G., 2004, *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables*, 87, 1
- Galavís M. E., Mendoza C., Zeppen C. J., 1995, *A&AS*, 111, 347
- Galavís M. E., Mendoza C., Zeppen C. J., 1997, *A&AS*, 123, 159
- García-Rojas J., Esteban C., 2007, *ApJ*, 670, 457
- García-Rojas J., Esteban C., Peimbert M., Rodríguez M., Ruiz M. T., Peimbert A., 2004, *ApJS*, 153, 501
- García-Rojas J., Esteban C., Peimbert A., Peimbert M., Rodríguez M., Ruiz M. T., 2005, *MNRAS*, 362, 301
- García-Rojas J., Esteban C., Peimbert M., Costado M. T., Rodríguez M., Peimbert A., Ruiz M. T., 2006, *MNRAS*, 368, 253
- García-Rojas J., Esteban C., Peimbert A., Rodríguez M., Peimbert M., Ruiz M. T., 2007, *Rev. Mex. Astron. Astrofis.*, 43, 3
- García-Rojas J., Peña M., Peimbert A., 2009, *A&A*, 496, 139
- García-Rojas J., Simón-Díaz S., Esteban C., 2014, *A&A*, 571, A93
- Garnett D. R., 1992, *AJ*, 103, 1330
- Hamann W.-R., Gräfener G., Liermann A., 2006, *A&A*, 457, 1015
- Henry R. B. C., Kwitter K. B., Jaskot A. E., Balick B., Morrison M. A., Milingo J. B., 2010, *ApJ*, 724, 748
- Johansson S., Zethson T., Hartman H., Ekberg J. O., Ishibashi K., Davidson K., Gull T., 2000, *A&A*, 361, 977
- Kaufman V., Sugar J., 1986, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 15, 321
- Kisieliu R., Storey P. J., Ferland G. J., Keenan F. P., 2009, *MNRAS*, 397, 903
- Lemasle B. et al., 2013, *A&A*, 558, A31
- Lépine J. R. D. et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, 417, 698
- Liu X.-W., Storey P. J., Barlow M. J., Danziger I. J., Cohen M., Bryce M., 2000, *MNRAS*, 312, 585
- Luck R. E., Gieren W. P., Andrievsky S. M., Kovtyukh V. V., Fouqué P., Pont F., Kienle F., 2003, *A&A*, 401, 939
- Luridiana V., Morisset C., Shaw R. A., 2015, *A&A*, 573, A42
- Maciel W. J., Lago L. G., Costa R. D. D., 2006, *A&A*, 453, 587
- McLaughlin B. M., Bell K. L., 2000, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.*, 33, 597
- Mendoza C., 1983, in Flower D. R., ed., *Proc. IAU Symp. Vol. 103, Planetary Nebulae*. D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, p. 143
- Mendoza C., Zeppen C. J., 1982, *MNRAS*, 198, 127
- Menten K. M., Reid M. J., Forbrich J., Brunthaler A., 2007, *A&A*, 474, 515
- Pedicelli S. et al., 2009, *A&A*, 504, 81
- Peimbert M., 1967, *ApJ*, 150, 825
- Peimbert A., Peimbert M., 2005, *Rev. Mex. Astron. Astrofis.*, 23, 9
- Peimbert M., Torres-Peimbert S., Rayo J. F., 1978, *ApJ*, 220, 516
- Podobedova L. I., Kelleher D. E., Wiese W. L., 2009, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 38, 171
- Porter R. L., Ferland G. J., Storey P. J., Detisch M. J., 2012, *MNRAS*, 425, L28
- Porter R. L., Ferland G. J., Storey P. J., Detisch M. J., 2013, *MNRAS*, 433, L89
- Quinet P., 1996, *A&AS*, 116, 573
- Quiroza C., Rood R. T., Bania T. M., Balsler D. S., Maciel W. J., 2006, *ApJ*, 653, 1226
- Reid M. J., 1993, *ARA&A*, 31, 345
- Rudolph A. L., Fich M., Bell G. R., Norsen T., Simpson J. P., Haas M. R., Erickson E. F., 2006, *ApJS*, 162, 346
- Russeil D., 2003, *A&A*, 397, 133
- Sánchez S. F. et al., 2014, *A&A*, 563, A49

- Shaver P. A., McGee R. X., Newton L. M., Danks A. C., Pottasch S. R., 1983, *MNRAS*, 204, 53
- Storey P. J., 1994, *A&A*, 282, 999
- Storey P. J., Hummer D. G., 1995, *MNRAS*, 272, 41
- Storey P. J., Zeppen C. J., 2000, *MNRAS*, 312, 813
- Storey P. J., Sochi T., Badnell N. R., 2014, *MNRAS*, 441, 3028
- Tayal S. S., 2011, *ApJS*, 195, 12
- Tayal S. S., Gupta G. P., 1999, *ApJ*, 526, 544
- Tayal S. S., Zatsarinny O., 2010, *ApJS*, 188, 32
- Toribio San Cipriano L., García-Rojas J., Esteban C., Bresolin F., Peimbert M., 2016, *MNRAS*, 458, 1866
- Toribio San Cipriano L., Domínguez-Guzmán G., Esteban C., García-Rojas J., Mesa-Delgado A., Bresolin F., Rodríguez M., Simón-Díaz S., 2017, *MNRAS*, 467, 3759
- Torres-Peimbert S., Peimbert M., Daltabuit E., 1980, *ApJ*, 238, 133
- Turnshek D. A., Bohlin R. C., Williamson R. L., Lupie O. L., Koornneef J., Morgan D. H., 1990, *AJ*, 99, 1243
- Vale Asari N., Stasińska G., Morisset C., Cid Fernandes R., 2016, *MNRAS*, 460, 1739
- Vílchez J. M., Esteban C., 1996, *MNRAS*, 280, 720
- Vlajić M., Bland-Hawthorn J., Freeman K. C., 2011, *ApJ*, 732, 7
- Yong D., Carney B. W., Friel E. D., 2012, *AJ*, 144, 95
- Zeppen C. J., Butler K., Le Bourlot J., 1987, *A&A*, 188, 251
- Zhang H., 1996, *A&AS*, 119, 523

## APPENDIX A: THE $T_e$ GRADIENT

The presence of a radial gradient of  $T_e$  in the Milky Way is well established from the radio continuum emission observations (e.g. Churchwell & Walmsley 1975; Shaver et al. 1983; Quireza et al. 2006) and the optical [O III] emission line ratios (e.g. Peimbert, Torres-Peimbert & Rayo 1978; Deharveng et al. 2000). Heavy elements such as O or N are the main coolants of photoionized gas and therefore the  $T_e$  of H II regions is related to its metallicity. Consequently, we expect to find  $T_e$  gradients in the Milky Way and in other galaxies. In this paper, we have derived the  $T_e$ ([O III]) and  $T_e$ ([N II]) for a number of H II regions in the outer disc of the Galaxy and compiled/recalculated these temperatures for additional objects in the inner Galactic disc. In total, we obtained 17 determinations of the  $T_e$ ([O III]) and 20  $T_e$ ([N II]), which are presented in Tables 7–9 in this paper and table 3 in Esteban et al. (2015).


In Fig. A1, we show the radial distribution of  $T_e$ ([O III]) and  $T_e$ ([N II]) of the H II regions of our complete sample. Our least-squares linear fit to the  $T_e$ ([O III]) of the whole sample gives

$$T_e(\text{[O III]}) = 5900(\pm 320)\text{K} + 320(\pm 40) \times R_G; \quad (\text{A1})$$

and our fit to the  $T_e$ ([N II]) gives

$$T_e(\text{[N II]}) = 7110(\pm 360)\text{K} + 240(\pm 40) \times R_G. \quad (\text{A2})$$


The derived slope of the  $T_e$ ([O III]) radial gradient,  $320 \pm 40$  K  $\text{kpc}^{-1}$ , is fairly close to the values of  $372 \pm 38$  and  $287 \pm 46$  K  $\text{kpc}^{-1}$  determined by Deharveng et al. (2000) and Quireza et al. (2006), respectively. The average difference between the  $T_e$ ([O III]) of the H II regions in Fig. A1 and the value derived from the linear fit at their corresponding distances is 530 K, not much larger than the average uncertainty (300 K) of the  $T_e$ ([O III]) determinations. The maximum difference is 2040 K found in Sh 2-298, an H II region with the hottest ionizing star in our sample. The ionizing source of Sh 2-298 is the Wolf-Rayet (WR) star HD 56925, which has been classified as WN4, with  $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 112\,200$  K (Hamann, Gräfener & Liermann 2006). Normal H II regions are usually ionized by OB stars with  $T_{\text{eff}}$  between 30 000 and 45 000 K. We have recalculated the average difference between the observed and the fitted  $T_e$ ([O III]) of




**Figure A1.** The radial distribution of the  $T_e$ ([O III]) (upper panel) and  $T_e$ ([N II]) (lower panel) for the whole sample of the Galactic H II regions (see the text for description of samples). The solid black line represents a least-squares linear fit to all objects. The arrows indicate the average  $T_e$ ([O III]) and  $T_e$ ([N II]) of the H II regions in the LMC and SMC (Domínguez-Guzmán et al. 2017; Toribio San Cipriano et al. 2017).

the H II regions by removing the outlier (Sh 2-298) and we obtained 430 K, a value closer to a mean observational uncertainty of 300 K.

Fig. A1 shows, for the first time, that the  $T_e$ ([N II]) of H II regions in the Milky Way also varies with the galactocentric distance. The slope in the  $T_e$ ([N II]),  $240 \pm 40$  K  $\text{kpc}^{-1}$ , is somewhat lower than that obtained for the  $T_e$ ([O III]) although the scatter is considerably larger. The mean difference between the observed and the fitted  $T_e$ ([N II]) of the H II regions presented in Fig. A1 is 810 K, higher than the average uncertainty (390 K) of all  $T_e$ ([N II]) determinations. The exact origin of this scatter is difficult to explain.  $\text{N}^+$  is usually located in the outer regions of the nebulae, and depending on the relative development of the Strömberg spheres of the high and low ionization species, the differences between  $T_e$ ([N II]) and  $T_e$ ([O III]) may vary among different objects. The maximum difference we find in the sample is 2160 K (Sh 2-298) and additional three objects show differences of about 2000 K: IC 5146, NGC 3603 and Sh 2-83. It is interesting to note that three out of these nebulae are ionized by stars with effective temperatures higher or lower than the usual  $T_{\text{eff}}$  of O-type stars. IC 5146 is ionized by a B0.5 V star and shows the lowest ionization degree amongst the whole sample. NGC 3603 is the only optically visible, giant H II region in the Milky Way and contains several WR and hot O3-4 stars (Drissen 1999). As aforementioned in this section, Sh 2-298 is ionized by a WR star and also shows a large difference in  $T_e$ ([O III]). Excluding the four objects showing very large differences ( $\geq 2000$  K) between the observed and the fitted  $T_e$ ([N II]), the average difference in the rest




**Figure A2.**  $T_e([\text{O III}])$  (upper panel) and  $T_e([\text{N II}])$  (lower panel) versus O/H ratio for the whole sample of the Galactic H II regions. The solid black line represents a least-squares linear fit to all objects. The outlier in the upper panel corresponds to Sh 2-298, ionized by a WR star.



**Figure A3.**  $T_e([\text{N II}])/T_e([\text{O III}])$  ratio versus O/H ratio for the whole sample of the Galactic H II regions. The solid black line represents a least-squares linear fit to all objects.

of the H II regions goes down to 520 K, much closer to the typical uncertainty of 390 K.

As we can see in Fig. A1, the expected values of  $T_e([\text{O III}])$  and  $T_e([\text{N II}])$  in H II regions in the outer disc of the Milky Way ( $R_G \sim 17$  kpc) are, as in the case of the O/H ratios (see Fig. 7), between the mean values found for the H II regions in the LMC and SMC (Domínguez-Guzmán et al. 2017; Toribio San Cipriano et al. 2017). Remarkably, Fig. A1 does not give any hint about a flattening of the  $T_e$  gradient in the outer Galactic disc, reinforcing the conclusion drawn from Fig. 7.



**Figure A4.**  $T_e([\text{N II}])$  versus  $T_e([\text{O III}])$  relation for the whole sample of the Galactic H II regions where both quantities have been determined. The solid black line represents a least-squares linear fit to all objects. The dotted line shows the classical relation from Garnett (1992) given in equation (A7).

In Fig. A2, we show the dependence of  $T_e([\text{O III}])$  and  $T_e([\text{N II}])$  with respect to the O/H ratio. In particular, the correlation between  $T_e([\text{O III}])$  and the O abundance is specially tight. As expected, the objects showing the largest differences with respect to the linear fit are the same as in Fig. A1.

The least-squares linear fit to the  $T_e([\text{O III}])$  versus O/H ratio relation gives

$$T_e([\text{O III}]) = -15540(\pm 3800)\text{K} - 6820(\pm 1070) \times \log(\text{O}/\text{H}) \quad (\text{A3})$$

and to the  $T_e([\text{N II}])$  versus O/H ratio relation gives

$$T_e([\text{N II}]) = -10580(\pm 4150)\text{K} - 5580(\pm 1160) \times \log(\text{O}/\text{H}). \quad (\text{A4})$$

In Fig. A3, we show the dependence of the  $T_e([\text{N II}])/T_e([\text{O III}])$  ratio with respect to the O abundance. The least-squares linear fit of the data included in that figure gives

$$T_e([\text{N II}])/T_e([\text{O III}]) = 1.80(\pm 0.48) + 0.20(\pm 0.13) \times \log(\text{O}/\text{H}), \quad (\text{A5})$$

which indicates a very small or almost absent correlation between both quantities.

Finally, we have obtained the relation between  $T_e([\text{N II}])$  and  $T_e([\text{O III}])$  in Fig. A4, finding a relatively tight correlation and the following least-squares linear fit:

$$T_e([\text{N II}]) = 1780(\pm 1280)\text{K} + 0.88(\pm 0.15) \times T_e([\text{O III}]); \quad (\text{A6})$$

the slope of the fit is consistent with a 1:1 relation considering the uncertainties. This fit is also very similar to the classical one obtained by Garnett (1992) using photoionization models and assuming  $T_e([\text{N II}]) = T_e([\text{O II}])$ :

$$T_e([\text{N II}]) = T_e([\text{O II}]) = 3000\text{K} + 0.7 \times T_e([\text{O III}]). \quad (\text{A7})$$

Our  $T_e([\text{N II}])$ - $T_e([\text{O III}])$  relation is also consistent with that obtained by Esteban et al. (2009) from deep spectra for a sample of Galactic and extragalactic H II regions and Vale Asari et al. (2016) from the results of an extensive grid of modern photoionization models (see their fig. A2).

This paper has been typeset from a  $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$  file prepared by the author.