

SOME NOTES ON THE UPPER AND LOWER RADICALS

by

TRẦN TRONG HUỆ (Hanoi) and F. A. SZÁSZ (Budapest)

§ 1. Introduction

In the following only associative rings are considered. A radical class or briefly a radical will mean a radical in the sense of Kuroš and Amitsur. For the basic concepts of the radical theory we refer to [2], [6] and [7].

For a given class \mathbf{M} of rings, we denote the homomorphic closure of \mathbf{M} by $H(\mathbf{M})$ and, the hereditary closure of \mathbf{M} by $J(\mathbf{M})$, these are,

$$H(\mathbf{M}) = \{A \mid A \text{ is a homomorphic image of some } \mathbf{M}\text{-ring}\}$$

$$J(\mathbf{M}) = \{A \mid A \text{ is an accessible subring of some } \mathbf{M}\text{-ring}\}$$

$\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{M})$ denotes the upper radical class determined by \mathbf{M} and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L})$ denotes the lower radical class determined by \mathbf{L} .

The class \mathbf{M} is said to be regular if it satisfies the following condition:

$$H(I) \cap \mathbf{M} \neq \emptyset, \text{ for every } 0 \neq I \triangleleft A \in \mathbf{M}$$

where $I \triangleleft A$ means I is an ideal of A . Note: we write I for the class $\{I\}$ containing I as its member.

A regular class may not contain the ring 0, for the sake of short statement we shall assume that regular classes contain the ring 0.

It is well-known that if the class \mathbf{M} is regular then

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{M}) = \{A \mid H(A) \cap \mathbf{M} = 0\}$$

In [5] W. G. LEAVITT and YU-LEE LEE have shown that if \mathbf{L} is a homomorphically closed class of rings, then

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}) = \{A \mid J(A/I) \cap \mathbf{L} \neq 0 \text{ for every } A/I \neq 0\}$$

In 2 we shall consider conditions for classes $\mathbf{L}_i, \mathbf{M}_i, i = 1, 2$, such that the upper and lower radical classes determine the same radical, that is,

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_i) = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{M}_i) \quad \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{M}_i) = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{M}_i^s) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_i) = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{L}_i^s).$$

AMS (MOS) subject classification (1980). Primary 16A21.

Key words and phrases. Radical, upper radical, lower radical, homomorphic closure, hereditary closure, special radical, supernilpotent radical.

A class \mathbf{M} of rings has been called special by V. A. ANDRUNAKIEVIČ [1] if it is a hereditary class of prime rings with the property:

If $I \triangleleft A$ with $I \in \mathbf{M}$ then $A/I^* \in \mathbf{M}$, where I^* is the two-sided annihilator of I in A .

A radical R is called special if R is an upper radical determined by some special class.

A problem concerning the notion of the special radical can be naturally raised:

Find conditions for classes \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{L} such that the upper radical determined by \mathbf{M} and, the lower radical determined by \mathbf{L} are special. This problem will be solved in § 3.

ANDRUNAKIEVIČ [1] has shown that every special radical is supernilpotent. The following theorem will be necessary later on.

THEOREM 1 (cf. [1], Theorem 6, pp. 198). *Let R be a supernilpotent radical then the upper radical determined by the class of all prime R -semisimple rings is the smallest special radical containing R .*

§ 2. The coincidence of upper radical classes and lower radical classes

2.1 Criterion for $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$

LEMMA 2. *Let \mathbf{L} be a homomorphically closed class. Then a ring A is $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ -semisimple if and only if $J(A) \cap \mathbf{L} = 0$ holds.*

PROOF. Assume a ring A be $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ -semisimple since every semisimple class of a associative rings is hereditary, so every accessible non-zero subrings of A is $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ -semisimple. This implies $J(A) \cap \mathbf{L} = 0$.

Conversely, suppose that a ring A satisfies the condition $J(A) \cap \mathbf{L} = 0$. Assume B be a $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ -ideal of the ring A . if $B \neq 0$ then every non-zero homomorphic image of B contains a non-zero accessible \mathbf{L} -subring. In particular, B has a non-zero accessible \mathbf{L} -subring. From this it follows $J(A) \cap \mathbf{L} \neq 0$, a contradiction. Thus $B = 0$ and the ring A is $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ -semisimple.

THEOREM 3. *Suppose that the class \mathbf{M} is regular and the class \mathbf{L} is homomorphically closed. Then $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$(1) \mathbf{L} \cap \mathbf{M} = 0,$$

$$(2) \text{ For every non-zero ring } A, \text{ if } J(A) \cap \mathbf{L} = 0 \text{ then } H(A) \cap \mathbf{M} \neq 0.$$

PROOF. In view of Lemma 2, the necessity is straightforward.

Conversely, assume that the conditions of the theorem are satisfied. Since \mathbf{L} is homomorphically closed, so from the first condition follows that no ring of \mathbf{L} can be mapped homomorphically onto any non-zero \mathbf{M} -ring. Hence the inclusion $\mathbf{L} \subseteq \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$ holds. By the minimality of the lower radical we have $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$. Now, suppose that a ring A does not belong to the class $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$. By Lemma 2 the non-zero $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ -semisimple ring $A/\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})(A)$ has no non-zero accessible \mathbf{L} -subrings. By the second condition the ring $A/\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})(A)$ can be mapped homomorphically onto some non-zero \mathbf{M} -ring. This implies $H(A) \cap \mathbf{M} \neq 0$ and so the ring A is not in $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$. Thus we have $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$.

2.2. Criterion for $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_1) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_2)$

THEOREM 4. Suppose \mathbf{M}_i ($i = 1, 2$) are regular classes of rings. Then $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_1) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_2)$ if and only if

$$H(A) \cap \mathbf{M}_j \neq 0$$

for every ring A in \mathbf{M}_i ($i = 1, 2$).

PROOF. The necessity is obvious.

Now assume that the conditions of theorem are valid. We have to show that $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_1) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_2)$. Let A be an arbitrary ring in \mathbf{M}_1 and, B any non-zero ideal of A . Since the class \mathbf{M}_1 is regular so B can be mapped homomorphically onto some non-zero \mathbf{M}_1 -ring C . By the hypothesis the ring C can be mapped homomorphically onto some non-zero \mathbf{M}_2 -ring. This implies that every non-zero ideal of A can be mapped onto some non-zero \mathbf{M}_2 -ring.

Thus the ring A is $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_2)$ -semisimple, and so each ring A in \mathbf{M}_1 is $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_2)$ -semisimple. Since $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_1)$ is the largest radical for which every ring in \mathbf{M}_2 is semisimple, we must have $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_2) \leq \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_1)$. Similarly, also $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_1) \leq \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}_2)$ holds.

COROLLARY. Let \mathbf{N} be a subclass of a regular class \mathbf{M} . Then $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{N}) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$ if the following condition is satisfied:

For every non-zero ring $A \in \mathbf{M}$,

(α)
$$H(A) \cap \mathbf{N} \neq 0.$$

PROOF. It is easy to see that if the condition (α) is valid then the subclass \mathbf{N} is regular. So the conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied.

REMARK. In general, the converse is not true. For instance, let A be a non-zero simple ring. We take $\mathbf{M} = \{A, A + A\}$ and $\mathbf{N} = \{A + A\}$. Clearly, the class \mathbf{M} is regular and $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{N}) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$ but the condition (α) is not valid.

2.3. Criterion for $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_1) = \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_2)$

THEOREM 2. *Let \mathbf{L}_i , $i = 1, 2$, be homomorphically closed classes. Then $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_1) = \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_2)$ if and only if the following condition is satisfied:*

(β) *For every non-zero ring $A \in \mathbf{L}_i$, $J(A) \cap \mathbf{L}_j \neq 0$ ($i, j = 1, 2$).*

PROOF. Suppose $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_1) = \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_2)$. Then every ring A in \mathbf{L}_1 is a $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_2)$ -radical and by Lemma 2 it follows $J(A) \cap \mathbf{L}_2 \neq 0$.

Conversely, assume that the classes \mathbf{L}_i , $i = 1, 2$, satisfy the condition of theorem. Let A be an arbitrary ring in \mathbf{L}_1 . Since the class \mathbf{L}_1 is homomorphically closed, so every homomorphic image of A is in \mathbf{L}_1 . Therefore, by the condition (β) every homomorphic image of A has a non-zero accessible \mathbf{L}_2 -subring. Hence the ring A is in $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_2)$. From that follows $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_2)$. Similarly, also $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_2) \subseteq \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_1)$ holds.

COROLLARY. *Let \mathbf{L}_0 be a subclass of a homomorphically closed class \mathbf{L} . If $J(A) \cap \mathbf{L}_0 \neq 0$ holds for every non-zero ring A in \mathbf{L} , then $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}_0) = \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$, provided that \mathbf{L}_0 is homomorphically closed.*

§ 3. Criterion for the upper and lower radical to be special

LEMMA 6. *Let \mathbf{L} be a homomorphically closed class of rings such that the lower radical $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ determined by \mathbf{L} is supernilpotent. Then the radical $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ is special if and only if the following condition is satisfied:*

(γ) *For a non-zero ring A if $J(A) \cap \mathbf{L} = 0$ then*

$$H(A) \cap P(\mathbf{L}) \neq 0$$

where

$$P(\mathbf{L}) = \{A \mid A \text{ is a prime ring and } J(A) \cap \mathbf{L} = 0\}.$$

PROOF. Let \mathbf{L} be a homomorphically closed class of rings such that $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ is supernilpotent. By Lemma 2 every ring in $P(\mathbf{L})$ is prime $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ -semisimple. By Theorem 1 the radical $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ is special if and only if $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}) = \mathfrak{U}(P(\mathbf{L}))$.

Clearly, the relation $\mathbf{L} \cap P(\mathbf{L}) = 0$ always holds. Thus, by Theorem 3 $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L}) = \mathfrak{U}(P(\mathbf{L}))$ if and only if condition (γ) is valid.

THEOREM 7. *If \mathbf{L} is a hereditary and homomorphically closed class containing all zero-rings then the lower radical $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{L})$ is special if and only if the property (γ) is valid.*

PROOF. In [4] HOFFMAN and LEAVITT have shown that if L is hereditary, then the lower radical $\mathfrak{L}(L)$ is hereditary. Hence, by the hypothesis, the radical $\mathfrak{L}(L)$ is supernilpotent. Thus the theorem is an immediate consequence of Lemma 6.

LEMMA 8. Let \mathbf{M} be a regular class of rings such that the upper radical $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$ is supernilpotent. Then the radical $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$ is special if the following condition is satisfied:

(χ) for every non-zero ring $A \in \mathbf{M}$,

$$H(A) \cap \mathbf{M} \cap \mathbf{P} \neq 0,$$

where \mathbf{P} is the class of all prime rings.

PROOF. Let \mathbf{M} be a regular class satisfying the conditions of the lemma. Consider the class $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{M} \cap \mathbf{P}$. By the Corollary of Theorem 4 we have $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M}) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{N})$ if condition (α) is satisfied. Next, we denote the class of prime $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$ -semisimple ring by \mathbf{N}_1 that is, $\mathbf{N}_1 = \overline{\mathbf{M}} \cap \mathbf{P}$, where

$$(*) \quad \overline{\mathbf{M}} = \{A \mid H(I) \cap \mathbf{M} \neq 0, \text{ for every } 0 \neq I \triangleleft A\}.$$

Clearly $\mathbf{N} \subseteq \mathbf{N}_1$. Since class of prime rings and semisimple class are hereditary so the class \mathbf{N}_1 is hereditary.

Let a ring A be in \mathbf{N}_1 . By (*) the ring A can be mapped homomorphically onto some non-zero ring A in \mathbf{M} . By condition (χ) the ring A has some non-zero homomorphic image A_2 in \mathbf{N} . From this it follows that, for every ring A in \mathbf{N}_1 , $H(A) \cap \mathbf{N} \neq 0$ holds. By the corollary of Theorem 4 we have $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{N}_1) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{N}) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$. Thus, by Theorem 1 the radical $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$ is special.

THEOREM 9. Let \mathbf{M} be a regular class of rings. Then the upper radical $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$ is special if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- (i) \mathbf{M} does not contain non-zero zero-rings.
- (ii) For each ring A , if $0 \neq I \triangleleft A$ and $H(I) \cap \mathbf{M} \neq 0$, then $H(A) \cap \mathbf{M} \neq 0$.
- (iii) For every non-zero ring $A \in \mathbf{M}$,

$$H(A) \cap \mathbf{M} \cap \mathbf{P} \neq 0.$$

PROOF. In [3] ENERSEN and LEAVITT have shown that if the class \mathbf{N} satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii), then the upper radical $\mathfrak{U}(\mathbf{M})$ is supernilpotent. Thus, the theorem is an immediate consequence of Lemma 8.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. A. ANDRUNAKIEVIČ, Radikaly asociativnyh kolec, I (Radicals of associative rings, I), *Mat. Sab.* **44** (1958), 179—212. *MR* **20** # 5220
- [2] N. J. DIVINSKY, *Rings and radicals*, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1965. *MR* **33** # 5654
- [3] P. O. ENERSEN and W. G. LEAVITT, The upper radical construction, *Publ. Math.* (Debrecen) **20** (1973), 219—222. *MR* **48** # 8579
- [4] A. E. HOFFMAN and W. G. LEAVITT, Properties inherited by the lower radical, *Portugal. Math.* **27** (1968), 63—68. *MR* **41** # 6888
- [5] W. G. LEAVITT and YU-LEE LEE, A radical coinciding with the lower radical in associative and alternative rings, *Pacific J. Math.* **30** (1969), 459—462. *MR* **40** # 1437
- [6] F. SZÁSZ, *Radikale der Ringe*, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, and VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1975. *MR* **55** # 8086
- [7] R. WIEGANDT, *Radical and semisimple classes of rings*, Queen's University, Kingston (Ontario), 1974. *MR* **50** # 2227

(Received December 31, 1979)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF HANOI
HANOI
VIETNAM

MTA MATEMATIKAI KUTATÓ INTÉZET
H-1053 BUDAPEST
REÁLTANODA U. 13-15.
HUNGARY