brought to you by CORE

#### ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Management of adnexal masses 1 Bergeron et al

1	
2	
3	Surgical Management of Benign Adnexal Masses in the Pediatric/Adolescent Population:
4	An 11-Year Review
5	
6	Lauren M. Bergeron MD <sup>1</sup> , Katherine C. Bishop MD <sup>1</sup> ,
7	Holly R. Hoefgen MD <sup>1</sup> , Margaret S. Abraham MD <sup>1</sup> , Nhial T. Tutlam MPH <sup>1</sup> ,
8	Diane F. Merritt MD <sup>1</sup> , Jeffrey F. Peipert MD, PhD <sup>2</sup>
9	
10	Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Washington University
11	School of Medicine; Saint Louis, MO <sup>1</sup>
12	Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Indiana University
13	School of Medicine; Indianapolis, Indiana <sup>2</sup>
$14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25 \\ 26 \\ 27 \\ 28 \\ 29 \\ 30 \\ 31 \\ 32 \\ 33$	Address for correspondence: Lauren Bergeron, MD Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Washington University School of Medicine 4911 Barnes Jewish Hospital Plaza, Campus Box 8064 St. Louis, MO 63110 Fax: 314-747-1490 Email: BergeronL@wudosis.wustl.edu Financial Support: None Disclaimers: Dr. Peipert receives research funding from Teva Pharmaceuticals Industries, Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, and Merck & Co., Inc., and serves on advisory boards for Teva Pharmaceutical Industries and Perrigo

This is the author's manuscript of the article published in final edited form as:

Bergeron, L. M., Bishop, K. C., Hoefgen, H. R., Abraham, M. S., Tutlam, N. T., Merritt, D. F., & Peipert, J. F. (2017). Surgical management of benign adnexal masses in the pediatric/adolescent population: an 11-year review. Journal of pediatric and adolescent gynecology, 30(1), 123-127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpag.2016.09.002

#### 34 Abstract

- 35 Study Objective: The purpose of this study was to compare ovarian conservation rates and
- 36 surgical approach in benign adnexal surgeries performed by surgeons versus gynecologists at a
- 37 tertiary care institution.
- 38 *Design:* A retrospective cohort review.
- 39 *Setting:* Children's and Adult tertiary care university-based hospital.
- 40 *Participants:* Patients ≤21 years of age undergoing surgery for an adnexal mass from January
- 41 2003 through December 2013.
- 42 *Interventions:* Patient age, demographics, menarchal status, clinical symptoms, radiologic
- 43 imaging, timing of surgery, surgeon specialty, mode of surgery, rate of ovarian conservation and
- 44 pathology were recorded. Patients were excluded if they had a uterine anomaly or pathology-
- 45 proven malignancy.
- 46 *Main outcome measures:* The primary outcome was the rate of ovarian conservation relative to
- 47 surgical specialty; secondary outcome was surgical approach relative to surgical specialty.
- 48 *Results*: Of 310 potential cases, 194 met inclusion criteria. Gynecologists were more likely than
- 49 surgeons to conserve the ovary (80 vs. 63%; odds ratio [OR] 2.28; 95% confidence interval [CI]
- 50 1.16-4.48). After adjusting for age, body mass index, mass size and urgency of surgery, the
- 51 difference was attenuated (adjusted OR 1.84; CI 0.88-3.84). Surgeons and gynecologists
- 52 performed minimally invasive surgery at similar rates, 62% vs. 50% (P=0.11). A patient was
- more likely to be operated on by a gynecologist if she was older (P<0.001) and post-menarchal</li>
  (P=0.005).
- 55 *Conclusion*: Our study suggests that gynecologists are more likely to perform ovarian-conserving
- surgery. However, our sample size precluded precise estimates in our multivariable model.

#### Management of adnexal masses 3 Bergeron et al

- Educational efforts among all pediatric and gynecologic surgeons should emphasize ovarian
- conservation and fertility preservation whenever possible.
- Key words: Pediatric, adolescent, adnexal mass, adnexal torsion, ovarian conservation,
- laparoscopy

#### 80 Introduction

81 The estimated incidence of adnexal masses is approximately 2.6 cases in 100,000 girls in 82 childhood; rates in adolescents are suspected to be higher, but precise population-based estimates are lacking<sup>1</sup>. The majority of adnexal masses are benign; the incidence of malignancy ranges 83 between 4% and 11% for all surgically excised adnexal neoplasms in children and adolescents<sup>2-4</sup>. 84 85 Patients are risk stratified according to mass size and characteristics. Masses that appear benign 86 and are less than 8 cm in maximum diameter are often managed conservatively unless symptoms determine the need for surgery<sup>5,6</sup>. When operative intervention is indicated, it can be performed 87 88 by laparotomy or laparoscopy with treatment ranging from simple cyst aspiration to salpingooophorectomy. Adnexal surgeries are performed by a number of surgical specialists including 89 90 pediatric surgeons, general surgeons, gynecologists, and gynecologic-oncologists. 91 Patterns in surgical care have evolved, and the paradigm has shifted from ovarian 92 removal to ovarian evaluation and conservation. However, this shift has occurred more slowly in girls and adolescents than in adult women<sup>3</sup>. This is concerning because after unilateral 93

94 oophorectomy, the possibility of surgical castration prior to the completion of reproduction
95 increases should the contralateral ovary become affected by torsion or neoplasia<sup>7</sup>. Thus, it is
96 important to perform fertility-preserving surgery when feasible.

97 Over the past decade, minimally invasive surgical techniques have become the standard 98 of care for removing benign adnexal masses because of shorter recovery time, decreased pain, 99 and improved cosmesis<sup>3,8-10</sup>. Rogers et al. concluded that it is safe in children and adolescents to 100 proceed with a laparoscopic approach for adnexal masses without complex features measuring 101 less than or equal to 8 cm in maximum diameter<sup>5</sup>. In 2012, Berger-Chen et al. conducted a 102 population-based analysis to determine factors associated with the performance of ovarian-

#### Management of adnexal masses 5 Bergeron et al

103	conserving cystectomy and minimally invasive surgery in adolescents with benign ovarian
104	masses. They found that physician, hospital, and patient characteristics all strongly influenced
105	treatment <sup>3</sup> . Additional studies have shown that gynecologists are more likely than other surgeons
106	to perform these surgeries in a minimally-invasive fashion and conserve the ovary <sup>2-4,7</sup> .
107	Ovarian-conserving procedures has proven safe for adolescents and over the last decade
108	minimally invasive surgical techniques have become the gold- standard treatment <sup>1-4,8-13</sup> . Prior
109	studies have already shown that gynecologists are more likely than surgeons to conserve the
110	ovary <sup>2-4</sup> . The purpose of this study was to review all cases of adnexal masses treated at our
111	institution (Saint Louis Children's Hospital and Barnes-Jewish Hospital) to determine whether
112	ovarian-conserving cystectomy and minimally invasive surgery rates in young girls and
113	adolescents differed between surgeons and gynecologists. More specifically, we hoped to
114	determine the factors associated with ovarian conservation and a minimally invasive surgical
115	approach. We also wanted to trend the rates of ovarian sparing and minimally invasive surgery
116	between surgeons and gynecologists over time.
117	
118 119	Materials and Methods

We performed a retrospective cohort study of patients treated for a benign adnexal mass
at St. Louis Children's Hospital (SLCH) and Barnes Jewish Hospital (BJH), both affiliated
hospitals of Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis. Cases were collected over
the 11-year period from January 2003 through December 2013. Before initiating this research,
permission was obtained from the Institutional Review Board.
Patients were identified by using common International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-

126 9 codes for an adnexal mass (620.2, 620.5 and 625.8) and Current Procedural Terminology

127 (CPT) surgical codes (58660 - 58999). Cases were excluded if the patient: 1) was older than 21 128 years of age at the time of surgery; 2) was undergoing surgery for suspected ectopic pregnancy 129 or pelvic inflammatory disease; 3) had uterine anomalies; 4) had pathology-proven malignancy; 130 or 5) had incomplete medical records. 131 Data were extracted from electronic and paper hospital patient records. Information on 132 patient demographics, menarchal status, clinical signs and symptoms, largest dimension of mass, 133 timing of procedure, surgeon specialty, operative procedure, conversion of laparoscopic to 134 laparotomy, specimen size and histologic diagnosis were all recorded. 135 Attending surgeon specialty was classified as gynecologist (all gynecologic specialties 136 including oncology) or surgeon (general, pediatric, or other subspecialty). The entrance into the 137 peritoneal cavity was recorded as laparoscopic if a minimally invasive approach was maintained 138 throughout the entire case or laparotomy if the procedure was performed via open abdominal 139 incision. Cases in which the surgeon performed a mini-laparotomy or converted a minimally 140 invasive approach to an open abdominal procedure were recorded as laparotomy. Radiologic 141 mass size was recorded as largest dimension in centimeters on ultrasound, computed 142 tomography, or magnetic resonance imaging. If two or more modalities were utilized, the larger 143 size was recorded. If preoperative size was not recorded, the mass size recorded in the surgeon's 144 operative note was used. Cases were classified as "torsion only" in those that had no other 145 histopathologic diagnosis. If a patient underwent two separate adnexal surgeries, each procedure 146 was recorded separately. Timing of procedure was classified as emergent if surgery was 147 performed within 24 hours of the physician's initial evaluation and non-emergent if performed 148 after 24 hours or scheduled as outpatient.

#### Management of adnexal masses 7 Bergeron et al

149 Characteristics of the study sample including demographics, menarchal status, mass size, 150 rates of ovarian conservation, surgical approach, surgeon type, timing of surgery, and conversion 151 rates were described using frequencies, percentage, means and standard deviations where 152 appropriate. Between-group differences were analyzed by chi-square or Fisher exact tests for 153 categorical variables, and ANOVA for normally distributed continuous variables. Logistic 154 regression analysis was performed and odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals were 155 calculated for ovarian conservation and surgical approach according to specialist. Confounding 156 variables were identified by multivariate analysis and the odds ratios were adjusted for the 157 confounding variables age, body mass index (BMI), largest mass size, and timing of surgery. 158 Statistical Analysis Software (SAS) was used for statistical analyses and the significance level 159 alpha was set at 0.05.

160

#### 161 **Results**

A total of 310 charts were analyzed (277 charts from SLCH and 33 from BJH). Eightyone charts from SLCH and 6 charts from BJH were excluded because surgery did not involve the adnexa. Further cases were excluded for missing chart information (n=11), uterine anomalies (n=3), and pathology-proven malignancy (n=15). In total, 191 pediatric and adolescent patients undergoing 194 procedures were included in this analysis (Figure 1). Analyses including and excluding the three repeat patients produced similar results, so the analyses presented here include 194 procedures.

169 The mean age of patients was 13, and the mean BMI was 26. Thirty-four percent of 170 patients were African American and 65% Caucasian. The majority (74%) of patients were post-171 menarchal; however, menarchal status was unknown in 14% of our sample. The average size of 172 the adnexal mass was 8.8 cm. Gynecologists performed 38% of the cases. The majority of cases

(69%) were performed non-emergently, 57% were performed laparoscopically, and 14%converted from laparoscopic to laparotomy.

The surgeon group performed 35 torsion cases and the gynecologist group performed 24. Physiologic cysts were two times more common in the surgeon group (50 vs. 24). There were 35 mature teratoma cases in the surgical group and 22 in the gynecology group. The numbers of other benign neoplasias, including serous and mucinous cystadenomas, fibromas, and endometriomas, were similar between the two groups (surgeons 17, gynecologists 14).

180 Table 1 shows patient demographics relative to ovarian conservation, surgical approach 181 and surgical specialty. Older patients were more likely to undergo ovarian-conserving  $(14 \pm 3 \text{ vs.})$ 182  $12 \pm 5$ ; P=<0.001) and minimally invasive procedures (P=0.046). The average age of patients 183 operated on by gynecologists was older than those operated on by surgeon group  $(15 \pm 3 \text{ years})$ 184 vs.  $12 \pm 5$  years; P = < 0.001). The ovarian conservation group had a larger mean BMI than the 185 oophorectomy group ( $27 \pm 8$  vs.  $24 \pm 8$ ; *P*=0.016). However, BMI did not associate with surgical 186 approach or differ between the two surgical specialty groups. Race was not found to correlate 187 with ovarian conservation or surgical route. A post-menarchal patient was more likely to be 188 operated on by a gynecologist (P=0.005) with ovarian conservation (P=0.005) via a minimally 189 invasive procedure (*P*=0.026).

Table 2 shows surgical characteristics relative to ovarian conservation, surgical approach, and surgical specialty. A larger adnexal mass size was found in the oophorectomy group than in the ovarian conservation group ( $10.2 \pm 6.4$  cm vs.  $8.2 \pm 5.0$  cm; *P*=0.021). A larger adnexal mass size was also found in the laparotomy group than in the laparoscopy group ( $10.7 \pm 6.7$  cm vs. 7.4  $\pm 4.0$  cm; *P*<0.0001). There was no difference in mass size according to the operating surgical specialty. A patient was more likely to have her ovary conserved if she underwent an emergent

#### Management of adnexal masses 9 Bergeron et al

196	procedure than if she underwent a planned procedure ( $P=0.011$ ), and an emergent procedure was
197	more likely than a scheduled procedure to be performed laparoscopically ( $P=0.005$ ). There was
198	no association between operating surgical specialty and timing of surgery (scheduled versus
199	emergent). Ovarian conservation occurred more frequently when the surgical approach was
200	laparoscopic than by laparotomy (70% vs. 30%; $P$ <0.0001). The rate of conversion from
201	minimally invasive to laparotomy was the same for surgeons and gynecologists (14%) and did
202	not affect ovarian conservation rates.
203	Gynecologists were more likely to conserve the ovary than were surgeons (80% vs. 63%;
204	P<0.0001, cOR 2.28; CI 1.16-4.48). This association remained after adjusting for potential
205	confounding factors including age, mass size, BMI and timing of surgery (Table 3; aOR 1.84, CI
206	0.88-3.84). Gynecologists performed laparoscopic surgery 50% of the time, whereas surgeons
207	did so 62% of the time (aOR 0.43, CI 0.22-0.85; Table 3).
208	Figure 2 shows trends of ovarian conservation and laparoscopic approach over time
209	among gynecologists and surgeons. Over the 11-year time span, gynecologists increasingly

210 conserved the ovaries and performed laparoscopic surgeries. Surgeons performed ovarian-

211 conserving and laparoscopic approaches at a uniform rate over the 11 years.

#### 212

#### 213 Discussion

In our review of 194 benign cases, the ovary was conserved 70% of the time, which is higher than published rates between 40% and 60%<sup>2-4,8</sup>. In addition, we found that surgical cases performed emergently and laparoscopically were more likely to conserve the ovary than scheduled cases and those performed by laparotomy. Forty-three out of the 194 cases (22%) were intra-operatively diagnosed as ovarian torsion. Recent data are encouraging surgeons to detorse adnexa and not remove adnexa, despite their ischemic appearance in young women<sup>11-13</sup>. For

220	example, Santos et al. performed pelvic ultrasound follow up on women after detorsed ovaries
221	were left in-situ even if they appeared necrotic and found that 97% of patients had multiple
222	ovarian follicles on the affected side with no adverse outcomes <sup>13</sup> . Additionally, theoretical harms
223	such as thrombosis have not been shown to occur <sup>15</sup> . However, oophorectomy continues to be
224	performed in 54% to 62.5% of torsion cases <sup>2,8,12,13</sup> .

The malignancy rate in our cohort was 7%, which is similar to that in the general population<sup>2-4</sup>. Preoperative ultrasound can help identify benign versus malignant masses. A recent retrospective study determined that benign masses can be predicted with 100% accuracy if the mass is less than or equal to 8 cm and lacks complexity<sup>5</sup>. When a mass has a low probability of malignancy, the surgeon can use a minimally invasive approach and conserve the ovarian tissue.

Like other studies, ours shows that older patients, especially post-menarchal girls, are 231 232 more likely than younger, pre-menarchal patients to have their surgery performed by a 233 gynecologist. One explanation for this is that post-menarchal patients are more likely than younger patients to have established care with a gynecologist<sup>2,4,8</sup>. At our tertiary hospital, a 234 235 pediatric gynecologist is available at all times. The attending physician in the pediatric 236 emergency room decides which service to consult. Because these patients often present with 237 right lower quadrant pain, a workup for appendicitis is often initiated, which usually includes 238 consultation with a pediatric surgeon. Therefore, when adnexal pathology is found by imaging, 239 the pediatric surgeon often continues to be involved in the patient's care.

Data on menarchal status was missing for 14% of the patients in our sample. We argue that it is imperative to record the last menstrual period whenever a young woman presents with abdominal pain and a mass. For instance, a physiologic follicle should be high on a physician's

#### Management of adnexal masses 11 Bergeron et al

differential at mid cycle when one would expect ovulation. Seventy-three (37.6%) of the
pathology specimens in our sample were physiologic cysts without evidence of pathologic
abnormality. Surgical specimens removed by surgeons were twice as likely as those removed by
gynecologists to be physiologic cysts. We speculate this is because gynecologists are more
familiar with adnexal pathology, ovarian physiology and the spontaneous regression of
functional cysts, making them more likely to choose conservative management instead of
surgery.

250 We found that gynecologists were less likely to perform laparoscopic surgery than 251 surgeons, and this difference persisted after results were adjusted for confounders. This finding 252 differs from those in similar published studies reporting that gynecologists were more likely than surgeons to perform surgery laparoscopically<sup>3-5</sup>. However, over the 11-year time span, 253 254 gynecologists increasingly performed laparoscopic procedures at our institution (Figure 2). In 255 addition, some of the operative reports documented a mini-laparotomy. Compared to a typical 256 laparotomy, a mini-laparotomy incision can have improved outcomes such as reduced pain, 257 improved cosmesis and ability to perform same-day surgery. However, because we could not 258 determine the length of the mini-laparotomy incision (which varies by surgeon) from the 259 operative reports, we were unable to include mini-laparotomies as minimally invasive 260 procedures.

Our study has several limitations. First it is retrospective. Second, although our inclusion criteria were broad to limit selection bias, we could have missed patients if ICD-9 and CPT codes did not match or were not coded properly. Third, we adjusted for potential confounders in this observational study but could not control for all possible confounders. Finally, we included data from two hospitals at a single academic institution where pediatric surgeons and pediatric

- 266 adolescent gynecologists are available full-time; thus, our results may not be generalizable to
- 267 other institutions.
- 268 In conclusion, our study suggests that gynecologists are more likely than surgeons to
- 269 perform ovarian-conserving surgery for benign pathology. However, our sample size precluded
- 270 precise estimates in our multivariable model. Nevertheless, we argue that educational efforts
- 271 among all pediatric and gynecologic surgeons should emphasize ovarian conservation and
- 272 fertility preservation whenever possible.
- 273

#### 274 References

- [1] Allen L, Fleming N, Strickland J: Adnexal masses in the neonate, child, and adolescent. In: Clinical Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology. Edited by Sanfillipo J et al. New York, Informa Healthcare, 2008, pp 422-428
- 275 276 277 278 279 280 [2] Eskander RN, Bristow RE, Saenz NC, et al: A retrospective review of the effect of surgeon specialty on the management of 190 benign and malignant pediatric and adolescent adnexal masses. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol 2011; 24:282 281
- 282 [3] Berger-Chen S, Herzog TJ, Lewin SN, et al: Access to conservative surgical therapy for adolescents with benign 283 ovarian masses. Obsetet Gynecol 2012; 119:270 284
- 285 [4] Bristow RE, Nugent AC, Zahurak ML, et al: Impact of surgeon specialty on ovarian-conserving surgery in 286 young females with an adnexal mass. J Adolesc Health 2006; 39:411 287
- 288 [5] Rogers, EM, Casadiego, CG, Lacy J, et al: Preoperative Risk Stratification of Adnexal Masses: Can we predict 289 the optimal surgical management? J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2014; 27:125
- 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 [6] Amies Oelschlager AME, Gow KW, Morse CB, et al: Management of Large Ovarian Neoplasms in Pediatric and Adolescent Females. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol 2016; 29:88
  - [7] Pfeifer S, Gosman G: Evaluation of adnexal masses in adolescents. Pediatr Clin North Am 1999; 46:573
  - [8] Hermans, AJ, Kluivers, KB, Wijen, MH, et al: Diagnosis and Treatment of adnexal masses in children and adolescents. Obstet Gynecol 2015; 125:611
- 297 298 299 [9] Seckin B, Ozdener T, Tapisiz OL, et al: Laparoscopic treatment of ovarian cysts in adolescents and young adults. 300 J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol 2011; 24:300 301
- 302 [10] Savasi I, Lacy JA, Gerstle JT, et al: Management of ovarian dermoid cysts in the pediatric and adolescent 303 population. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol 2009; 22: 360 304
- 305 [11] Parelkar SV, Mundada D, Sanghvi, BV, et al: Should the ovary always be conserved in torsion? A tertiary care 306 institute experience. J Pediat Surg 2014; 49: 465 307
- 308 [12] Cass DL, Hawkins E, Brandt ML, et al: Surgery for ovarian masses in infants, children, and adolescents: 102 309 consecutive patients treated in a 15-year period. J Pediatr Surg 2001; 36:693
- 310

#### Management of adnexal masses 13 Bergeron et al

[13] Aziz D, Davis V, Allen L, et al: Ovarian torsion in children: is oophorectomy necessary? J Pediatr Surg 2004;
39:750

[14] Santos XM, Cass DL, Dietrich JE: Outcome following detorsion of torsed adnexa in children. J Pediat Adolesc
 Gynecol 2015; 28:136

316

[15] McGovern PG, Noah R, Koenigsberg R, et al: Adnexal torsion and pulmonary embolism: case report and
 review of the literature. Obstet Gynecol Surv 1999; 54:601

Ctrank of the second se

Table 1: Patient demographics re	elative to ovarian conser	vation, surgical approach,
and surgical specialty		
Ovarian conservation	Surgical approach	Surgical specialty

	Ovarian co	onservation	Surgical approach				Surgical specialty		
Patient Demographic	Ovarian Sparing N = 135	Oophor- ectomy N = 59	Р	Lsc N = 111	LAP N = 83	Р	Gyn N = 74	Sur N = 120	Р
Age	14 ±3	12±5	< 0.001	$14 \pm 4$	$13\pm5$	0.046	15 ± 3	$12\pm5$	<0.001
BMI	27±8	24±8	0.016	$26\pm8$	$25\pm9$	0.232	27 ± 7	$25\pm9$	0.052
Race									
AA	43 (32)	22 (37)		32 (29)	33 (40)		25 (34)	40 (33)	
Caucasian	89 (66)	37 (63)	0.628	77 (70)	49 (59)	0.151	48 (65)	78 (65)	0.414
Hispanic	1 (<1)	1 (<1)		0 (0)	1 (1)		1 (1)	0 (0)	
Other	2 (1)	2(1)		2 (2)	0 (0)		0 (0)	2 (2)	
Menarchal status <sup>a</sup>									
Pre	23 (17)	20 (34)	0.005	18 (16)	25 (30)	0.026	9 (14)	34 (34)	0.005
Post	93 (70)	29 (49)		75 (68)	47 (57)	0.020	55 (86)	67 (66)	0.000

Data are presented as mean and standard deviation or number and percent. Abbreviations: AA, African American; Lsc, laparoscopy; Lap, laparotomy; Gyn, gynecologist; Sur, surgeon <sup>a</sup>Menarchal status was unknown in 14%

## Table 2: Surgical characteristics relative to ovarian conservation, surgicalapproach, and surgical specialty

Ovarian co	onservation	Surgical approach				Surgical specialty		
Ovarian Sparing N = 135	Oophor- ectomy N = 59	Р	Lsc N =111	Lap N = 83	Р	Gyn N = 74	Sur N = 120	Р
8.2±5.0	10.2±6.4	0.021	$7.4 \pm 4.0$	$10.7 \pm 6.7$	<0.0001	8.8 ± 5	$8.8\pm6$	0.969
85 (63)	48 (81)	0.011	67 (60)	66 (80)	0.005	52 (70)	81 (68)	0.686
50 (37)	11 (19)	0.011	44 (40)	17 (20)		22 (30)	39 (32)	
95 (70)	16 (27)	0.0001	-		_	37 (50)	74 (62)	0.111
40 (30)	43 (73)	<0.0001		· _		37 (50)	46 (38)	
18 (13)	9 (15)	0.722	<u>Y</u>	-	-	10 (14)	17 (14)	0.898
117 (87)	50 (85)	0.722	) -	-		64 (86)	103 (86)	
	Ovarian co Ovarian Sparing N = 135 8.2±5.0 85 (63) 50 (37) 95 (70) 40 (30) 18 (13) 117 (87)	Ovarian conservation         Ovarian Sparing N = 135       Oophor-ectomy N = 59         8.2±5.0       10.2±6.4         85 (63)       48 (81)         50 (37)       11 (19)         95 (70)       16 (27)         40 (30)       43 (73)         18 (13)       9 (15)         117 (87)       50 (85)	Ovarian conservation         Ovarian Sparing P       Oophor-ectomy ectomy N = 135       P $8.2\pm 5.0$ $10.2\pm 6.4$ $0.021$ $85 (63)$ $48 (81)$ $0.011$ $50 (37)$ $11 (19)$ $0.011$ $95 (70)$ $16 (27)$ $< 0.0001$ $40 (30)$ $43 (73)$ $< 0.0001$ $18 (13)$ $9 (15)$ $0.722$ $117 (87)$ $50 (85)$ $0.722$	Ovarian conservationSurgicalOvarian conservationOphor- sparing ectomy N = 135Lsc N = 111 $8.2\pm 5.0$ $10.2\pm 6.4$ $0.021$ $7.4\pm 4.0$ $85 (63)$ $48 (81)$ $0.011$ $67 (60)$ $0.011$ $85 (63)$ $48 (81)$ $0.011$ $67 (60)$ $0.011$ $95 (70)$ $16 (27)$ $40 (30)$ $43 (73)$ $95 (70)$ $16 (27)$ $40 (30)$ $-$ $43 (73)$ $18 (13)$ $9 (15)$ $0.722$ $-$ $ 117 (87)$ $50 (85)$ $-$	Ovarian conservationSurgical approachOvarian Sparing N = 135Oophor- ectomy N = 59Lsc PLap N = 111N = 135N = 59PN = 111N = 111N = 838.2 $\pm 5.0$ 10.2 $\pm 6.4$ 0.0217.4 $\pm 4.0$ 10.2 $\pm 6.4$ 0.0217.4 $\pm 4.0$ 10.7 $\pm 6.7$ 85 (63)48 (81)67 (60)66 (80)50 (37)11 (19)44 (40)17 (20)95 (70)16 (27) 40 (30)40 (30)43 (73)<0.0001	Ovarian conservation       Surgical approach         Ovarian conservation       Surgical approach         Ovarian conservation       Ophor- ectomy N = 135       Lsc N = 59       Lap N = 111       Lap N = 83       P $8.2\pm 5.0$ $10.2\pm 6.4$ $0.021$ $7.4\pm 4.0$ $10.7\pm 6.7$ $<0.0001$ $85 (63)$ $48 (81)$ $67 (60)$ $66 (80)$ $0.005$ $50 (37)$ $11 (19)$ $44 (40)$ $17 (20)$ $0.005$ $95 (70)$ $16 (27)$ $   40 (30)$ $43 (73)$ $<0.0001$ $  18 (13)$ $9 (15)$ $0.722$ $  117 (87)$ $50 (85)$ $0.722$ $ -$	Ovarian conservationSurgical approachSurgicalOvarian Sparing N = 135Oophor- ectomy N = 59Lsc PLap N = 111Gyn N = 83 $8.2\pm 5.0$ $10.2\pm 6.4$ $0.021$ $7.4\pm 4.0$ $10.7\pm 6.7$ $<0.0001$ $8.8\pm 5$ $85 (63)$ $48 (81)$ $0.011$ $67 (60)$ $66 (80)$ $0.005$ $52 (70)$ $50 (37)$ $11 (19)$ $0.011$ $44 (40)$ $17 (20)$ $22 (30)$ $95 (70)$ $16 (27)$ $40 (30)$ $-$ $43 (73)$ $-$ $ -$ $ 37 (50)$ $18 (13)$ $9 (15)$ $0.722$ $-$ $ -$ 	Ovarian conservation         Surgical approach         Surgical specialty           Ovarian Sparing N = 135         Oophor- ectomy N = 59 $P$ Lsc $Lap N = 83$ $P$ Gyn $N = 74$ $N = 120$ $8.2\pm 5.0$ $10.2\pm 6.4$ $0.021$ $7.4\pm 4.0$ $10.7\pm 6.7$ $<0.0001$ $8.8\pm 5$ $8.8\pm 6$ $85 (63)$ $48 (81)$ $0.011$ $67 (60)$ $66 (80)$ $52 (70)$ $81 (68)$ $50 (37)$ $11 (19)$ $0.011$ $44 (40)$ $17 (20)$ $22 (30)$ $39 (32)$ $95 (70)$ $16 (27)$ $   37 (50)$ $74 (62)$ $40 (30)$ $43 (73)$ $   37 (50)$ $74 (62)$ $18 (13)$ $9 (15)$ $0.722$ $  10 (14)$ $17 (14)$ $117 (87)$ $50 (85)$ $0.722$ $  64 (86)$ $103 (86)$

Data are presented as mean and standard deviation or number and percent. Abbreviations: Lsc, laparoscopy; Lap, laparotomy; Gyn, gynecologist; Sur, surgeon

# Table 3: Multivariate analysis of ovarian conservation andlaparoscopy approach by surgeon and patient/surgicalcharacteristics

Characteristics	Ovarian Sparing aOR (95% CI)	Laparoscopy aOR (95% CI)
Gynecologist <sup>a</sup>	1.84 (0.88, 3.84)	0.43 (0.22, 0.85)
Age	1.08 (0.99, 1.18)	1.09 (1.00, 1.19)
BMI	1.04 (0.99, 1.09)	1.04 (0.99, 1.09)
Mass size	0.92 (0.86, 0.98)	0.85 (0.79, 0.92)
Scheduled Surgery	0.50 (0.23, 1.09)	0.50 (0.25, 1.02)

Abbreviations: aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, Confidence interval <sup>a</sup>Reference group: Surgeons



I: Title Figure 1: Exclusion flowchart



#### III: Title

Figure 3: Trend by Year, Ovarian Conservation and Surgical Approach by Surgical Specialty

Legend

Y-axis, number of cases; X-axis, year Lines are trend-lines (R squared values), not data points GYN ovarian conservation, solid line; GYN laparoscopic, dash-dotted line Surgeon ovarian conservation, dashed line; Surgeon laparoscopic, dotted line

CHR AND