### Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

**Open Access Publications** 

2017

# Overcoming the roadblocks to cardiac cell therapy using tissue engineering

Mounica Yanamandala Albert Einstein College of Medicine

Wuqiang Zhu University of Alabama, Birmingham

Daniel J. Garry University of Minnesota - Twin Cities

Timothy J. Kamp University of Wisconsin-Madison

Joshua M. Hare University of Miami

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open\_access\_pubs

#### **Recommended** Citation

Yanamandala, Mounica; Zhu, Wuqiang; Garry, Daniel J.; Kamp, Timothy J.; Hare, Joshua M.; Jun, Ho-wook; Yoon, Young-sup; Bursac, Nenad; Prabhu, Sumanth D.; Dorn, Gerald W. II; Bolli, Roberto; and Zhang, Jianyi, ,"Overcoming the roadblocks to cardiac cell therapy using tissue engineering." Journal of the American College of Cardiology.70,6. 766-775. (2017). https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open\_access\_pubs/6147

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact engeszer@wustl.edu.

#### Authors

Mounica Yanamandala, Wuqiang Zhu, Daniel J. Garry, Timothy J. Kamp, Joshua M. Hare, Ho-wook Jun, Young-sup Yoon, Nenad Bursac, Sumanth D. Prabhu, Gerald W. Dorn II, Roberto Bolli, and Jianyi Zhang

This open access publication is available at Digital Commons@Becker: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open\_access\_pubs/6147

#### **REVIEW TOPIC OF THE WEEK**

## Overcoming the Roadblocks to Cardiac Cell Therapy Using Tissue Engineering



Mounica Yanamandala, MD,<sup>a</sup> Wuqiang Zhu, MD, PHD,<sup>b</sup> Daniel J. Garry, MD, PHD,<sup>c</sup> Timothy J. Kamp, MD, PHD,<sup>d</sup> Joshua M. Hare, MD,<sup>e</sup> Ho-wook Jun, PHD,<sup>b</sup> Young-sup Yoon, MD, PHD,<sup>f</sup> Nenad Bursac, PHD,<sup>g</sup> Sumanth D. Prabhu, MD,<sup>h</sup> Gerald W. Dorn II, MD,<sup>i</sup> Roberto Bolli, MD,<sup>j</sup> Richard N. Kitsis, MD,<sup>a</sup> Jianyi Zhang, MD, PHD<sup>b</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

Transplantations of various stem cells or their progeny have repeatedly improved cardiac performance in animal models of myocardial injury; however, the benefits observed in clinical trials have been generally less consistent. Some of the recognized challenges are poor engraftment of implanted cells and, in the case of human cardiomyocytes, functional immaturity and lack of electrical integration, leading to limited contribution to the heart's contractile activity and increased arrhythmogenic risks. Advances in tissue and genetic engineering techniques are expected to improve the survival and integration of transplanted cells, and to support structural, functional, and bioenergetic recovery of the recipient hearts. Specifically, application of a prefabricated cardiac tissue patch to prevent dilation and to improve pumping efficiency of the infarcted heart offers a promising strategy for making stem cell therapy a clinical reality. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2017;70:766-75) © 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American College of Cardiology Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Ithough transplanted cells and engineered tissues result in improved cardiac performance when tested in animal models of myocardial injury, the benefits observed in clinical trials have generally been modest at best. Opinions regarding the optimal cell type or combination of cell types have yet to reach consensus, and only a very small proportion of the administered cells are engrafted by the native myocardium. Cellular attrition is often attributed to a lack of perfusion in the infarcted region, but the recipient's immune system may also play a role, particularly in preclinical studies

with human-derived tissues or other xenogeneic transplantation experiments. Furthermore, the surviving cells rarely produce grafts of substantial size and may remain electrically isolated from the native myocardium, which would prevent the graft from contributing to the contractile activity of the heart and, more importantly, could lead to arrhythmogenic complications, which may be the primary safety concern associated with transplanted myocardial cells and tissues. Tissue engineering strategies are expected to improve engraftment of transplanted cells, as well as structural, functional, and



Listen to this manuscript's audio summary by *JACC* Editor-in-Chief Dr. Valentin Fuster.



From the <sup>a</sup>Department of Medicine, Montefiore Medical Center and Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York; <sup>b</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama; <sup>c</sup>Lillehei Heart Institute, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota; <sup>d</sup>Department of Medicine, School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin; <sup>e</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida; <sup>f</sup>Department of Medicine, Emory University, and Severance Biomedical Science Institute, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia; <sup>g</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina; <sup>h</sup>Department of Medicine, Division of Cardiovascular Disease, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama; <sup>i</sup>Center for Pharmacogenomics, Department of Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri; and the <sup>i</sup>Institute of Molecular Cardiology, University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky. Dr. Garry is a consultant for Soton Scientific and NorthStar Genomics. Dr. Kamp is a consultant for Cellular Dynamics International. Dr. Hare is a board member for Vestion; and is a consultant and board member for Longeveron LLC. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

Manuscript received May 24, 2017; revised manuscript received June 5, 2017, accepted June 5, 2017.

bioenergetic recovery of the infarcted heart. These and many other topics were discussed by attendees of the National Institutes of Health 2016 Progenitor Cell Biology Consortium and Cardiovascular Tissue Engineering Symposium at the University of Alabama, Birmingham, on March 28, 2016. Here, we present some of the more provocative ideas and advances that were discussed at the meeting and that may facilitate the translation of cardiac celland tissue-engineering therapies from the laboratory to the clinic.

#### CELL TYPES FOR USE IN CARDIAC THERAPY

A wide variety of cell sources have been evaluated for repair of the ischemic myocardium in animal models, and a subset of these have undergone testing in clinical trials. A recent review by Nguyen et al. (1) summarized the clinical trials on stem cell therapy for ischemic heart diseases and heart failure from January 1, 2000, to July 2016. Table 1 adds the new clinical trials for ischemic heart diseases and heart failure published between July 27, 2016, and May 18, 2017, based on our PubMed search results, as well as clinical trials for stem cell therapy for congenital heart diseases.

Human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) and newer cell types, such as induced cardiac progenitor cells (CPCs) (2,3), are especially promising for cardiac cell and tissue engineering therapy (4-6) because they can be efficiently differentiated into functional cardiomyocytes (CMs), endothelial cells (ECs), and smooth muscle cells (SMCs) (7-11). However, the optimal proportions of each cell type have yet to be identified, and a variety of other cell lineages (e.g., resident CPCs) (12) may be needed to maximize therapeutic effectiveness. Furthermore, mitochondria have important functions in cardiac metabolism (13) and programmed CM death (14), and emerging roles in cardiac differentiation were recently suggested (15,16). Specifically, CM differentiation requires the membranes of adjacent mitochondria to fuse, and fusion of the outer membranes is regulated by mitofusins 1 and 2, which direct ESC differentiation into CMs via regulation of calcineurin and Notch signaling (16).

The importance of restoring functional CMs for post-infarction repair is self-evident because they provide the mechanical force needed for contraction. In a rat model of myocardial infarction (MI), measurements of cardiac function and remodeling were significantly better when implanted fibrin gelbased tissue-engineered patches were created from the complete population of neonatal rat cardiac cells than when the CMs were omitted (17). Furthermore, extracellular matrix production in tissue-engineered patches appears to increase in response to production of transforming growth factor  $\beta$ 1 by CMs (18,19), and CMs are an important source of vascular endothelial growth factor in cell sheet-based engineered tissues (20). Thus, CMs and cytokines that mediate CM-non-CM communication are crucial components of the beneficial activity induced by transplanted engineered cardiac tissues.

Other cell sources of potential utility for cardiac repair include mesenchymal stem cells (prototypically derived from bone barrow), cardiac stem cells, cardiospheres isolated from endocardial biopsies (in mice and humans), which are composed of a

heterogeneous cell population, but are exceptionally proliferative, and Abcg2-expressing progenitor cells (21). When suspended in saline and transplanted into the hearts of mice after an acute infarction, Sca-1<sup>+</sup>/ CD31<sup>-</sup> cells appear to attenuate decline in cardiac function, increase myocardial neovascularization, and modestly promote CM differentiation from graft cells, as well as host cell proliferation (22).

Variation of interspecies responses to cell therapy is another critical issue. Commonly used preclinical models include nonhuman primates, large mammals (swine, dog, and others), and rodents. Although it might be necessary, there is neither guidance on selection of preclinical animal models nor consensus criteria on experimental design for preclinical studies thus far. Genetic background may affect the interpretation of experimental results. Therefore, comparison and validation of data collected from different species are important when translating preclinical research to clinical trials.

Although transplantation of various cell types has been reported to improve left ventricular function and structure after MI, overwhelming evidence has demonstrated that there is no significant long-term engraftment of adoptively transferred cells into the host myocardium. The persistence of beneficial effects, despite the disappearance of transplanted cells, indicates that cell therapy may act via paracrine mechanisms. In addition, the rapid clearance of cells from the host myocardium suggests that the benefits of cell therapy are limited by the poor engraftment of the cells, implying that 1 dose does not adequately test the efficacy of that cell product (23). However, almost all preclinical and clinical studies of cell therapy performed heretofore have based their assessment of efficacy on the outcome of

#### ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

CM = cardiomyocyte
<b>CPC</b> = cardiac progenitor cell
Cx43 = connexin 43
EC = endothelial cell
hiPSC = human induced pluripotent stem cell
HLA = human leukocyte antigen
hPSC = human pluripotent stem cell
MHC = major histocompatibility complex
MI = myocardial infarction
<b>PSC</b> = pluripotent stem cell
<b>TNF</b> = tumor necrosis factor

Diseases	Trial Design	Sample Size	Cell Type	Cell Source	<b>Delivery Route</b>
HLHS	Nonrandomized (phase 1)	7	Autologous CDC	Cardiosphere	IC
HLHS	Randomized (phase 2)	34	Autologous CDC	Cardiosphere	IC
Ischemic heart disease	Randomized control (phase 2)	90	Autologous BMCs	Bone marrow	IC vs. IM
Ischemic heart disease	Randomized control (phase 3)	271	Autologous MSCs	Bone marrow	IM
Nonischemic cardiomyopathy	Randomized control (phase 2)	22	Allogeneic MSCs	Bone marrow	IV
Refractory angina	Randomized control (phase 2)	31	Autologous CD133 <sup>+</sup> cells	Bone marrow	TEP
Ischemic vs. nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy	Nonrandomized (phase 1)	27	Autologous skeletal stem-cell sheets	Skeletal muscle (vastus medialis)	Sutured to heart surface
Nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy	Randomized (phase 2)	37	Allogeneic MSC (19 patients) vs. autologous MSC (18 patients)	Bone marrow	TEP
STEMI	Randomized control (phase 2)	188	Autologous BMCs	Bone marrow	IC

BMC = bone marrow-derived cell; BNP = B-type natriuretic peptide; CDC = cardiosphere-derived cells; HLHS = hypoplastic left heart syndrome; hMSC = human mesenchymal stem cell; IC = intracoronary infusion; IM = intramyocardial injection; IV = intravenous; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; MSC = mesenchymal stem cell; NT-proBNP = N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; RVEF = right ventricular ejection fraction; STEMI = ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; TEP = transendocardial injection; TNF = tumor necrosis factor.

1 administration of a cell product. The problem of modest or no beneficial effects might be overcome by repeated cell doses. The rationale is that just as most pharmacological agents are ineffective when given once, but can be highly effective when given repeatedly, a cell product might be ineffective or modestly effective as a single treatment, but might be quite efficacious if given repeatedly.

On the basis of preclinical data performed in large animal models, human testing of various adult cell sources has progressed from phase I to phase III trials (24,25). From a mechanistic standpoint, cell therapy in the iterations described earlier reduces tissue fibrosis, restores tissue perfusion, has a powerful anti-inflammatory effect, and stimulates myogenesis, largely by promoting endogenous myogenesis (24). Moreover, clinical trial activity is extending into numerous cardiomyopathic disease states, including hypoplastic left heart syndrome, adriamycin-induced cardiomyopathy, and idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. Future efforts to apply tissue-engineering strategies in the clinical setting will emerge following appropriate preclinical testing.

#### CELL ENGRAFTMENT

As many as 1 billion CMs are lost during MI, and although the typical dose of transplanted cells may approach or exceed this number, just 0.1% to 10% of the cells are engrafted into the myocardium and continue to survive for more than a few weeks after transplantation (26,27). Much of this attrition can be attributed to the harsh environment in and near the region of the infarct; thus, one strategy for improving engraftment and survival of transplanted cells is to use natural or artificial biomaterials that provide a protective environment for the transplanted cells (28-30). Overall, published reports indicate that the functional benefits of the cardiac cell patch therapy critically depend upon the longer-term structural integrity of the cell patches. Maintenance of longerterm graft size remains a major challenge in preclinical trials of cell therapy.

#### IMMUNOGENICITY

One of the chief benefits of human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs) is that they can be generated from a patient's own somatic cells, and consequently are not expected to provoke an immune response after transplantation. Although this approach holds appeal for avoiding pharmacological immunosuppression and associated complications, it requires a substantial time window (months) for hiPSC generation, followed by differentiation and graft formation. Thus, this autologous approach is better suited to treatment of more chronic heart failure with existing technology. However, some studies suggest that the immune tolerance of patient-specific induced PSC-derived cells may vary depending on the cells' lineage (31-34). Questions regarding immunogenicity are often addressed by performing experiments in mice with humanized immune systems, but the currently available models have a limited lifespan and inconsistent immune response, perhaps because the animals' endogenous immune system is eliminated with sublethal doses of radiation. Collectively, these observations suggest that translation of hiPSC-derived cell technology to

TABLE 1 Continued							
	Summ						
Follow-Up	Heart Function	Others	Trial Name/Identifier				
18-36 months	↑ RVEF	Improved somatic growth	TICAP/NCT01273857 (78,79)				
12 months	↑ RVEF	Reduced fibrosis, improved somatic growth	PERSEUS/NCT01829750 (80)				
6-12 months	↑ LVEF in IM group, but not in IC group	↓NT-proBNP in IM group, but not IC group	REGENERATE-IHD/NCT00747708 (81)				
39 weeks	No change LVEF	$\downarrow$ incidence of sudden or aborted sudden deaths	CHART-1/NCT01768702 (82)				
90 days	No change LVEF	$\uparrow$ health status and $\downarrow$ circulating inflammatory cells	NCT02467387 (83)				
1, 4, 6, and 12 months	No change LVEF	↓ angina	REGENT-VSEL/NCT01660581 (84)				
12 months	↑ LVEF in patients with ischemic heart diseases, but not in patients with nonischemic heart diseases	BNP in patients with ischemic heart diseases, but not in patients with nonischemic heart diseases	UMIN000003273 (85)				
12 months	↑ LVEF in allo-MSC group, but not in the auto-MSC group	↓ TNF-α, to a greater extent with allo-hMSCs vs. auto-hMSCs at 6 months	POSEIDON-DCM/NCT01392625 (24)				
6 months	↑ LVEF in BMC group	No treatment effect in irradiated BMC group	BOOST-2/ISRCTN17457407 (86)				

clinical applications will likely require testing of the immunogenicity of each hiPSC-derived cell lineage in a new generation of models that can provide more consistent and reliable results.

Allograft rejection is primarily mediated by host-derived reactive T lymphocytes that recognize non-self human leukocyte antigens (HLAs) on the surface of transplanted donor cells. Humans have 2 main categories of HLAs: major histocompatibility complex (MHC) classes I and II. The Townes laboratory recently showed that surface expression of HLA class I molecules can be largely eliminated in a line of human embryonic stem cells (H9) using the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/ CRISPR-associated protein (Cas) gene-editing system to knock out both alleles of the gene for B2 microglobulin, which is essential for cell-surface expression of HLA class I and stability of the peptide-binding groove. CRISPR/Cas gene editing has also been used to knock out the Class II MHC transactivator (CIITA) in human ECs, and the CIITA-knockout ECs could be transplanted into mice without producing an immune response (35). The degree of differentiation of the hiPSCs derivatives engrafted may also influence the immunogenicity of the tissue grafts. This is particularly relevant for tissue-engineering applications, because even when professional antigen-presenting cells are depleted, cell-mediated allograft rejection can still occur (36). This is perhaps because human ECs activate alloantigen-reactive memory CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells via a mechanism requiring expression of class II MHCs. Thus, gene-editing technologies may enable researchers to create "universal donor" hiPSC lines, as well as hiPSC-derived cells and engineered tissues with substantially higher rates of engraftment that can be used to treat a wider variety of patients and diseases.

#### IMMUNOMODULATION

As described earlier, stem cell engraftment rates and survival following transplantation are disappointingly low. Moreover, among surviving transplanted progenitor cells, the demonstrable magnitude of differentiation into functional CMs has been variable, ranging from no evidence of CM differentiation to generation of small, apparently integrated CMs (22,26,37-39). Most studies of cell therapy seek to intervene therapeutically either after MI or during chronic heart failure. The proinflammatory environment of the failing heart may also be responsible for the reported functional benefits of cardiac cell therapies. As both of these pathological scenarios exhibit heightened inflammatory activation and innate and adaptive immune cell infiltration in the myocardium (40-43), these microenvironmental factors may be important contributors to the suboptimal responses to cell therapy. For example, tumor necrosis factor (TNF), a proinflammatory cytokine elaborated by both immune cells and failing CMs, restrains CM differentiation of resident cardiac stem cells, and can channel an alternate neuroadrenergic-like fate in vitro (44); these effects would be expected to diminish the reparative effects of stem cell therapy. Such findings suggest that immunomodulation of the proinflammatory microenvironment in recipients of progenitor cell therapy may be a high-yield strategy to enhance cell engraftment and CM differentiation. To date, such approaches have been relatively unexplored, but could include targeting specific innate

immune cell populations (e.g., infiltrating and proinflammatory macrophages), specific cytokines (e.g., TNF), and/or antigen-independent T-cell responses. These methodologies would be complementary to the suppression of antigen-dependent MHC responses described earlier, and would ideally comprise circumscribed interventions designed to improve cell engraftment at the time of delivery and subsequent CM differentiation during initial repair. Interestingly, mesenchymal stem cells suppress TNF levels substantially, and this effect may therefore contribute to some of the positive effects of these cells in clinical trials (24).

#### ARRHYTHMOGENESIS

Injected hPSC-derived CMs have not been associated with arrhythmias in rodents, but when the dose was scaled up for delivery to nonhuman primates (macaques), all 4 of the cell-treated animals experienced periods of premature ventricular contractions and/or ventricular tachycardia (45). These results were recently confirmed in a larger set of macaques upon allogeneic transplantation of macaque PSCderived CMs suspended in a prosurvival cocktail (46). The discrepancy between observations in rodents and macaques may have occurred because the large dose of cells administered to macaques was accompanied by a dramatic (≥10-fold) increase in tissue graft size. As the action potential passes through the myocardium, the anatomic and/or functional heterogeneity introduced by these large regions of immature, electrically active tissue may slow down or partially block conduction, thus setting the conditions for life-threatening re-entrant arrhythmias (47). Because they are electrically immature and contain sinoatrial nodal cells, hPSC CMs possess autonomous pacemaking activity and consequently, are capable of ectopic beats that could further precipitate arrhythmia induction. If exogenous cells indeed engraft at much higher rate, this may result in even more severe ventricular arrhythmias. Of note, compared with human hearts, macaque hearts are much smaller and their resting rate is much higher, which raises doubts as to their suitability for predicting arrhythmogenic risks in humans. Although larger animals, such as pigs, are a better model of human heart physiology, objective assessment of human cell therapies in large animals will require adequate immunosuppression, which may be easiest to achieve in nonhuman primates.

In adult mammalian hearts, electrical propagation and myocardial contractions are coordinated primarily through the gap-junction proteins connexin 40, connexin 43 (Cx43), and connexin 45 (48), of which Cx43 is by far the most abundant. Cx43 is expressed in both atrial and ventricular myocytes (49), and deficiencies in Cx43 expression or organization have been linked to development of arrhythmias in patients with heart failure and other cardiomyopathies (48,50,51). Furthermore, previous studies (52) suggested that the risk of arrhythmogenic complications from transplanted cells may decline substantially if the cells were genetically modified to overexpress Cx43. Thus, graft-associated arrhythmogenicity may be substantially reduced by using gene-editing technologies to increase expression of gap-junction proteins in transplanted CMs or surrounding nonmyocytes. Still, the small cell size and immature expression and distribution of ion channels and gap junctions in transplanted CMs, as well as the isotropic architecture of the grafts, likely contributed to the occurrence of arrhythmias in macaques, despite proven host-graft Cx43 coupling (30,46). Additional experimental and computational studies are warranted to establish critical structural and functional properties of transplanted grafts leading to increased arrhythmia susceptibility.

Furthermore, the results from a recent study (53) suggest that intramyocardially injected cardiac microtissue particles (consisting of ~1,000 cells/ particle) suspended in prosurvival cocktail produce grafts that are electrically coupled to the native myocardium, but an epicardially implanted engineered cardiac tissue patch does not. The combined use of genetically encoded fluorescent calcium reporters (e.g., GCaMP) (45,46) targeted to transplanted cells and voltage-sensitive dyes with nonoverlapping emission spectra labeling host myocardial tissue will be valuable for exploring the mechanisms of arrhythmogenesis and evaluating the effectiveness of strategies for improving electrome-chanical integration of engineered myocardial grafts.

#### MYOCARDIAL BIOENERGETICS

The contractile activity of engineered myocardial tissue is expected to contribute directly to myocardial performance, but improvements can also evolve through the release of cytokines that promote angiogenesis, activate endogenous progenitor cells (20,54), or stimulate other beneficial paracrine pathways. Furthermore, the damage induced by an acute infarct event is exacerbated by chronic myocardial overload, dilation, and overstretching, which increase wall stress and can lead to metabolic abnormalities, such as declines in the rate of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) use or in the ratio of phosphocreatine to ATP in surrounding CMs (55-58). These bioenergetic abnormalities were largely corrected when hiPSCs were differentiated into hiPSC-ECs and hiPSC-SMCs, and then suspended in a fibrin scaffold positioned over the site of infarction in swine hearts (55). Thus, a considerable amount of the benefit associated with engineered tissue transplantation may stem from the structural support of the graft or its cytokine production, in addition to direct remuscularization of the injured region.

#### ENGINEERED ORGANS

Current limitations of human organ transplants have prompted researchers to consider use of xenogeneic organs as an alternative strategy. For example, functional pancreatata composed of rat cells have been generated in mice by injecting murine blastocysts with rat PSCs (59), and then transplanting the blastocysts into surrogate mouse dams. Importantly, the blastocysts could not generate the target organ because they expressed a mutated form of Pdx1, the master regulatory gene for pancreatic development, and consequently provided a niche for the development of the wild-type rat organ. This "blastocyst complementation" strategy has also been used to produce livers and kidneys in rodents and pancreatata in pigs (60-62), whereas members of the Garry laboratory used an analogous approach that combined gene editing with somatic cell nuclear transfer to engineer pig embryos that lacked cardiovascular cells, and then rescued this deficiency with wild-type, green fluorescent protein-labeled pig blastomeres. Although these studies support the feasibility of generating patient-specific organs that can be used as models for preclinical work or, perhaps, as organs for transplantation therapy, the utility of this technology will remain limited until methods for generating organs from human stem cells become more efficient.

#### STIMULATING ENDOGENOUS REGENERATION AND REPAIR

In addition to transplantation of exogenous stem cells and engineered tissues or organs, the ability to stimulate endogenous cardiac repair could eventually lead to development of effective cell-free therapies for MI. Lower organisms, such as the newt and zebrafish, as well as neonatal mice, have a tremendous ability to regenerate from severe myocardial injury (22,63), but the regenerative capacity of adult mouse and human hearts is much more limited. Nevertheless, studies that map cell fate or use radiocarbon dating indicate that both murine and human CMs are continually replaced, albeit at a very low rate: an average of  $\sim 1\%$  of human CMs are newly formed each year, with roughly one-half of the cells replaced over a lifetime (59,64). A number of studies indicate that adult hearts can be remuscularized through the proliferation and differentiation of c-Kit<sup>+</sup> CPCs, and endogenous CPCs may also release exosomes or paracrine factors that modulate the repair process and promote neovascularization. Nevertheless, genetic fate-mapping assessments by van Berlo et al. (65,66) indicated that although c-Kit<sup>+</sup> CPCs can give rise to CMs, they do so in an extremely limited fashion. Newly emerging studies are showing that endogenous repair mechanisms can be dramatically up-regulated (67).

Furthermore, although the fibroproliferative response (i.e., scar formation) is beneficial for short-term stability at the injury site, it interferes with subsequent repair processes, such as vascular growth and potentially remuscularization. Thus, researchers have also begun to investigate methods for control-ling or reverting fibrosis by reprogramming fibro-blasts into CMs or ECs (68-70), and by identifying the cellular source(s) contributing to scar formation (71,72). The use of tissue-engineered systems may increase in vitro efficacy and improve understanding of the direct cardiac reprogramming processes (73,74), as well as permit well-controlled mechanistic studies of CM/nonmyocyte interactions (75).

#### DISPARITY BETWEEN PRECLINICAL AND CLINICAL STUDY RESULTS

The positive results from studies of cell therapy for the treatment of MI in small-animal models have generally not been observed in clinical trials. For example, results from a phase I clinical trial indicated that although intracoronary administration of autologous cardiac sphere-derived stem cells associated with a significantly decreased infarction size in patients with acute MI, left ventricular chamber function did not improve (76). Many of the factors that determine the effectiveness of cell- or engineered-tissue-based therapies likely depend both on the unique characteristics of each specific disease state and on complex interactions among numerous mechanisms of action, but these variables cannot be adequately or safely explored in clinical investigations. Future tissueengineering therapies for MI are expected to face the



containing pluripotent stem cell-derived tri-cardiac cells) could enhance the therapeutic effects by an increased engraftment rate, which in turn, results in prolonged release of cytokines, reduction in left ventricular (LV) dilation and LV wall stresses. A major challenge that remains to be addressed is the potential arrhythmia risks associated with a large graft.

same logistic issues. Thus, relevant large-animal models of myocardial dysfunction are critical for identifying, characterizing, and quantifying the physiological response to cell and tissue transplantation therapies, as well as the optimal cell or biomaterial type, dose, timing, and route of administration, to ensure that each patient receives the maximum possible benefit while avoiding the complications associated with overtreatment.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Although adult bone marrow- or myocardium-derived progenitors can offer multiple paracrine benefits to surviving CMs in the infarcted heart, they are unlikely to contribute to the formation of de novo working myocardium (77). CMs derived from PSCs can address this challenge, but are more complicated to use in autologous therapies and potentially less robust to

surviving transplantation. Although the jury for the optimal cell source is still out, it is possible that different disease applications will require different cell types, and that a mixture of immune-matched or immune-engineered PSC-derived CMs and hostderived stromal progenitors will prove optimal in inducing heart remuscularization while supporting cell survival and engraftment. Notably, applications of pre-formed engineered cardiac tissue patches with specifically tailored cell compositions could significantly increase both the survival and the beneficial effects of transplanted cells. Furthermore, because paracrine factors, including extracellular vesicles, are responsible for much of the observed beneficial effects of cardiac cell therapy, a maintained tissue patch could serve as a continued source of such beneficial paracrine signaling to the native heart tissue (Central Illustration). As our understanding of exosomal biology advances, patches can be engineered to optimize this signaling for cardiac regeneration. Use of genome-editing technologies may further enhance the potency and functional integration of delivered cells. Major challenges will be to address the potential arrhythmogenicity risks associated with a large graft. With exciting translational prospects ahead, future studies to optimize engineered cardiac tissue therapies in large animals and decipher the mechanisms of action are fully warranted.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS The authors gratefully acknowledge the National Institutes of Health (NIH) support of their research, NIH UO1 HL134764, the Progenitor Cell Biology Consortium (grant HL099997), and the Symposium held at University of Alabama-Birmingham in March 2016. This work was a product of discussions at the NIH Progenitor Cell Biology Consortium Cardiovascular Tissue Engineering Symposium, March 2016.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr. Jianyi (Jay) Zhang, Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Alabama at Birmingham, 1670 University Boulevard, Volker Hall, Birmingham, Alabama 35294. E-mail: jayzhang@uab.edu.

#### REFERENCES

**1.** Nguyen PK, Rhee JW, Wu JC. Adult stem cell therapy and heart failure, 2000 to 2016: a systematic review. JAMA Cardiol 2016;1:831-41.

**2.** Lalit PA, Salick MR, Nelson DO, et al. Lineage reprogramming of fibroblasts into proliferative induced cardiac progenitor cells by defined factors. Cell Stem Cell 2016;18:354-67.

**3.** Zhang Y, Cao N, Huang Y, et al. Expandable cardiovascular progenitor cells reprogrammed from fibroblasts. Cell Stem Cell 2016;18:368–81.

**4.** Jackman CP, Carlson AL, Bursac N. Dynamic culture yields engineered myocardium with near-adult functional output. Biomaterials 2016;111: 66-79.

**5.** Jackman CP, Shadrin IY, Carlson AL, Bursac N. Human cardiac tissue engineering: from pluripotent stem cells to heart repair. Curr Opin Chem Eng 2015;7:57-64.

**6.** Zhang D, Shadrin IY, Lam J, Xian HQ, Snodgrass HR, Bursac N. Tissue-engineered cardiac patch for advanced functional maturation of human ESC-derived cardiomyocytes. Biomaterials 2013;34:5813–20.

**7.** Zhang J, Klos M, Wilson GF, et al. Extracellular matrix promotes highly efficient cardiac differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells: the matrix sandwich method. Circ Res 2012;111:1125-36.

**8.** Lian X, Hsiao C, Wilson G, et al. Robust cardiomyocyte differentiation from human pluripotent stem cells via temporal modulation of canonical Wnt signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012;109:E1848-57.

**9.** Yang L, Geng Z, Nickel T, et al. Differentiation of human induced-pluripotent stem cells into

smooth-muscle cells: two novel protocols. PLoS One 2016;11:e0147155.

**10.** Ye L, Zhang S, Greder L, et al. Effective cardiac myocyte differentiation of human induced pluripotent stem cells requires VEGF. PLoS One 2013;8: e53764.

**11.** Zhang S, Dutton JR, Su L, Zhang J, Ye L. The influence of a spatiotemporal 3D environment on endothelial cell differentiation of human induced pluripotent stem cells. Biomaterials 2014;35: 3786-93.

**12.** Campagnolo P, Tsai TN, Hong X, et al. c-Kit+ progenitors generate vascular cells for tissueengineered grafts through modulation of the Wnt/Klf4 pathway. Biomaterials 2015;60:53-61.

**13.** Dorn GW II, Vega RB, Kelly DP. Mitochondrial biogenesis and dynamics in the developing and diseased heart. Genes Dev 2015;29:1981-91.

**14.** Dorn GW II, Kitsis RN. The mitochondrial dynamism-mitophagy-cell death interactome: multiple roles performed by members of a mitochondrial molecular ensemble. Circ Res 2015;116: 167-82.

**15.** Chung S, Dzeja PP, Faustino RS, Perez-Terzic C, Behfar A, Terzic A. Mitochondrial oxidative metabolism is required for the cardiac differentiation of stem cells. Nat Clin Pract Cardiovasc Med 2007;4 Suppl 1:S60-7.

**16.** Kasahara A, Cipolat S, Chen Y, Dorn GW II, Scorrano L. Mitochondrial fusion directs cardiomyocyte differentiation via calcineurin and Notch signaling. Science 2013;342:734-7.

**17.** Wendel JS, Ye L, Zhang P, Tranquillo RT, Zhang JJ. Functional consequences of a tissueengineered myocardial patch for cardiac repair in a rat infarct model. Tissue Eng Part A 2014;20: 1325-35.

**18.** Ruwhof C, van Wamel AE, Egas JM, van der Laarse A. Cyclic stretch induces the release of growth promoting factors from cultured neonatal cardiomyocytes and cardiac fibroblasts. Mol Cell Biochem 2000;208:89-98.

**19.** van Wamel AJ, Ruwhof C, van der Valk-Kokshoorn LJ, Schrier PI, van der Laarse A. Stretch-induced paracrine hypertrophic stimuli increase TGF-beta1 expression in cardiomyocytes. Mol Cell Biochem 2002;236:147-53.

**20.** Masumoto H, Matsuo T, Yamamizu K, et al. Pluripotent stem cell-engineered cell sheets reassembled with defined cardiovascular populations ameliorate reduction in infarct heart function through cardiomyocyte-mediated neovascularization. Stem Cells 2012;30:1196-205.

**21.** Martin CM, Meeson AP, Robertson SM, et al. Persistent expression of the ATP-binding cassette transporter, Abcg2, identifies cardiac SP cells in the developing and adult heart. Dev Biol 2004; 265:262-75.

**22.** Wang X, Hu Q, Nakamura Y, et al. The role of the sca-1+/CD31- cardiac progenitor cell population in postinfarction left ventricular remodeling. Stem Cells 2006;24:1779-88.

**23.** Tokita Y, Tang XL, Li Q, et al. Repeated administrations of cardiac progenitor cells are markedly more effective than a single administration: a new paradigm in cell therapy. Circ Res 2016;119:635-51.

24. Hare JM, DiFede DL, Castellanos AM, et al. Randomized comparison of allogeneic versus autologous mesenchymal stem cells for nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy: POSEIDON-DCM Trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017;69:526-37.

**25.** Karantalis V, Suncion-Loescher VY, Bagno L, et al. Synergistic effects of combined cell therapy for chronic ischemic cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;66:1990-9.

**26.** Zeng L, Hu Q, Wang X, et al. Bioenergetic and functional consequences of bone marrow-derived multipotent progenitor cell transplantation in hearts with postinfarction left ventricular remodeling. Circulation 2007;115:1866-75.

**27.** Guo Y, Wysoczynski M, Nong Y, et al. Repeated doses of cardiac mesenchymal cells are therapeutically superior to a single dose in mice with old myocardial infarction. Basic Res Cardiol 2017; 112:18.

**28.** Ban K, Park HJ, Kim S, et al. Cell therapy with embryonic stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes encapsulated in injectable nanomatrix gel enhances cell engraftment and promotes cardiac repair. ACS Nano 2014;8:10815–25.

**29.** Segers VF, Lee RT. Biomaterials to enhance stem cell function in the heart. Circ Res 2011;109: 910-22.

**30.** Vunjak-Novakovic G, Lui KO, Tandon N, Chien KR. Bioengineering heart muscle: a paradigm for regenerative medicine. Annu Rev Biomed Eng 2011;13:245-67.

**31.** Zhao T, Zhang ZN, Rong Z, Xu Y. Immunogenicity of induced pluripotent stem cells. Nature 2011:474:212-5.

**32.** Zhao T, Zhang ZN, Westenskow PD, et al. Humanized mice reveal differential immunogenicity of cells derived from autologous induced pluripotent stem cells. Cell Stem Cell 2015;17: 353-9.

**33.** Araki R, Uda M, Hoki Y, et al. Negligible immunogenicity of terminally differentiated cells derived from induced pluripotent or embryonic stem cells. Nature 2013;494:100-4.

**34.** Guha P, Morgan JW, Mostoslavsky G, Rodrigues NP, Boyd AS. Lack of immune response to differentiated cells derived from syngeneic induced pluripotent stem cells. Cell Stem Cell 2013:12:407-12.

**35.** Abrahimi P, Chang WG, Kluger MS, et al. Efficient gene disruption in cultured primary human endothelial cells by CRISPR/Cas9. Circ Res 2015; 117:121–8.

**36.** Shiao SL, Kirkiles-Smith NC, Shepherd BR, McNiff JM, Carr EJ, Pober JS. Human effector memory CD4+ T cells directly recognize allogeneic endothelial cells in vitro and in vivo. J Immunol 2007;179:4397-404.

**37.** Hong KU, Guo Y, Li QH, et al. c-kit+ cardiac stem cells alleviate post-myocardial infarction left ventricular dysfunction despite poor engraftment and negligible retention in the recipient heart. PLoS One 2014;9:e96725.

**38.** Malliaras K, Li TS, Luthringer D, et al. Safety and efficacy of allogeneic cell therapy in infarcted rats transplanted with mismatched cardiospherederived cells. Circulation 2012;125:100-12.

**39.** Sanganalmath SK, Bolli R. Cell therapy for heart failure: a comprehensive overview of experimental and clinical studies, current

challenges, and future directions. Circ Res 2013; 113:810-34.

**40.** Ismahil MA, Hamid T, Bansal SS, Patel B, Kingery JR, Prabhu SD. Remodeling of the mononuclear phagocyte network underlies chronic inflammation and disease progression in heart failure: critical importance of the cardiosplenic axis. Circ Res 2014;114:266-82.

**41.** Nevers T, Salvador AM, Grodecki-Pena A, et al. Left ventricular T-cell recruitment contributes to the pathogenesis of heart failure. Circ Heart Fail 2015;8:776-87.

**42.** Prabhu SD, Frangogiannis NG. The biological basis for cardiac repair after myocardial infarction: from inflammation to fibrosis. Circ Res 2016;119: 91–112.

**43.** Sager HB, Hulsmans M, Lavine KJ, et al. Proliferation and recruitment contribute to myocardial macrophage expansion in chronic heart failure. Circ Res 2016;119:853-64.

**44.** Hamid T, Xu Y, Ismahil MA, et al. TNF receptor signaling inhibits cardiomyogenic differentiation of cardiac stem cells and promotes a neuroadrenergic-like fate. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2016;311:H1189-201.

**45.** Chong JJ, Yang X, Don CW, et al. Human embryonic-stem-cell-derived cardiomyocytes regenerate non-human primate hearts. Nature 2014;510:273-7.

**46.** Shiba Y, Gomibuchi T, Seto T, et al. Allogeneic transplantation of iPS cell-derived cardiomyocytes regenerates primate hearts. Nature 2016;538: 388-91.

**47.** Weiss JN, Qu Z, Chen PS, et al. The dynamics of cardiac fibrillation. Circulation 2005;112: 1232-40.

**48.** Fontes MS, van Veen TA, de Bakker JM, van Rijen HV. Functional consequences of abnormal Cx43 expression in the heart. Biochim Biophys Acta 2012;1818:2020-9.

**49.** Davis LM, Rodefeld ME, Green K, Beyer EC, Saffitz JE. Gap junction protein phenotypes of the human heart and conduction system. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 1995;6:813-22.

**50.** Dupont E, Matsushita T, Kaba RA, et al. Altered connexin expression in human congestive heart failure. J Mol Cell Cardiol 2001;33:359-71.

**51.** Kostin S, Rieger M, Dammer S, et al. Gap junction remodeling and altered connexin43 expression in the failing human heart. Mol Cell Biochem 2003;242:135-44.

**52.** Roell W, Lewalter T, Sasse P, et al. Engraftment of connexin 43-expressing cells prevents post-infarct arrhythmia. Nature 2007;450: 819-24.

**53.** Gerbin KA, Yang X, Murry CE, Coulombe KL. Enhanced electrical integration of engineered human myocardium via intramyocardial versus epicardial delivery in infarcted rat hearts. PLoS One 2015;10:e0131446.

**54.** Xiong Q, Ye L, Zhang P, et al. Bioenergetic and functional consequences of cellular therapy: activation of endogenous cardiovascular progenitor cells. Circ Res 2012;111:455-68.

**55.** Xiong Q, Ye L, Zhang P, et al. Functional consequences of human induced pluripotent stem cell therapy: myocardial ATP turnover rate in the in vivo swine heart with postinfarction remodeling. Circulation 2013;127:997-1008.

**56.** Bolognese L, Neskovic AN, Parodi G, et al. Left ventricular remodeling after primary coronary angioplasty: patterns of left ventricular dilation and long-term prognostic implications. Circulation 2002;106:2351-7.

**57.** Hu Q, Wang X, Lee J, et al. Profound bioenergetic abnormalities in peri-infarct myocardial regions. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2006;291:H648-57.

**58.** Feygin J, Mansoor A, Eckman P, Swingen C, Zhang J. Functional and bioenergetic modulations in the infarct border zone following autologous mesenchymal stem cell transplantation. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2007;293:H1772-80.

**59.** Kobayashi T, Yamaguchi T, Hamanaka S, et al. Generation of rat pancreas in mouse by interspecific blastocyst injection of pluripotent stem cells. Cell 2010;142:787-99.

**60.** Usui J, Kobayashi T, Yamaguchi T, Knisely AS, Nishinakamura R, Nakauchi H. Generation of kidney from pluripotent stem cells via blastocyst complementation. Am J Pathol 2012;180:2417-26.

**61.** Bort R, Signore M, Tremblay K, Martinez Barbera JP, Zaret KS. Hex homeobox gene controls the transition of the endoderm to a pseudos-tratified, cell emergent epithelium for liver bud development. Dev Biol 2006;290:44–56.

**62.** Matsunari H, Nagashima H, Watanabe M, et al. Blastocyst complementation generates exogenic pancreas in vivo in apancreatic cloned pigs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2013;110:4557-62.

**63.** Porrello E, Mahmoud A, Simpson E, et al. Transient regenerative potential of the neonatal mouse heart. Science 2011:331:1078-80.

**64.** Bergmann O, Bhardwaj R, Bernard S, et al. Evidence for cardiomyocyte renewal in humans. Science 2009;324:98-102.

**65.** van Berlo JH, Kanisicak O, Maillet M, et al. c-kit+ cells minimally contribute cardiomyocytes to the heart. Nature 2014;509:337-41.

**66.** van Berlo JH, Molkentin JD. Most of the dust has settled: cKit+ progenitor cells are an irrelevant source of cardiac myocytes in vivo. Circ Res 2016;118:17-9.

**67.** Hatzistergos KE, Takeuchi LM, Saur D, et al. cKit+ cardiac progenitors of neural crest origin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015;112:13051-6.

**68.** Mohamed TM, Stone NR, Berry EC, et al. Chemical enhancement of in vitro and in vivo direct cardiac reprogramming. Circulation 2017;135:978-95.

**69.** Nam YJ, Song K, Olson EN. Heart repair by cardiac reprogramming. Nat Med 2013;19:413-5.

**70.** Srivastava D, Yu P. Recent advances in direct cardiac reprogramming. Curr Opin Genet Dev 2015;34:77-81.

**71.** Kanisicak O, Khalil H, Ivey MJ, et al. Genetic lineage tracing defines myofibroblast origin and function in the injured heart. Nat Commun 2016;7:12260.

**72.** Moore-Morris T, Cattaneo P, Puceat M, Evans SM. Origins of cardiac fibroblasts. J Mol Cell Cardiol 2016;91:1-5.

775

**73.** Li Y, Dal-Pra S, Mirotsou M, et al. Tissueengineered 3-dimensional (3D) microenvironment enhances the direct reprogramming of fibroblasts into cardiomyocytes by microRNAs. Sci Rep 2016; 6:38815.

**74.** Sia J, Yu P, Srivastava D, Li S. Effect of biophysical cues on reprogramming to cardiomyocytes. Biomaterials 2016;103:1-11.

**75.** Bursac N, Kirkton RD, McSpadden LC, Liau B. Characterizing functional stem cell-cardiomyocyte interactions. Regen Med 2010;5:87-105.

**76.** Makkar RR, Smith RR, Cheng K, et al. Intracoronary cardiosphere-derived cells for heart regeneration after myocardial infarction (CADUCEUS): a prospective, randomised phase 1 trial. Lancet 2012;379:895–904.

**77.** A futile cycle in cell therapy. Nat Biotechnol 2017;35:291.

**78.** Ishigami S, Ohtsuki S, Tarui S, et al. Intracoronary autologous cardiac progenitor cell transfer in patients with hypoplastic left heart syndrome: the TICAP prospective phase 1 controlled trial. Circ Res 2015;116:653-64.

**79.** Tarui S, Ishigami S, Ousaka D, et al. Transcoronary infusion of cardiac progenitor cells in hypoplastic left heart syndrome: three-year follow-up of the Transcoronary Infusion of Cardiac Progenitor Cells in Patients With Single-Ventricle Physiology (TICAP) trial. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2015;150:1198-207, 1208.e1-2.

**80.** Ishigami S, Ohtsuki S, Eitoku T, et al. Intracoronary cardiac progenitor cells in single ventricle physiology: the PERSEUS (Cardiac Progenitor Cell Infusion to Treat Univentricular Heart Disease) Randomized Phase 2 Trial. Circ Res 2017; 120:1162-73.

**81.** Choudhury T, Mozid A, Hamshere S, et al. An exploratory randomized control study of combination cytokine and adult autologous bone marrow progenitor cell administration in patients with ischaemic cardiomyopathy: the REGENERATE-IHD clinical trial. Eur J Heart Fail 2017;19:138-47.

**82.** Bartunek J, Terzic A, Davison BA, et al. Cardiopoietic cell therapy for advanced ischaemic heart failure: results at 39 weeks of the prospective, randomized, double blind, sham-controlled CHART-1 clinical trial. Eur Heart J 2017;38: 648–60.

**83.** Butler J, Epstein SE, Greene SJ, et al. Intravenous allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells for

nonischemic cardiomyopathy: safety and efficacy results of a phase II-A randomized trial. Circ Res 2017;120:332-40.

**84.** Wojakowski W, Jadczyk T, Michalewska-Wludarczyk A, et al. Effects of transendocardial delivery of bone marrow-derived CD133+ cells on left ventricle perfusion and function in patients with refractory angina: final results of randomized, double-blinded, placebocontrolled REGENT-VSEL Trial. Circ Res 2017; 120:670-80.

**85.** Miyagawa S, Domae K, Yoshikawa Y, et al. Phase I clinical trial of autologous stem cellsheet transplantation therapy for treating cardiomyopathy. J Am Heart Assoc 2017;6: e003918.

**86.** Wollert KC, Meyer GP, Müller-Ehmsen J, et al. Intracoronary autologous bone marrow cell transfer after myocardial infarction: the BOOST-2 randomised placebo-controlled clinical trial. Eur Heart J 2017 Apr 19 [E-pub ahead of print].

**KEY WORDS** biocompatible materials, heart failure, myocardial infarction, myocardium, stem cells