provided by Archivio istituz

### **AUTHOR QUERY FORM**

	Journal:	Please e-mail your responses and any corrections to:
	Journal of Econometrics	
S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S		E-mail: corrections.esch@elsevier.river-valley.com
- Contractions		
ELSEVIER	Article Number: 4350	

Dear Author,

Please check your proof carefully and mark all corrections at the appropriate place in the proof (e.g., by using on-screen annotation in the PDF file) or compile them in a separate list. Note: if you opt to annotate the file with software other than Adobe Reader then please also highlight the appropriate place in the PDF file. To ensure fast publication of your paper please return your corrections within 48 hours.

For correction or revision of any artwork, please consult http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions.

Location in article	Query/Remark click on the Q link to go Please insert your reply or correction at the corresponding line in the proof		
<u>Q1</u>	Your article is registered as a regular item and is being processed for inclusion in a regular issue of the journal. If this is NOT correct and your article belongs to a Special Issue/Collection please contact b.ganeshan@elsevier.com immediately prior to returning your corrections.		
<u>Q2</u>	Please confirm that given names and surnames have been identified correctly.		
<u>Q3</u>	Roman and italics font are used for the variable 'I' in 'I(1)', 'I(2)' and 'I(d)'. We have retained as in manuscript. Please check, and correct if necessary.		
<u>Q4</u>	Please provide caption for supplementary material.		
	Please check this box or indicate your approval if you have no corrections to make to the PDF file		

Thank you for your assistance.

Model 5G

pp. 1–6 (col. fig: NIL)

RTICLE IN PRE

Journal of Econometrics xx (xxxx) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Journal of Econometrics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jeconom

## <sup>Q1</sup> Identification conditions in simultaneous systems of cointegrating equations with integrated variables of higher order

# $_{02}$ Rocco Mosconi<sup>a,1</sup>, Paolo Paruolo<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>A</sup> Politecnico di Milano, Piazza L. da Vinci 32, 20133 Milano, Italy

<sup>b</sup> European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), Via E.Fermi 2749, I-21027 Ispra (VA), Italy

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 10 October 2014 Received in revised form 13 October 2016 Accepted 24 January 2017 Available online xxxx

JEL classification: C32 E32

Keywords: Identification (Multi-)Cointegration I(d) Stocks and flows Inventory models

#### 1. Introduction

The identification problem of system of simultaneous equations (SSE) lies at the heart of classical econometrics, see e.g. Koopmans 3 (1949). Rank (and order) conditions for identification of these systems are well summarized in Fisher (1966) or Sargan (1988). Simultaneous systems of cointegrating (CI) equations have revived interest on SSE over the last three decades, especially for 7 03 variables integrated of order 1, I(1), see Engle and Granger (1987). When identifying restrictions are placed only on the CI parameters, the rank and order conditions for identification for I(1) simultane-10 ous systems of CI equations, here indicated as I(1) SSE, coincide 11 with the classical ones for SSE, see e.g. Saikkonen (1993), Davidson 12 (1994) and Johansen (1995). The present paper discusses identi-13 fication for SSE with integrated variables of order higher than 1, 14 when restrictions are only placed on the CI parameters, and shows 15 that the rank and order conditions have relevant differences in this 16 higher order case. 17

E-mail addresses: rocco.mosconi@polimi.it (R. Mosconi),

paolo.paruolo@jrc.ec.europa.eu (P. Paruolo).

<sup>1</sup> Fax: +39 02700423151.

ABSTRACT

This paper discusses identification of systems of simultaneous cointegrating equations with integrated variables of order two or higher, under constraints on the cointegration parameters. Rank and order conditions for identification are provided for general linear constraints, covering both cross-equation and equation-by-equation restrictions.

© 2017 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

CI SSE with variables integrated of order 2, or I(2) SSE, have been used to accommodate models of stock and flow variables, of inventories, and of consumption, income and wealth see Klein (1950), Hendry and von Ungern-Sternberg (1981) and Granger and Lee (1989). A different rationale for I(2) SSE is provided by the literature on integral control mechanisms in economics initiated by Phillips (1954, 1956, 1957) in relation to the Error Correction Mechanism, EC, see Haldrup and Salmon (1998).

In I(2) systems, CI equations may involve both stocks and flows; these equations are called 'integral control' in the EC literature, or 'multi-cointegrating' relations (multi-CI), see Granger and Lee (1989). They are also a special case of 'polynomial-cointegration' relations, as introduced by Engle and Yoo (1991). A different type of CI equations consists of linear combinations of flow variables only; they represent balancing equations for flows, and they called 'proportional control' relations in the EC literature.

Identification of I(2) SSE has been addressed mostly through 'normalization' schemes, both in the parametric case, see Johansen (1997), and in the semi-parametric approach of Stock and Watson (1993), where the short-run dynamics is not estimated parametrically.

The purpose of the present paper is to discuss the identification problem in the I(d) SSE, with d = 2, 3, ..., allowing for the possibility of over-identification, giving rank and order conditions.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2017.01.007

0304-4076/© 2017 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Please cite this article in press as: Mosconi, R., Paruolo, P., Identification conditions in simultaneous systems of cointegrating equations with integrated variables of higher order. Journal of Econometrics (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2017.01.007

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Fax: +39 0332 785733.

ECONOM: 4350

# ARTICLE IN PRESS

R. Mosconi, P. Paruolo / Journal of Econometrics xx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

These conditions generalize the ones valid for I(1) SSE, to which they reduce setting d = 1.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 gives motivation via a simple model of inventories; Section 3 defines I(2) SSE and discusses observational equivalence; Section 4 presents rank and order conditions. Section 5 discusses identification for higher order systems; Section 6 concludes. Proofs are placed in an Online Appendix.

In the following a := b and b =: a indicate that a is defined by b; (a : b) indicates the matrix obtained by horizontally 10 concatenating *a* and *b*. For any full column rank matrix *H*, col(H)11 is the linear span of the columns of H,  $\overline{H}$  indicates  $H(H'H)^{-1}$  and 12  $H_{\perp}$  indicates a basis of the orthogonal complement of the space 13 spanned by the columns of *H*. Moreover  $P_H := H(H'H)^{-1}H'$ 14 indicates the orthogonal projection matrix on the columns of H, 15 and  $P_{H_1} = I - P_H$  denotes the orthogonal projection matrix on its 16 orthogonal complement. vec is the column stacking operator,  $\otimes$  is 17 the Kronecker product,  $diag(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$  a block-diagonal matrix 18 with  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$  as diagonal blocks. 19

The vector process  $X_t$  is said to be integrated of order d (with 20 integer d), I(d), when  $\Delta^d X_t - m_t = F(L)\varepsilon_t$  is a stationary linear 21 process,  $m_t$  is a deterministic process, *L* is the lag operator,  $\Delta :=$ 22 1 - L and  $F(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{t} F_i z^i$  is convergent in the disk  $U_a := \{z : z \}$ 23 |z| < 1 + a, a > 0. Here it is assumed that F(z) is of full rank over 24  $U_a$  with the possible exception of z = 1, where the MA impact 25 matrix F(1) is assumed to be non-zero, see Johansen (1996). When 26 F(1) is of full rank, the process is said to be 'non cointegrating I(d)'. 27 indicated as ncI(d). 28

### 29 **2. Motivating example**

This section reports a model of inventories taken from Granger 30 and Lee (1989), that motivates the derivations in the paper. 31 Let  $y_t$  and  $w_t$  represent sales and production of a (possibly 32 composite) good. Sales  $y_t$  are market-driven and trending; in 33 particular Granger and Lee assume that they are I(1). Production 34  $w_t$  is chosen to meet demand  $y_t$ , i.e.  $y_t$  and  $w_t$  have the same 35 trend. Hence  $z_t := w_t - y_t$ , the change in inventory, is stationary. 36 This corresponds to a proportional control relationship among the 37 flow variables  $\Delta X_t := (w_t : y_t)'$ ; in other words  $\Delta X_t$  is CI with 38 cointegrating vector (1:-1)', i.e. 39

$$u_0 \quad (1:-1)\Delta X_t = u_{1t}, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $u_{1t}$  is a stationary process. The stock of inventories  $Z_t = \sum_{i=1}^{t} z_i + Z_0$  can be expressed in terms of the cumulated production,  $W_t = \sum_{i=1}^{t} w_i + W_0$  and cumulated sales  $Y_t = \sum_{i=1}^{t} s_i + Y_0$ , as  $Z_t = W_t - Y_t$ . Because  $w_t$  and  $y_t$  are assumed to be I(1),  $W_t$  and  $Y_t$  are I(2).

The principle of inventory proportionality anchors the inventory stock  $Z_t$  to a fraction of sales  $y_t$ , i.e. it implies the multi-CI relationship  $Z_t = ay_t + u_{0t}$ , with  $u_{0t}$  stationary, which may be written as

$$0 (1:-1:0:-a) \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ \Delta X_t \end{pmatrix} = u_{0t}. (2.2)$$

Observe that the CI relations (2.1) and (2.2) form an SSE of two equations,

$${}_{3} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & -a \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_{t} \\ \Delta X_{t} \end{pmatrix} = u_{t}, \tag{2.3}$$

where  $u_t := (u_{0t} : u_{1t})'$  is a stationary error term.

54

The present paper investigates the following question: is the multi-CI vector in (2.2) *unique* (with or without the 0 restriction in

the third entry)? Pre-multiplication of (2.3) by the following  $2 \times 2$  matrix

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.4)

with generic *b*, gives a system of equations with (1 : -1 : b : -(a + b))' in place of (1 : -1 : 0 : -a)' as the first equation (the multi-CI relation). One may expect that, when the third entry of the multi-CI vector is restricted to 0, the first equation (as well as the system) is identified.

This example, which motivates the derivations in the paper, is deliberately very simple, with only one proportional control relationship associated with the differenced (single) multi-CI relation. In the general case, discussed in the following section, there may be additional proportional control relationships.

### 3. I(2) simultaneous system of equations

This section introduces the I(2) SSE, discusses Observational Equivalence (OE) and the class of Q transformations on the CI parameters that induces OE. Let  $X_t$  be a  $p \times 1$  vector of I(2) variables. The multi-CI relations involving  $X_t$  are of the type

$$\beta' X_t + \upsilon' \Delta X_t = \left( \begin{array}{c} \beta' \mid \upsilon' \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ \Delta X_t \end{pmatrix} = \mu_{0t} + u_{0t}$$
(3.1)

where  $\beta$  and v are  $p \times r$  and  $\beta$  is of full column rank r, r < p, and  $u_{0t}$  is stationary. Here  $\mu_{0t}$  denotes a deterministic vector.

The first difference of Eq. (3.1),  $\beta' \Delta X_t + \upsilon' \Delta^2 X_t$ , is also stationary; this implies that  $\beta' \Delta X_t$  is stationary, given that  $\Delta^2 X_t$  is stationary, because  $X_t$  is l(2). Moreover, other CI relations involving only  $\Delta X_t$  can be present in the form  $\gamma' \Delta X_t$ ; taken together the proportional control relations are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma' \\ \beta' \end{pmatrix} \Delta X_t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma' \\ 0 & \beta' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ \Delta X_t \end{pmatrix} = \mu_{1t} + u_{1t}, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\gamma$  is  $p \times s$  and of full column rank, and  $u_{1t} := (u'_{1\gamma,t} : u'_{1\beta,t})'$ is a stationary process,  $u_{1\beta,t} := \Delta u_{0t} - \upsilon' \Delta^2 X_t$ , with  $(u'_{0,t} : u'_{1\gamma,t})'$ an ncl(0) process, and  $\mu_{1t}$  denotes a deterministic vector.

Collecting (3.1) and (3.2), the following system of k := 2r + s stationary SSE results

$$\zeta' \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ \Delta X_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta' & \upsilon' \\ 0 & \gamma' \\ 0 & \beta' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ \Delta X_t \end{pmatrix} = \mu_t + u_t,$$
(3.3)

where  $\zeta'$  indicates the matrix of CI SSE coefficients,  $\mu_t := (\mu'_{0t} : \mu'_{1t})'$  and  $u_t := (u'_{0t} : u'_{1t})'$  is stationary.

Eq. (3.3) is the relevant SSE for the discussion of identification in I(2) cointegrated system. Note that  $\zeta'$  contains 0 entries in the lower left corner and presents cross-equation restrictions, given by the presence of  $\beta'$  in the first and third block of rows.<sup>2</sup>

### 3.1. The identification problem

This section describes the Q transformation that gives rise to the identification problem in the I(2) SSE. Consider pre-multiplying  $\zeta'$  in Eq. (3.3) by Q with

$$Q := \begin{pmatrix} Q_{00} & Q_{0\gamma} & Q_{0\beta} \\ 0 & Q_{\gamma\gamma} & Q_{\gamma\beta} \\ 0 & 0 & Q_{00} \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.4) 100

<sup>2</sup> Note that the CI SSE could be also written as  $\zeta'(X'_t : \Delta X'_{t-h})'$ , because  $\zeta'(X'_t : \Delta X'_{t-h})' - \zeta'(X'_t : \Delta X'_t)' = (\upsilon : \gamma : \beta)'(1 + L + \dots + L^{h-1})\Delta^2 X_t$  is stationary.

57

58

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

Please cite this article in press as: Mosconi, R., Paruolo, P., Identification conditions in simultaneous systems of cointegrating equations with integrated variables of higher order. Journal of Econometrics (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2017.01.007

# ECONOM: 4350

R. Mosconi, P. Paruolo / Journal of Econometrics xx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

where  $Q_{00}$  and  $Q_{\gamma\gamma}$  are non-singular square matrices of order r and s; the number of generically non-zero elements of Q is given by  $q := r^2 + (r+s)^2$ . Pre-multiplying  $\zeta'$  by Q gives rise to an equivalent I(2) SSE; in fact observe that

where  $\beta^{\circ'} := Q_{00}\beta'$ ,  $\gamma^{\circ'} := Q_{\gamma\gamma}\gamma' + Q_{\gamma\beta}\beta'$ ,  $\upsilon^{\circ'} = Q_{00}\upsilon' + Q_{0\gamma}\gamma' + Q_{0\beta}\beta'$ . Notice that the number of integral-control relations (*r*) and of proportional-control relations (*r* + *s*) is unaffected by the Q transformation, and that  $\zeta^{\circ'} := Q\zeta'$  has the same zerorestrictions and cross-equation constraints as  $\zeta'$  in (3.3). This is the identification problem in SSE with I(2) variables.

This identification problem differs from the one encountered in I(1) system where OE is associated with pre-multiplication by any non-singular matrix Q, see Saikkonen (1993), Davidson (1994) and Johansen (1995).

### 17 3.2. Observational equivalence

30

40

41

This subsection shows that (i) the *Q* transformation defines OE values of the parameters in terms of the likelihood and (ii) a similar OE applies to the representation of Stock and Watson (1993), which is used in semi-parametric models. This implies that the rank and order conditions derived in the next subsection apply both in the parametric and in the semi-parametric settings.

<sup>24</sup> Consider first the EC representation of a VAR  $A(L)X_t = \varepsilon_t$  under <sup>25</sup> the conditions of the I(2) representation theorem of Johansen <sup>26</sup> (1992). Here  $A(L) = I - \sum_{i=1}^{h} A_i L^i$  is the AR polynomial, and it <sup>27</sup> is assumed that A(z) is convergent in the disk  $U_a$  and that A(z) is of <sup>28</sup> full rank over  $U_a$  with the possible exception of z = 1.

<sup>29</sup> Johansen (1992) derived conditions under which a VAR process <sup>30</sup> satisfying these assumptions is I(2), see also Johansen (1996) <sup>31</sup> Chapter 4. These conditions are: (i)  $A(1) = -\alpha\beta'$  of reduced rank <sup>32</sup> r < p and (ii)  $P_{\alpha_{\perp}}\dot{A}P_{\beta_{\perp}} = \alpha_{1}\beta'_{1}$  of reduced rank s , and (iii) $<sup>33</sup> <math>P_{(\alpha:\alpha_{1})_{\perp}}(\frac{1}{2}\ddot{A} + \dot{A}\beta\bar{\alpha}'\dot{A})P_{(\beta:\beta_{1})_{\perp}}$  of full rank p - r - s, where  $\dot{A}$  and  $\ddot{A}$  are <sup>34</sup> the first and second derivative of A(z) with respect to z, evaluated <sup>35</sup> at z = 1.

<sup>36</sup> Under conditions (i) and (ii), it can be shown that the VAR model
 <sup>37</sup> can be parametrized as the following EC<sup>3</sup>

$$\Delta^{2}X_{t} = \eta\zeta' \begin{pmatrix} X_{t-1} \\ \Delta X_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} + \Upsilon \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^{2}X_{t-1} \\ \vdots \\ \Delta^{2}X_{t-h+2} \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon_{t},$$

$$\zeta := \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 & 0 \\ \upsilon & \gamma & \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3.6)

The parameters of the model are the unrestricted adjustment matrix  $\eta$ , the CI matrix  $\zeta$ , the short run dynamics matrix  $\Upsilon$  and  $\Omega$ , the variance–covariance matrix of  $\varepsilon_t$ . The Gaussian log–likelihood  $\ell$  associated with observations  $X_1, \ldots, X_T$  and parameters  $\lambda := (\eta, \zeta, \Upsilon, \Omega)$  is proportional to  $\ell(\lambda) := -\frac{1}{2}(T \log \det \Omega + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \varepsilon'_t \Omega^{-1} \varepsilon_t)$ , when  $\varepsilon_t$  is taken to be iid  $N(0, \Omega)$ . The parameter space for  $\lambda := (\eta, \zeta, \Upsilon, \Omega)$  is unrestricted, except for the requirements on  $\zeta$  to have the structure in (3.3) and on  $\Omega$  to be positive definite.

Consider next the square invertible matrix Q in (3.4) and insert  $Q^{-1}Q$  between  $\eta$  and  $\zeta'$  in (3.6); it is simple to observe that  $\lambda := (\eta, \zeta, \Upsilon, \Omega)$  and  $\lambda^{\circ} := (\eta Q^{-1}, \zeta Q', \Upsilon, \Omega)$  produce the same likelihood,  $\ell(\lambda) = \ell(\lambda^{\circ})$ , i.e. that  $\lambda$  is OE to  $\lambda^{\circ}$ . This shows that the class of transformations Q creates OE in terms of the likelihood of the EC (3.6).

The *Q* transformation in (3.4) has a similar effect on the representation in Stock and Watson (1993). Let  $X_t$  be I(2) with MA representation  $\Delta^2 X_t = F(L)\varepsilon_t$ ; under the condition that  $F(z)^{-1}$  has a pole of order 2, there exists some ncl(0) process  $H(L)\varepsilon_t$  and some square and nonsingular matrix  $B := (b_2 : b_1 : b_0)$  of order *p*, with  $b_i$  of dimension  $p \times r_i$ ,  $r_i \ge 0$ , such that one can define  $y_t := B'X_t = (y_t^{2'}, y_t^{1'}, y_0^{0'})'$ , with  $y_t^i = b'_t X_t$ , and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta^2 I_{r_2} & 0 & 0\\ -\theta_{1,2}^1 \Delta & \Delta I_{r_1} & 0\\ -\theta_{0,2}^1 \Delta - \theta_{0,2}^0 & -\theta_{0,1}^0 & I_{r_0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_t^2\\ y_t^1\\ y_t^0 \end{pmatrix} = H(L)\varepsilon_t,$$
(3.7)

see Stock and Watson (1993) eq. (3.2).<sup>4</sup> Collecting terms with equal order of differencing, one can write (3.7) as

$$\begin{pmatrix} b'_{2}\Delta^{2} \\ \gamma'\Delta \\ \beta' + \upsilon'\Delta \end{pmatrix} X_{t} = H(L)\varepsilon_{t}$$
(3.8)

where  $u_t := H(L)\varepsilon_t$  is ncl(0) and

$$\begin{pmatrix} b'_{2} \\ \gamma' \\ \beta' \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} I_{r_{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ -\theta_{1,2}^{1} & I_{r_{1}} & 0 \\ -\theta_{0,2}^{0} & -\theta_{0,1}^{0} & I_{r_{0}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b'_{2} \\ b'_{1} \\ b'_{0} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\upsilon' := - \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{0,2}^{1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b'_{2} \\ b'_{1} \\ b'_{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(3.9) \qquad \epsilon$$

Stock and Watson (1993) take  $B := (b_2 : b_1 : b_0)$  to be a permutation matrix of order  $p^5$ ; this restriction is not necessary, and one can take B to be any appropriate nonsingular matrix, where in particular  $b_i$  and  $b_j$  need not be orthogonal, i, j = 0, 1, 2; see also Boswijk (2000). Note here that  $(b_2 : \gamma : \beta)$  is square and nonsingular, being the product of B, which is nonsingular, and the block triangular matrix in (3.9) with identities on the main diagonal, which is also nonsingular. Representation (3.8) is called SW in the following.

The next theorem shows in what sense SW is Q-invariant.

**Theorem 1** (*Q*-invariance of SW). Let  $X_t$  be I(2) with MA representation  $\Delta^2 X_t = F(L)\varepsilon_t$  where  $F(L)\varepsilon_t$  is I(0) and let (3.7) be its SW, where  $H(L)\varepsilon_t$  is ncl (0). Then  $X_t$  also satisfies the SW

$$\begin{pmatrix} b'_{2}\Delta^{2} \\ \gamma^{\circ'}\Delta \\ \beta^{\circ'} + v^{\circ'}\Delta \end{pmatrix} X_{t} = H^{\circ}(L)\varepsilon_{t}$$
(3.10)

.

42

43

ΔΔ

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

63

65

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

Please cite this article in press as: Mosconi, R., Paruolo, P., Identification conditions in simultaneous systems of cointegrating equations with integrated variables of higher order. Journal of Econometrics (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2017.01.007

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Let  $\Delta^2 X_t = \Pi X_{t-1} + \Gamma \Delta X_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \Upsilon_i \Delta^2 X_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t$ , where condition (i) implies  $\Pi = \alpha \beta'$ . Next define  $\tau := (\beta : \gamma)$  where  $\gamma$  is any matrix that satisfies  $\operatorname{col}(\beta : \gamma) = \operatorname{col}(\beta : \beta_1)$  and consider  $\Gamma = \Gamma P_\tau + \Gamma P_{\tau_\perp} = \lambda_\star \tau' + \alpha \delta \tau'_\perp$  where  $\lambda_\star := \Gamma \overline{\tau}, \delta := \overline{\alpha'} \Gamma \overline{\tau}_\perp$  because  $\alpha'_\perp \Gamma \tau_\perp = 0$  by condition (ii). Adding and subtracting  $\alpha c \tau'$  one obtains  $\Gamma = \lambda \tau' + \alpha \upsilon'$  where  $\lambda := \lambda_\star - \alpha c$  and  $\upsilon' := \delta \tau'_\perp + c \tau' = (c : \delta)$  ( $\tau : \tau_\perp$ )'. Here  $\eta := (\alpha : \lambda)$ . Because ( $\tau : \tau_\perp$ ) is square and nonsingular and no restrictions are placed on  $(c : \delta)$ , this shows that  $\upsilon'$  is not restricted to lie in any specific subspace.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The notation  $y_t^{d+1-i}$  (respectively  $\theta_{d+1-s,d+1-j}^{i-1}$ ) here corresponds to  $y_t^i$  (respectively  $\theta_{s,j}^{d-i}$ ) in Stock and Watson (1993). Moreover,  $r_0 = r, r_1 = s, r_2 = p - r - s$  here correspond to  $k_2, k_1, k_0$  there.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> I.e. a matrix obtained by rearranging the rows or columns of the identity matrix of order *p*.

### ECONOM: 4350

	ARTICL	E IN	PRESS
--	--------	------	-------

R. Mosconi, P. Paruolo / Journal of Econometrics xx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

where  $H^{\circ}(L)\varepsilon_t$  is ncl(0),  $\beta^{\circ'} := Q_{00}\beta'$ ,  $\gamma^{\circ'} := Q_{\gamma\gamma}\gamma' + Q_{\gamma\beta}\beta'$ ,  $\upsilon^{\circ'} = Q_{00}\upsilon' + Q_{0\gamma}\gamma' + Q_{0\beta}\beta'$  are the elements of  $\zeta^{\circ'} = Q\zeta'$ in (3.5) and  $Q_{ij}$  are the blocks of the Q matrix in (3.4),  $i, j = 0, \gamma, \beta$ .

### 4 4. I(2) identification conditions

This section considers the I(2) SSE (3.3) under general linear restrictions on  $\zeta$ . Consider the following linear restrictions

$$\begin{array}{ll}
R'_{\star} \theta = c_{\star}, & \theta \\
m_{\star} \times f_{\star} & f_{\star} \times 1 \end{array} \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{vec} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \upsilon \end{pmatrix} \\
\operatorname{vec} \gamma \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.1}$$

<sup>8</sup> where  $f_{\star} := p(2r + s)$ . The next theorem gives rank and order <sup>9</sup> conditions for (4.1) to identify  $\zeta$ .

**Theorem 2** (*Rank and Order Conditions for I(2) SSE*). A necessary and sufficient condition (rank condition) for the restrictions (4.1) to identify  $\zeta$  in the *I*(2) SSE (3.3) is that the matrix

<sup>13</sup> 
$$R'_{\star}$$
 diag  $(I_r \otimes \zeta, I_s \otimes (\gamma : \beta))$  (4.2)

is of full column rank q, where  $q = r^2 + (r + s)^2$ . A necessary but not sufficient condition (order condition) for (4.2) to have full column rank is that its number of rows is greater than or equal to its number of columns, that is

$$m_{\star} \ge q. \tag{4.3}$$

A few remarks are in order.

19

**Remark 3** (*No Integral Control Relations,* r = 0). If r = 0, then  $I_r \otimes \zeta$  and  $\beta$  are dropped from (4.2) and the rank condition reduces to rank  $R'_{\star}$  ( $I_s \otimes \gamma$ ) =  $s^2$ . This is the usual rank condition for identification in a standard I(1) SSE, see Johansen (1995), due to the fact that the I(2) SSE simplifies into an I(1) SSE in first differences.

**Remark 4** (*No Additional Proportional Control Relations*, s = 0). If s = 0, then  $\gamma$  is dropped from  $\zeta$ , which simplifies into

$$z_7 \qquad \zeta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ \upsilon & \beta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.4}$$

and  $I_s \otimes (\gamma : \beta)$  is dropped from (4.2). The rank condition becomes rank  $R'_{\star}(I_r \otimes \zeta) = 2r^2$ . Note that this is *not* the usual rank condition for identification in a standard I(1) SSE. The I(2) SSE (4.4) in fact still involves  $\upsilon$  and the  $\beta$  block is repeated in the upper left and lower right corners.

**Remark 5** (*Practical Implementation of the Rank Condition*). In order to check the rank condition on matrix (4.2), consider the restrictions (4.1) in explicit form, i.e.  $\theta = H_*\varphi + h_*$ , where  $H_* = R_{\star\perp}$ and  $c_\star = R'_{\star}h_*$ ; here  $\varphi$  contains the unrestricted parameters in  $\theta$ . For given value of  $\varphi$ ,  $\varphi^\circ$  say, one can form  $\theta^\circ$  as  $\theta^\circ = H_*\varphi^\circ + h_*$ , and hence  $\zeta^\circ$  and  $(\gamma^\circ : \beta^\circ)$  using the definition of  $\theta$ . One can then numerically find the rank of  $R'_{\star}$  diag $(I_r \otimes \zeta^\circ, I_s \otimes (\gamma^\circ : \beta^\circ))$  e.g. by computing its singular values.

<sup>41</sup> One way to choose  $\varphi^{\circ}$  can be for instance to generate this as a <sup>42</sup> random draw from some distribution with Lebesgue density, such <sup>43</sup> as the Gaussian. If the rank condition is satisfied outside a set of <sup>44</sup> Lebesgue measure zero, then the probability of drawing an element <sup>45</sup> from this set is zero, see Boswijk and Doornik (2004).

**Remark 6** (*Role of the Order Condition*). The order condition can be used – as in classical SSE – as a preliminary check to control that the number of restrictions in (4.1) is at least equal to q. Next consider the rank and order conditions for equation-byequation constraints, where *i*th column of  $\zeta$  is indicated as  $\zeta_i$  and the *i*th column of  $\gamma$  as  $\gamma_i$ . They can be formulated as follows

$$\begin{array}{ll}
R'_{i} & \zeta_{i} = c_{i}, & i = 1, \dots, r, \\
m_{i \times 2p} & & \\
R'_{i} & \gamma_{i-r} = c_{i}, & i = r+1, \dots, r+s. \\
\end{array}$$
(4.5)

These restrictions are a special case of (4.1), with

$$R_{\star} = \operatorname{diag}(\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2),$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_1 = \text{diag}(R_1, \dots, R_r)$  collects the first *r* equations and  $\mathcal{R}_2 = \text{diag}(R_{r+1}, \dots, R_{r+s})$  the next *s* equations (concerning  $\gamma$ ). The following corollary specializes the rank and order conditions to the case of equation-by-equation restrictions.

**Corollary 7** (Identification, Equation-by-equation Restrictions). Let the restrictions be given as in (4.5); then the ith column of  $\zeta$ , i = 1, ..., r, is identified if and only if

$$\operatorname{rank}(R'_{i}\zeta) = 2r + s, \qquad i = 1, \dots, r;$$
(4.6)

column number i - r in  $\gamma$  for i = r + 1, ..., r + s is identified if and only if:

$$\operatorname{rank}\left(R'_{i}(\gamma:\beta)\right) = r + s, \qquad i = r + 1, \dots, r + s.$$
(4.7)

The joint validity of rank conditions (4.6) for i = 1, ..., r and (4.7) for i = r + 1, ..., r + s is equivalent to the full column rank of (4.2), which can also be expressed equivalently as follows

$$\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}'\left(I_{r}\otimes\zeta\right)\right) = r\left(2r+s\right) \quad and$$
$$\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathcal{R}_{2}'\left(I_{s}\otimes\left(\gamma:\beta\right)\right)\right) = s\left(r+s\right).$$
(4.8)

A necessary but not sufficient condition (order condition) for (4.6) is

$$m_i \ge 2r + s, \qquad i = 1, \dots, r.$$
 (4.9)

Similarly, a necessary but not sufficient condition (order condition) for (4.7) is

$$m_i \ge r+s, \qquad i=r+1, \dots, r+s.$$
 (4.10)

# 5. Systems of equations with integrated variables of higher order

This section discusses the rank and order conditions for the I(*d*) SSE, d = 1, 2, 3, ... In this section *r* and *s* in the previous sections are indicated as  $r_0$  and  $r_1$  respectively, while  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\upsilon$  are indicated here as  $\gamma_0$ ,  $\gamma_1$  and  $\upsilon_{01}$ . As shown in the Online Appendix, the relevant I(*d*) SSE is given by

$$\zeta'_{k \times pd} \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ \Delta X_t \\ \vdots \\ \Delta^{d-1} X_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi'_0 & \psi'_{01} & \psi'_{02} & \dots & \psi'_{0d-1} \\ 0 & \varphi'_1 & \psi'_{12} & & \psi'_{1d-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \varphi'_2 & & \psi'_{2d-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & \varphi'_{d-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ \Delta X_t \\ \vdots \\ \Delta^{d-1} X_t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \mu_t + u_t$$

$$(5.1)$$

where  $u_t$  is I(0),  $\varphi_i := (\gamma_i : \gamma_{i-1} : \ldots : \gamma_1 : \gamma_0) = (\gamma_i : \varphi_{i-1})$ , of dimension  $p \times k_i$  with  $k_i := \sum_{h=0}^{i} r_h$ ,  $k := \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} k_i$ , and  $r_i \ge 0$  is the number of columns in  $\gamma_i$ ,  $i = 0, \ldots, d-1$ ; see Online Appendix for details on the definition of other matrices. System (5.1) is henceforth referred to as an I(*d*) SSE.

Restrictions on the SSE (5.1) are expressed as follows:

$$\underset{m \times f}{R'} \operatorname{vec} \zeta = c. \tag{5.2}$$

Please cite this article in press as: Mosconi, R., Paruolo, P., Identification conditions in simultaneous systems of cointegrating equations with integrated variables of higher order. Journal of Econometrics (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2017.01.007

4

51

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61 62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

89

90

49

## ECONOM: 4350 ARTICLE IN PRESS

R. Mosconi, P. Paruolo / Journal of Econometrics xx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

<sup>1</sup> Here f := kpd. As for the I(2) case, let  $\theta$  be the  $f_{\star} \times 1$ <sup>2</sup> vector containing the generically nonzero elements of  $\zeta$ ; the linear <sup>3</sup> restrictions (5.2) can be equivalently expressed as

$$A \qquad R'_{\star} \quad \theta = c_{\star}. \tag{5.3}$$

<sup>5</sup> Without loss of generality, one can assume that  $f - f_{\star}$  of the <sup>6</sup> restrictions in (5.2) ensure that  $\zeta'$  has a block-triangular structure <sup>7</sup> with cross-equation restrictions,<sup>6</sup> while  $m_{\star} := m - f + f_{\star}$ <sup>8</sup> are possibly (over-)identifying restrictions on  $\theta$ . Because  $\zeta$  and <sup>9</sup>  $\theta$  contain the same parameter matrices, there exists some non-<sup>10</sup> singular matrix *A* with entries equal to 0 or 1 that satisfies vec  $\zeta =$ <sup>11</sup>  $A\theta$ .

The Q transformation that induces lack of identification in (5.1)
is of the form

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{00} & Q_{01} & \dots & Q_{0,d-1} \\ 0 & Q_{11} & \dots & Q_{1,d-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{d-1,d-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q_{jj} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{j,\gamma_j} & Q_{j,\varphi_{j-1}} \\ 0 & Q_{j-1,j-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, \dots, d-1,$$
(5.4)

14

where  $Q_{00}$ ,  $Q_{j,\gamma_j}$  for j = 1, ..., d-1 are square and nonsingular; hence also Q is square and nonsingular. The number of generically non-zero elements of Q is still indicated by q, and g denotes the  $q \times 1$  vector containing the generically non-zero elements of Q in (5.4) that gives rise to lack of identification, and by N the (unique, 0-1) matrix that maps g into vec(Q'), i.e. such that vec(Q') = Ng. One can now state the rank and order conditions for identification of  $\zeta$  in the I(d) SSE.

**Theorem 8** (Rank and Order Conditions for the I(d) SSE). A necessary and sufficient condition (rank condition) for the restrictions (5.2) to identify  $\zeta$  in an I(d) SSE (5.1) is that the matrix

 $_{26} \quad R'(I_k \otimes \zeta)N \tag{5.5}$ 

is of full column rank q. A necessary but not sufficient condition (order
 condition) for the rank condition to hold is

 $m_{\star} \geq q. \tag{5.6}$ 

For the case d = 2, the condition of full rank of (5.5) is equivalent to (4.2) and the order condition (5.6) is equivalent to (4.3).

Remark 9 (Differences with the Rank Condition for Standard SSE). 32 The rank condition in (5.5) can be compared with the one obtained 33 for standard SSE, see e.g. Sargan (1988), Chapter 3, Theorem 1. The 34 matrix  $R'(I_k \otimes \zeta)N$  in the rank condition here is very similar to the 35 matrix  $R'(I_k \otimes \zeta)$  in the standard case, the only difference being 36 the additional multiplicative factor N here. This is due to fact that 37 the class of matrices Q in (5.4) is different from the of square and nonsingular matrices, which is the one that induces OE in standard 39 SSE. 40

**Remark 10** (*The I*(1) *Case is Covered*). In the I(1) case, one has that  $Q = Q_{00}$ , N = I and the rank condition (5.5) reduces to the standard one. Hence Theorem 8 covers also the case d = 1, and it is hence an extension of it.

order. Journal of Econometrics (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2017.01.007

### 6. Conclusions

This paper provides rank and order conditions for identification in I(d) systems, d = 1, 2, ... under general linear hypotheses on the cointegrating vectors. The advantage of the present algebraic approach in the discussion of identification of the I(d) SSE is that it works for all approaches for which the Q transformation induces observational equivalence, which includes parametric and semiparametric specifications.

These results are relevant also when using sequential identification schemes. In fact, one could consider procedure that first aims at identifying  $\beta$  through affine restrictions of the type  $R'_{\circ}$  vec  $\beta = c_{\circ}$ , and subsequently consider identification of  $v, \gamma$ , with or without  $\beta$  fixed. The first-stage identification of  $\beta$  is standard, see Johansen et al. (2010), and the associated rank condition is rank  $R'_{\circ}(I_r \otimes \beta) = r^2$ . When  $\beta$  is identified, the identification problem for  $v, \gamma$  is still associated with a Q transformation of the type (3.4) with  $Q_{00} = I_r$ ; hence the present discussion of identification is relevant also for this sequential procedure.

In particular, the identification analysis of the coefficients in  $\upsilon$ , i.e. the coefficients involving the flow variables in the multi-Cl equations, requires a joint non-standard analysis of  $\upsilon$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$ , since each column of  $\upsilon$  could be replaced with a linear combination of columns in  $\upsilon$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$ .

### Acknowledgments

The authors thank Peter Boswijk, Niels Haldrup, David Hendry, Søren Johansen, Anders Rahbek, Oliver Linton, Tommaso Proietti and two associate editors and two anonymous referees for useful comments on previous versions of the paper. Partial financial support from MUR Grant Cofin2006-13-1140 and Cofin2010J3LZEN is gratefully acknowledged by both authors and from University of Insubria FAR funds by the second author.

### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary material related to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2017.01.007.

### References

Boswijk, P., 2000. Mixed normality and ancillarity in I(2) systems. Econometric Theory 16, 878-904. Boswijk, P., Doornik, J.A., 2004. Identifying, estimating and testing restricted cointegrated systems: An overview. Stat. Neerl. 58, 440-465. Davidson, J., 1994. Identifying cointegrating regressions by the rank condition. Oxf. Bull. Econ. Stat. 56, 105-110. Engle, R., Granger, C., 1987. Co-integration and error correction: Representation, estimation, and testing. Econometrica 55, 251-276. Engle, R., Yoo, S., 1991. Cointegrated economic time series: an overview with new results. In: Engle, Granger (Eds.), Long-run Economic Relations: Readings in Cointegration. Oxford University Press, pp. 237-266. Fisher, F., 1966. The Identification Problem in Econometrics. McGraw-Hill. Granger, C.W.J., Lee, T.H., 1989. Investigation of production, sales and inventory relationships using multicointegration and non-symmetric error correction models. J. Appl. Econometrics 4, S145-S159. Haldrup, N., Salmon, M., 1998. Representation of I(2) cointegrated systems using the Smith-McMillan form. J. Econometrics 44, 303-325. Hendry, D.F., von Ungern-Sternberg, T., 1981. Liquidity and inflation effects on consumers' expenditure. In: Deaton, A. (Ed.), Essays in The Theory and Measurement of Consumers' Behaviour, Cambridge University Press, pp. 237-261. Johansen, S., 1992. A representation of vector autoregressive processes integrated of order 2. Econometric Theory 8, 188-202. Johansen, S., 1995. Identifying restrictions of linear equations with applications to 90 simultaneous equations and cointegration. J. Econometrics 69, 111-132. Johansen, S., 1996. Likelihood-based Inference in Cointegrated Vector Auto-Regressive Models, Second Printing. Oxford University Press. Johansen, S., 1997. A likelihood analysis of the I(2) model. Scand. J. Stat. 24, 433-462. 92 Johansen, S., Juselius, K., Frydman, R., Goldberg, M., 2010. Testing hypotheses in 93 an I(2) model with piecewise linear trends. an analysis of the persistent long

or i = 1, ..., d - 1. swings in the DMK/\$ rate. J. Econometrics 158, 117–129. Please cite this article in press as: Mosconi, R., Paruolo, P., Identification conditions in simultaneous systems of cointegrating equations with integrated variables of higher

5

47 48 49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

45

64 65 66

67

69

70

71

72

73

74

77

78

04 75

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> These concern the fact that  $\varphi_{i-1}$  appears as one component in  $\varphi_i = (\gamma_i : \varphi_{i-1})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ .

6

1

2

3



R. Mosconi, P. Paruolo / Journal of Econometrics xx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

- Klein, L.R., 1950. Stock and flow analysis in economics. Econometrica 18, 236–241.
  - Koopmans, T., 1949. Identification problems in economic model construction. Econometrica 17, 125–144.
     Phillips, A.W., 1954. Stabilisation policy in a closed economy. Econ. J. 64,
- Phillips, A.W., 1954. Stabilisation policy in a closed economy. Econ. J. 64, 290–323.
  Phillips, A.W., 1956. Some notes on the estimation of time-forms of reactions in
  - Phillips, A.W., 1956. Some notes on the estimation of time-forms of reactions in interdependent dynamic systems. Economica 23, 99–113.
- Phillips, A.W., 1957. Stabilisation policy and the time-forms of lagged responses. Econ. J. 67, 265–277.

4

5

6

- Saikkonen, P., 1993. Estimation of cointegration vectors with linear restrictions. Econometric Theory 9, 19–35.
- Sargan, J.D., 1988. Lectures on Advanced Econometrics. Basil Blackwell.
- Stock, J., Watson, M., 1993. A simple estimator of cointegrating vectors in higher order integrated systems. Econometrica 61, 783–820.