



Open Research Online

The Open University's repository of research publications and other research outputs

Closure: A response to Miroslav Holub

Book Section

How to cite:

Monk, John (2003). Closure: A response to Miroslav Holub. In: Gullstrom-Hughes, Rolf and Monk, John eds. The Book of models: ceremonies, metaphor, performance. 2nd edition. Milton Keynes, UK and Stockholm, Sweden: Department of Telematics/Metamorphosis - Centre for Writing and Performance Research, pp. 195–203.

For guidance on citations see [FAQs](#).

© [not recorded]

Version: [not recorded]

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online's data [policy](#) on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.

oro.open.ac.uk

**The
Book
of
Models**

*... we shape or reshape our reality as rehearsal propels our
chosen texts from being mere models towards becoming our
actuality*

10

Closure

A response to Miroslav Holub

John Monk

My first thought in working out a response to Miroslav Holub's essay was that in my professional domain of engineering I cannot recall seeing or hearing the word 'wisdom' being used. It was a reminder that in our use of language there are what mathematicians might call closures; there are domains of discourse that are sustained by a limited vocabulary. These closures manifest themselves in texts that form genres with each genre identified by its own characteristic use of language, and within a particular situations where people talk and write using a limited grammar and vocabulary. Using Wittgenstein's term, people engage in language games and the games they participate in are governed partly by their surroundings and their companions (Wittgenstein 1988 pp.29–32e §60–66).

Engineers, for example, when they are in a professional context might be expected to encompass what we call logic as a part of the framework for their writing and their speech (Heath 1998), and in their professional publications they would also exclude most references to people, except as the constituents of technical categories such as users, drivers or operators. People are transformed into role players and lose their individuality. From within the genre of engineering there is no clear way to criticise this transformation of individuals so there is no call from within the profession for change. The

closure provides a guarantee of its own stability since people, and their institutions, are, in Miroslav Holub's words, 'Bound by the hempen fetters of speech' (See Chapter 9, page 182).

A closure is vulnerable to criticism from without. For example a lack of attention to individuals by engineers would be vulnerable to criticism from students of the novel but since these criticisms are expressed in terms that are outside the engineers' linguistic closure they are not necessarily treated as legitimate expressions, or at least they are not necessarily expressions taken seriously by the engineers.

Actions, too, have their closures. Each profession will have its customary practices, repertoires of actions imitated by the novices, rehearsed by apprentices, and which mark out its membership, and contrast with practices that are considered by the closure's authorities as eccentric, bizarre, improper or unprofessional.

Because the memberships of different groups are defined, in part, by the linguistic closures and routines that individuals help to maintain, the look and sound of wisdom imparted by an engineer would be different from the look and sound of wisdom imparted by a classicist or a politician since the words, phrases and procedures that they have legitimate access to are different. Furthermore there are no extra-linguistic ways of formulating criteria and debating the wisdom offered within the different genres, and this makes it impossible for anyone, besieged in their linguistic citadel, to prove to outsiders that an expression of wisdom in one dominion has a universal precedence over an expression of wisdom in another.

This does not prevent people making claims about wise statements and verifying that, within their favoured genre, statements of wisdom from outsiders have little value. It does not prevent people labelling outsiders as being foolish. But these are claims that cannot be universally substantiated and there are no ultimate grounds for saying that the members of partic-

ular professional group — nurses, physicists, engineers, doctors, or historians, for example — have a monopoly on expressions of wisdom or offer wise decrees with a higher value.

The test for wisdom is action (or inaction). Action in specific circumstances in response to sapient counsel provides the personal experience that lends value to advice. Anything beyond individual experience requires communication which may be part of a conversation, an exchange of letters or simply the casting of a smile or a tear (though it would be only *in extremis* that professional engineers could legitimately bring themselves to weep) but, subject to dissimulation and misconstrual, closed games of communication cannot transport faithfully the value of tendered words or conduct.

WISE ADVICE

Those with wisdom are expected to be able to help others by advising them how to act. Wise people are not necessarily seen taking wise actions themselves, but are seen to impart wisdom so that others act in better ways. Though, of course, advising people is a kind of action and intervening to offer advice is an action too. Primarily wise people provide discrete but crucial influences on other people's actions.

Wisdom seems to imply an ability to predict. Confronted with a situation, a wise person will occasionally advise or act in a way that is not anticipated by others. The consequences of the wise action are said to be better in some way than the consequences of alternative actions. Thus a judgement about wisdom involves comparing what happened with stories about what might have happened. Those attributed with wisdom are judged to be consistently capable of choosing actions that ensure a good outcome overall, or at least outcomes that allay an inevitable trauma. Wise people seem to have a sense that indicates to them what is the right thing to do, and this rare

skill cloaks them in mystery. Sometimes the wise person will say the same as everyone else but sometimes their action or advice will be different and at these times they display a characteristic of the wise; they display their independence from institutions or other people's expectations. (Though at times it might be wise not to display that independence).

Without experience, the valuation it offers and wisdom it bears I must either act arbitrarily or translate a predicament into a puzzle to which I can systematically work out the solution. Systematically means using my training borne from the experience of a text that I treat as being a model of my situation. My solution to the puzzle may also be the creation of a text which I treat as an analogy of the resolution of my specific problem and, because it has been systematically worked out, the solution to the puzzle might be called the reasonable solution.

Alternatively within my linguistic closure, I can draw on handed down moral tales and continue the custom of receiving wisdom in the form of allegories. Allegories have been closely associated religious texts such as the Persian scriptures and in the Bible and with secular texts such as Aesop's fables. These tales often set out circumstances, that report on the actions of wise (or foolish) persons and detail the consequences. These are texts, or narratives, that we treat as being analogous to situations that we might find ourselves in, so the story with its solution to a puzzle provides the model for wise action (or guidance on actions to be avoided). Allegories are a mystical formula for action. They are mystical because they are about situations and characters that people are unlikely to encounter, and because they portray analogies which have to be drawn, the application of an allegory is an uncertain art. The analogy offered by the tale can guide our individual actions, but the tale does not refer to our specific circumstances. There is always a gulf between solving a puzzle, or reading (or listening to) a story or anecdote, and acting.

Soloman's judgement is recorded as a wise judgement. Faced with a dispute over who is the mother of a baby, Solomon utters words which surprise, or even shock us. He commands that the baby is divided into two. The story then shows that this a successful ploy to identify the mother. Faced with the threat we are lead to believe that the mother relinquishes her claim and thus identifies herself. Mercifully the baby was not harmed. Can we be sure the mother was identified? What about the other woman and her grief over losing the child? What if Solomon had been pushed into fulfilling his threat? After all, he was a powerful, aggressive ruler who could not afford to lose face.

Fortunately for us, even if the characters in the legend do mirror people who lived, the situation is beyond our grasp. What we are dealing with is a story, in a text that we can grasp and control. So that we can provide an untested account where Solomon's actions turn out to be wise and the effect of the dramatisation is to present 'Solomon', the powerful ruler, as a model and as an icon of wisdom.

The wise characters in a story, or conundrum, become mythological icons of wisdom and, because of the potential analogies, the stories project sagacity on to people that we encounter and who themselves may become our icons.

One of our present day icons of wisdom is the Internet. On the Internet I found the *Daily Wisdom*, and, on the day I looked, the *Daily Wisdom* related an allegory about an alligator (Rice 1994). An old lady, it seems, was at fault for having let an alligator stay in her pond, the gruesome consequences for her were that she had her hand bitten off. We are encouraged as a result of this story to clear the alligators out of our own pond. But to make use of the story to guide my actions I must try to work out what analogues I would put in place of the alligator, the pond and the old lady (and possibly the severed

hand). That is a problem for me that is not solved by the allegory.

Science too is offered as an icon of wisdom. Science and its claim to provide universal laws offers a means of extrapolation and the potential to make reliable but sometimes counter intuitive predictions. One difficulty is that for many situations the effort involved in computing the future course of events using scientific formulae is impracticably large. An alternative is to ignore some features of a situation, but such simplification may lead to useless predictions. Science does not provide the ultimate test which indicates whether or not it would be wise to use the results of an abridged scientific prediction. With their claimed universality scientific laws are themselves presented as texts that have to be treated as analogues of specific situations that people find themselves in. The premises of science, its laws and its logical deductions relate an allegory. The allegory is not about the situation in which the wise action is being taken but an analogue in which wise words and deductions are a simulation of wise arguments and wise actions. As a simulation they remove the author from the responsibilities that the interpreter of the simulation must bear.

In this respect the scientific adviser appears to be in the same position as the fortune teller or Mrs. Malaprop in Sheridan's play, *The Rival*, who appears to misuse words to create her own special kind of language (Sheridan 1973). In spite of her unusual use of language we believe we know what she intended. Occasionally her apparent misuse creates additional effects which often seem strangely appropriate so that the misuse displays an uncanny wisdom. This may not be her intention; it is our observation.

Miroslav Holub provides an amusing anecdote about the sine of an angle (See Chapter 9, page 193). He presents a situation where a stubborn teacher insists that a trigonometrical calculation is correct even though it involves the sine of an angle

being greater than one. In circumstances that are described it would be foolish to suggest that a sine could be greater than one. After I had heard the story I wondered, “Could you have a sine greater than one?” and the answer is “Yes” — if you work with what mathematicians call complex numbers, then sines greater than one are possible. Now I do not think for a second that this was relevant to Miroslav Holub’s anecdote about a lecture on ballistics, but amongst mathematicians in a present day convention on mathematics what in one context seemed to be a rash and foolish statement would be commonplace and unexceptional. Again it is the listener who must assess the wisdom of the sermon.

BEWARE OF ICONS

Imagine you are viewing a scene with someone in the role of the innocent and inexperienced character not knowing what to do and worried about acting arbitrarily. There is an older person who gives the impression of great experience and seriousness. The elder appears to be wise and talks in mystical ways but is reluctant to openly demonstrate the practicability of his suggestions. Added to this dramatic performance is a chorus that applauds and approves of the unexpectedly good results that the persuasive sage repeatedly seems to achieve, as if by magic, by directing the actions of the neophyte. This is not the setting of a classic tale, a Greek tragedy or a presentation by a conjurer but it is an outline of a television advertisement for a simple metal and plastic vegetable cutter. The dramatisation has appropriated an icon of wisdom, the older, mature person, for the mission to sell. Packaged and delivered by elaborate technology and extensive, veiled institutions, the advertisement can create an image of wisdom that no individual can match. This advertisement followed ancient traditions where quacks have appropriated privileged language and displayed a simulated authority, sorcerers have conducted simulated wars with puzzles, obstacles and traps and conjurers have practised their art of deception; thus through the advertise-

ment persuasive, simulated wisdom can be transformed into a commodity embracing products and services.

So in a world where models of wisdom are mystics like Merlin, Obi Wan Kanobe or Gandalf, or the healthy members of our schools of beauty who populate our mass media, it is the quarry who needs to exercise judgement. A judgement has to be made about how seriously we are to treat our icons of wisdom as we encounter them. Wisdom is in the hands of the adjudicator rather than the purveyor of icons. Ultimately the responsibility for behaving wisely rests with individuals who must judge the advice they are given.

The V-chip is a proposed electronic device that will censor TV programmes (Blakey 1996; Myers 1996). The aim is to prevent children seeing programmes considered unsuitable for youngsters. Some authority will then electronically mark the broadcasts. The V-chip, if enabled, will prevent the marked programmes from being displayed. Similarly software is available for Internet browsers that blocks requests to access documents catalogued by censorship agencies (McCullagh 1996). The responsibility for selecting documents is ceded to the authorities that may, or may not act wisely. On the other hand parents, and indeed the children, could, without the aid of the technology, exercise their own judgement and control and cultivate their own wisdom.

RESOLUTION

There is a requirement to listen, to criticise, to treat authorities with a little scepticism, to evaluate those authorities and to promote the authorities that you find valuable. The authentic way to find what you value is to be loyal to your own feelings, that is calibrate your evaluation against your own experience. If I do not have faith in my feelings, or in my rationalisations then I can either chose arbitrarily, or seek advice. But this turns me towards the wise person, who has experienced much:

should they always to be treated with scepticism? Faced with uncertainty and in an unfamiliar situation we may be anxious about acting arbitrarily and we need authorities to provoke action otherwise we remain frozen by our own neuroses. But in these situations we are vulnerable, easily manipulated and potential prey. That is why we have to get to know what we value and that, as Martha Nussbaum has argued compellingly using the story about Agamemnon's sacrifice of his daughter as an illustration, is found out by experience (Nussbaum 1986 p.36) not from the classroom.

We present and memorize many texts, scientific and literary, as exemplars of wise words without waiting to see if the wisdom is borne out in specific situations. In this way calling our stories wise tales involves a metaphorical use of the word wise and not something to be treated literally. It is simply an indication of our approval of a particular genre. My conclusion is that we mostly use the word 'wisdom' as a metaphor to draw people's attention and to promote what is being said.

Expressions of 'wisdom' require evaluation. Only after an evaluation can we say that an expression is literally wise and not a metaphorical illusion; evaluation requires action, feeling and a critical self-consciousness. Everyone has to experience their own feelings, and must make their own judgements; everyone has to be their own savant. Everyone has to seek their own wisdom, their own icons and their own models, evaluate them, and take responsibility for their interpretation.

Ultimately we fashion and refashion our language as experience transforms metaphorical expressions of wisdom into literal tenets and we shape or reshape our reality as rehearsal propels our chosen texts from being mere models towards becoming our actuality — a ramshackle, recondite scaffold for our actions, which shores up our linguistic closure and frames our points of view.

Bibliography

- (Althusser 1969) L. Althusser, *For Marx*, London: Allen Lane, The Penguin Press, 1969.
- (Ambrose 1979) Alice Ambrose, *Wittgenstein's Lectures: Cambridge, 1932–1935*, Oxford: Blackwell, 1979.
- (Archer 1957) 'The Paradox of Acting' by Denis Diderot and 'Masks or Faces?' by William Archer, introduction by Lee Strasberg, New York: Hill & Wang, Inc., 1957.
- (Aristotle 1996) Aristotle, *The Poetics*, translated by Malcolm Heath, London: Penguin, 1996.
- (Aristotle 1972) Aristotle, 'On the Art of Poetry', published as Chapter 25 of (Dorsch 1972).
- (Aristotle 1991) Aristotle, *The Art of Rhetoric*, translated by H. Lawson-Tancred, Harmondsworth: Penguin Books, 1991.
- (Asplund 1987) Johan Asplund, *Om hälsningscermonier, mikromakt och asocial pratsamhet*, Göteborg: Korpen, 1987, translated & cited in (Molander 1990).

- (A'-Mogensen & Hermerén 1980) L. Aagard-Mogensen & G. Hermerén, *Contemporary aesthetics in Scandinavia*, Sweden: Doxa, 1980.
- (Bacon) Francis Bacon, *The Advancement of Learning*, Book Two, cited by (Molander 1990 p.234).
- (Bakhtin 1984) Mikhail Mikhailovich Bakhtin, *Problems of Dostoevsky's poetics*, edited by Wayne C. Booth, Manchester University Press, 1984.
- (Barba & Savarese 1991a) *A Dictionary of Theatre Anthropology: The Secret Art of the Performer* edited by Eugenio Barba & Nicola Savarese, London: Routledge, 1991.
- (Barba & Savarese 1991b) Eugenio Barba & Nicola Savarese, 'The Provisional Face' in (Barba & Savarese 1991a p.118).
- (Barthes 1990) Roland Barthes, 'mutisme / silence', from *A Lover's Discourse: Fragments*, translated by Richard Howard, London: Penguin Books, 1990.
- (Bateson, 1972a) Gregory Bateson, *Steps To an Ecology of Mind*, London: Intertext Books, 1972.
- (Bateson 1972b) Gregory Bateson, 'A Theory of Play and Fantasy' in Part 3 of (Bateson, 1972a).
- (Bissell & Dillon 1993) C.C. Bissell & C.R. Dillon, 'Back to the backs of envelopes', *The Higher*, 10 Sept., 16, 1993.
- (Black 1962) Max Black, *Models and Metaphors*, Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1962.
-

- (Black 1979) Max Black, 'More on Metaphor' in (Ortony 1979 pp.19–43).
- (Blakey 1996) Bob Blakey, 'Another use for a computer chip: censorship of violence on TV', Calgary Herald, Friday, January 26, 1996.
- (Blumenberg 1979) Hans Blumenberg, *Schiffbruch mit Zuschauer: paradigma e. daseinsmetapher*, Frankfurt: Suhrkamp, 1979.
- (Bonneville 1975) Douglas Bonneville, 'Diderot's Artist: Puppet and Poet' in *Literature and History in the Age of Ideas* edited by Charles G.S. Williams, Ohio State University Press, 1975, pp.245-252.
- (Brecht 1980) Bertholt Brecht, 'The Life of Gallileo', in *Bertholt Brecht Plays Poetry and Prose*, edited by J. Willett & R. Manheim translated by J. Willett, London: Eyre Methuen, Vol. 5, part 1, 1980.
- (Brook 1970) Peter Brook & Grigori Kozintsev (Directors), *King Lear*, a film starring Paul Scofield as Lear., 137 minutes, Denmark, U.K., U.S.S.R.. Produced by Michael Birkett, 1970.
- (Brock & Schanz 1990) S. Brock & S. Schanz, *Imod forstandens forhexelse*, Aarhus: Modtryk, 1990.
- (Burkert 1987) Walter Burkert, 'Die antike Stadt als Festgemeinschaft' in *Stadt und Fest. Zu Geschichte und Gegenwart europäischer Festkultur* edited by Paul Hugger, Stuttgart: Metzler, 1987, pp.25–44.
-

- (Burkert 1990) Walter Burkert, *Wilder Ursprung, Opferritual und Mythos bei den Griechen*, Berlin: Wagenbach, 1990.
- (Capek 1995) Karel Čapek, *Kniha apokryfů*, Prague: Cs.spisovatel, 1955, pp.31–35.
- (Cerrutti 1972) Giorgio Cerrutti, 'Le Paradoxe sur le comédien et le paradoxe sur le libertin, Diderot et Sade', *Revue des sciences humaines* 1972. Cited in (Bonneville 1975 p.245).
- (Cibber 1740) Colley Cibber, *The Laureat, or, the Right Side of Colley Cibber, Esq.*, 1740. Cited in (Archer 1957 p.161).
- (Cicero 1942) Cicero, Marcus Tullius, *De oratore* edited by E.W. Sutton & H. Rackham, Harvard University Press, 1942, ii.45–46.
- (Clairon 1970) Hyppolite Clairon, 'Reflections on Dramatic Art' in (Cole & Chinoy 1970 pp.171–177).
- (Cole & Chinoy 1970) *Actors on Acting* edited by Toby Cole & Helen Krich Chinoy, New York: Crown Publishers Inc., 1970.
- (Coleridge 1957) Samuel Taylor Coleridge, 'Lear' in *Selected Poetry and Prose*. London: Penguin Books, 1957.
-

- (Copeau 1955) Jacques Copeau, 'On Diderot's Paradox' translated from 'Notes sur le métier de comédien: notes recueillies dans le journal et les écrits de Jacques Copeau par Marie-Hélène Dasté', translated by Harold J. Salemsen, Paris: Michael Brient, 1955. Cited in (Cole & Chinoy 1970 pp.223-5).
- (Dascal 1989) M. Dascal, 'Artificial intelligence and philosophy: The knowledge of representation' *Systems Research*, 6(1), 1989, pp.39-52.
- (Davidson, 1984) D. Davidson, 'What Metaphors Mean' in *Inquiries into Truth and Interpretation*, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1984, pp.245-264.
- (Derrida 1976) J. Derrida, *Of grammatology*, Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1976.
- (Derrida 1978) J. Derrida, 'Structure, sign and play in the discourse of the human sciences' from *Writing and Difference* translated by Alan Bass, University of Chicago Press, 1978.
- (Derrida, 1982) J. Derrida, 'White Mythology' in *The Margins of Philosophy*, translated A. Bass, Brighton: Harvester Press, 1982, pp.207-271.
- (Diderot 1765-7) Denis Diderot, letter to Mlle Jodin between 1765 and 1767. Published in (Green 1936 pp.245-6) Extract translated by Rolf Hughes.
- (Diderot 1769) Denis Diderot, 'D'Alembert's dream, 1769' in (Tancock 1966).
-

- (Diderot 1770) Denis Diderot, 'Review of Sticotti's Garrick, ou les Acteurs Anglais', Grimm, *Correspondance littéraire*, 15 October and 1 November 1770.
- (Diderot 1981) Denis Diderot, *Paradox sur le comédien*, original text reproduced in an edition by Flammarion, Paris, 1981, extracts translated by Rolf Hughes.
- (Dorsch 1972) T.S. Dorsch, *Classical Literary Criticism*, Harmondsworth: Penguin, 1972.
- (Dumesnil 1970) Marie-Françoise Dumesnil, 'A Reply to 'Reflections on Dramatic Art of Clairon'' in (Cole & Chinoy 1970 pp.171-177).
- (Eagleton, 1998) T. Eagleton, ' "A revolution on the cheap." Review of M.J. Devaney "Since at Least Plato..." And Other Postmodernist Myths', *Times Literary Supplement* No 4944, January 2 1998, p.8.
- (Eliot 1890) George Eliot, *The Works of George Eliot: Adam Bede*, Vol.1, Edinburgh: Blackwood, c1890.
- (Empson, 1977) W. Empson, *The Structure of Complex Words*, London: Chatto & Windus, 3rd Edition, 1977.
- (Engdahl 1991) Horace Engdahl, 'Dialogue and Enlightenment' in (Göranson & Florin 1991 pp.43-53).
- (Eriksson 1987) Professor Katie Eriksson, *Vårdandets ide* Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell, 1987, cited in (Josefson 1990).
-

- (Fiumara 1995) Gemma Corradi Fiumara, *The Metaphoric Process: Connections between Language and Life* London: Routledge, 1995, Chapter 2, 'The Life of Language'.
- (Frank & Soldati 1989) Manfred Frank & Gianfranco Soldati, *Wittgenstein, Literat und Philosoph*, Pfullingen: Neske, 1989.
- (Freud 1954) S. Freud, *The Interpretation of Dreams*, translated by J. Strachey, London: Allen & Unwin, 1954. For condensation and displacement see pp.279–309. The quotations can be found on pp.319–320.
- (Gaukroger 1978) S. Gaukroger, *Explanatory structures*, Brighton: Harvester Press, 1978.
- (Genova1995) Judith Genova, *Wittgenstein: A Way of Seeing*, London: Routledge, 1995, Chapter 2: Don't Think, Look!
- (Goethe) Goethe, Faust I. Cited in (Wittgenstein 1979b p.51e, §402).
- (Green 1936) *Diderot's Writings on the Theatre* edited by F.C. Green, Cambridge University Press, 1936.
- (Grice 1975) H.P. Grice, 'Logic and Conversation' in *Syntax and Semantics* edited by P. Cole & J.L. Morgan, Vol 3, New York: Academic Press, 1975.
- (Göranzon & Florin 1990) *Artificial Intelligence, Culture and Language: On Education and Work* edited by Bo Göranzon & Magnus Florin, London: Springer, 1990.
-

- (Göranzon & Florin 1991) *Dialogue and Technology: Art and Knowledge* edited by Bo Göranzon & Magnus Florin, London: Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- (Göranzon & Karlqvist 1995) B. Göranzon & A. Karlqvist, 1995, 'Beyond all certainty' in (Göranzon 1995 pp.129–146).
- (Göranzon 1992) B. Göranzon, *The practical intellect*, London: Springer, 1992.
- (Göranzon 1995) *Skill technology and enlightenment: on practical philosophy* edited by B. Göranzon, London: Springer, 1995.
- (Heaney 1979) S. Heaney, 'Oysters' in *Field Work*, London: Faber & Faber, 1979.
- (Heath 1998) M. Heath (Director General of the Engineering Council) quoted in P. Marsh & G. Malkani, 'Engineering better prospects', *Financial Times*, February 27 1998, p.8.
- (Heath 1984) T. Heath, *Method in architecture*, London: John Wiley, 1984.
- (Hemsterhuis 1964) François Hemsterhuis, *Lettre sur l'homme at ses rapports, avec le commentaire inédit de Diderot* translated by Ramon Guthrie, edited by Georges May, New Haven, 1964. Pages 331–333. Cited in (Wilson 1972 p.627).
- (Henry & Utaker 1992) *Wittgenstein and Contemporary Theories of Language* edited by Paul Henry & Arild Utaker, Working Papers from the Wittgenstein Archives at the University of Bergen, 1992.
-

- (Hobson 1995) M. Hobson, 'Diderot, implicit knowledge and architecture: The experience of analogy' in (Göranzon 1995 pp.303–310).
- (Holub 1969) M. Holub, *Poems Before & After: Collected English Translations*. Newcastle upon Tyne: Bloodaxe Books, 1990. Originally published in *Although* 1969.
- (Holub 1997) M. Holub, *The Rampage*, London: Faber & Faber, 1997.
- (Jacob 1987) François Jacob, *La Statue Intérieure*, Paris: Seuil, 1987.
- (Janik 1991) Allan Janik, *Cordelias tystnad: Om reflektionens kunskapsteori* translated by Leif Janzon, Stockholm: Carlsson Bokförlag, 1991.
- (Johannessen 1980) K.S. Johannessen, 'Art and aesthetic praxis' in (A'-Mogensen & Hermerén 1980).
- (Johannessen 1990) K.S. Johannessen, 'Wittgenstein og estetikken' in (Brock & Schanz 1990).
- (Josefson 1990) Ingela Josefson, 'Language and Experience' in (Göranzon & Florin 1990 p.73).
- (Kindermann 1957–59) Heinz Kindermann, *Theatergeschichte Europas*, vol. I, *Das Theater der Antike und des Mittelalters*, Salzburg: O. Mueller, 1957; vol. III, *Das Theater der Barockzeit*, Salzburg: O. Mueller, 1959.
- (Komárek 1996) S. Komárek, '... a bude vám otevreno', *Nová Přítomnost* No.1, 1996, p.3.
-

- (Lakoff & Johnson 1980) George Lakoff & Mark Johnson, *Metaphors We Live By*, University of Chicago Press, 1980.
- (Landow 1994) *Hyper/Text/Theory* edited by George Landow, , Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1994.
- (Lanham 1993) Richard Lanham, *The Electronic Word: Democracy, Technology and the Arts*, London: University of Chicago Press, 1993.
- (Lesky 1956) Albin Lesky, *Die tragische Dichtung der Hellenen*, Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1956.
- (Locke 1997) John Locke, 'Of the Abuse of Words' in *An Essay Concerning Human Understanding*, edited with an introduction and notes by Roger Woolhouse, Penguin: London, 1997, Book 3, Chapter 10.
- (Mantzius 1937) Karl Mantzius, *A History of Theatrical Art in Ancient and Modern Times*, Volume V: The Great Actors of the Eighteenth Century, New York: Peter Smith, 1937.
- (McCullagh 1996) Declan B. McCullagh, 'Keys to the Kingdom', *CyberWire Dispatch*, editor Brock N. Meeks, 3 July 1996, <http://cyberwerks.com:70/cyberwire/cwd/cwd.96.07.03>.
- (Molander 1990) Bengt Molander, 'Socratic Dialogue: On Dialogue and Discussion in the Formation of Knowledge' in (Göranzon & Florin 1990).
-

- (Montaigne 1991) Michel de Montaigne, On the art of conversation in *The Complete Essays* translated by M.A. Screech London: Penguin Books, 1991.
- (Myers 1996) Julian Myers, 'Various Views on Vexing Violating V-chips', DRAMA-LOGUE and ZEN, March28–April 13, 1996.
- (Nedo 1993) Michael Nedo, Ludwig Wittgenstein, Einführung — Introduction to (Wittgenstein VE), Vienna: Springer Verlag, 1993.
- (Newell & Simon 1972) A. Newell & H. Simon, *Human problem solving*. New York: Prentice Hall, 1972.
- (Nicklaus 1969) Robert Niklaus, 'Observations sur le style expressif de Diderot' in Michael T. Cartwright, *Diderot critique d'art et le problème de l'expression*, Diderot Studies 13, 1969.
- (Nilsson 1979) L. Nilsson, *Förklaringar inom psykoanalysen*, Stockholm: Akademitlitteratur, 1979.
- (Nordenstam 1980) T. Nordenstam, 'Aesthetic competence' in (A'-Mogensen & Hermerén 1980).
- (Nordenstam 1989) T. Nordenstam, *Fra kunst til vitenskap*, Sigma Forlag, 1989.
- (Nordin 1988) S. Nordin, *Teknologins rationalitet*, Stockholm: Timbro, 1988.
- (Novalis 1981) Novalis = Friedrich von Hardenberg, *Schriften, vol. II. Das philosophische Werk I* edited by Richard H. Samuel, Stuttgart : Kohlhammer, 1981.
-

- (Nozick 1981) R. Nozick, *Philosophical Explanations*, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1981.
- (Nussbaum 1986) M.C. Nussbaum, *The fragility of goodness*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- (Ortony 1979) *Metaphor and Thought*, edited by A. Ortony, Cambridge University Press, 1979.
- (Perby 1995) M-L. Perby, Lecture given at the summer school for Skill and Technology: Culture and Communication graduate programme, Stockholm, 1995. See also (Göranzon 1992 p.78).
- (Pichler 1992) Alois Pichler, Wittgenstein's Later Manuscripts: Some Remarks on Style and Writing in (Henry & Utaker 1992 pp.219–251).
- (Pirandello 1988) Luigi Pirandello, 'Six characters in search of an author' in *Collected Plays Volume 2* edited by R. Riety, London: Calder, 1988.
- (Preitz 1957) Schlegel Friedrich und Novalis: Biographie einer Romantikerfreundschaft in ihren Briefen edited by Max Preitz, Darmstadt: Gentner, 1957.
- (Regnault-Warin 1904) J.J. Regnault-Warin, *Memoires historiques et critique sur F.J. Talma*, 1827, pp.240–252. Quoted in (Mantzius 1937 pp.276–278), and cited in (Cole & Chinoy 1970 pp.177–178), Paris: Société d'édition, 1904.
-

- (Rice 1994) 'Alligators in Your Pond', Daily Wisdom, 22nd March 1996, <http://www1.gospelcom.net/gf/dw/dw-03-22-96.html>, Gospel Communications International Inc., edited from More Hot Illustrations for Youth Talks edited Wayne Rice, El Cajon, California: Youth Specialities, 1994.
- (Richards 1936) I.A. Richards, *The Philosophy of Rhetoric*, New York: Oxford University Press, 1936, especially Lecture 5.
- (Ricoeur 1978) P. Ricoeur, *The Rule of Metaphor*, translated by R. Czerny, London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1978. See, in particular, 'Study 6, The Work of Resemblance'.
- (Rorty 1979) Richard Rorty, *Philosophy and the Mirror of Nature*, Princeton University Press, 1979.
- (Rorty 1989) Richard Rorty, 'The contingency of language', pp.3–22 of *Contingency, irony and solidarity*. Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- (Rousseau 1754) J.J. Rousseau, *Essay on the Origin of Language* first published 1754, translated by J.H. Moran & A.Gode, New York: Frederick Unger Publishing Co. 1966, especially Chapter 3.
- (Rötscher 1841) Heinrich Theodor Rötscher, Die Kunst der dramatischen Darstellung. In ihrem organischen Zusammenhange wissenschaftlich entwickelt, Berlin 1841, pp.355–361.
-

- (Saussure 1974) Ferdinand de Saussure, *Course in General Linguistics* translated by Wade Baskin, London: Fontana, 1974.
- (Schechner 1991) Richard Schechner, 'Restoration of Behaviour' in (Barba & Savarese 1991a).
- (Scholem 1976) G. Scholem, 'Walter Benjamin and his Angel' in *On Jews and Judaism in Crisis: Selected Essays* edited by W.J. Dannhauser, New York: Schocken Books, 1976.
- (Schön 1979) D. Schön, 'Generative Metaphor: A Perspective on Problem-Setting in Social Policy' in (Ortony 1979 pp.254–283).
- (Schön 1983) D. Schön, *The Reflective Practitioner*. New York: Basic Books, 1983.
- (Scruton 1979) R. Scruton, *The Aesthetics of Architecture*, Princeton University Press, 1979.
- (Selic et al. 1994) B. Selic, G. Gullekson & P. T. Ward, *Real-time object-oriented modelling*, New York: Wiley, 1994.
- (Sennett 1977) Richard Sennett, *The Fall Of Public Man*, New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1977.
- (Sheridan 1973) Richard Brinsley Sheridan, *The rivals*, Ilkley: Scholar Press, 1973.
- (Simon 1972) H. Simon, *The Sciences of the Artificial*. Basic Books, NY, USA 1972.
- (Stefik 1997) *Internet Dreams* edited by Mark Stefik, Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press, 1997.
-

- (Sturrock 1986) J. Sturrock, *Structuralism*, London: Paladin, 1986.
- (T394 1989) T394 course team, *Modelling of dynamic response*. Milton Keynes, UK: Open University 1989, p.17.
- (Tallis 1988) R. Tallis, *Not Saussure*, London: Macmillan, 1988.
- (Tancock 1966) *Rameau's nephew / D'Alembert's dream* edited by Leonard Tancock, Harmondsworth: Penguin, 1966.
- (Thom 1993) Paul Thom, 'Truth and Materials in the *Paradoxe Sur Le Comédien*,' in *Diderot Studies XXV*, edited by Otis Fellows & Diana Guiragossian Carr, Geneva: Librairie Droz, 1993, pp.119-133.
- (von Wright 1975) G.H. von Wright, *Explanation and Understanding*, London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1975.
- (von Wright 1979) G.H. von Wright, *Humanism and the Humanities*, Uppsala: Kungl vetenskapssamhället, 1979.
- (Waern & Waern 1984) Y. Waern & K.G. Waern, *Tänkande pågår*, Sweden: Liber, 1984.
- (Wilshire 1982) Bruce Wilshire, *Role Playing and Identity. The Limits of Theatre as Metaphor*, Bloomington & Indianapolis: Indiana University Press, 1982.
- (Wilson 1972) Arthur M. Wilson, *Diderot*, New York: Oxford University Press, 1972.
-

- (Winch 1988) Winch, P, *The Idea of a Social Science and its Relation to Philosophy*, London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1988.
- (Wittgenstein 170ff) Ludwig Wittgenstein, Manuscripts Nr. 170ff. (von Wright catalogue), transcriptions by Wittgenstein Archives, University of Bergen.
- (Wittgenstein 1926) Ludwig Wittgenstein, *Wörterbuch für Volksschulen*, edited by A. Huebner, & E. Leinfellner, Vienna: Hoelder-Pichler-Tempsky, 1977.
- (Wittgenstein 1939) Ludwig Wittgenstein, *Wittgenstein's lectures on the foundations of mathematics*. 1939, Hassocks, Sussex, England: Harvester 1976.
- (Wittgenstein 1941) Ludwig Wittgenstein, *Culture and Value*, 1941.
- (Wittgenstein 1961) L. Wittgenstein, *Tractatus Logico-Philosophicus*, translated D.F Pears & B.F McGuiness, London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1961 (first German edition, 1921).
- (Wittgenstein 1979a) Ludwig Wittgenstein, *Remarks on Frazer's Golden bough*. Retford, Notts., England: Brynmill, 1979.
- (Wittgenstein 1979b) Ludwig Wittgenstein, *On Certainty* edited by G.E.M. Anscombe & G.H. von Wright, translated by Denis Paul & G.E.M. Anscombe, Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1979.
-

- (Wittgenstein 1980) L. Wittgenstein, *Remarks on the Philosophy of Psychology*, translated by G.E.M. Anscombe, edited by G.E.M. Anscombe & G.H. von Wright, Oxford: Blackwell, 1980.
- (Wittgenstein 1984) Ludwig Wittgenstein, *Culture and Value*, translated by P. Winch, edited by G.H.von Wright, in collaboration with H. Nyman, University of Chicago Press, 1984.
- (Wittgenstein 1988) L. Wittgenstein, *Philosophical Investigations*, translated by G.E.M. Anscombe, edited by G.E.M. Anscombe, R. Rhees & G.H. von Wright, Oxford: Blackwell, 1988.
- (Wittgenstein VE) Ludwig Wittgenstein, Wiener Ausgabe/ Vienna Edition (VE), edited by Michael Nedo, Vienna: Springer-Verlag.
- (Wittgenstein VE-I) Ludwig Wittgenstein, Philosophische Bemerkungen, vol. I of (Wittgenstein VE), 1994.
- (Wittgenstein VE-III) Ludwig Wittgenstein, Bemerkungen V. VI. Philosophische Bemerkungen, vol. III of (Wittgenstein VE), 1995.
-