

A MULTILAYERED APPROACH TO TWO-DIMENSIONAL URBAN FLOOD MODELLING



Submitted by Barry Evans, to the University of Exeter as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy by Research in Engineering, June 2010

This thesis is available for Library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted for the award of a degree by this or any other university

(Signature).....

Abstract

With urbanisation continuing to encroach upon flood plains, the constant replacement of permeable land with impermeable surfaces and with the changes in global climate, the need for improved flood modelling is ever more apparent. A wide range of methods exist that simulate surface flow; most commonly in one-dimensional (1D) or two-dimensional (2D), and more recently on smaller scales in three-dimensional (3D) models. In urban flood modelling, 2D models are often the preferred choice as they can simulate surface flow more accurately than their 1D model counterparts; they are, however, more computationally demanding and thereby usually require greater simulation time. With the vast amount of information used in flood modelling, generalisation techniques are often employed to reduce the computational load within a simulation.

The objective of this thesis is to improve 2D flood modelling in urban environments by introducing a new and novel approach of representing fine scale building features within coarse grids. This is achieved by creating an automated approach that data-mines key features such as buildings and represents their effects numerically within a multiple layer grid format. This new approach is tested in comparison to two other, already established generalising techniques which are single layer based. The effectiveness of each model is assessed by its ability to accurately represent surface flow at different grid resolutions and how each copes with varying building orientations and distributions within the test datasets. The performance of each generalising approach is determined therefore by its accuracy in relation to the fine scale model and the difference in the computational time required complete the simulation. Finally the multilayered methodology is applied to a real case scenario to test its applicability further. Overall it revealed, as predicted, that the multilayered approach enables far greater accuracies at routing surface flow within coarse grids whilst still greatly reducing computational time.

As a further benefit in urban flood modelling, this thesis shows that using a multilayered data format it is possible to simulate the influence of features that have a grid resolution finer than the initial terrain topology data, thus enabling, for example, the routing of surface water through alleyways between buildings that have a width less than one meter.

Contents

Nomenclature.....	2
Abstract.....	3
Acknowledgements.....	4
Contents.....	5
1 Introduction.....	10
1.1 Background.....	10
1.2 Motivation.....	11
1.3 Objectives.....	12
1.4 Research question & justification.....	12
1.4.1 Question.....	12
1.4.2 Justification.....	13
1.5 Thesis outline.....	14
2 Literature Review.....	15
2.1 Introduction.....	15
2.2 Terrain Data.....	15
2.2.1 Generating a surface.....	16
2.2.2 Filtering LiDAR data.....	18
2.2.3 Resolution scale.....	24
2.2.4 Generalisation.....	28
2.3 Representing key features within coarse grids.....	32
2.4 Flood Modelling.....	39
2.4.1 Finite difference model.....	40
2.4.2 Cellular Automata models.....	42
2.5 Errors and uncertainty.....	46
2.6 Conclusions.....	48
3 Methodology.....	49
3.1 Introduction.....	49
3.2 Generalisation using a group averaging approach.....	50
3.3 Effects of generalising DEM dataset.....	52
3.3.1 Artificial "ski-run" DEM test data-set.....	52
3.4 Generating coarse datasets.....	53
3.4.1 Overall generalisation effects on DEM.....	59
3.4.2 Slope error analysis on DEM.....	60
3.4.3 <i>RMSE</i> analysis of the sloped surface.....	65
3.4.4 Conclusion.....	66
3.5 Effects of generalising DSM dataset.....	67

3.6	Roving window effect.....	74
3.7	<i>RMSE</i> analysis of DSM	77
3.8	Conclusion	77
3.9	Representing buildings using <i>BCR</i> and <i>CRF</i> values.....	78
	3.9.1 Introduction to Urban Inundation Model	78
3.10	The “Multilayered” generalisation approach.....	85
	3.10.1 Introduction	85
	3.10.2 Generating multiple layers	86
3.11	Inputting flow parameters in coarse grids.....	94
	3.11.1 Lateral flow	94
	3.11.2 Rainfall input.....	95
4	Results and discussion	97
4.1	Introduction.....	97
4.2	Simulation case 1: “E” shaped building datasets.....	98
4.3	Input flow.....	99
4.4	Flow propagation through generalised grids.....	99
	4.4.1 Testing flow propagation in empty surfaces	100
4.5	E-Building (Case Original).....	102
4.6	E-Building: Generalisation effects (initial test).....	104
	4.6.1 Introduction	104
4.7	4m Generalised Case	104
	4.7.1 5m generalised case.....	106
	4.7.2 10m generalised case.....	108
	4.7.3 20m generalisation	110
4.8	Simple generalisation effects conclusion.....	111
4.9	Single layer <i>BCR</i> and <i>CRF</i> generalisation	111
	4.9.1 Introduction	111
4.10	E-Building Single layer Case (4m Resolution).....	112
	4.10.1 Single layer generalisation preliminary conclusion	115
4.11	E-Building Multilayer Case	115
	4.11.1 Model comparison analysis.....	117
4.12	Overview of generalising approaches.....	120
4.13	Influence of building orientation	120
	4.13.1 Introduction	120
	4.13.2 Example Building orientation layout “Rotate 1”	123
4.14	Rotate 1: Standard generalisation method	124
	4.14.1 Maximum depth error visual analysis	124

4.14.2	Sample Generalisation effects of flow around a rotated feature	125
4.15	Checkpoint analysis	132
4.15.1	Introduction	132
4.15.2	Checkpoint A Generalised approach.....	134
4.15.3	Checkpoint B.....	137
4.15.4	Checkpoint C.....	137
4.15.5	Checkpoint D	137
4.15.6	Checkpoint E.....	138
4.16	Single layer BCR and CRF approach	138
4.16.1	Maximum depth visual analysis of orientation “Rotate 1” ..	138
4.16.2	Flow around rotated feature	139
4.17	Checkpoint analysis	144
4.17.1	Check point A	144
4.17.2	Checkpoint B.....	144
4.17.3	Checkpoint C.....	144
4.17.4	Checkpoint D	145
4.17.5	Checkpoint E.....	145
4.18	Multilayered approach.....	145
4.18.1	South path maximum depth analysis.....	148
4.19	Checkpoint analysis	150
4.19.1	Checkpoint A	150
4.19.2	Checkpoint B.....	151
4.19.3	Checkpoint C.....	151
4.19.4	Checkpoint D	152
4.19.5	Checkpoint E.....	152
4.19.6	Overall comparison for orientation layout (Rotate1).....	152
4.20	Orientation effects.....	155
4.20.1	Overall Computational Time.....	159
4.21	Preliminary conclusion	160
4.22	Test town layouts	160
4.22.1	Test town 1: Generalisation effects on topology.....	161
4.23	Simulation of lateral flow in case 1 at 1m resolution	164
4.23.1	Town error map analysis.....	168
4.23.2	Generalised approach Case 1	168
4.23.3	Single layer <i>BCR</i> and <i>CRF</i> approach.....	170
4.23.4	Multilayer <i>BCR</i> and <i>CRF</i> approach	172
4.23.5	Checkpoint analysis	174

	4.23.6	Case 1: Overall checkpoint analysis	181
	4.23.7	Case 1: Preliminary conclusion.....	181
4.24		Test town case 2.....	182
	4.24.1	Case 2: introduction	184
	4.24.2	Case 2: Generalised approach	184
	4.24.3	Case 2: Single layer <i>BCR</i> and <i>CRF</i> approach	186
	4.24.4	Case 2: Multilayer <i>BCR</i> and <i>CRF</i> approach	187
4.25		Case 2: Checkpoint analysis	189
4.26		Test town case 3.....	192
	4.26.1	Case 3: Generalised approach error map.....	194
	4.26.2	Case 3: Single layer <i>BCR</i> and <i>CRF</i> approach	195
	4.26.3	Case 3: Multilayer <i>BCR</i> and <i>CRF</i> approach	196
4.27		Case 3: Checkpoint analysis	198
	4.27.1	Test town case 4.....	200
4.28		Case 4.....	201
	4.28.1	Introduction	201
	4.28.2	Generalised 4m.....	201
	4.28.3	Case 4: Single layer.....	203
	4.28.4	Case 4: Multilayer error maps.....	205
	4.28.5	Error map overview: Case 4.....	207
4.29		Checkpoint analysis	207
4.30		Overview analysis of test towns	210
	4.30.1	Comparison of building distributions.....	210
4.31		Maximum flood depth analysis.....	212
5		Real case study: Generalisation effects.....	215
	5.1	Introduction.....	215
	5.2	Generalising effects of real terrain.....	215
	5.3	Real case flood model.....	216
	5.4	Error map visual analysis.....	218
	5.4.1	Standard generalised methodology	219
	5.5	Single layer <i>BCR</i> and <i>CRF</i> approach	219
	5.5.1	Multilayer <i>BCR</i> and <i>CRF</i> approach	221
	5.6	Overall performance	223
6		Pseudo Fine Layer	225
	6.1	Introduction.....	225
	6.2	Pseudo fine scale model conclusion	230
7		Conclusions and future research	231
	7.1	Conclusions.....	231

	7.1.1	Analysis of generalisation effects	231
	7.1.2	Existing methodologies for building representation	231
	7.1.3	New approach for representing buildings in coarse grids	232
	7.1.4	Automated production of multilayered data	232
	7.1.5	Evaluation of multilayered <i>BCR</i> and <i>CRF</i> approach	232
	7.1.6	Potential applications	234
	7.2	Future research.....	234
8		References.....	237
9		Appendix A.....	242
10		Appendix B	246
11		Appendix C	259
12		Appendix D.....	272