# Reproductive isolation associated with the copper tolerance locus in Mimulus guttatus

Submitted by Deborah L. Lloyd to the University of Exeter
as a thesis for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Biological Sciences
in October 2010

This thesis is available for Library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree by this or any other University.

Signature:							
------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

### **Acknowledgements**

I would like to offer my gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. Mark Macnair, for his encouragement, support and advice during this project, and to my collaborators, and in particular Kevin Wright, in the John Willis Lab at Duke University, North Carolina, for their contribution and support and for welcoming me to their laboratory to undertake some aspects of this project. I would also like to thank Profs John Bryant, Murray Grant and Nick Smirnoff for their helpful feedback on chapters of this thesis.

I also extend my thanks to Jim Chidlow for helping me so much in looking after my *Mimulus* plants, for his advice on all aspects of growing and caring for the plants and for his friendly companionship during the long hours I spent in the greenhouse.

I am hugely grateful for the invaluable encouragement and friendship I have received from my colleagues in the Fungal Group at Exeter University. I would particularly like to thank Dr Steve Bates for his understanding and support as I balanced my work for him with my PhD.

Most of all, I especially wish to thank my friends and family and especially my mother for her endless support, encouragement and understanding throughout the duration of my PhD, although thanks does not seem enough for one who has suffered the highs and lows as much as I. My heartfelt thanks also to my sister and my friends who have helped me through the hard times and forgiven me for not seeing them more often due to work. Without you all this thesis would never have been.

#### **Abstract**

The evolution of reproductive isolating barriers that prevent gene flow between species is essential to the process of speciation. One such barrier is intrinsic postzygotic isolation, which proceeds as hybrid sterility or inviability, and is commonly attributed to Dobzhansky-Muller genic incompatibilities. Here, deleterious interlocus interactions occur between incompatible alleles of complementary genes when brought together in the genome of a hybrid. Although these hybrid incompatibilities are widespread, having been identified in mammals, fish, plants and fungi, still relatively little is known about the nature of the genes involved.

In the model plant species Mimulus, a Dobzhansky-Muller incompatibility exists between two populations of the yellow monkey flower, *Mimulus guttatus*, in which the interaction between a single gene from a copper tolerant population, Copperopolis, and a small number of polymorphic genes from a second non-tolerant population, Cerig-y-drudion, results in hybrid necrosis in the F<sub>1</sub>. Hybrid necrosis, a form of hybrid inviability with phenotypic characteristics strongly similar to those of plants responding to pathogen attack, is a common barrier preventing hybridization in plants. As well as being of interest in terms of evolution, hybrid necrosis has practical implications in plant breeding as it prevents the combining of desirable traits from related species in commercial cultivars.

In the cross between Copperopolis and Cerig-y-drudion, copper tolerance, conferred by a single major gene, and hybrid necrosis are tightly linked but the independent or synonymous nature of the gene(s) in the Copperopolis population that contribute to these two characteristics is unknown.

A key aim of this thesis was to establish the nature of the single gene in Copperopolis that contributes to hybrid necrosis with regards to its linkage to copper tolerance. The gene for hybrid necrosis was found to be tightly linked to, but discrete from, the gene controlling copper tolerance. Three candidate genes for this hybrid necrosis locus were indentified: a Jumonjidomain containing protein with probable function as a methyltransferase, a glycosyltransferase and a possible phosphatase. Interestingly, the latter two have potential functional roles in the plant immune system.

The second key aim of this thesis was to perform the first investigation into the small number of genes in the Cerig-y-drudion population that contribute to the crossing barrier. Two QTLs for hybrid necrosis were identified. One QTL on Chromosome 9 is responsible for around 20% of the hybrid necrosis whilst the second QTL on Chromosome 12 acts as an enhancer of the first QTL causing an additional 10% of necrosis. Interestingly both these QTLs contain R genes, further implicating the possible involvement of the plant immune system in this crossing barrier.

## **Table of Contents**

	Page
Title Page	1
Acknowledgements	2
Abstract	3
Table of Contents	4-10
List of Tables and Figures	11-17
Author's Declaration	18-19
List of abbreviations	20-22
Chapter 1 - General Introduction	23-64
1.1 The BSC and other species concepts	23
1.1.1 Biogeography and Speciation	26
1.2 Reproductive Isolation	28
1.3 The Dobzhansky-Muller Model of Genic Incompatibilities	32
<ul><li>1.4 Forces driving the evolution of hybrid incompatibilities:</li><li>Selection, drift and genomic conflict</li></ul>	36
1.4.1 Genetic drift	36
1.4.2 Natural Selection	36
1.4.3 Genomic Conflict	38
1.5 Examples of hybrid incompatibilities and DM genes	38
1.5.1 Hybrid lethality genes in <i>Drosophila</i>	39
1.5.2 Hybrid lethality genes in Plants	48
1.5.2.1 Mimulus -a model system for evolutionary studies	49
1.5.2.2 Copper tolerance and hybrid lethality in M. guttatus	50
1.5.2.3 Hybrid necrosis in other plant systems	54

1.5.2.4 Hybrid necrosis and the plant immune system	57
1.5.3 Hybrid lethality genes in Fish	63
1.6 Summary and Aims	63
Chapter 2 - Methods and Materials	65-84
2.1 The study species: Mimulus guttatus Fischer ex DC	65
2.2 Description & provenance of plants used in this research	65
2.2.1 Copperopolis	65
2.2.2 Cerig-y-Drudion	67
2.2.3 Plants 'A' and 'D'	70
2.3 Plant growth and care	70
2.3.1 Raising plants from seed	70
2.3.2 Raising plants from cuttings	70
<b>2.3.3</b> Soil	71
2.3.4 Lighting/blackout	71
2.3.5 Temperature	72
2.3.6 Watering and feeding	72
2.3.7 Seed storage	73
2.3.8 Pest management	73
2.4 Glasshouse-based Experimental Techniques	73
2.4.1 Phenotyping	73
2.4.1.1 Phenotyping for Copper Tolerance	73
2.4.1.2 Phenotyping for Hybrid Necrosis	74
2.4.2 Crosses/pollination	75
2.4.3 Tissue Sampling	76
2.5 I.T. Resources	76

2.5.1 Molecular IT Tools	77
<b>2.5.1.1</b> Markers	77
2.5.1.2 Whole-gene sequence amplification	77
intended for sequencing	
2.5.2 Bioinformatics IT Tools	77
2.6 Molecular techniques	78
2.6.1 DNA Extraction	78
2.6.1.1 The CTAB method	78
2.6.1.2 The QIAGEN DNeasy Kit	80
<b>2.6.2</b> Primers	80
2.6.2.1 Primer Design	80
2.6.2.2 Primer Preparation	80
2.6.3 PCR	81
2.6.3.1 Preparing the Master Mix	81
2.6.3.2 PCR Programme	82
2.6.4 Gel Electrophoresis	82
2.6.5 Genotyping	83
2.7 Statistical Analysis	84
Chapter 3 - Characterising the phenotype of hybrid necrosis in crosses between the Cerig and Copperopolis populations of <i>Mimulus guttatus</i>	85-101
3.1 Introduction	85
3.2 Methods	89
3.2.1 Phenotyping for hybrid necrosis	89
3.2.2 Establishing polymorphism for hybrid necrosis genes in the	89
Cerig population	

3.2.3 Phenotyping for Copper tolerance	90
3.2.4 Testing for Temperature/Environmental effects on	90
the degree of hybrid necrosis	
	00
3.3 Results	90
3.3.1 The hybrid necrosis phenotype	90
<b>3.3.2</b> Polymorphism for hybrid necrosis genes in the Cerig	92
population	
3.3.2.1 Phenotypic variation in 14 Cerig individuals	92
3.3.2.2 Testing for homogeneity between reciprocal	95
crosses	
3.3.2.3 Testing for homogeneity amongst crosses	96
within each group	
3.3.2.4 Differential necrosis depending on the Cerig	97
parent	
3.3.3 Hybrid Necrosis and Temperature	98
3.5 Discussion	99
3.6 Conclusion	101
Chapter 4 - Identifying the genomic region containing the hybrid necrosis ( <i>NEC</i> ) locus in Copperopolis	102-126
4.1 Introduction	102
4.2 Methods and Materials	104
4.2.1 Identifying Recombinants	104
4.2.1.1 Phenotyping for Copper tolerance	104
4.2.1.2 Genotyping	104
<b>4.2.2</b> Selection of Cerig individuals to use in testcrosses with Recombinants	h 106

	4.2.3 Crosses between Cerigs and recombinants	106
	4.2.4 Phenotyping for hybrid necrosis	107
	4.2.5 Calculating Recombination Frequencies	107
<b>4.3</b> R	esults	107
	<b>4.3.1</b> Testing the linkage between the $T$ and $NEC$ loci	107
	4.3.1.1 Testing for homogeneity amongst repeat crosses	110
	4.3.1.2 Testing for a parent-of-origin effect	111
	4.3.1.3 Testing the effect of a TR or NTR parent on the	111
	rate of hybrid necrosis	
	<b>4.3.1.4</b> Identifying recombinants between the $T$ and	113
	NEC loci	
	4.3.2 Identifying T locus markers and the recombination window	117
	containing NEC	
<b>4.4</b> D	scussion	123
<b>4.5</b> C	onclusion	126
-	Bioinformatics and fine-mapping of the recombination	127-151
nterval con	taining the hybrid necrosis ( <i>NEC</i> ) locus in Copperopolis	
<b>5.1</b> In	troduction	127
<b>5.2</b> M	ethods	130
	<b>5.2.1</b> Fine-mapping of the recombination window containing <i>NEC</i>	130
	5.2.1.1 Provenance of plants	131
	5.2.1.2 Primer design for new markers	131
	5.2.1.3 Identification of informative markers	133
	5.2.2 Bioinformatics	137
<b>E 9</b> D	ooulto.	120
<b>5.3</b> R	esults 5.3.1 Fine mapping	138 138

5.3.2 Bioinforn	natics	141
5.4 Discussion		144
5.5 Conclusion		151
	of the genes in the Cerig population that e in Copperopolis to cause hybrid necrosis	152-189
6.1 Introduction		152
<b>6.2</b> Methods and Mate	rials	156
<b>6.2.1</b> QTL ana	lysis and Bulked Segregant Analysis	156
6.2.2 Generati	ng a segregating $F_2$ population for BSA	157
6.2.3 Phenotyp	ping the segregating F <sub>2</sub> population for	157
hybrid	necrosis	
6.2.4 Screenin	g for markers	159
<b>6.2.5</b> Data Ana	alysis Techniques	160
6.2.5.1	1 Fisher's exact test in BSA	160
6.2.5.2	2 Sequential Bonferroni correction	160
6.2.5.3	3 Arcsine transformation	160
6.2.5.4	4 One way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)	162
<b>6.3</b> Results		162
	replicate F <sub>2</sub> x Cop crosses for homogeneity	162
_	ecrosis in the segregating F <sub>2</sub> population	164
•	ng for polymorphic markers in the parent plants	165
	Segregant Analysis	165
	and QTL analysis	169
<b>6.4</b> Discussion		182
<b>6.5</b> Conclusion		188

Chapter 7 - General Discussion	190-198
7.1 The single hybrid necrosis locus in Copperopolis	190
7.2 Identifying hybrid necrosis QTLs in Cerig	193
7.3 Future Work	197
7.4 Final Conclusion	198
Appendices	199-209
Appendix 4.1 Raw data for hybrid necrosis from crosses between  Cerig 10 or Cerig 35 and the tolerant (TR) and non-tolerant (NTR)  recombinants	199-201
<b>Appendix 6.1</b> Chi-squared values between replicates in the F <sub>2</sub> x Cop crosses	202-205
Appendix 6.2 Single Factor/ One Way ANOVA results for each of the three markers in Cerig that co-segregate with the hybrid necrosis phenotype	206-207
Appendix 7.1 Maps of the Mimulus genome showing the size (cM) of the fourteen chromosomes and the locations of the MgSTS markers screen	208-209
References	210-228

# **List of Tables and Figures**

## **Tables**

Chapter 1	Page
Table 1.1 A summary of the main Species Concepts	24-25
Table 1.2 Definitions for the three modes of speciation based on biogeography	27
Table 1.3 Reproductive Isolating Barriers	29-30
<b>Table 1.4</b> Examples of genes identified as contributing to Dobzhansky-Muller incompatibility interactions leading to hybrid dysfunctions	40-45
Chapter 2	
Table 2.1 Specifications of plant feed	72
Chapter 3	
<b>Table 3.1</b> Copper tolerance and hybrid necrosis phenotypes in offspring from crosses between different Cerig parents (non-tolerant) and plant D (tolerant)	93
Table 3.2 Raw data on the degree of hybrid necrosis from crosses between Cerig           and Cop plants.	94
<b>Table 3.3</b> Chi-squared $(\chi^2)$ values for the difference in the degree of hybrid necrosis in reciprocal crosses between Cerigs and Cop.	96
<b>Table 3.4</b> The degree of hybrid necrosis in crosses between Cop and either Cer10, Cer33, Cer34 or Cer35	96
<b>Table 3.5</b> Chi-squared $(\chi^2)$ values for testing for homogeneity amongst crosses within each Cerig x Cop group.	97
<b>Table 3.6</b> Chi-squared $(\chi^2)$ values for testing for homogeneity in the degree of hybrid necrosis amongst crosses with data from each Cerig being omitted sequentially.	98

## Chapter 4

<b>Table 4.1</b> A summary of the results of the marker screening in plants A and D and in D $\times$ A F <sub>1</sub> progeny as conducted by Kevin Wright at Duke University to identify markers linked to copper tolerance.	105
<b>Table 4.2</b> The number of replicates scored for hybrid necrosis for each recombinant (between marker e242 and the T locus) parent plant in crosses with Cer10 and the range in the degree of hybrid necrosis within these replicates.	108
<b>Table 4.3</b> Chi-squared $(\chi^2)$ values for comparing the degree of hybrid necrosis in replicate crosses for each tolerant recombinant.	110
<b>Table 4.4</b> Chi-squared $(\chi^2)$ values for comparing the hybrid necrosis data from replicate crosses with each non-tolerant recombinant	110
<b>Table 4.5</b> Chi-squared ( $\chi^2$ ) values for comparing the mean hybrid necrosis in reciprocal crosses between Cer10 and grouped or individual TRs and NTRs.	112
Table 4.6 Pooled data for hybrid necrosis in TR and NTR crosses with Cer10	113
<b>Table 4.7</b> Chi-squared ( $\chi^2$ ) values for testing for homogeneity of hybrid necrosis rates within the TR or NTR groups in crosses with Cer10.	113
<b>Table 4.8</b> The Chi-squared $(\chi^2)$ values from tests where the necrosis data from each TR in turn is omitted.	114
<b>Table 4.9</b> The Chi-squared ( $\chi^2$ ) values from tests where the necrosis data from each NTR in turn is omitted.	115
<b>Table 4.10</b> The Chi-squared ( $\chi^2$ ) values from tests comparing the degree of hybrid necrosis of each TR, and their group average, with 25_E01.	116
<b>Table 4.11</b> The Chi-squared ( $\chi^2$ ) values from chi-squared tests comparing the degree of hybrid necrosis of each NTR, and their group average, with 25_E11.	116
<b>Table 4.12</b> Summary of the average hybrid necrosis rates from crosses between Cer10 and recombinants. The data is shown both pooled for the TR and NTR groups and also with the data from TR 25_E11 and NTR 25_E01 omitted from their respective groups.	117

<b>Table 4.13</b> The identity and position of the four informative markers associated with the copper tolerance locus and their genotypes in offspring of the D x A cross.	118
<b>Table 4.14</b> The marker genotypes and recombination breakpoints in the recombinants (between marker e242 and the T locus) that were crossed with Cer10 to establish the degree of hybrid necrosis caused. Informative plants are highlighted in bold.	120
Chapter 5	
<b>Table 5.1</b> A summary of Dobzhansky-Muller incompatible genes whose biological functions have been identified.	128
<b>Table 5.2</b> The fragment sizes (genotypes) in the parent plant lines A and D of the fifteen markers genotyped in the first round of marker screening.	135
<b>Table 5.3</b> The fragment sizes (genotypes) in the parent plant lines A and D of the seven markers genotyped in the second round of marker screening.	136
<b>Table 5.4</b> The genotypes of the three informative markers that are linked to copper tolerance.	138
<b>Table 5.5</b> The genotype (nucleotide) at different bases of the three SNP markers screened for variation in plants A and D and selected offspring.	140
<b>Table 5.6</b> The marker genotypes in parent plants A and D and in TRs and NTRs with regards to their associated tolerant/non-tolerant phenotype thereby revealing the recombination breakpoints in the D x A plants recombinant between e242 and T.	142
Chapter 6	
<b>Table 6.1</b> Identified pairs or sets of complementary genes whose diverged alleles interact in a Dobzhansky-Muller-type incompatibility resulting in hybrid dysfunction.	155
<b>Table 6.2</b> The genotypes and location of the polymorphic markers identified in Cer10 and Cer35. Those shown in bold print were identified as co-segregating with the hybrid necrosis phenotype.	166
<b>Table 6.3</b> The hybrid necrosis rates of the F <sub>2</sub> plants that were selected for BSA and of those plants which should have been selected	168

<b>Table 6.4</b> The frequency of the genotypes in the BSA high- and low- necrosis F <sub>2</sub> groups of the twenty-five polymorphic markers in Cer10 and Cer35.	170
<b>Table 6.5</b> The genotypes and one-way ANOVA p-values for the three markers identified for QTLs controlling hybrid necrosis in Cerig.	173
<b>Table 6.6</b> The frequency of the nine possible genotypes from the combination of markers e217 and e416 in the 137 $F_2$ hybrids with genotypes at these two markers and the average degree of hybrid necrosis (%) associated with each genotype.	175
<b>Table 6.7</b> The number of parental (P) and recombinant (R) chromosomes present in the Cerig F <sub>2</sub> population in the combined genotypes of markers e217 and e416.	175
<b>Table 6.8</b> The chi-squared ( $\chi^2$ ) values from comparing the degree of hybrid necrosis associated with the three recombinant genotypes of the markers e217 and e416 with the degree associated with the nearest non-recombinant genotypes.	177
<b>Table 6.9</b> The five combined genotypes of markers e416 and e279 present in the Cerig $F_2$ population and their associated necrosis rates.	182
Appendices	
Table A4.1 Raw data for hybrid necrosis from crosses between Cerig 10 or Cerig 35         and the tolerant (TR) and non-tolerant (NTR) recombinants.	199
<b>Table A6.1</b> Chi-squared values for testing for homogeneity between replicates trays from the same $F_2 \times Cop$ cross, replicate $F_2 \times Cop$ crosses and between all replicate trays each $F_2$ .	202

# **Figures**

Chapter 1	
Figure 1.1 The Dobzhansky-Muller model of genic incompatibility.	34
Chapter 2	
Figure 2.1 The main physical features of <i>M. guttatus</i>	66
<b>Figure 2.2</b> The morphological differences between the study populations Cerig and Copperopolis.	67
Figure 2.3 Maps showing the location of Copperopolis, Calaveras County, California	68
Figure 2.4 Maps from showing the location of Cerig-y-Drudion, Conwy, North Wales	69
Figure 2.5 Glasshouse plant growth methods	71
Figure 2.6 Phenotyping for Copper Tolerance	75
<b>Figure 2.7</b> Photographs of a stigma on an <i>M. guttatus</i> plant showing the closing stigma system.	76
Figure 2.8 Agarose gel electrophoresis	83
Chapter 3	
Figure 3.1 The phenotype of hybrid necrosis in progeny from a cross between a Cerig individual and either a copper tolerant or non-tolerant plant.	91
<b>Figure 3.2</b> Variation in the degree of hybrid necrosis in three trays containing offspring from crosses between a Cop individual and three different Cerig individuals.	95
Chapter 4	
Figure 4.1 The mean degree of hybrid necrosis in control crosses between grouped tolerant recombinants or non-tolerant recombinants and either Cerig35, Cerig10 or Cop, and in testcrosses between Cerig10 and each individual recombinant.	109
<b>Figure 4.2</b> A genetic and physical map showing the positions of the four T locus markers identified by research reported in this chapter.	119

<b>Figure 4.3</b> A map of Chromosome 9 of the <i>M. guttatus</i> genome showing the location of the location of the copper tolerance locus (T) and the five markers identified in this research as linked to the T locus.	122
<b>Figure 4.4</b> Map showing the positions and recombination frequencies/distance in cM between the T locus and the four linked markers and the NEC locus. Courtesy of Kevin Wright, Duke University.	124
Chapter 5	
<b>Figure 5.1</b> The positions along scaffold_84 of the Mimulus genome sequence of the nine mRNAs whose sequences were used to design the fifteen markers screened for variation in plants A (copper non-tolerant) and D (copper tolerant) in the first round of screening.	132
<b>Figure 5.2</b> The positions along scaffold_84 of the Mimulus genome sequence of the five mRNA markers and eight microsatellite markers screened for variation in plants A and D in the second round of screening.	133
<b>Figure 5.3</b> The positions along scaffold_84 of the Mimulus genome sequence of the informative copper-tolerance linked markers that identify the window containing the NEC locus.	140
<b>Figure 5.4</b> The position on scaffold_84 of the Mimulus genome of known R genes, the T locus markers identified in Chapters 4 & 5 and the recombination window containing NEC.	143
<b>Figure 5.5</b> A summary of the results of the mapping, fine mapping and bioinformatics in Chapters 4 & 5 showing the informative markers on scaffold_84 of the Mimulus genome that narrow down the region containing NEC and the three candidate genes within this 59kb window.	144
Chapter 6	
<b>Figure 6.1</b> A histogram showing the frequency distribution of the residuals for the proportion of hybrid necrosis in crosses between Cop and 142 individuals from a	161

segregating  $F_2$  population of Cerig.

<b>Figure 6.2</b> A histogram showing the frequency distribution of the residuals from the arcsin-transformed proportion of hybrid necrosis in crosses between Cop and 142 individuals from a segregating $F_2$ population of Cerig.	162
<b>Figure 6.3</b> The wide variation in the distribution of the degree (proportion) of hybrid necrosis in crosses between Cop and 142 individuals from a segregating $F_2$ population of Cerig.	164
<b>Figure 6.4</b> A histogram showing the frequency of the distribution of the degree (proportion) of hybrid necrosis in crosses between Cop and 142 individuals from a segregating $F_2$ population of Cerig.	165
<b>Figure 6.5</b> The relative positions on the 14 linkage groups of the <i>M. guttatus</i> genome of the seventy-three markers screened for polymorphism in Cer10 and Cer35.	167
<b>Figure 6.6</b> Maps of Chromosome 9 of the <i>M. guttatus</i> genome showing the location of markers e217 and e416 along with the location of NEC and T loci as identified by Chapters 4 & 5.	174
Figure 6.7 The average degree of hybrid necrosis associated with each of the three genotypes of markers e217 and e416 in the segregating $F_2$ population of Cerig.	174
<b>Figure 6.8</b> The average degree of hybrid necrosis associated with the three genotypes of marker e416 in the segregating $F_2$ Cerig population.	178
<b>Figure 6.9</b> The distribution of the degree of hybrid necrosis associated with each 179 individual in the segregating F <sub>2</sub> population with respect to their genotype at marker e416.	
<b>Figure 6.10</b> A map of chromosome 12 of the <i>M. guttatus</i> genome showing the location of marker e279.	180
Figure 6.11 The average degree of hybrid necrosis associated with the two genotypes of marker e279 present in the segregating $F_2$ population of Cerig.	181
Appendix 7	
Figure A7.1 Maps of the Mimulus genome showing the size (cM) of the fourteen	208

chromosomes and the locations of the MgSTS markers screened.

## **Author's Declaration**

Aspects of this thesis are based on a collaborative project between Kevin Wright, a PhD student based at Duke University, North Carolina, and myself. Provided below is a summary of the individual contribution each of us to the research reported in this thesis. I certify that all writing and data analysis included in this thesis is my own work.

Work	Performed By
Chapter 3	
Growing plants	Deborah Lloyd
Phenotyping for copper tolerance	Deborah Lloyd
Tissue sampling	Deborah Lloyd
Identifying Cerigs to use in crosses	Deborah Lloyd
Conducting crosses	Deborah Lloyd
Phenotyping for hybrid necrosis	Deborah Lloyd
DNA Extraction	Kevin Wright
Genotyping to identify recombinants	Kevin Wright
Chapter 4	
-	Daharah III
Growing plants	Deborah Lloyd
Phenotyping for copper tolerance	Deborah Lloyd
Tissue sampling	Deborah Lloyd Deborah Lloyd
Conducting crosses  Phenotyping for hybrid necrosis	Deborah Lloyd
Thenotyping for Hybrid fleerosis	Deboran Lloya
DNA Extraction	Kevin Wright
Genotyping to identifying recombinants	Kevin Wright
Chapter 5	
Growing plants	Deborah Lloyd
Conducting crosses	Deborah Lloyd
Scoring for hybrid necrosis	Deborah Lloyd
Design of new primers	Deborah Lloyd
DNA extraction	Deborah Lloyd
Screening markers	Deborah Lloyd
Bioinformatics	Deborah Lloyd
Screening SNP markers	Kevin Wright

Work	Performed By
Chapter 6	
Growing plants	Deborah Lloyd
Conducting crosses	Deborah Lloyd
Phenotyping for hybrid necrosis	Deborah Lloyd
Tissue sampling	Deborah Lloyd
Designing primers	Deborah Lloyd
DNA extraction	Deborah Lloyd
Marker Genotyping in BSA	Deborah Lloyd
Marker Genotyping of linked markers in all F <sub>2</sub>	Deborah Lloyd

#### **Abbreviations**

'A' plant Plant 'A' used in crosses (bred by Professor Macnair, a copper

non-tolerant plant with the SB genetic background)

A. thaliana
ANOVA
Avr
Avr
Arabidopsis thaliana
Analysis of Variance
Avr
Avirulence protein

**bp** basepairs

BSA Bulked Segregant Analysis
BSC Biological Species Concept

Cerig/Cer 'Cerig-y-drudion'

Cladosporium fulvum resistance gene

cM CentimorgansCop'Copperopolis'

'D' plant 'D' used in crosses (bred by Professor Macnair, a copper

tolerant plant with the SB genetic background and a section of

the Cop genome)

D. melanogasterDrosophila melanogasterD. simulansDrosophila simulansDMDobzhansky-Muller

DM1 Dangerous Mix 1
DM2 Dangerous Mix 2

**EMBOSS** European Molecular Biology Open Software Suite

**EST** Expressed Sequence Tag

F<sub>1</sub> First filial generation
 F<sub>2</sub> Second filial generation
 Hdb2 Hybrid breakdown 2
 Hdb3 Hybrid breakdown 3
 Hmr Hybrid male lethality

HR Hypersensitive Response

Hw (a/b/c/d/e/f/g/h)1Hybrid weakness gene (a to h)Hw (a/b/c/d/e/f/g/h)2Hybrid weakness gene (a to h)

JGI Joint Genome Institute

JmjC Jumonji domain

**kb** kilobases

**KEGG** Kyoto Encyclopaedia of gene and genomes

KOG Eukaryotic Orthologous Groups

L. esculentum Lycopersicon esculentum

L. pimpinellifolium Lycopersicon pimpinellifoliu

L. saligna
Lactuca saligna
Linkage Group

LhrLethal hybrid rescueM. guttatusMimulus guttatus

Mhr Maternal hybrid rescue

mRNA messenger RNA
N. longiflora Nicotiana longiflora
N. suaveolens Nicotiana suaveolens
N. tabacum Nicotiana tabacum

NBS-LRR Nucleotide-binding Leucine-Rich-Repeat

NCBI National Centre for Biotechnology Information

Ne1 Necrosis gene 1
Ne2 Necrosis gene 2

NEC (locus)Hybrid necrosis locusNPCNuclear pore complexNTCopper non-tolerant

NTR Copper non-tolerant recombinant

O. sativa Oryza sativa
Ovd Overdrive

P. syringae Pseudomonas syringae

**PANTHER** Protein Analysis Through Evolutionary Relationships

PCD Programmed Cell Death

PCR Polymerase Chain Reaction

PFAMProtein Family DatabasePrdm1PR domain containing 1QTLQuantitative Trait Loci

R geneDisease Resistance GeneRFRecombination Frequency

RI Reproductive Isolation

RIN4 RPM1 Interacting Protein 4

RPM1 Resistance to Pseudomonas syringae PV Maculicola

RPS2 Resistance to Pseudomonas syringae 2

S. cerevisiae Saccahromyces cerevisiae

SA Salicylic acid
SB Stinson Beach

**Sc\_** Scaffold (of *Mimulus* genome sequence)

#### Abbreviations

SGE Selfish Genetic Element

**SNP** Single Nucleotide Polymorphism

T (locus) Copper tolerance locus

T Copper tolerant

TAIR Arabidopsis Information Resource

TR Copper tolerant recombinant

X. maculates Xiphophorus maculates

Xmrk2 Xiphophorus melanoma receptor tyrosine kinase

**Zhr** Zygotic hybrid rescue