How can performance act historiographically?

Enacting the New York avant-gardes of the 1960s and early 1970s

Submitted by Andrew Thomas Field, to the University of Exeter as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy by Research in Drama in April 2012.

This thesis is available for Library use on the understanding that it is copyright material and that no quotation from the thesis may be published without proper acknowledgement.

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree by this or any other University.

(Signature)

ABSTRACT

This thesis is concerned with extending the role that live performance might play in our understanding of the work of the interrelated avant-garde performance communities that emerged in New York in the 1960s and early 1970s. This is a practice-led project that uses my own performance work as the site of its enquiry.

In the last decade performance itself has begun to play a significant role in our understanding of and relationship to past performances, in the main through the increasing pervasion of re-enactment as an acknowledged historiographical trope. However, as a consequence of its association with re-enactment, the nature of the historiographical role afforded to performance is still primarily determined by its proximity to the archive and institutionalised modes of performance history. Challenging the primacy of the re-enactment as a means of embodied engagement with past performance, this research project explores how manipulation of my own performance practice might generate new forms of historical knowledge. In particular my focus is on using this practice to develop a new understanding of how the work of this earlier period altered y the experience of the urban landscape for those participating in the work, audience and performers alike.

Structured around a rigorous analysis of three specific works from across this earlier period, I conceived a series of spatial 'blueprints' that were applied to my practice to create three new performance pieces. Using my own research and practice to renegotiate the relationship between live performance and the archive, I demonstrate the possibility for a new historiographical approach to past performance. This approach emphasises the role of the participants in the performance as generators of an alternative form of historical understanding embedded in ways of operating in the city.

LIST OF CONTENTS

Introduction	4
i. Context and Methodology	9
a. The relationship with the city in the New York performance scene of the	
1960s and 1970s	9
b. Resemblance to my own performance practice	13
c. Adopting the 'point of view of enunciation'	17
d. The rhetoric of disappearance and the emergence of re-enactment	20
e. The failure of re-enactment	24
f. Flesh memory and the queering of time	30
g. Playing 'in time' in my own performance practice	34
h. A methodology for an 'effective' history	36
i. Bringing performance and history 'to the crossroads'	40
ii. Three Case Studies (Part 1)	42
a. Choosing my case studies	42
 b. Structuring my analysis – place, space and site 	45
c. Engaging with the archive	46
d. The process of constructing my case studies	48
e. Preliminary Sketches	49
iii. Three Case Studies (Part 2)	57
a. Trisha Brown's Roof Piece	58
b. Archipelago	68
c. Claes Oldenburg's The Store	71
d. CAB	81
e. Meredith Monk's Juice: A Theater Cantata in Three Parts	84
f. Zilla!	96
Observations from my performance practice	100
Conclusion	107
Bibliography	114

LIST OF ACCOMPANYING MATERIALS

DVD – Performing Historiography: three works by Andy Field