

University of Exeter

Hierarchy in Honeycombs

Submitted by Christopher Michael Taylor, to the University of Exeter as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Engineering

In July 2012

It is a requirement of the project sponsor that the contents of this thesis are not made publicly available due to commercial sensitivities, The External Examiner will be required to sign a confidentiality agreement.

I certify that all material in this thesis which is not my own work has been identified and that no material has previously been submitted and approved for the award of a degree by this or any other University.

.....

Christopher Michael Taylor

Abstract

The main aim of this project was to examine the effects of introducing hierarchy into honeycombs and determining the variables that preside over the global response of the structure. Specifically to understand how the in and out-of-plane elastic and non-linear plastic properties of honeycombs were affected by hierarchy. Analytical analysis of hierarchical honeycombs has been used to explain and predict the response of finite element simulations validated by experimental investigations.

The early stage of the investigation focused on finding if the elastic modulus could be maintained or improved on an equal density basis due to the introduction of hierarchy. It is clear that honeycombs are sensitive to hierarchical sub-structures, particularly the fraction of mass shared between the super-and sub-structures. Introduction of an additional level of hierarchy without reducing performance is difficult, but was possible by functional grading. Another original result was that it was determined when the sub-structure could be assumed to be a continuum of the super-structure. Meaning the material properties from a single unit sub-cell could be used as the constituent material properties of the super-structure, as in previous work by (Lakes 1993) and (Carpinteri *et al* 2009) for example.

Work investigating the in-plane, non-linear plastic response of hierarchical honeycombs showed that the introduction of hierarchy into honeycombs can have the effect of delaying the onset of elastic buckling, which is a common failure mechanism for low relative density structures. As such it was possible to achieve a marked increase in the recoverable energy absorbed by hierarchical honeycombs prior to elastic buckling or plastic yield. The potential benefits are less *apparent* in higher relative density structures due to the onset of plasticity becoming the first mode of failure. The out-of-plane properties also investigated showed no increase in the elastic properties due to the introduction of hierarchy, but showed a marked increase in the out-of-plane elastic buckling stress of 60% when compared to a conventional hexagonal honeycomb of the same relative density.

Chapter 1. Contents

Title Page	i
Abstract	ii
Contents	iii
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	xxi
Nomenclature	xxii
Acknowledgements	xxvii
Dissemination	xxviii
Chapter 1. Introduction & Literature Review	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Cellular Solids	1
1.2.1 Honeycombs	4
1.3 Hierarchy	21
Chapter 2. Modelling	32
2.1 Introduction	32
2.2 Method	36
2.2.1 Wire Elements	36
2.2.2 Shell Elements	40
2.3 Results	42
2.3.1 Wire Elements	42
2.3.2 Boundary Conditions and Sample Size	44
2.3.3 Shell Elements	46
2.3.4 Boundary Conditions and Sample Size	49
2.4 Discussion	51
2.4.1 Wire Elements	51

2.5	Shell Elements	51
2.6	Conclusion	53
Chapter 3. Introducing Hierarchy into Honeycombs		54
3.1	Introduction	54
3.2	Methods	55
3.2.1	Hierarchical Length Ratio	55
3.2.2	Co-ordination number	58
3.2.3	Mass Distribution	59
3.2.4	Functional Grading	61
3.2.5	Functional Grading and Co-Ordination Number	63
3.2.6	Functional Grading, Co-ordination Number and HLR	65
3.2.7	Functional Grading, Co-ordination Number and Change in Aspect Ratio	68
3.3	Analytical	71
3.3.1	Conventional honeycombs	71
3.3.2	Hierarchical honeycombs	72
3.4	Results	75
3.4.1	Hierarchical Length Ratio	75
3.4.2	Co-ordination number	76
3.4.3	Mass Distribution	77
3.4.4	Functional Grading	78
3.4.5	Functional Grading and Co-ordination Number HLR	80
3.4.6	Functional Grading and Co-ordination Number, Change in Aspect Ratio	83
3.5	Discussion	87
3.5.1	Hierarchical Length Ratio and Mass Distribution	87
3.5.2	Co-ordination number	87
3.5.3	Functional Grading	88

3.5.4	Functional Grading and Co-ordination Number HLR	89
3.5.5	Functional Grading and Co-ordination Number, Change in Aspect Ratio	89
3.6	Conclusion	92
Chapter 4. In-Plane Change in The Sub-Structure Poisson's Ratio		93
4.1	Introduction	93
4.2	Method	96
4.2.1	Hierarchical Length Ratio	96
4.2.2	Investigating Change in θ_{sub}	98
4.2.3	Investigating Change in h/l	99
4.3	Analytical	101
4.4	Results	104
4.4.1	Hierarchical Length Ratio	104
4.4.2	Investigating Change in θ_{sub}	105
4.4.3	Investigating Change in h/l	107
4.5	Discussion	110
4.6	Conclusion	113
Chapter 5. In-Plane Functionally Graded Hierarchical Honeycombs		114
5.1	Introduction	114
5.2	Methods	117
5.2.1	Finite Element Model	117
5.3	Analytical models	122
5.3.1	Conventional honeycombs	122
5.3.2	Hierarchical honeycombs	123
5.3.3	Functionally graded hierarchical honeycombs	124
5.4	Results	128
5.4.1	FE models	128

5.4.2	Analytical models	134	
5.5	Discussion	139	
5.6	Conclusion	141	
Chapter 6. In-Plane Plasticity and Non-Linear Response			142
6.1	Introduction	142	
6.2	Method	143	
6.2.1	Functionally Graded	143	
6.2.2	NPR	145	
6.2.3	Finite Element Model	145	
6.3	Results	149	
6.3.1	Stress Strain and Internal Energy for $\rho^*_{rel} = 0.00577$		149
6.3.2	Stress, Strain and Internal Energy for $\rho^*_{rel} = 0.0577$		157
6.3.3	Triangular Super-Structure Triangular Sub-Structure Aluminium and Steel (6–6)		167
6.3.4	Negative Poisson's Ratio Sub-Structure	169	
6.4	Discussion	173	
6.4.1	Functional Grading for Co-ordination Numbers for $\rho^*_{rel} = 0.00577$		173
6.4.2	Functional Grading for Co-ordination Numbers for $\rho^*_{rel} = 0.0577$		178
6.4.3	Poisson's Ratio Sub-Structure	182	
6.5	Conclusion	184	
Chapter 7. Out-of-Plane Plasticity and Non-Linear Response			185
7.1	Introduction	185	
7.2	Method	187	
7.2.1	Elastic Models, Functional Grading and HLR	187	
7.2.2	Functional Grading and Change in Aspect Ratio	189	
7.2.3	Plastic Models	193	

7.3	Analytical	195
7.3.1	Linear-Elastic Deformation of Conventional honeycombs	195
7.3.2	Linear-Elastic Deformation of Hierarchical honeycombs	195
7.3.3	Non-Linear-Elasticity: Elastic Buckling	196
7.3.4	Plastic Collapse	197
7.4	Results	199
7.4.1	Elastic Regime, Functional Grading and HLR	199
7.4.2	Functional Grading and Change in Aspect Ratio	200
7.4.3	Plastic Regime	201
7.5	Discussion	206
7.5.1	Elastic Models, hierarchical Length Ratio and Super-Structure Aspect Ratio	206
7.5.2	Plasticity and Non-Linear Geometry Models	206
7.6	Conclusion	209
Chapter 8.	Experimental Validation	210
8.1	Introduction	210
8.2	Method	211
8.2.1	Manufacture of Hierarchical Honeycombs	211
8.2.2	Characterisation of Continuum Material	213
8.2.3	In-plane Change in the HLR Experimental	214
8.2.4	In-plane Change in the Super-Structure Aspect Ratio α_{sup} Experimental	217
8.2.5	In-plane Discussion of Experimental Procedure	219
8.2.6	In-plane Discussion of Finite Element models	220
8.2.7	Out-of-plane Change in the Super-Structure Aspect Ratio α_{sup} Experimental	223
8.2.8	Out-of-plane Discussion of Experimental Procedure	225
8.2.9	Out-of-plane Discussion to Finite Element models	225

8.3	Results	230
8.3.1	In-plane Change in the HLR Experimental	230
8.3.2	In-plane Change in the Super-Structure Aspect Ratio α_{sup} Experimental	236
8.3.3	Out-of-plane Change in the Super-Structure Aspect Ratio α_{sup} Experimental	245
8.4	Discussion	251
8.4.1	In-plane change in HLR	251
8.4.2	In-plane Change in Super-Structure Aspect Ratio α_{sup}	252
8.4.3	Out-of-plane Change in Super-Structure Aspect Ratio α_{sup}	253
8.5	Conclusion	255
Chapter 9.	Discussion	256
9.1	In-Plane	256
9.2	Out-of-Plane	261
Chapter 10.	Conclusion	262
10.1	Further Work	263
References		